

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agustin, & Nurul., V. (2013). Peningkatan Aktivitas dan Hasil Belajar Siswa Melalui Model Problem Based Learning (PBL). (*PBL*). *Journal of Elementary Education.*, 2 (1), 36–44.
- Amanina, A. (2015). *Hubungan Dukungan Orang Tua Dengan Tingkat Kooperatif Anak Usia Prasekolah Saat Pemeriksaan Tanda Vital Di Ruang Rawat Inap Rsud Prambanan.* 1–12.
- Ambarwati, & Respasti, F. (2012). *Buku Pintar Asuhan Keperawatan Bayi dan Balita.* Cakrawala Ilmu.
- Andriany, A. (2018). Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Terhadap Tindakan Kooperatif Anak Usia 3-5 Tahun Dalam Menjalani Perawatan Di Ruang Anak Rumah Sakit Pelamonia Makassar. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Apriani, V. (2017). Terapi Bermain Terhadap Perilaku Kooperatif Pada Anak Usia Pra Sekolah. *Ilmu Keperawatan Inonesia*, 7(3).
- Artilheiro, A. P. S., De Amorim Almeida, F., & Chacon, J. M. F. (2011). Use of therapeutic play in preparing preschool children for outpatient chemotherapy. *ACTA Paulista de Enfermagem*, 24(5), 611–616. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0103-21002011000500003>
- Bucholz, E. M., Toomey, S. L., & Schuster, M. A. (2019). Trends in pediatric hospitalizations and readmissions: 2010–2016. *Pediatrics*, 143(2), 2010–2016. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-1958>
- Colin, V., Keraman, B., Dwiana Maydinar, D., & Eca. (2020). *Volume 8 No. 1 (April 2020) © The Author(s) 2020 PENGARUH TERAPI BERMAIN* (. 8(1), 111–116.
- Elviani, Y. (2019). *Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Terhadap Perilaku Kooperatif Anak Selama Menjalani Perawatan Di Ruang Rawat Inap Anak RSUD. Siti Aisyah Kota Lubuklinggau Tahun 2017.* 7, 112–120.
- Handayani, & Puspitasari. (2010). Pengaruh Terapi Bermain terhadap tingkat kooperatif selama menjalani perawatan pada anak usia prasekolah (3-5 tahun) di Rumah Sakit Panti Rapih Yogyakarta. *Jurnal Kesehatan Surya Medika Yogyakarta.*
- Hidayat, A. (2009). *Pengantar Ilmu Keperawatan Anak.* Jakarta: Salemba Medika.

- Hockenberry, & Wilson. (2007). *Buku Ajar Keperawatan Pediatrik*. EGC.
- Jafri, Y. (2015). *Pemberian terapi bermain dengan teknik bercerita terhadap kooperatif anak usia pra sekolah*.
- Kartikayani, D. . (2012). Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Metode Menggambar dan Mewarnai Terhadap Perilaku Kooperatif Anak Usia Prasekolah Yang Mengalami Hospitalisasi Di Brsu Tabanan. *Keperawatan*.
- Katinawati. (2011). *Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Dalam Menurunkan Kecemasan Pada Anak Usia Pra Sekolah (3-5 tahun) Yang Mengalami Hospitalisasi Di Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah Tugurejo Semarang. Diakses pada 23 Oktober 2013, pukul 18.00 WITA.*
<http://ejournal.stikestelogorejo.ac.id/ej%0Aournal/index.php/ilmukeperawatan/arti%0Acle/view/92>.
- Linda, K., Savitri, D., Kusumaningsih, F. S., Ayu, D., & Rama, A. (2018). Pengaruh Clay Therapy Terhadap Perilaku Adaptif Pada Anak Usia Prasekolah Yang Mengalami Hospitalisasi. *Community of Publishing in Nursing*, 6(3), 2303–1298.
- Mairiza, P. (2015). *Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Terhadap Perilaku Kooperatif Anak Selama Menjalani Perawatan di Ruang Rawat Inap Anak RSUD M. Zein Painan*.
- Melaaryuni. (2008). *Buku Saku Praktikum Keperawatan Anak*. EGC.
- Montolalu, & Dkk. (2014). *Bermain dan Permainan Anak*. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka.
- Ningrum, U., & Nasrudin, N. (2015). Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Kolase Kartun Terhadap Tingkat Kooperatif Anak Usia Pra Sekolah Selama Prosedur Nebuleser Di Rumah Sakit Airlangga Jombang. *Jurnal EduHealth*, 5(1), 244763.
- Nur Salam. (2016). *Metode Penelitian Ilmu Keperawatan*. Salemba Medika.
- Nuryanti, L. (2007). *Penerapan Terapi Bermain Bagi Penyandang Autisme (1)*.
<http://Klinis.wordpress.com>
- Paladino, C. M., de Carvalho, R., & Almeida, F. de A. (2014). Brinquedo terapêutico no preparo para a cirurgia: Comportamentos de pré-escolares no período transoperatório. *Revista Da Escola de Enfermagem*, 48(3), 423–429.
<https://doi.org/10.1590/S0080-623420140000300006>

- Pontes, J. E. D. ourad., Tabet, E., Folkmann, M. Á. D. S. anto., Cunha, M. L. uca. da R., & Almeida, F. de A. (2015). Therapeutic play: preparing the child for the vaccine. *Einstein (São Paulo, Brazil)*, 13(2), 238–242.
<https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-45082015AO2967>
- Pratiwi, Y. S. (2012). Penurunan Tingkat Kecemasan Anak Rawat Inap dengan Permainan Hospital Story di RSUD Kraton Pekalongan. *Ilmiah Kesehatan*, 5, 2.
- Purna, pramesti pradira triwahyu, Sawitri, prihatini monika, & Yuliati, A. (2016). The influence of THERAPY PLAY ON THE LEVEL OF COOPERATIVE CHILDREN. *Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Terhadap Tingkat Kooperatif Anak Usia Prasekolah*, 4(1), 65–72.
- Rahma, & Puspasari, N. P. D. (2018). Tingkat Kooperatif Anak Usia Pra Sekolah (3 – 5 Tahun) Melalui Terapi Bermain Selama Menjalani Perawatan Di Rumah Sakit Panti Rapih Yogyakarta. *Jurnal Kesehatan Surya Medika Yogyakarta*.
- Rallis, H. M. (2018). *Guidelines for writing a literature review*. diakses tanggal 11 April 2020,
 <<https://www.d.umn.edu/~hrallis/guides/researching/litreview.html>>.
- Santiago, Oliveira, Terapéutico, J., El, D., Punción, P. D. E., Para, E., Alteraciones, R., Cristina, I., Lemos, J. D. De, Gomes, E. B., Vanessa, K., Karen, P., & Fernandes, G. P. (2016). *Therapeutic Toy During the Procedure of Venipuncture : a Strategy*. 7(1).
- Siregar, A. Z., & Harahap, N. (2019a). *Strategi dan teknik penulisan karya tulis ilmiah dan publikasi*.
- Siregar, A. Z., & Harahap, N. (2019b). *Strategi dan teknik penulisan karya tulis ilmiah dan publikasi*. [e-book], diakses 20 April 2020, dari
 <<https://books.google.co.id/books?id=Vr2iDwAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=buku+tentang+penelitian+literatur+review&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjHz8K2qfboAhVe6nMBHbiSCmgQ6AEIPzAC#v=onepage&q=buku%20tentang%20penelitian%20lite>>.
- Soetjningsih. (2014). *Tumbuh Kembang Anak*. Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kedokteran EGC.
- Supartini. (2010). *Konsep Dasar Keperawatan Anak* (Jakarta: E).

- Susilo, A. (2007). *Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Tingkat Pertumbuhan Anak Usia Prasekolah*.
<http://www.library.upnvj.ac.id/pdf/2s1keperawatan%0A/0810712026.pdf>. diperoleh tanggal 26 juni 2012
- Suyami, W. (2015). *Dampak Terapi Bermain Terhadap Tingkat Kooperatif Pada Anak Usia Prasekolah Selama Menjalani Perawatan Di Rs. Islam Klaten*. 002.
- TAT, F., & SING, S. A. (2014). Pengaruh Terapi Bermain Alat Kedokteran Terhadap Perilaku Kooperatif Dalam Asuhan Keperawatan Anak Usia Pra Sekolah Di Ruang Anak Rsud Kefamenanu Kabupaten Timor Tengah Utara. *Jurnal Info Kesehatan*, 12(2), 710–721.
<http://jurnal.poltekeskupang.ac.id/index.php/infokes/article/view/54>
- Terri, K., & Susan, C. (2015). *Buku Ajar Keperawatan Pediatric* (2nd ed.). EGC.
- Thorley et al. (2019). *No Title*.
- Wong, D., Hockenberr, y M., Eaton, W. D., Winkelstein, M. L., & Schwatz, P. (2009). *Buku Ajar: Keperawatan Pediatric*. Edisi 6. (Jakarta: E).

LAMPIRAN

LAMPIRAN 1

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	

Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I^2) for each meta-analysis.	

Page 1 of 2

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	
RESULTS			
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	

Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	
FUNDING			
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	

From: Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit: www.prisma-statement.org.