

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. WHO. *World Report on Vision*. Vol 214.; 2019.
2. Mohammadpour M, Shaabani A, Sahraian A, et al. Updates on managements of pediatric cataract. *J Curr Ophthalmol*. 2019;31(2):118-126. doi:10.1016/j.joco.2018.11.005
3. Khokhar S, Pillay G, Dhull C, Agarwal E, Mahabir M, Aggarwal P. Pediatric cataract. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2017;65(12):1340-1349. doi:10.4103/ijo.IJO\_1023\_17
4. Park Y, Yum HR, Shin SY, Park SH. Ocular biometric changes following unilateral cataract surgery in children. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(8 August). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0272369
5. Hansen MM, Bach-Holm D, Kessel L. Biometry and corneal aberrations after cataract surgery in childhood. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2022;50(6):590-597. doi:10.1111/ceo.14092
6. Bansal P, Ram J, Sukhija J, Singh R, Gupta A. Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer and Macular Thickness Measurements in Children after Cataract Surgery Compared with Age-Matched Controls. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2016;166:126-132. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2016.03.041
7. Whitman MC, Vanderveen DK. Complications of pediatric cataract surgery. *Semin Ophthalmol*. 2014;29(5-6):414-420. doi:10.3109/08820538.2014.959192
8. Zhang S, Wang J, Li Y, Liu Y, He L, Xia X. The role of primary intraocular lens implantation in the risk of secondary glaucoma following congenital cataract surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0214684
9. Chang P, Kou J, Zhang B, Wang J, Tian T, Zhao YE. Postoperative Changes in Central Corneal Thickness and Intraocular Pressure in a Prospective Cohort of Congenital Cataract Patients. *Cornea*. 2020;39(11). doi:10.1097/ICO.0000000000002480
10. Ben-Zion I, Prat D. The impact of late-treated pediatric cataract on intraocular pressure. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2021;41(4). doi:10.1007/s10792-021-01727-y
11. Murphy M, Murtagh P, McAnena L, Eldouri A, Kirwan C, O'Keefe M. Secondary glaucoma and visual axis opacification in aphakic and pseudophakic patients following congenital cataract surgery: A 28-year longitudinal case series. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2020;30(6):1370-1380. doi:10.1177/1120672119862878

12. Wilson ME, Trivedi RH. *Pediatric Cataract Surgery : Techniques, Complications and Management*. second edition. (Wilson ME, Trivedi RH, eds.). LIPPINCOTT WILLIAMS & WILKINS; 2014.
13. American Academy of Ophthalmology. *2022-2023 BCSC (Basic and Clinical Science Course), Section 11: Lens and Cataract*. (Tsai LM, ed.). The American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2023. <https://store.aaopt.org/basic-and-clinical-science-course-section-11-lens-and-cataract.html>
14. Kumar V, Chaudhary S, Kumar Singh T. Pediatric Cataract: An Overview. *International Journal for Research and Development in Technology*. 2020;14(6):12-18. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348168592>
15. Agrawal S. *Pediatric Cataract: For Every Ophthalmologist*. Springer Singapore; 2021. doi:10.1007/978-981-16-1736-2
16. Wang J, Wu X, Wang Q, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for suspected and definitive glaucoma after bilateral congenital cataract surgery: a 5-year follow-up. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. Published online 2023. doi:10.1136/bjo-2022-322589
17. Abdelmassih Y, Beaujeux P, Dureau P, Edelson C, Caputo G. Incidence and Risk Factors of Glaucoma Following Pediatric Cataract Surgery With Primary Implantation. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2021;224:1-6. doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2020.09.025
18. Alaa MI, Hamied FM, Al-Hasani KF. The prevalence of secondary glaucoma after congenital cataract surgery and associated risk factors in a Tertiary Center in Iraq. *Ophthalmology Journal*. 2022;7(0):219-226. doi:10.5603/oj.2022.0032
19. Zhang Z, Fu Y, Wang J, et al. Glaucoma and risk factors three years after congenital cataract surgery. *BMC Ophthalmol*. 2022;22(1). doi:10.1186/s12886-022-02343-9
20. Daniel MC, Dubis AM, Theodorou M, et al. Childhood Lensectomy Is Associated with Static and Dynamic Reduction in Schlemm Canal Size: A Biomechanical Hypothesis of Glaucoma after Lensectomy. *Ophthalmology*. 2019;126(2):233-241. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.08.031
21. Eibenberger K, Kiss B, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Stifter E. Clinical characteristics and treatment of secondary glaucoma, glaucoma suspects and ocular hypertension after congenital cataract surgery. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2021;31(6):3309-3317. doi:10.1177/1120672121991356
22. Solebo AL, Rahi JS. Glaucoma following cataract surgery in the first 2 years of life: Frequency, risk factors and outcomes from IoLunder2. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2020;104(7):967-973. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2019-314804

23. Ezegwui I, Ravindran M, Pawar N, Allapitchai F, Rengappa R, Raman RR. Glaucoma following childhood cataract surgery: the South India experience. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2018;38(6):2321-2325. doi:10.1007/s10792-017-0728-7
24. Lambert SR. The timing of surgery for congenital cataracts Minimizing the risk of glaucoma following cataract surgery while optimizing the visual outcome. *Journal of AAPOS*. 2016;20(3):191-192. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2016.04.003
25. Nyström A, Magnusson G, Zetterberg M. Secondary glaucoma and visual outcome after paediatric cataract surgery with primary bag-in-the-lens intraocular lens. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2020;98(3):296-304. doi:10.1111/aos.14244
26. Baden C, Shija F, Lewallen S, Courtright P, Hall A. Glaucoma after pediatric cataract surgery in a population with limited access to care. *Journal of AAPOS*. 2013;17(2):158-162. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2012.11.009
27. Mataftsi A, Haidich AB, Kokkali S, et al. Postoperative glaucoma following infantile cataract surgery: An individual patient data meta-analysis. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2014;132(9):1059-1067. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2014.1042
28. Gawdat GI, Youssef MM, Bahgat NM, Elfayoumi DM, Eddin MAS. Incidence and risk factors of early-onset glaucoma following pediatric cataract surgery in egyptian children: One-year study. *J Curr Glaucoma Pract*. 2017;11(3):80-85. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10028-1229
29. Chen D, Gong XH, Xie H, Zhu XN, Li J, Zhao YE. The long-term anterior segment configuration after pediatric cataract surgery and the association with secondary glaucoma. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7. doi:10.1038/srep43015
30. Şahin A, Çaça I, Cingü AK, et al. Secondary glaucoma after pediatric cataract surgery. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2013;6(2):216-220. doi:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2013.02.21
31. Freedman SF, Kraker RT, Repka MX, et al. Incidence and Management of Glaucoma or Glaucoma Suspect in the First Year after Pediatric Lensectomy. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2020;138(1):71-75. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2019.4571
32. Beheshtnejad A, Hashemian H, Kakaie S, Mahdavi A, Naderan M. Outcomes of the infantile cataract surgery: case series with a 5-year follow-up. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2022;42(2):541-547. doi:10.1007/s10792-021-02025-3
33. Wilson ME, Trivedi RH, Weakley DR, Cotsonis GA, Lambert SR. Globe Axial Length Growth at Age 5 Years in the Infant Aphakia Treatment Study. *Ophthalmology*. 2017;124(5):730-733. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2017.01.010
34. European Glaucoma Society. European glaucoma society terminology and guidelines for glaucoma, 4th edition - Chapter 2: Classification and

- terminology Supported by the EGS Foundation: Part 1: Foreword; Introduction; Glossary; Chapter 2 classification and terminology. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2017;101(5):73-127. doi:10.1136/bjophthalmol-2016-EGSguideline.002
35. Simon JW, O'Malley MR, Gandham SB, Ghaiy R, Zobal-Ratner J, Simmons ST. Central corneal thickness and glaucoma in aphakic and pseudophakic children. *Journal of AAPOS*. 2005;9(4):326-329. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2005.02.014
  36. Mukhopadhyay U, Biswas S, Kumar J. Changes in central corneal thickness (CCT) in children undergoing cataract surgery: A longitudinal prospective study at a tertiary eye care centre in Kolkata, West Bengal, India. *Indian Journal of Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*. 2017;3(4):491. doi:10.18231/2395-1451.2017.0119
  37. Sathyan P, Anitha S. Optical coherence tomography in glaucoma. *J Curr Glaucoma Pract*. 2012;6(1):1-5. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10008-1099
  38. Vazquez LS, Huang LY. RNFL ANALYSIS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF GLAUCOMA. *Glaucoma Today* . 2016;1(1):47-48.
  39. El-Dairi MA, Holgado S, Asrani SG, Enyedi LB, Freedman SF. Correlation between optical coherence tomography and glaucomatous optic nerve head damage in children. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2009;93(10):1325-1330. doi:10.1136/bjo.2008.142562
  40. Perucho-González L, Martínez de la Casa JM, Sáenz-Francés F, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in children with primary congenital glaucoma measured by spectral domain optical coherence tomography. *Journal of AAPOS*. 2019;23(2):94.e1-94.e4. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2017.03.023
  41. Nukada M, Hangai M, Mori S, et al. Detection of localized retinal nerve fiber layer defects in glaucoma using enhanced spectral-domain optical coherence tomography. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(6):1038-1048. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.10.025
  42. Chang L, El-Dairi MA, Frempong TA, et al. Optical coherence tomography in the evaluation of neurofibromatosis type-1 subjects with optic pathway gliomas. *Journal of AAPOS*. 2010;14(6):511-517. doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2010.08.014
  43. Siddique MA. Macular and Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer Thickness Changes in Adolescent Patients after Cataract Surgery. *Delhi Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2019;29(4). doi:10.7869/djo.437
  44. Nguyen M, Shainberg M, Beck AD, Lambert SR. Structural changes of the anterior chamber following cataract surgery during infancy. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2015;41(8). doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2015.07.001

45. DeBroff BM, Ramos Esteban JC, Servat JJ. UBM measured changes in anterior chamber depth following pediatric IOL surgery with optic capture. *Adv Ophthalmol Vis Syst.* 2018;8(2). doi:10.15406/aovs.2018.08.00276
46. Bradfield YS, Melia BM, Repka MX, et al. Central corneal thickness in children. *Archives of Ophthalmology.* 2011;129(9):1132-1138. doi:10.1001/archophthalmol.2011.225
47. Sukhija J, Kaur S. Central corneal thickness and intraocular pressure changes after congenital cataract surgery with intraocular lens implantation in children younger than 2 years. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2017;43(5). doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2017.02.025
48. Faramarzi A, Javadi MA, Bonyadi MHJ, Yaseri M. Changes in central corneal thickness after congenital cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2010;36(12). doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2010.07.016
49. Shi J, Wang D, Xie Y, et al. Changes and Influencing Factors of Corneal Endothelial Cells and Central Corneal Thickness after Pediatric Cataract Surgery. *Ophthalmic Res.* 2024;67(1):625-634. doi:10.1159/000541947
50. Briceno-Lopez C, Burguera-Giménez N, García-Domene MC, Díez-Ajenjo MA, Peris-Martínez C, Luque MJ. Corneal Edema after Cataract Surgery. *J Clin Med.* 2023;12(21). doi:10.3390/jcm12216751
51. Khan S, Ali M, Zaheer N. Comparison of pre-operative central corneal thickness in pediatric cataract cases versus normal. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan.* 2014;24(8).
52. Gouda J, Tomairek RH, Elhusseiny AM, et al. Changes in Intraocular Pressure and Anterior Chamber Angle after Congenital Cataract Extraction. *J Glaucoma.* 2021;30(1):61-64. doi:10.1097/IJG.0000000000001681
53. Zhang W, Hu H, Cheng H, Liu Q, Yuan D. Evaluation of the changes in vessel density and retinal thickness in patients who underwent unilateral congenital cataract extraction by octa. *Clinical Ophthalmology.* 2020;14. doi:10.2147/OPHTH.S286372
54. Dvali M, Tsertsvadze O, Skhirtladze S. INCIDENCE OF CME AFTER HYDROPHILIC AND HY-DROPHOBIC ACRYLIC IOL IMPLANTATION - OCT RESULTS. *Georgian Med News.* 2019;291(6).

Lampiran 1. Surat Persetujuan etik penelitian



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI  
 UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN  
 KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
 RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
 RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR  
 Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu  
 JL.PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245.



Contact Person: dr. Agussalim Bukhari, MMed, PhD, SpCK TELP. 081241850858, 0411 5780103, Fax : 0411-581431

**REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK**

Nomor : 478/UN4.6.4.5.31/ PP36/ 2024

Tanggal: 26 Juni 2024

Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan Dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :

No Protokol	UH24040208		No Sponsor	
Peneliti Utama	<b>dr. Aulia Giffarinnisa</b>		Sponsor	
Judul Peneliti	Konfigurasi Segmen Anterior & Analisis RNFL Pasca Operasi Katarak Pediatri			
No Versi Protokol	2	Tanggal Versi	25 Juni 2024	
No Versi PSP	2	Tanggal Versi	25 Juni 2024	
Tempat Penelitian	RS Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar			
Jenis Review	<input type="checkbox"/> Exempted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Fullboard Tanggal		Masa Berlaku 26 Juni 2024 sampai 26 Juni 2025	Frekuensi review lanjutan
Ketua KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	Prof. dr. Muh Nasrum Massi, PhD, SpMK, Subsp. Bakt(K)		Tanda tangan 	
Sekretaris KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	dr. Firdaus Hamid, PhD, SpMK(K)		Tanda tangan 	

Kewajiban Peneliti Utama:

- Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan
- Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Laporan SUSAR dalam 72 jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan
- Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah
- Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir
- Melaporkan penyimpangan dari prokol yang disetujui (protocol deviation / violation)
- Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan

## Lampiran 2. Formulir persetujuan setelah penjelasan



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI  
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN  
KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR  
Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu  
JL.PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM10 MAKASSAR 90245.



Contact Person: dr. Agussalim Bukhari, MMed, PhD, SpGK TELP. 081241850858, 0411 5289182 Fax: 0411-581431

### **FORMULIR PERSETUJUAN SETELAH PENJELASAN**

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : .....

Umur : .....tahun

Alamat : .....

Telepon/HP : .....

Menyatakan bersedia untuk berpartisipasi pada penelitian ini yang berjudul :

**“KONFIGURASI SEGMENT ANTERIOR DAN ANALISIS RNFL PASCA OPERASI**

**KATARAK PEDIATRI”**

Setelah mendengar/membaca dan mengerti penjelasan yang diberikan mengenai tujuan dan manfaat yang akan didapatkan pada penelitian ini, khususnya bagi kemajuan ilmu kedokteran.

Makassar, .....

Responden/Wali

Saksi

(.....)

(.....)

Penanggung jawab penelitian :

Nama : dr. Aulia Giffarinnisa

Alamat : Bukit baruga jl. Matano barat no.8

Telp. : 085388496040

Penanggung jawab medik :

Nama : Dr. dr. Marlyanti N. Akib, Sp.M(K), M.Kes, MHPE

Alamat : Jl. Toddopuli Raya Komp.Villa Surya Mas Blok E No. 9

Telp. : 08114441610

No.	Nama	Jenis kelamin	Usia (tahun)	TIO			AL			ACD			
				TIO_Post.OP	TIO_1.Bulan	TIO_6.Bulan	AL_Post.OP	AL_1.Bulan	AL_6.Bulan	ACD_Post.OP	ACD_1.Bulan	ACD_6.Bulan	
1	Muh Aryan	L	2	15	14,00	17,00	17,00	22,23	23,41	23,59	3,11	3,12	3,11
2	Warni	P	1	8	19,00	12,00	13,00	20,49	21,27	21,73	3,78	3,77	3,78
3	Warni 2	P	1	8	18,00	13,00	15,00	20,74	21,67	22,02	3,61	3,77	3,87
4	Muh Affan Syarif	L	2	7	23,00	16,00	14,00	23,61	24,69	25,01	3,35	3,36	3,35
5	Muh Affan Syarif	L	2	7	25,00	15,00	16,00	23,28	23,54	24,79	3,57	3,56	3,57
6	Muh Ichsah Anzahari	L	2	15	18,00	14,00	14,00	22,80	23,19	24,14	4,06	4,06	4,08
7	Fitrah Ramadhan (1)	L	2	8	16,00	15,00	14,00	25,88	25,98	25,89	4,04	4,02	3,90
8	Fitrah Ramadhan (2)	L	2	8	13,00	14,00	15,00	24,13	24,42	25,60	3,93	3,93	3,90
9	Farah Nur Shakila	P	1	6	24,00	16,00	19,00	23,64	23,89	25,14	3,49	3,51	3,49
10	Farah Nur Shakila	P	1	6	21,00	14,00	18,00	23,69	23,83	25,19	3,44	3,81	4,01
11	Nayla Rafania Ahmad	P	1	8	19,00	17,00	15,00	22,81	23,07	17,98	3,35	3,35	3,50
12	Dhita Cahyani Iskandar	P	1	9	19,00	17,00	15,00	22,89	22,92	22,97	2,76	3,03	3,19
13	Moh Ramadhan	L	2	6	15,00	12,00	13,00	21,25	21,61	22,55	3,17	3,34	3,51
14	Moh Ramadhan	L	2	6	17,00	15,00	11,00	21,67	21,82	23,04	3,25	3,47	3,47
15	Muh irsyad Nur	L	2	5	15,00	14,00	13,00	22,45	22,56	23,81	4,12	3,49	3,57
16	Muh irsyad Nur	L	2	5	18,00	13,00	12,00	22,45	23,77	23,77	4,10	3,57	4,04
SAMPel KONTROL													
1	Faizah Rahman OD	P	1	6	12,00	13,00	12,00	21,84	22,08	22,35	3,60	3,82	3,96
2	Faizah Rahman OS	P	1	6	11,00	11,00	14,00	21,95	22,04	22,05	3,51	3,67	3,80
3	Nindya OD	P	1	10	15,00	14,00	11,00	23,78	23,94	24,07	3,62	3,69	3,81
4	Nindya OS	P	1	10	13,00	12,00	13,00	23,71	23,91	24,02	3,53	3,68	3,82
5	Ratu OD	P	1	7	12,00	10,00	12,00	22,49	22,50	22,52	3,10	3,32	3,56
6	Ratu OS	P	1	7	12,00	11,00	15,00	22,45	22,58	22,66	3,29	3,52	3,55
7	Alula OD	L	2	8	10,00	13,00	14,00	22,31	22,48	22,56	3,31	3,37	3,42
8	Alula OS	L	2	8	14,00	15,00	16,00	22,37	22,52	22,58	3,24	3,33	3,39
9	Ali OD	L	2	6	16,00	12,00	11,00	21,84	21,88	22,01	3,28	3,32	3,40
10	Ali OS	L	2	6	13,00	14,00	13,00	21,71	21,84	22,21	3,35	3,41	3,47
11	Zia OD	P	1	7	14,00	16,00	15,00	22,81	22,93	23,01	3,52	3,55	3,56
12	Zia OS	P	1	7	16,00	12,00	10,00	22,76	22,80	23,17	3,47	3,52	3,58
13	Rubio OD	L	2	8	14,00	13,00	11,00	22,32	22,34	22,62	3,37	3,42	3,51
14	Rubio OS	L	2	8	12,00	11,00	13,00	22,40	22,42	22,70	3,45	3,47	3,54

No.	Nama	CCT			I			S		
		CCT_Post.OP	CCT_1.Bulan	CCT_6.Bulan	I_Post.OP	I_1.Bulan	I_6.Bulan	S_Post.OP	S_1.Bulan	S_6.Bulan
1	Muh Aryan	652,00	631,00	621,00	158,00	155,00	162,00	137,00	140,00	156,00
2	Warni	569,00	559,00	553,00	147,00	151,00	154,00	121,00	125,00	135,00
3	Warni 2	517,00	515,00	508,00	150,00	147,00	150,00	130,00	133,00	137,00
4	Muh Affan Syarif	597,00	590,00	586,00	155,00	160,00	166,00	166,00	159,00	154,00
5	Muh Affan Syarif	709,00	676,00	658,00	135,00	130,00	135,00	119,00	124,00	124,00
6	Muh Ichsah Anzahari	514,00	516,00	520,00	161,00	155,00	160,00	149,00	130,00	134,00
7	Fitrah Ramadhan (1)	547,00	522,00	526,00	136,00	138,00	135,00	131,00	129,00	125,00
8	Fitrah Ramadhan (2)	624,00	609,00	597,00	112,00	115,00	120,00	79,00	81,00	82,00
9	Farah Nur Shakila	564,00	565,00	569,00	139,00	142,00	144,00	117,00	120,00	123,00
10	Farah Nur Shakila	579,00	574,00	577,00	144,00	139,00	146,00	120,00	115,00	114,00
11	Nayla Rafania Ahmad	596,00	597,00	602,00	140,00	149,00	151,00	118,00	113,00	120,00
12	Dhita Cahyani Iskandar	536,00	537,00	540,00	175,00	168,00	193,00	121,00	125,00	147,00
13	Moh Ramadhan	533,00	537,00	542,00	165,00	171,00	169,00	157,00	151,00	138,00
14	Moh Ramadhan	570,00	568,00	573,00	157,00	150,00	157,00	145,00	149,00	144,00
15	Muh irsyad Nur	525,00	521,00	525,00	149,00	152,00	155,00	134,00	140,00	142,00
16	Muh irsyad Nur	534,00	541,00	546,00	162,00	154,00	150,00	151,00	141,00	137,00
SAMPel KONTROL										
1	Faizah Rahman OD	515,00	513,00	515,00	156,00	160,00	166,00	166,00	155,00	153,00
2	Faizah Rahman OS	515,00	512,00	514,00	160,00	161,00	162,00	170,00	160,00	155,00
3	Nindya OD	549,00	548,00	550,00	138,00	140,00	142,00	133,00	128,00	124,00
4	Nindya OS	557,00	548,00	553,00	151,00	155,00	152,00	148,00	149,00	146,00
5	Ratu OD	508,00	510,00	513,00	172,00	166,00	164,00	173,00	169,00	166,00
6	Ratu OS	519,00	522,00	524,00	155,00	160,00	157,00	166,00	158,00	154,00
7	Alula OD	511,00	516,00	518,00	158,00	161,00	165,00	152,00	149,00	147,00
8	Alula OS	505,00	511,00	512,00	152,00	154,00	160,00	149,00	147,00	149,00
9	Ali OD	521,00	520,00	522,00	164,00	164,00	167,00	159,00	159,00	154,00
10	Ali OS	525,00	526,00	525,00	159,00	160,00	162,00	157,00	155,00	157,00
11	Zia OD	545,00	547,00	549,00	149,00	151,00	156,00	127,00	129,00	133,00
12	Zia OS	539,00	541,00	542,00	143,00	146,00	150,00	120,00	123,00	126,00
13	Rubio OD	524,00	526,00	525,00	156,00	158,00	162,00	149,00	149,00	154,00
14	Rubio OS	519,00	520,00	523,00	153,00	155,00	157,00	150,00	147,00	148,00

No.	Nama	N_Post.OP	N_1.Bulan	N_6.Bulan	T_Post.OP	T_1.Bulan	T_6.Bulan
1	Muh Aryan	105,00	110,00	111,00	81,00	79,00	79,00
2	Warni	90,00	93,00	95,00	72,00	71,00	72,00
3	Warni 2	96,00	91,00	91,00	86,00	85,00	84,00
4	Muh Affan Syarif	79,00	82,00	83,00	73,00	70,00	72,00
5	Muh Affan Syarif	93,00	95,00	92,00	79,00	74,00	75,00
6	Muh Ichsan Anzahari	91,00	87,00	86,00	79,00	80,00	72,00
7	Fitrah Ramadhan (1)	82,00	86,00	84,00	78,00	75,00	72,00
8	Fitrah Ramadhan (2)	61,00	65,00	68,00	59,00	60,00	59,00
9	Farah Nur Shakila	84,00	81,00	84,00	71,00	73,00	74,00
10	Farah Nur Shakila	86,00	86,00	87,00	77,00	75,00	72,00
11	Nayla Rafania Ahmad	72,00	75,00	74,00	78,00	76,00	73,00
12	Dhita Cahyani Iskandar	76,00	80,00	105,00	69,00	71,00	81,00
13	Moh Ramadhan	90,00	92,00	96,00	79,00	76,00	79,00
14	Moh Ramadhan	87,00	90,00	95,00	75,00	72,00	78,00
15	Muh irsyad Nur	93,00	89,00	93,00	81,00	79,00	79,00
16	Muh irsyad Nur	89,00	84,00	84,00	74,00	75,00	74,00
<b>SAMPEL KONTROL</b>							
1	Faizah Rahman OD	83,00	85,00	87,00	69,00	71,00	74,00
2	Faizah Rahman OS	67,00	66,00	70,00	65,00	66,00	64,00
3	Nindya OD	98,00	93,00	92,00	71,00	74,00	81,00
4	Nindya OS	69,00	71,00	74,00	77,00	74,00	72,00
5	Ratu OD	70,00	79,00	76,00	86,00	87,00	82,00
6	Ratu OS	79,00	83,00	89,00	73,00	72,00	77,00
7	Alula OD	76,00	78,00	76,00	70,00	69,00	70,00
8	Alula OS	72,00	73,00	75,00	68,00	70,00	67,00
9	Ali OD	79,00	82,00	83,00	67,00	69,00	70,00
10	Ali OS	75,00	76,00	78,00	66,00	65,00	68,00
11	Zia OD	87,00	90,00	91,00	74,00	77,00	78,00
12	Zia OS	88,00	86,00	92,00	72,00	74,00	76,00
13	Rubio OD	82,00	83,00	87,00	70,00	73,00	72,00
14	Rubio OS	86,00	85,00	84,00	75,00	75,00	73,00