

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, N. I., Sarudin, A., Osman, Z., & Redzwan, H. F. M. (2020). Elemen multimodaliti dalam karya kartun menerusi mod linguistik dan mod visual. *Rumpun Jurnal Persuratan Melayu*, 8(2), 28-39.
- Abdullah, N. I., Sarudin, A., Osman, Z., et al. (2020). Elements of multimodality in cartoon through linguistic mode and visual mode. *UNP Journals*, 19(2), 265-266.
- Bateman, J. A. (2008). *Multimodality and genre: A foundation for the systematic analysis of multimodal documents*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bateman, J. A., & Wildfeuer, J. (2014). A multimodal discourse theory of visual narrative. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 74, 180-208.
- Bezemer, J., & Kress, G. (2008). Writing in multimodal texts: A social semiotic account of designs for learning. *Written communication*, 25(2), 166-195.
- Birdsell, D. S., & Groarke, L. (2007). Outlines of a theory of visual argument. *Argumentation and advocacy*, 43(3-4), 103-113.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Clark, R. C., & Lyons, C. (2010). *Graphics for learning: Proven guidelines for planning, designing, and evaluating visuals in training materials*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Colton, J., et al. (2023). Readers matter: Seven transactions with the visual, linguistic, and material elements in a picture book. *The Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 46(2), 219-231.



- Dagron, A. G., & Tufte, T. (Eds.). (2006). *Communication for social change anthology: Historical and contemporary readings*. CFSC Consortium, Inc.
- Fitriana, W., & Wirza, Y. (2021). An analysis of multimodal texts in Indonesian EFL textbooks. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(2), 85-101.
- Garcia-Retamero, R., & Cokely, E. T. (2017). Designing visual aids that promote risk literacy: A systematic review of health research and evidence-based design heuristics. *Human factors*, 59(4), 582-627.
- Ghoushchi, S., Yazdani, S., & Dowlatabadi, H. (2020). A multimodal discourse analysis of pictures in ELT textbooks: Modes of communication in focus. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature*, 9(3), 47-55.
- Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. (2013). *Halliday's introduction to functional grammar*. Routledge.
- Hermawan, B. (2013). Multimodality: Menafsir verbal, membaca gambar, dan memahami teks. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 3(2), 151-165.
- Hermawan, B. (2013). Multimodality: Menafsir verbal, membaca gambar, dan memahami teks. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 13(1), 19-28.
- Huang, Q., & Xia, S. (2024). Preparing learners for digitally mediated academic communication: Digital multimodal practice in students' knowledge dissemination videos. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 71, 101429.
- K. L. (2009). Writing in the disciplines: Research evidence for specificity. *Taiwan international esp journal*.



Jewitt, C. (2015). Multimodal analysis. In *The Routledge handbook of language and digital communication* (pp. 69-84). Routledge.

Jewitt, C. (Ed.). (2009). *The Routledge handbook of multimodal analysis* (p. 340). London: Routledge.

Jewitt, C., Kress, G., Ogborn, J., & Tsatsarelis, C. (2010). Exploring learning through visual, actional, and linguistic communication: The multimodal environment of a science classroom. *Educational Review*, 53(1), 5-18.

Julianingsi, H. (2023). A multimodal discourse analysis of text and images interplay in the 2021 Cinderella movie. *Elite Journal*, 4(2), 246-254.

Kress, G. (2009). *Multimodality: A social semiotic approach to contemporary communication*. routledge.

Kress, G., & Van Leeuwen, T. (2002). Colour as a semiotic mode: notes for a grammar of colour. *Visual communication*, 1(3), 343-368.

Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (2006). *Reading images: The grammar of visual design* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Lauer, C. (2009). Contending with terms: "Multimodal" and "multimedia" in the academic and public spheres. *Computers and composition*, 26(4), 225-239.

Lea, M. R., & Street, B. V. (1998). Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in higher education*, 23(2), 157-172.

Leahy, W., & Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive load theory, modality of presentation and the transient information effect. *Applied cognitive psychology*, 25(6), 943-951.



J. (1998). Multiplying meaning. *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourses of science*, 87.

- Lemke, J. L. (1998). Teaching all the languages of science: Words, symbols, images, and actions. In *Conference on science education in Barcelona* (pp. 483-492).
- Lindgaard, G., Dudek, C., Sen, D., Sumegi, L., & Noonan, P. (2011). An exploration of relations between visual appeal, trustworthiness and perceived usability of homepages. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, 18(1), 1-30.
- Lirola, D. C. (2006). Estudio y análisis del saque en el voleibol masculino de alto rendimiento. *RICYDE. Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte*, 2(5), 12-28.
- Lirola, M. M. (2006). A critical analysis of the image of immigrants in multimodal texts. *Linguistics and the Human Sciences*, 2(1), 57-79.
- Mayer, R. E., Stull, A., DeLeeuw, K., Almeroth, K., Bimber, B., Chun, D., ... & Zhang, H. (2009). Clickers in college classrooms: Fostering learning with questioning methods in large lecture classes. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 34(1), 51-57.
- McTigue, E. M., & Flowers, A. C. (2011). Science visual literacy: Learners' perceptions and knowledge of diagrams. *The Reading Teacher*, 64(8), 578-589.
- O'Halloran, K. L. (2011). Multimodal discourse analysis. *The Bloomsbury handbook of discourse analysis*, 249-282.
- Pantaleo, S. (2012). Meaning-making with colour in multimodal texts: An 11-year-old student's purposeful doing. *Literacy*, 46(3), 140-148.
- Reid, G., Snead, R., Pettway, K., & Simoneaux, B. (2016). Multimodal communication in the university: Surveying faculty across disciplines. *Across the Disciplines*, 13(1), 1-29.



- Roth, R. E. (2021). Cartographic design as visual storytelling: synthesis and review of map-based narratives, genres, and tropes. *The Cartographic Journal*, 58(1), 83-114.
- Rowley-Jolivet, E. (2004). Different visions, different visuals: A social semiotic analysis of field-specific visual composition in scientific conference presentations. *Visual communication*, 3(2), 145-175.
- Schreier, M., Fuchs, C., & Dahl, D. W. (2012). The innovation effect of user design: Exploring consumers' innovation perceptions of firms selling products designed by users. *Journal of Marketing*, 76(5), 18-32.
- Schriver, K. A. (1997). *Dynamics in document design: Creating text for readers*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Serafini, F. (2010). Reading multimodal texts: Perceptual, structural, and ideological perspectives. *Children's Literature in Education*, 41(2), 85-104.
- Sweller, J. (2011). Cognitive load theory. In *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 55, pp. 37-76). Academic Press.
- Tufte, E. (2001). Graphical excellence. *The visual display of quantitative information*, 13-52.
- Tufte, E. R. (2006). *Beautiful evidence* (Vol. 1). Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- Tyrer, C. (2021). The voice, text, and the visual as semiotic companions: An analysis of multimodal screen feedback. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(1), 1123-1142.
- Van Leeuwen, T. (2001). What is authenticity?. *Discourse studies*, 3(4), 392-397.



Van Leeuwen, T. (2006). Towards a semiotics of typography. *Information design journal*, 14(2), 139-155.

Wignell, P., O'Halloran, K. L., Tan, S., Lange, R., & Chai, K. (2018). Image and text relations in ISIS materials and the new relations established through recontextualisation in online media. *Discourse & Communication*, 12(5), 535-559.



Optimized using
trial version
www.balesio.com