

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ai, H., & Lu, X. (2013). A corpus-based comparison of syntactic complexity in NNS and NS university students' writing. *Automatic treatment and analysis of learner corpus data*, 59, 249-264. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1075/scl.59.15ai>
- Balota, D. A., Yap, M. J., Cortese, M. J., Hutchison, K. A., Kessler, B., Loftis, B., . . . Treiman, R. (2007). The English lexicon project. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39, 445-459. doi:10.3758/BF03193014
- Berman, R., & Verhoeven, L. (2002). Cross-linguistic perspectives on the development of text-production abilities: Speech and writing. *Written Language and Literacy*, 5(1), 1-43. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1075/wll.5.1>
- Carnie, A., Sato, Y., & Siddiqi, D. (Eds.). (2014). *The Routledge Handbook of Syntax*. New York: Routledge.
- Casanave, C. P. (1994). Language development in students' journals. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 3(3), 179-201. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743\(94\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743(94)90016-7)
- Chomsky, N. (2002). *Syntactic Structures*. New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Clarke, N., Foltz, P., & Garrard, P. (2020). How to do things with (thousands of) words: Computational approaches to discourse analysis in Alzheimer's disease. *Cortex*, 129, 446-463. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2020.05.001>
- Connor, U. (1990). Linguistic/rhetorical measures for international student persuasive writing. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 2(4), 67-87.
- Cooper, T. C. (1976). Measuring Written Syntactic Patterns of Second Language Learners of German. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 69(5), 176-183. doi:<http://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.1976.10884868>
- Cooperman, S. R., & Brandão, R. A. (2024). AI tools vs AI text: Detecting AI-generated writing in foot and ankle surgery. *Foot & Ankle Surgery: Techniques, Reports & Cases*, 4(1), 100367. doi:10.1016/j.fastrc.2024.100367
- Covington, M. A., & McFall, J. D. (2010). Cutting the Gordian knot: The moving-average type-token ratio (MATTR). *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics*, 17(2), 94-100. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09296171003643098>
- Coxhead, A. (2000). A new academic words list. *TESOL Quarterly*, 34, 213-238. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587951>
- Crossley, S. A. (2020). Linguistic features in writing quality and development: An overview. *Journal of Writing research*, 11(3), 415-443. doi:<https://doi.org/10.17239/jowr-2020.11.03.01>
- S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2012). Predicting second language writing proficiency: The roles of cohesion and linguistic sophistication. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 35(2), 115-135. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-317.2010.01449.x>



- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2013). Applications of text analysis tools for spoken response grading. *Language Learning & Technology*, 17, 171-192.
- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2014). Does writing development equal writing quality? A computational investigation of syntactic complexity in L2 learners. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 26(4), 66-79. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2014.09.006>
- Crossley, S. A., & Skalicky, S. (in press). Examining lexical development in second language learners: An approximate replication of Salsbury Crossley, and McNamara (2011). *Language Teaching*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0261444817000362>
- Crossley, S. A., Kyle, K., & Dascalu, M. (2019). The Tool for the Automatic Analysis of Cohesion 2.0: Integrating semantic similarity and text overlap. *Behavioral Research Methods*, 51(1), 14-27. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-018-1142-4>
- Crossley, S. A., Kyle, K., & McNamara, D. S. (2016). The tool for the automatic analysis of text cohesion (TAACO): Automatic assessment of local, global, and text cohesion. *Behavioral Research Methods*, 48(4), 1227-1237. doi:[doi:10.3758/s13428-015-0651-7](https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-015-0651-7)
- Crossley, S. A., Kyle, K., Varner, L., Gou, L., & McNamara, D. S. (2014). Linguistic microfeatures to predict L2 writing proficiency: A case study in automated writing evaluation. *Journal of Writing Assessment*, 7(1).
- Crossley, S. A., Salsbury, T., & McNamara, D. (2010). the development of polysemy and frequency use in English second language speakers. *Language Learning*, 60, 573-605. doi:[10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00568.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9922.2010.00568.x)
- Crossley, S. A., Salsbury, T., McNamara, D., & Jarvis, S. (2011). Predicting lexical proficiency in language learners using computational indices. *Language Testing*, 28, 561-580. doi:[10.1177/0265532210378031](https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532210378031)
- Crossley, S. A., Subtirelu, N., & Salsbury, T. (2013). Frequency effects or context effect in second language word learning: What predicts early lexical production? *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 35, 727-755. doi:[10.1017/S0272263113000375](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263113000375)
- Crossley, S. A., Weston, J., McLain Sullivan, S. T., & McNamara, D. S. (2011). The development of writing proficiency as a function of grade level: A linguistic analysis. *Written Communication*, 28(3), 282-311. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088311410188>
- Cumming, A., Kantor, R., Baba, K., Erdosy, U., Eouanzoui, K., & James, M. (2005). Differences in written discourse in independent and integrated prototype tasks for next generation TOEFL. *Assessing Writing*, 10(1), 5-43. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2005.02.001>
- R. D. (2013). the lexical breadth of undergraduate novice level writing competency. *The Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 16(1), 152-170.



- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., . . . Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71. doi:Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy
- Engber, C. A. (1995). the relationship of lexical proficiency to the quality of ESL compositions. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 4(2), 139-155. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743\(95\)90004-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743(95)90004-7)
- Fellbaum, C. (1998). *WordNet: an electronic lexical database*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ferris, D. R. (1994). . Lexical and syntactic features of ESL writing by students at different levels of L2 proficiency. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28, 414-420. doi:10.2307/3587446
- Friginal, E., & Weigle, S. (2014). Exploring multiple profiles of L2 writing using multidimensional analysis. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 26, 80-95. doi:<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2014.09.007>
- Grant, L., & Ginther, A. (2000). Using computer-tagged linguistic features to describe L2 writing differences. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 9, 123-145. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/s1060-3743\(00\)00019-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1060-3743(00)00019-9)
- Gries, S. T. (2008). Dispersions and adjunct frequencies in corpora. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 13, 403-437. doi:10.1075/ijcl.13.4.02gri
- Guo, L., Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2013). Predicting human judgments of essay quality in both integrated and independent second language writing samples: A comparison study. *Assessing Writing*, 18(3), 218-238. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2013.05.002>
- Hagos, D. H., Battle, R., & Rawat, D. B. (2024). Recent Advances in Generative AI and Large Language Models: Current Status, Challenges, and Perspectives. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Halliday, M. K., & Hasan, R. (1976). *Cohesion in English*. London, England: Longman.
- Homburg, T. J. (1984). Holistic Evaluation of ESL Compositions: Can It Be Validated Objectively? *TESOL Quarterly*, 18(1), 87-107. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3586337>
- Ishikawa, S. (1995). Objective measurement of low-proficiency EFL narrative writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 4(1), 51-69. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743\(95\)90023-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/1060-3743(95)90023-3)
- . (2002). Short texts, best fitting curves and new measures of lexical diversity. *Language Testing*, 19(1), 57-84. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1191/0265532202lt220oa>



- Jin, W. (2001). *A quantitative study of cohesion in Chinese graduate students' writing: variations across genres and proficiency levels*. Retrieved from ERIC database (ED452726)
- Johnson, W. (1944). Studies in language behavior 1: A program of research. *Psychological Monographs*, 56, 1-15.
- Kameen, P. T. (1979). Syntactic skill and ESL writing quality. In C. Yorio, K. Perkins, & J. Schachter (Eds.), *On TESOL '79: the learner in focus*, 343-364.
- Knoch, U., Rouhshad, A., & Storch, N. (2014). Does the writing of undergraduate ESL students develop after one year of study in an English-medium university? *Assessing Writing*, 21, 1-17. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2014.01.001>
- Kyle, K. (2016). *Measuring syntactic development in L2 writing: Fine grained indices of syntactic complexity and usage-based indices of syntactic sophistication (Doctoral Dissertation)*. Retrieved from https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/alesl_diss/35/
- Kyle, K. (2018). Quick Start Guide and User Manual for TAACO 2.0.4. Retrieved from https://drive.google.com/file/d/1cB9v72_mMStf6Ms4JijZ98JUPBLU945I/view
- Kyle, K., & Crossley, S. A. (2015). Automatically Assessing Lexical Sophistication: Indices, Tools, Findings, and Application. *TESOL Quarterly*, 49(4), 757-786. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.194>
- Kyle, K., & Crossley, S. A. (2016). The relationship between lexical sophistication and independent and source-based writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 34(4), 12-24. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2016.10.003>
- Kyle, K., & Crossley, S. A. (2018). Measuring Syntactic Complexity in L2 Writing Using Fine-Grained Clausal and Phrasal Indices. *The Modern Language Journal*, 102(2), 333-349. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12468>
- Kyle, K., Crossley, S., & Berger, C. (2018). The tool for the automatic analysis of lexical sophistication (TAALES): Version 2.0. *Behavior Research Methods*, 50(3), 1030-1046. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0924-4>
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (1978). An ESL index of development. *TESOL Quarterly*, 12, 439-448. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2307/3586142>
- Laufer, B., & Nation, P. (1995). Vocabulary size and use: Lexical richness in L2 written production. *Applied Linguistics*, 16, 307-322. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/16.3.307>
- Liang, L., Silva, A. M., Jeon, P., Ford, S. D., MacKinley, M., Theberge, J., & alaniyappan, L. (2022). Widespread cortical thinning, excessive utamate and impaired linguistic functioning in schizophrenia: A cluster analytic approach. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 16. doi:DOI=10.3389/fnhum.2022.954898



- Lu, X. (2010). Automatic Analysis of Syntactic Complexity in Second Language Writing. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 15(4), 474-496. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.15.4.02lu>
- Lu, X. (2011). A Corpus-Based Evaluation of Syntactic Complexity Measures as Indices of College-Level ESL Writers' Language Development. *TESOL Quarterly*, 45(1), 36-62. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5054/tq.2011.240859>
- Maas, H. D. (1972). Über den Zusammenhang zwischen Wortschatzumfang und Länge eines Textes [On the relationship between vocabulary and the length of a text]. *Zeitschrift für Literaturwissenschaft und Linguistik*, 2(8), 73.
- Malvern, D. D., & Richards, B. J. (1997). A new measure of lexical diversity. *Evolving models of language*, 58-71.
- Malvern, D. D., Richards, B. J., Chipere, N., & Duran, P. (2004). *Lexical diversity and language development: Quantification and assessment*. Hampshire, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McCarthy, P. M. (2005). *An Assessment of the range and usefulness of lexical diversity measures and the potential of the measure of textual lexical diversity (MTLD) (Doctoral dissertation)*. Memphis, TN: University of Memphis. Retrieved from <https://www.aaai.org/ocs/index.php/FLAIRS/2010/paper/view/1283>.
- McCarthy, P. M., & Jarvis, S. (2007). Vocd: A theoretical and empirical evaluation. *Language Testing*, 24(4), 459-488. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532207080767>
- McDonald, S. A., & Shillcock, R. C. (2001). Rethinking the word frequency effect: The neglected role of distributional information in lexical processing. *Language and Speech*, 44, 295-323. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/00238309010440030101>
- McNamara, D. S., Crossley, S. A., & McCarthy, P. M. (2010). The linguistic features of quality writing. *Written Communication*, 27(1), 57-86. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088309351547>
- McNamara, D. S., Crossley, S. A., & Roscoe, R. (2013). Natural Language Processing in an Intelligent Writing Strategy Tutoring System. *Behavior Research Methods*, 45(2), 499-515. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-012-0258-1>
- Mizumoto, A., & Eguchi, M. (2023). Exploring the potential of using an AI language model for automated essay scoring. *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, 2(2). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmal.2023.100050>
- Moskowitz, J. H. (1975). Measuring and Enhancing Syntactic Fluency in French. *The French Review*, 48(6), 1023-1031. Retrieved from <https://www.jstor.org/stable/389177>



- Myhill, D. A. (2008). Towards a Linguistic Model of Sentence Development in Writing. *Language and Education*, 22(5), 271-288. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09500780802152655>
- O'Connor, A. (2021). Reading People. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 5(11), 779. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(21\)00314-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(21)00314-X)
- Ortega, L. (2003). Syntactic Complexity Measures and their Relationship to L2 Proficiency: A Research Synthesis of College-level L2 Writing. *Applied Linguistics*, 24(4), 492–518. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/24.4.492>
- Poland, G. A., & Kennedy, R. B. (2023). The use of AI-generated text and scientific publishing: Issues and a way forward. *Vaccine*, 41, 4065-4066. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.06.010>
- Reed, J. (2000). *Assessing Vocabulary*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Reppen, R. (1994). *Variation in elementary student language: A multi-dimensional perspective (Unpublished doctoral dissertation)*. Northern Arizona University.
- Saito, K., Webb, S., Trofimovich, P., & Isaacs, T. (2016). Lexical profiles of comprehensible second language speech. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 38, 677-701. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0272263115000297>
- Salsbury, T., Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2011). Psycholinguistic word information in second language oral discourse. *Second Language Research*, 27(3), 343-360. doi:[10.1177/0267658310395851](https://doi.org/10.1177/0267658310395851)
- Sardinha, T. B. (2024). AI-generated vs human-authored texts: A multidimensional comparison. *Applied Corpus Linguistics*, 4(1). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acorp.2023.100083>
- Sinclair, B. J. (2023). Letting ChatGPT do your science is fraudulent (and a bad idea), but AI-generated text can enhance inclusiveness in publishing. *Current Research in Insect Science*, 3. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cris.2023.100057>
- Sinclair, J. M. (1991). *Corpus, concordance, collocation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stockwell, G., & Harrington, M. (2003). The incidental development of L2 proficiency in NS-NNS email interactions. *CALICO journal*, 20, 337-359. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1558/cj.v20i2.337-359>
- Sun, Q., Chen, F., & Yin, S. (2023). The role and feature of peer assessment feedback in college English writing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1070618>
- Wang, L. A., & Johnson, M. D. (2023). Exploring new insights into the role of cohesive devices in written academic genres. *Assessing Writing*, 57. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2023.100749>
- Wang, N., Crawford, W., & Wetzels, D. Z. (2013). What linguistic features are indicative of writing quality? A case of argumentative essays in a college



composition program. *TESOL Quarterly*, 47, 420-430.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.91>

Trinidad, J. E. (2020). Understanding student-centred learning in higher education: students' and teachers' perceptions, challenges, and cognitive gaps. *Further and Higher Education*, 44(8), 1013-1023.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2019.1636214>

Vann, R. J. (1979). Oral and written syntactic relationships in second language learning. *On TESOL*, 79, 322-329.

Whitford, E. (2022). *A Computer Can Now Write Your College Essay, Maybe Better Than You Can*. Retrieved from Forbes:
<https://www.forbes.com/sites/emmawhitford/2022/12/09/a-computer-can-now-write-your-college-essay---maybe-better-than-you-can/?sh=3f21aba2dd39>

Winograd, T. (1983). *Language as a Cognitive Process, Volume 1: Syntax*. Boston : Addison-Wesley .

Wolfe-Quintero, K., Inagaki, S., & Kim, H.-Y. (1998). *Second Language Development in Writing: Measures of Fluency, Accuracy & Complexity*. Hawaii: University of Hawaii.

Woolston, C., & Osório, J. (2019). When English is not your mother tongue. *Nature*, 570, 265-267. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01797-0>

Zenker, F., & Kyle, K. (2021). Investigating minimum text lengths for lexical diversity indices. *Assessing Writing*, 47.
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2020.100505>

