

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

BUKU

- Adolf, Huala, 2004. *Hukum Penyelesaian Sengketa Internasional*. Sinar Grafika. Jakarta
- Aust, Anthony. 2005. *Handbook of International Law*. Cambridge University Press
- Black, Henry Campbell. 1968. *Black's Law Dictionary*. Edisi ke-4, West Publishing Co., St. Paul, Minn
- Brownlie, Ian. 2003. *Principles of Public International Law*. Oxford University Press. 6th Edition
- Cassese, Antonio. 2002. *International Law, New York*. Oxford University Press
- Crawford, J, A Pellet, S Olleson, & K Parlett. 2010, *The law of international responsibility*, Oxford University Press. New York.
- Crawford, James and Olleson, Simon. 2003, *The Nature and Forms of International Responsibility* in International Law edited by Evans, Malcolm D., 1st ed. Oxford University Press Inc. New York, the United States.
- Crawford, James. 2013. *State Responsibility: The General Part*. Cambridge University Press. z
- Dixon, Martin. 2007. *Textbook on International Law*. Oxford University Press. Sixth edition.
- Hamzah, Andi. *Kamus Hukum*. Ghalia Indonesia. 2005.
- Henkin, Louis. 1987. *International Law Cases and Materials*. West Publishing Co., St. Paul, Minn.
- Istanto, F. Soegeng. 1994. *Hukum Internasional*. Penerbitan UAJ. Yogyakarta
- Mohebi, Mohsen, 2010, *The International Law Character of the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal*, Kluwer Law International, Netherlands
- Lauterpacht, Hersch. 1995. *Oppenheim's International Law, A Treatise*. Great Britain: Vol I, 8th Ed,
- Malanczuk, Peter. *Akehurt's Modern Introduction to International Law 7th Rev. Ed.* Routledge. London & New York. 1997

Oppenheim, L, Jennings, R. Y. and Watts, Arthur. 1996. *Oppenheim's international law. Volume 1, Peace*. Longman London. New York.

Parthiana, Wayan. 2003. *Pengantar Hukum Internasional*, Mandar Maju. Bandung

Sefriani. 2014. *Hukum Internasional Suatu Pengantar*. PT. Raja Grafindo Persada. Jakarta.

Shaw, Malcolm N. 2008. *International Law Edisi Ke-6*. Cambridge University Press. New York

Starke, J.G. 2010. *Pengantar Hukum Internasional (edisi kesepuluh)*. Sinar Grafika. Jakarta.

Thontowi, Jawahir dan Pranoto Iskandar. 2006. *Hukum Internasional Kontemporer*. Refika Aditama. Bandung.

Wallace, Rebecca M.M. 2002. *International Law Fourth Edition*. Sweet & Maxwell. London.

JURNAL ILMIAH

Ben-Ari, R.H. 2012. "*The Normative Position of International Non-Governmental Organisations under International Law - An analytic framework*". Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

Birò, G. and Motoc, A.I. 2005. *Working Paper on Human Rights and Non-State Actors*. UN doc- E/CN.4/Sub.2/2005/40. 11 July 2005

Clapham, 2006. A. *Human Rights Obligations of Non-State Actors*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crawford, James. 2002. "*The International Law Commission's Articles on State Responsibility: Introduction, Text, Commentaries*". Cambridge University Press.

Situngkir Danel Aditia. 2018. "*Asas Pacta Sunt Servanda dalam Penegakan Hukum Pidana Internasional*", Jurnal Cendekia Hukum. Vol 3 No.2

Friedmann. 1964. "*The Changing Structure of International Law*". Columbia University Press and Stevens & Sons Ltd.

Green, Fergus. 2008. "*Fragmentation in Two Dimensions: The ICJ's Flawed Approach to Non-state Actors and International Legal Personality*". Melbourne Journal of International Law. Vol. 9, No. 1.

Crawford, James. 2002 *The International Law Commission's Articles on State Responsibility: Introduction, Text, Commentaries*, Cambridge University Press

Kuris, P. M. 1973. "*International offenses and state responsibility*". Vilnius. Mintis.

L. Gordenker and T. Weiss. 1995. "Pluralising Global Governance: Analytical Approaches and Dimensions". *Third World Q.*

Mova Al Afghani, Muhammad. 2005. "Konsep Kealpaan dalam Hukum Pertanggungjawaban Negara."

Siswanto, Arie. 2005, "Yurisdiksi Material Mahkamah Kejahatan Internasional". Ghalia Indonesia. Bogor.

Pearlman, W. and Cunningham, K. 2012. "Nonstate Actors, Fragmentation, and Conflict Processes". *Journal of Conflict Resolution.*

Talmon, Stefan. 2009. *The Responsibility of Outside Powers for Acts of Secessionist Entities.*

Triatmodjo, Marsudi. 1999. "Pertanggungjawaban Negara Terhadap Pencemaran Lingkungan Internasional". Mimbar Hukum Fakultas Hukum UGM No. 33/X/99.

Yearbook of the International Law Commission, 1961, Vol. II

YearBook of the International Law Commission, 1973, Vol II

Instrumen Hukum

Draft articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (Arsiwa)

Draft articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts with commentaries 2001 (Arsiwa Commentary)

ILC Articles on the Responsibility of International Organizations: "Report of the International Law Commission to the General Assembly" A/66/10 (2010)

Permanent Court Of Arbitration Arbitration Rules 2012

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court (Rome Statute)

Statute of the Court International Court of Justice (ICJ Statute),

United Nations, Reports of International Arbitral Awards, vol. IV, hal. 678.

Putusan Pengadilan

Application of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (Bosnia and Herzegovina v. Serbia and Montenegro) [2007]

Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities In and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. United States of America); Merits, International Court of Justice (ICJ), 27 June 1986

Chorzow Factory Case (Claim for Indemnity; Jurisdiction) (Germ. v. Pol.), 1927 P.C.I.J.(ser.A)

Factory at Chorzow, Meritl, 1928, *PCIJ, Series A, No 17*

Factory at Chorzów, Jurisdiction, Judgment No. 8, 1927, P.C.I.J., Series A, No.9

Foremost Tehran, Inc V Government of the Islamic-Republic of Iran, (1986)

France-New Zealand Arbitration Tribunal, 82 I.L.R. 500 (1990)

ICJ, *Reparation for Injuries Suffered in the Service of the United Nations*, Advisory Opinion, ICJ Reports 1949

Phosphates in Morocco case (Preliminary Objections), 14 June 1938 (P.C.I.J., Series A/B, No. 74, p. 28).

Salvador Commercial Company, UNRIAA, vol. XV (Sales No. 66.V.3), p. 455, at p. 477 (1902)

Tadić (International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, Appeals Chamber, Case No IT-94-1-A, 15 Juli 1999).

United Nations, Reports of International Arbitral Awards, vol. IV, hal. 678. Settlers of German Origin in Poland, Advisory Opinion, 1923 P.C.I.J. (ser. B) No. 6 (Sept. 10)

Velásquez Rodríguez Case, Inter-Am.Ct.H.R. (Ser. C) No. 4 (1988), Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACrHR), 29 July 1988

Website

GA Res. 56/83, 2001, Tersedia pada <http://www.un.org/Depts/dhl/resguide/r56.htm>

KBBI, Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (KBBI). 2016 Tersedia pada: <https://kbbi.web.id/negara> Diakses pada Tanggal 7 Januari 2019

Pierre-Marie Dupuy, *Dionisio Anzilotti and the Law of International Responsibility of States*, Tersedia Pada www.ejil.org/journal/Vol3/No1/art8-01.html Diakses pada Tanggal 8 Juni 2019

UN General Assembly, *Report of the International Law Commission*, 23 July 1999, A/54/10, Tersedia pada: <https://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6af970.html> Diakses pada 6 juni 2019

<http://legal.un.org/ilc/drafting.shtml> Diakses pada tanggal 25 Januari 2019

LAMPIRAN

Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts 2001

Text adopted by the Commission at its fifty-third session, in 2001, and submitted to the General Assembly as a part of the Commission's report covering the work of that session. The report, which also contains commentaries on the draft articles, appears in *Yearbook of the International Law Commission, 2001*, vol. II (Part Two). Text reproduced as it appears in the annex to General Assembly resolution 56/83 of 12 December 2001, and corrected by document A/56/49(Vol. I)/Corr.4.



Copyright © United Nations

2005

Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts

PART ONE

THE INTERNATIONALLY WRONGFUL ACT OF A STATE

CHAPTER I

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Article 1

Responsibility of a State for its internationally wrongful acts

Every internationally wrongful act of a State entails the international responsibility of that State.

Article 2

Elements of an internationally wrongful act of a State

There is an internationally wrongful act of a State when conduct consisting of an action or omission:

- (a) is attributable to the State under international law; and
- (b) constitutes a breach of an international obligation of the State.

Article 3

Characterization of an act of a State as internationally wrongful

The characterization of an act of a State as internationally wrongful is governed by international law. Such characterization is not affected by the characterization of the same act as lawful by internal law.

CHAPTER II

ATTRIBUTION OF CONDUCT TO A STATE

Article 4

Conduct of organs of a State

1. The conduct of any State organ shall be considered an act of that State under international law, whether the organ exercises legislative, executive, judicial or any other functions, whatever position it holds in the organization of the State, and whatever its character as an organ of the central Government or of a territorial unit of the State.

2. An organ includes any person or entity which has that status in accordance with the internal law of the State.

Article 5

Conduct of persons or entities exercising elements of governmental authority

The conduct of a person or entity which is not an organ of the State under article 4 but which is empowered by the law of that State to exercise elements of the governmental authority shall be considered an act of the State under international law, provided the person or entity is acting in that capacity in the particular instance.

Article 6

Conduct of organs placed at the disposal of a State by another State

The conduct of an organ placed at the disposal of a State by another State shall be considered an act of the former State under international law if the organ is acting in the exercise of elements of the governmental authority of the State at whose disposal it is placed.

Article 7

Excess of authority or contravention of instructions

The conduct of an organ of a State or of a person or entity empowered to exercise elements of the governmental authority shall be considered an act of the State under international law if the organ, person or entity acts in that capacity, even if it exceeds its authority or contravenes instructions.

Article 8

Conduct directed or controlled by a State

The conduct of a person or group of persons shall be considered an act of a State under international law if the person or group of persons is in fact acting on the instructions of, or under the direction or control of, that State in carrying out the conduct.

Article 9

*Conduct carried out in the absence or
default of the official authorities*

The conduct of a person or group of persons shall be considered an act of a State under international law if the person or group of persons is in fact exercising elements of the governmental authority in the absence or default of the official authorities and in circumstances such as to call for the exercise of those elements of authority.

Article 10

Conduct of an insurrectional or other movement

1. The conduct of an insurrectional movement which becomes the new Government of a State shall be considered an act of that State under international law.

2. The conduct of a movement, insurrectional or other, which succeeds in establishing a new State in part of the territory of a pre-existing State or in a territory under its administration shall be considered an act of the new State under international law.

3. This article is without prejudice to the attribution to a State of any conduct, however related to that of the movement concerned, which is to be considered an act of that State by virtue of articles 4 to 9.

Article 11

Conduct acknowledged and adopted by a State as its own

Conduct which is not attributable to a State under the preceding articles shall nevertheless be considered an act of that State under international law if and to the extent that the State acknowledges and adopts the conduct in question as its own.

CHAPTER III

BREACH OF AN INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATION

Article 12
Existence of a breach of an international obligation

There is a breach of an international obligation by a State when an act of that State is not in conformity with what is required of it by that obligation, regardless of its origin or character.

Article 13
International obligation in force for a State

An act of a State does not constitute a breach of an international obligation unless the State is bound by the obligation in question at the time the act occurs.

Article 14
Extension in time of the breach of an international obligation

1. The breach of an international obligation by an act of a State not having a continuing character occurs at the moment when the act is performed, even if its effects continue.

2. The breach of an international obligation by an act of a State having a continuing character extends over the entire period during which the act continues and remains not in conformity with the international obligation.

3. The breach of an international obligation requiring a State to prevent a given event occurs when the event occurs and extends over the entire period during which the event continues and remains not in conformity with that obligation.

Article 15
Breach consisting of a composite act

1. The breach of an international obligation by a State through a series of actions or omissions defined in aggregate as wrongful occurs when the action or omission occurs which, taken with the other actions or omissions, is sufficient to constitute the wrongful act.

2. In such a case, the breach extends over the entire period starting with the first of the actions or omissions of the series and lasts for as long as these actions or omissions are repeated and remain not in conformity with the international obligation.

CHAPTER IV
RESPONSIBILITY OF A STATE IN CONNECTION WITH THE
ACT OF ANOTHER STATE

Article 16
Aid or assistance in the commission of an
internationally wrongful act

A State which aids or assists another State in the commission of an internationally wrongful act by the latter is internationally responsible for doing so if:

- (a) that State does so with knowledge of the circumstances of the internationally wrongful act; and
- (b) the act would be internationally wrongful if committed by that State.

Article 17
Direction and control exercised over the
commission of an internationally wrongful
act

A State which directs and controls another State in the commission of an internationally wrongful act by the latter is internationally responsible for that act if:

- (a) that State does so with knowledge of the circumstances of the internationally wrongful act; and
- (b) the act would be internationally wrongful if committed by that State.

Article 18
Coercion of another State

A State which coerces another State to commit an act is internationally responsible for that act if:

- (a) the act would, but for the coercion, be an internationally wrongful act of the coerced State; and

- (b) the coercing State does so with knowledge of the circumstances of the act.

Article 19

Effect of this chapter

This chapter is without prejudice to the international responsibility, under other provisions of these articles, of the State which commits the act in question, or of any other State.

CHAPTER V

CIRCUMSTANCES PRECLUDING WRONGFULNESS

Article 20

Consent

Valid consent by a State to the commission of a given act by another State precludes the wrongfulness of that act in relation to the former State to the extent that the act remains within the limits of that consent.

Article 21

Self-defence

The wrongfulness of an act of a State is precluded if the act constitutes a lawful measure of self-defence taken in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations.

Article 22

*Countermeasures in respect of an
internationally wrongful act*

The wrongfulness of an act of a State not in conformity with an international obligation towards another State is precluded if and to the extent that the act constitutes a countermeasure taken against the latter State in accordance with chapter II of part three.

Article 23

Force majeure

1. The wrongfulness of an act of a State not in conformity with an international obligation of that State is precluded if the act is due to force majeure, that is the occurrence of an irresistible force or of an unforeseen event, beyond the control of the State, making it materially impossible in the circumstances to perform the obligation.

2. Paragraph 1 does not apply if:

- (a) the situation of force majeure is due, either alone or in combination with other factors, to the conduct of the State invoking it; or
- (b) the State has assumed the risk of that situation occurring.

Article 24
Distress

1. The wrongfulness of an act of a State not in conformity with an international obligation of that State is precluded if the author of the act in question has no other reasonable way, in a situation of distress, of saving the author's life or the lives of other persons entrusted to the author's care.

2. Paragraph 1 does not apply if:

- (a) the situation of distress is due, either alone or in combination with other factors, to the conduct of the State invoking it; or
- (b) the act in question is likely to create a comparable or greater peril.

Article 25
Necessity

1. Necessity may not be invoked by a State as a ground for precluding the wrongfulness of an act not in conformity with an international obligation of that State unless the act:

- (a) is the only way for the State to safeguard an essential interest against a grave and imminent peril; and
- (b) does not seriously impair an essential interest of the State or States towards which the obligation exists, or of the international community as a whole.

2. In any case, necessity may not be invoked by a State as a ground for precluding wrongfulness if:

- (a) the international obligation in question excludes the possibility of invoking necessity;
or

- (b) the State has contributed to the situation of necessity.

Article 26
Compliance with peremptory norms

Nothing in this chapter precludes the wrongfulness of any act of a State which is not in conformity with an obligation arising under a peremptory norm of general international law.

Article 27
*Consequences of invoking a circumstance
precluding wrongfulness*

The invocation of a circumstance precluding wrongfulness in accordance with this chapter is without prejudice to:

- (a) compliance with the obligation in question, if and to the extent that the circumstance precluding wrongfulness no longer exists;
- (b) the question of compensation for any material loss caused by the act in question.

PART TWO

CONTENT OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY OF A STATE

CHAPTER I

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Article 28
Legal consequences of an internationally wrongful act

The international responsibility of a State which is entailed by an internationally wrongful act in accordance with the provisions of part one involves legal consequences as set out in this part.

Article 29
Continued duty of performance

The legal consequences of an internationally wrongful act under this part do not affect the continued duty of the responsible State to perform the obligation breached.

Article 30
Cessation and non-repetition

The State responsible for the internationally wrongful act is under an obligation:

- (a) to cease that act, if it is continuing;
- (b) to offer appropriate assurances and guarantees of non-repetition, if circumstances so require.

Article 31
Reparation

1. The responsible State is under an obligation to make full reparation for the injury caused by the internationally wrongful act.

2. Injury includes any damage, whether material or moral, caused by the internationally wrongful act of a State.

Article 32
Irrelevance of internal law

The responsible State may not rely on the provisions of its internal law as justification for failure to comply with its obligations under this part.

Article 33
Scope of international obligations set out in this part

1. The obligations of the responsible State set out in this part may be owed to another State, to several States, or to the international community as a whole, depending in particular on the character and content of the international obligation and on the circumstances of the breach.

2. This part is without prejudice to any right, arising from the international responsibility of a State, which may accrue directly to any person or entity other than a State.

Article 34
Forms of reparation

Full reparation for the injury caused by the internationally wrongful act shall take the form of restitution, compensation and satisfaction, either singly or in combination, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.

Article 35
Restitution

A State responsible for an internationally wrongful act is under an obligation to make restitution, that is, to re-establish the situation which existed before the wrongful act was committed, provided and to the extent that restitution:

- (a) is not materially impossible;
- (b) does not involve a burden out of all proportion to the benefit deriving from restitution instead of compensation.

Article 36
Compensation

1. The State responsible for an internationally wrongful act is under an obligation to compensate for the damage caused thereby, insofar as such damage is not made good by restitution.

2. The compensation shall cover any financially assessable damage including loss of profits insofar as it is established.

Article 37
Satisfaction

1. The State responsible for an internationally wrongful act is under an obligation to give satisfaction for the injury caused by that act insofar as it cannot be made good by restitution or compensation.

2. Satisfaction may consist in an acknowledgement of the breach, an expression of regret, a formal apology or another appropriate modality.

3. Satisfaction shall not be out of proportion to the injury and may not take a form humiliating to the responsible State.

Article 38

Interest

1. Interest on any principal sum due under this chapter shall be payable when necessary in order to ensure full reparation. The interest rate and mode of calculation shall be set so as to achieve that result.

2. Interest runs from the date when the principal sum should have been paid until the date the obligation to pay is fulfilled.

Article 39

Contribution to the injury

In the determination of reparation, account shall be taken of the contribution to the injury by wilful or negligent action or omission of the injured State or any person or entity in relation to whom reparation is sought.

CHAPTER III

SERIOUS BREACHES OF OBLIGATIONS UNDER PEREMPTORY

NORMS OF GENERAL INTERNATIONAL LAW

Article 40

Application of this chapter

1. This chapter applies to the international responsibility which is entailed by a serious breach by a State of an obligation arising under a peremptory norm of general international law.

2. A breach of such an obligation is serious if it involves a gross or systematic failure by the responsible State to fulfil the obligation.

Article 41

*Particular consequences of a serious
breach of an obligation under this
chapter*

1. States shall cooperate to bring to an end through lawful means any serious breach within the meaning of article 40.

2. No State shall recognize as lawful a situation created by a serious breach within the meaning of article 40, nor render aid or assistance in maintaining that situation.

3. This article is without prejudice to the other consequences referred to in this part and to such further consequences that a breach to which this chapter applies may entail under international law.

PART THREE

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
RESPONSIBILITY OF A STATE

CHAPTER I

INVOCATION OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF A STATE

Article 42

Invocation of responsibility by an injured State

A State is entitled as an injured State to invoke the responsibility of another State if the obligation breached is owed to:

- (a) that State individually; or
- (b) a group of States including that State, or the international community as a whole, and the breach of the obligation:
 - (i) specially affects that State; or
 - (ii) is of such a character as radically to change the position of all the other States to which the obligation is owed with respect to the further performance of the obligation.

Article 43
Notice of claim by an injured State

1. An injured State which invokes the responsibility of another State shall give notice of its claim to that State.

2. The injured State may specify in particular:
 - (a) the conduct that the responsible State should take in order to cease the wrongful act, if it is continuing;

 - (b) what form reparation should take in accordance with the provisions of part two.

Article 44
Admissibility of claims

The responsibility of a State may not be invoked if:

- (a) the claim is not brought in accordance with any applicable rule relating to the nationality of claims;

- (b) the claim is one to which the rule of exhaustion of local remedies applies and any available and effective local remedy has not been exhausted.

Article 45
Loss of the right to invoke responsibility

The responsibility of a State may not be invoked if:

- (a) the injured State has validly waived the claim;

- (b) the injured State is to be considered as having, by reason of its conduct, validly acquiesced in the lapse of the claim.

Article 46
Plurality of injured States

Where several States are injured by the same internationally wrongful act, each injured State may separately invoke the responsibility of the State which has committed the internationally wrongful act.

Article 47
Plurality of responsible States

1. Where several States are responsible for the same internationally wrongful act, the responsibility of each State may be invoked in relation to that act.
2. Paragraph 1:
 - (a) does not permit any injured State to recover, by way of compensation, more than the damage it has suffered;
 - (b) is without prejudice to any right of recourse against the other responsible States.

Article 48
*Invocation of responsibility by a State other
than an injured State*

1. Any State other than an injured State is entitled to invoke the responsibility of another State in accordance with paragraph 2 if:
 - (a) the obligation breached is owed to a group of States including that State, and is established for the protection of a collective interest of the group; or
 - (b) the obligation breached is owed to the international community as a whole.
2. Any State entitled to invoke responsibility under paragraph 1 may claim from the responsible State:
 - (a) cessation of the internationally wrongful act, and assurances and guarantees of non-repetition in accordance with article 30; and
 - (b) performance of the obligation of reparation in accordance with the preceding articles, in the interest of the injured State or of the beneficiaries of the obligation breached.

3. The requirements for the invocation of responsibility by an injured State under articles 43, 44 and 45 apply to an invocation of responsibility by a State entitled to do so under paragraph 1.

CHAPTER II
COUNTERMEASURES

Article 49
Object and limits of countermeasures

1. An injured State may only take countermeasures against a State which is responsible for an internationally wrongful act in order to induce that State to comply with its obligations under part two.

2. Countermeasures are limited to the non-performance for the time being of international obligations of the State taking the measures towards the responsible State.

3. Countermeasures shall, as far as possible, be taken in such a way as to permit the resumption of performance of the obligations in question.

Article 50
Obligations not affected by countermeasures

1. Countermeasures shall not affect:

- (a) the obligation to refrain from the threat or use of force as embodied in the Charter of the United Nations;
- (b) obligations for the protection of fundamental human rights;
- (c) obligations of a humanitarian character prohibiting reprisals;
- (d) other obligations under peremptory norms of general international law.

2. A State taking countermeasures is not relieved from fulfilling its obligations:

- (a) under any dispute settlement procedure applicable between it and the responsible State;
- (b) to respect the inviolability of diplomatic or consular agents, premises, archives and documents.

Article 51
Proportionality

Countermeasures must be commensurate with the injury suffered, taking into account the gravity of the internationally wrongful act and the rights in question.

Article 52
Conditions relating to resort to countermeasures

1. Before taking countermeasures, an injured State shall:

- (a) call upon the responsible State, in accordance with article 43, to fulfil its obligations under part two;
- (b) notify the responsible State of any decision to take countermeasures and offer to negotiate with that State.

2. Notwithstanding paragraph 1 (b), the injured State may take such urgent countermeasures as are necessary to preserve its rights.

3. Countermeasures may not be taken, and if already taken must be suspended without undue delay if:

- (a) the internationally wrongful act has ceased; and
- (b) the dispute is pending before a court or tribunal which has the authority to make decisions binding on the parties.

4. Paragraph 3 does not apply if the responsible State fails to implement the dispute settlement procedures in good faith.

Article 53

Termination of countermeasures

Countermeasures shall be terminated as soon as the responsible State has complied with its obligations under part two in relation to the internationally wrongful act.

Article 54

Measures taken by States other than an injured State

This chapter does not prejudice the right of any State, entitled under article 48, paragraph 1, to invoke the responsibility of another State, to take lawful measures against that State to ensure cessation of the breach and reparation in the interest of the injured State or of the beneficiaries of the obligation breached.

PART FOUR

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 55

Lex specialis

These articles do not apply where and to the extent that the conditions for the existence of an internationally wrongful act or the content or implementation of the international responsibility of a State are governed by special rules of international law.

Article 56

Questions of State responsibility not regulated by these articles

The applicable rules of international law continue to govern questions concerning the responsibility of a State for an internationally wrongful act to the extent that they are not regulated by these articles.

Article 57

Responsibility of an international organization

These articles are without prejudice to any question of the responsibility under international law of an international organization, or of any State for the conduct of an international organization.

Article 58

Individual responsibility

These articles are without prejudice to any question of the individual responsibility under international law of any person acting on behalf of a State.

Article 59
Charter of the United Nations

These articles are without prejudice to the Charter of the United Nations.
