

Daftar Pustaka

- Abdullah, B., Singh, S., 2021. Surgical Interventions for Inferior Turbinate Hipertrofi: A Comprehensive Review of Current Techniques and Technologies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18, 3441. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073441>
- Alghamdi, F.S., Albogami, D., Alsurayhi, A.S., Alshibely, A.Y., Alkaabi, T.H., Alqurashi, L.M., Alahdal, A.A., Saber, A.A., Almansouri, O.S., 2022a. Nasal Septal Deviation: A Comprehensive Narrative Review. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.31317>
- Andrades, P., Cuevas, P., Danilla, S., Bernales, J., Longton, C., Borel, C., Hernández, R., Villalobos, R., 2016. The accuracy of different methods for diagnosing septal deviation in patients undergoing septorhinoplasty: A prospective study. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery* 69, 848–855. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2016.02.019>
- Bekin Sarikaya, P.Z., Bayar Muluk, N., 2023. Relationship Between Nasal Septal Deviation Angles and Turbinates: A Computed Tomography Study. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.35253>
- Budiman, B.J., Asyari, A., 2012. Pengukuran Sumbatan Hidung pada Deviasi Septum Nasi. *Jurnal Kesehatan Andalas* 1. <https://doi.org/10.25077/jka.v1i1.4>
- Camacho, M., Zaghi, S., Certal, V., Abdullatif, J., Modi, R., Sridhara, S., Tolisano, A.M., Chang, E.T., Cable, B.B., Capasso, R., 2016. Predictors of Nasal Obstruction: Quantification and Assessment Using Multiple Grading Scales. *Plast Surg Int* 2016, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6945297>
- Carmel-Neiderman, N.N., Safadi, A., Wengier, A., Ziv-Baran, T., Warshavsky, A., Ringel, B., Horowitz, G., Fliss, D.M., Abergel, A., 2021. The Role of Imaging in the Preoperative Assessment of Patients with Nasal Obstruction and Septal Deviation—A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 25, e242–e248. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1712933>
- Cellina, M., Gibelli, D., Cappella, A., Martinenghi, C., Belloni, E., Oliva, G., 2020a. Nasal cavities and the nasal septum: Anatomical variants and assessment of features with computed tomography. *Neuroradiol J* 33, 340–347. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1971400920913763>

- Chavan, A., Maran, R., Meena, K., 2019. Diagnostic Evaluation of Chronic Nasal Obstruction Based on Nasal Endoskopi and CT Scan Paranasal Sinus. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery* 71, 1948–1952. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-018-1376-6>
- Clark, D.W., Del Signore, A.G., Raithatha, R., Senior, B.A., 2018. Nasal Airway Obstruction: Prevalence and Anatomic Contributors. *Ear Nose Throat J* 97, 173–176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014556131809700615>
- Codari, M., Zago, M., Guidugli, G.A., Pucciarelli, V., Tartaglia, G.M., Ottaviani, F., Righini, S., Sforza, C., 2016. The nasal septum deviation index (NSDI) based on CBCT data. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology* 45, 20150327. <https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20150327>
- Das, S.R., Dash, S., Sahoo, R.K., Sahu, M.C., Padhy, R.N., 2016. Frontal recess polyp in a 14-year-old child resembling an antro-choanal polyp. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci* 11, 82–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2015.08.008>
- Dell'Aversana Orabona, G., Romano, A., Abbate, V., Salzano, G., Piombino, P., Farina, F., Pansini, A., Iaconetta, G., Califano, L., 2018. Effectiveness of endoscopic septoplasty in different types of nasal septal deformities: our experience with NOSE evaluation. *Acta Otorhinolaryngologica Italica* 38, 323–330. <https://doi.org/10.14639/0392-100X-1067>
- Dhingra PL, D.S., 2014. Diseases of Nose and Paranasalis Sinuses. In: *Diseases of ear, nose and throat*. Elsevier 6th, 134–151.
- Fageeh, Y.A., Basurrah, M.A., ALazwari, K.D., AlAmri, M.A., AlJuaid, W.M., AlHumaidi, A.A., AlFaqih, M.H., AlAlyani, O.M., 2024a. Prevalence of nasal obstruction and its impact on quality of life in Saudi Arabia. *J Family Med Prim Care* 13, 572–578. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmprc.jfmprc_482_23
- Galarza-Paez L, M.G.D.BW., 2023. *Anatomy, Head and Neck, Nose*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing.
- Hsu, D.W., Suh, J.D., 2018. Anatomy and Physiology of Nasal Obstruction. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 51, 853–865. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2018.05.001>

- Janovic, N., Janovic, A., Milicic, B., Djuric, M., 2022. Relationship between nasal septum morphology and nasal obstruction symptom severity: computed tomography study. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol* 88, 663–668. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjorl.2020.09.004>
- Jin RH, Lee YJ. New description method and classification system for septal deviation. *J Rhinol* 2007; 14(1): 27-31
- John L, 2023. *Anatomy, Head and Neck, Nasal Cavity*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-.
- K Maru, Y., Gupta, Y., 2016. Nasal Endoskopi Versus Other Diagnostic Tools in Sinonasal Diseases. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery* 68, 202–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-014-0762-y>
- Kahraman, E., Cil, Y., Incesulu, A., 2016. The Effect of Nasal Obstruction after Different Nasal Surgeries Using Acoustic Rhinometry and Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation Scale. *World J Plast Surg* 5, 236–243.
- Karamatzanis, I., Kosmidou, P., Ntarladima, V., Catalli, B., Kosmidou, A., Filippou, D., Georgalas, C., 2022. Inferior Turbinate Hipertrofi: A Comparison of Surgical Techniques. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.32579>
- Kawai, K., Dombrowski, N., AuYeung, T., Adil, E.A., 2021. Validation of the Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation Scale in Pediatric Patients. *Laryngoscope* 131. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29420>
- Keleş, B., Öztürk, K., Ünalı, D., Arbağ, H., Özer, B., 2010. Is There any Relationship Between Nasal Septal Deviation and Concha Bullosa. *Electronic Journal of General Medicine* 7, 359–364. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejgm/82886>
- Kim, T.K., Jeong, J.Y., 2020. Deviated nose: Physiological and pathological changes of the nasal cavity. *Arch Plast Surg* 47, 505–515. <https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2020.01781>
- Li, C., Kaura, A., Tan, C., Whitcroft, K.L., Leung, T.S., Andrews, P., 2020. Diagnosing nasal obstruction and its common causes using the nasal acoustic device: A pilot study. *Laryngoscope Investig Otolaryngol* 5, 796–806. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lio2.445>

- Lin, J.K., Wheatley, F.C., Handwerker, J., Harris, N.J., Wong, B.J.F., 2014. Analyzing Nasal Septal Deviations to Develop a New Classification System. *JAMA Facial Plast Surg* 16, 183–187. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamafacial.2013.2480>
- Merma-Linares, C., Martinez, M.D., Gonzalez, M., Alobid, I., Figuerola, E., Mullol, J., 2023. Management of Mechanical Nasal Obstruction Isolated or Associated to Upper Airway Inflammatory Diseases in Real Life: Use of both Subjective and Objective Criteria. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 23, 567–578. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-023-01104-y>
- Miles, B.A., Petrisor, D., Kao, H., Finn, R.A., Throckmorton, G.S., 2007. Anatomical variation of the nasal septum: Analysis of 57 cadaver specimens. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery* 136, 362–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2006.11.047>
- Mohamed, S., Emmanuel, N., Foden, N., 2019. Nasal obstruction: a common presentation in primary care. *British Journal of General Practice* 69, 628–629. <https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X707057>
- Muthubabu, K., Srinivasan, M.K., Thejas, S.R., Sindu, M., Vinayak, R., Gayathri, C.S., 2019. Quality of Life in Patients with Nasal Septal Deviation After Septal Correction. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery* 71, 2219–2224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-019-01689-9>
- Onwuchekwa, R.C., Alazigha, N., 2017. Computed tomography anatomy of the paranasal sinuses and anatomical variants of clinical relevants in Nigerian adults. *Egyptian Journal of Ear, Nose, Throat and Allied Sciences* 18, 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejenta.2016.11.001>
- Paramyta, W.W., Widiarni, D., Wardani, R.S., Bachtiar, A., 2017. Validitas dan reliabilitas kuesioner Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation (NOSE) dalam Bahasa Indonesia. *Oto Rhino Laryngologica Indonesiana* 47, 11. <https://doi.org/10.32637/orli.v47i1.190>
- Poorey, V.K., Gupta, N., 2014. Endoscopic and Computed Tomographic Evaluation of Influence of Nasal Septal Deviation on Lateral Wall of Nose and Its Relation to Sinus Diseases. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery* 66, 330–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-014-0726-2>

- Şahan, M.H., Inal, M., Muluk, N.B., Şimşek, G., 2019. Cribriform Plate, Crista Galli, Olfactory Fossa and Septal Deviation. *Current Medical Imaging Formerly Current Medical Imaging Reviews* 15, 319–325. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1573405614666180314150237>
- Shams, N., Razavi, M., Zabihzadeh, M., Shokuhifar, M., Rakhshan, V., 2022. Associations between the severity of nasal septal deviation and nasopharynx volume in different ages and sexes: a cone-beam computed tomography study. *Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg* 44, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40902-022-00343-9>
- Valsamidis, K., Printza, A., Titelis, K., Constantinidis, J., Triaridis, S., 2019. Olfaction and quality of life in patients with nasal septal deviation treated with septoplasty. *Am J Otolaryngol* 40, 747–754. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2019.07.008>
- Wright, L., Grunzweig, K.A., Totonchi, A., 2020. Nasal Obstruction and Rhinoplasty: A Focused Literature Review. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 44, 1658–1669. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00266-020-01710-5>
- Yanagisawa, E., 2001. Endoscopic View of a High Septal Deviation. *Ear Nose Throat J* 80, 68–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014556130108000203>
- Yepes-Nuñez, J.J., Bartra, J., Muñoz-Cano, R., Sánchez-López, J., Serrano, C., Mullol, J., Alobid, I., Sastre, J., Picado, C., Valero, A., 2013. Assessment of nasal obstruction: Correlation between subjective and objective techniques. *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 41, 397–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aller.2012.05.010>
- Zahra SS, M.A.M.D., 2016. Pengaruh irigasi hidung terhadap derajat sumbatan hidung pada perokok. *Diponegoro medical journal . jurnal kedokteran diponegoro*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/dmj.v5i4.15964>.

LAMPIRAN

Frequencies

Statistics

Usia

N	Valid	20
	Missing	0
Mean		33.4000
Minimum		18.00
Maximum		67.00

Crosstabs

CTDevKanan * ENDDevKanan Crosstabulation

			ENDDevKanan			Total
			tidak ada	ringan	sedang	
CTDevKanan ringan	Count		1	18	1	20
	% within ENDDevKanan		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Count		1	18	1	20
	% within ENDDevKanan		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTDevKanan	ENDDevKanan
Spearman's rho	CTDevKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.	.
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.
		N	20	20

ENDDevKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.	1.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.
	N	20	20

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CTHypKanan * ENDHypKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%

CTHypKanan * ENDHypKanan Crosstabulation

			ENDHypKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
CTHypKanan	tidak ada	Count	3	2	0	5
		% within ENDHypKanan	30.0%	40.0%	0.0%	25.0%
	ada	Count	7	3	5	15
		% within ENDHypKanan	70.0%	60.0%	100.0%	75.0%
Total		Count	10	5	5	20
		% within ENDHypKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTHypKanan	ENDHypKanan
Spearman's rho	CTHypKanan	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.218
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.356
		N	20	20
	ENDHypKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.218	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.356	.
		N	20	20

Frequencies

Statistics

		CTJarakKanan	ENDJarakKanan
N	Valid	20	20
	Missing	0	0
Mean		.1797	.2010
Median		.1250	.1000
Std. Deviation		.21161	.26966
Minimum		.04	.01
Maximum		1.00	1.00

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTJarakKanan	ENDJarakKanan
Spearman's rho	CTJarakKanan	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.825**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	20	20
	ENDJarakKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.825**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	20	20

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CTDevKiri * ENDDevKiri	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%

CTDevKiri * ENDDevKiri Crosstabulation

			ENDDevKiri		Total
			ringan	sedang	
CTDevKiri	ringan	Count	17	1	18
		% within ENDDevKiri	94.4%	50.0%	90.0%

sedang	Count	1	1	2
	% within ENDDevKiri	5.6%	50.0%	10.0%
Total	Count	18	2	20
	% within ENDDevKiri	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTDevKiri	ENDDevKiri
Spearman's rho	CTDevKiri	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.444*
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.050
		N	20	20
	ENDDevKiri	Correlation Coefficient	.444*	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.050	.
		N	20	20

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CTHypKiri * ENDHypKiri	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%

CTHypKiri * ENDHypKiri Crosstabulation

			ENDHypKiri			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
CTHypKiri	tidak ada	Count	3	0	0	3
		% within ENDHypKiri	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%
	ada	Count	6	4	7	17
		% within ENDHypKiri	66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	85.0%
Total		Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ENDHypKiri	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTHypKiri	ENDHypKiri
Spearman's rho	CTHypKiri	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.432
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.057
		N	20	20
	ENDHypKiri	Correlation Coefficient	.432	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.057	.
		N	20	20

Frequencies

Statistics

		CTJarakKiri	ENDJarakKiri
N	Valid	20	20
	Missing	0	0
Mean		.1680	.1585
Median		.1050	.1000
Std. Deviation		.24302	.23209
Minimum		.02	.01
Maximum		1.16	1.10

Nonparametric Correlations

a. Based on availability of workspace memory

Correlations

			ENDJarakKiri	CTJarakKiri
Spearman's rho	ENDJarakKiri	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.824**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	20	20
	CTJarakKiri	Correlation Coefficient	.824**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	20	20

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Crosstabs

CTDevKanan * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
CTDevKanan	ringan	Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Total	Count		9	4	7	20
	% within ScaleNoseKanan		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

CTDevKiri * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
CTDevKiri	ringan	Count	8	3	7	18
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	88.9%	75.0%	100.0%	90.0%
	sedang	Count	1	1	0	2
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	11.1%	25.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Total	Count		9	4	7	20
	% within ScaleNoseKanan		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

ENDDevKanan * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
ENDDevKanan	tidak ada	Count	0	0	1	1
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	5.0%

ringan	Count	9	3	6	18
	% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	75.0%	85.7%	90.0%
sedang	Count	0	1	0	1
	% within ScaleNoseKanan	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	5.0%
Total	Count	9	4	7	20
	% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

ENDDevKiri * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
ENDDevKiri	ringan	Count	7	4	7	18
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	77.8%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%
	sedang	Count	2	0	0	2
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	22.2%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%
Total		Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			ScaleNoseKana n	CTDevKanan
Spearman's rho	ScaleNoseKanan	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.
		N	20	20

CTDevKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.	.
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.
	N	20	20
CTDevKiri	Correlation Coefficient	-.140	.
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.555	.
	N	20	20
ENDDevKanan	Correlation Coefficient	-.163	.
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.493	.
	N	20	20
ENDDevKiri	Correlation Coefficient	-.343	.
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.139	.
	N	20	20

Correlations

			CTDevKiri	ENDDevKanan	ENDDevKiri
Spearman's rho	ScaleNoseKanan	Correlation Coefficient	-.140	-.163	-.343
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.555	.493	.139
		N	20	20	20
CTDevKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.	.	.	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.	.	
	N	20	20	20	
CTDevKiri	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.527*	.444*	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.017	.050	
	N	20	20	20	

ENDDevKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.527*	1.000	.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.017	.	1.000
	N	20	20	20
ENDDevKiri	Correlation Coefficient	.444*	.000	1.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.050	1.000	.
	N	20	20	20

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Crosstabs

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CTHypKanan * ScaleNoseKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
CTHypKiri * ScaleNoseKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
ENDHypKanan * ScaleNoseKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
ENDHypKiri * ScaleNoseKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%

CTHypKanan * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
CTHypKanan	tidak ada	Count	2	2	1	5
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	22.2%	50.0%	14.3%	25.0%
	ada	Count	7	2	6	15
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	77.8%	50.0%	85.7%	75.0%
Total		Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

CTHypKiri * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
CTHypKiri	tidak ada	Count	1	1	1	3
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	11.1%	25.0%	14.3%	15.0%
	ada	Count	8	3	6	17
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	88.9%	75.0%	85.7%	85.0%
Total		Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

ENDHypKanan * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
ENDHypKanan	ringan	Count	9	1	0	10
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%
	sedang	Count	0	3	2	5
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	0.0%	75.0%	28.6%	25.0%
	berat	Count	0	0	5	5
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	25.0%
Total		Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

ENDHypKiri * ScaleNoseKanan Crosstabulation

			ScaleNoseKanan			Total
			ringan	sedang	berat	
ENDHypKiri	ringan	Count	6	2	1	9
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	66.7%	50.0%	14.3%	45.0%
	sedang	Count	1	2	1	4
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	11.1%	50.0%	14.3%	20.0%
	berat	Count	2	0	5	7
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	22.2%	0.0%	71.4%	35.0%
Total		Count	9	4	7	20
		% within ScaleNoseKanan	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

NONPAR CORR

/VARIABLES=ScaleNoseKanan CTHypKanan ENDHypKanan CTHypKiri ENDHypKiri

/PRINT=SPEARMAN TWOTAIL NOSIG

/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			ScaleNoseKana	CTHypKanan
			n	
Spearman's rho	ScaleNoseKanan	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.054
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.821
		N	20	20
	CTHypKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.054	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.821	.
		N	20	20
	ENDHypKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.928**	.218
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.356
		N	20	20
	CTHypKiri	Correlation Coefficient	-.052	.081
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.826	.735
		N	20	20
	ENDHypKiri	Correlation Coefficient	.485 ⁺	.194
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.030	.412
		N	20	20

Correlations

			ENDHypKana n	CTHypKiri	ENDHypKiri
Spearman's rho	ScaleNoseKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.928**	-.052	.485*
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.826	.030
		N	20	20	20
CTHypKanan		Correlation Coefficient	.218	.081	.194
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.356	.735	.412
		N	20	20	20
ENDHypKanan		Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.198	.562**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.403	.010
		N	20	20	20
CTHypKiri		Correlation Coefficient	.198	1.000	.432
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.403	.	.057
		N	20	20	20
ENDHypKiri		Correlation Coefficient	.562**	.432	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.010	.057	.
		N	20	20	20

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

MEANS TABLES=CTJarakKanan ENDJarakKanan BY ScaleNoseKanan

/CELLS=MEAN COUNT STDDEV MEDIAN MIN MAX.

Means

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Included		Excluded		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CTJarakKanan * ScaleNoseKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
ENDJarakKanan * ScaleNoseKanan	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%

Report

ScaleNoseKanan		CTJarakKanan	ENDJarakKanan
ringan	Mean	.1811	.2667
	N	9	9
	Std. Deviation	.09968	.28723
	Median	.1400	.2000
	Minimum	.09	.10
	Maximum	.36	1.00
sedang	Mean	.3185	.2725
	N	4	4
	Std. Deviation	.45516	.41916
	Median	.1020	.0750
	Minimum	.07	.04
	Maximum	1.00	.90
berat	Mean	.0986	.0757

	N	7	7
	Std. Deviation	.06669	.06503
	Median	.0700	.0500
	Minimum	.04	.01
	Maximum	.21	.20
Total	Mean	.1797	.2010
	N	20	20
	Std. Deviation	.21161	.26966
	Median	.1250	.1000
	Minimum	.04	.01
	Maximum	1.00	1.00

MEANS TABLES=CTJarakKiri ENDJarakKiri BY ScaleNoseKiri
 /CELLS=MEAN COUNT STDDEV MEDIAN MIN MAX.

Means

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Included		Excluded		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
CTJarakKiri * ScaleNoseKiri	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
ENDJarakKiri * ScaleNoseKiri	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%

Report

ScaleNoseKiri		CTJarakKiri	ENDJarakKiri
ringan	Mean	.1714	.1857
	N	7	7
	Std. Deviation	.07471	.03780
	Median	.1700	.2000
	Minimum	.05	.10
	Maximum	.26	.20
sedang	Mean	.2357	.2143
	N	7	7
	Std. Deviation	.40861	.39195
	Median	.1000	.0900
	Minimum	.05	.01
	Maximum	1.16	1.10
berat	Mean	.0850	.0617
	N	6	6
	Std. Deviation	.05167	.04446
	Median	.0750	.0750
	Minimum	.02	.01
	Maximum	.16	.10
Total	Mean	.1680	.1585
	N	20	20
	Std. Deviation	.24302	.23209
	Median	.1050	.1000
	Minimum	.02	.01
	Maximum	1.16	1.10

NONPAR CORR

/VARIABLES=CTJarakKanan ENDJarakKanan ScaleNoseKanan

/PRINT=SPEARMAN TWOTAIL NOSIG

/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTJarakKanan	ENDJarakKanan
				n
Spearman's rho	CTJarakKanan	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.825**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	20	20
	ENDJarakKanan	Correlation Coefficient	.825**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	20	20
	ScaleNoseKanan	Correlation Coefficient	-.429	-.563**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.059	.010
		N	20	20

Correlations

			ScaleNoseKanan
Spearman's rho	CTJarakKanan	Correlation Coefficient	-.429
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.059
		N	20
	ENDJarakKanan	Correlation Coefficient	-.563**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.010
		N	20
	ScaleNoseKanan	Correlation Coefficient	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.
		N	20

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

NONPAR CORR

/VARIABLES=CTJarakKiri ENDJarakKiri ScaleNoseKiri

/PRINT=SPEARMAN TWOTAIL NOSIG

/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

Nonparametric Correlations

Correlations

			CTJarakKiri	ENDJarakKiri	ScaleNoseKiri
Spearman's rho	CTJarakKiri	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.824**	-.446*
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	.048
		N	20	20	20

ENDJarakKiri	Correlation Coefficient	.824**	1.000	-.654**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.	.002
	N	20	20	20
ScaleNoseKiri	Correlation Coefficient	-.446*	-.654**	1.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.048	.002	.
	N	20	20	20

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI
 UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN
 KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
 RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
 RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR
 Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu
 JL.PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245.
 Contact Person: dr. Aguselim Bukhari.,MMed,PhD, SpGK TELP. 081241850858, 0411 5780103, Fax : 0411-581431



REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK

Nomor : 810/UN4.6.4.5.31/ PP36/ 2024

Tanggal: 3 Oktober 2024

Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan Dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :

No Protokol	UH24090718	No Sponsor	
Peneliti Utama	dr. Dewi Damayanti	Sponsor	
Judul Peneliti	KORELASI PEMERIKSAAN NASAL COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY DENGAN NASAL ENDOSKOPIK DAN NOSE SCLAE DALAM MENILAI SUMBATAN HIDUNG		
No Versi Protokol	2	Tanggal Versi	1 Oktober 2024
No Versi PSP		Tanggal Versi	
Tempat Penelitian	RSUP Dr.Wahidin Sudirohusodo dan RS Mitra Husada Makassar		
Jenis Review	<input type="checkbox"/> Exempted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Fullboard Tanggal	Masa Berlaku 3 Oktober 2024 sampai 3 Oktober 2025	Frekuensi review lanjutan
Ketua KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	Prof. dr. Muh Nasrum Massi, PhD, SpMK, Subsp. Bakt(K)	Tanda tangan	
Sekretaris KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	dr. Firdaus Hamid, PhD, SpMK(K)	Tanda tangan	

Kewajiban Peneliti Utama:

- Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan
- Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 Jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Lapor SUSAR dalam 72 Jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan
- Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah
- Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir
- Melaporkan penyimpangan dari prokol yang disetujui (protocol deviation / violation)
- Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan

Curriculum Vitae



Data Umum

Nama : dr. Dewi Damayanti
Tempat/ Tanggal Lahir : Jayapura/ 09 Desember 1989
Jenis Kelamin : Perempuan
Alamat : Jl. Sambas no. 20, Bukit Baruga-Antang, Makassar
Telepon : 082218408880
Agama : Islam
Status : Menikah
Pekerjaan : Dokter

Jenjang Pendidikan

Periode			Sekolah/ Institusi/ Universitas
1993	-	1995	TK CENDRAWASIH I JAYAPURA
1995	-	2001	SD KARTIKA VIII-I JAYAPURA
2001	-	2004	SMP NEGERI 5 ENTROP
2004	-	2007	SMA DWIWARNA BOARDING SCHOOL
2007	-	2011	UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
2021	-	2025	PPDS-1 RADIOLOGI UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN

Pengalaman Kerja

Periode			PENEMPATAN
2016	-	2017	INTERNSHIP RSU SALEWANGAN MAROS & PKM TANRALILI, KAB. MAROS
2017	-	2018	UPT TRANSFUSI DARAH DINKES PROVINSI. SULAWESI SELATAN
2018	-	2020	KLINIK DENTAMEDICA CARE CENTER

PUBLIKASI

Periode	PUBLIKASI
2024	Aorta Right Atrium Tunnel in a 29 Year Old Woman
2025	KESESUAIAN PEMERIKSAAN NASAL <i>COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY</i> DENGAN <i>ENDOSKOPI NASAL</i> DAN <i>NOSE SCALE</i> DALAM MENILAI SUMBATAN HIDUNG

SIMPOSIUM

Periode	Simposium
2016	Symposium Nerve Care Practice – Exploring New Frontiers and Breaking the Barrier of Somatic Pain-Improve your Knowledge to help
2017	Symposium Family Physician Connect (FPC-3) Perbaikan Daya Ingat. & Fungsi Kognitif : Fokus Moryne PS
2017	Pelatihan Acute Cardiac Life Support
2017	Makassar Cardiovascular Update (MCVU) XVI
2019	Symposium Dermatology and Venerology Cases in General Practice
2022	Visiting Professor Lecture, Radiology Imaging Pediatric Respiratory Disease, Prof Hamzaini from UKM Malaysia
2023	PIT RADIOLOGI TORAKS INDONESIA Ke-11 “Game Changer In Thoracic Imaging”
2024	Final Announcement PIT PDSRKI ke-17 “Radiology: A New Hope”

Demikian riwayat hidup ini saya buat dengan sebenar-benarnya

Makassar, 25 Mei 2025



dr. Dewi Damayanti