

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Raatikainen MJP, Armar DO, Merkely B, Nielsen JC, Hindricks G, Heidbuchel H, et al. Decade of information on the use of cardiac implantable electronic devices and interventional electrophysiological procedures in the european society of cardiology countries: 2017 report from the european heart rhythm association. *EP Europace*. 2017;19:ii1–ii90.
2. Dalia T, Amr BS. Pacemaker indications. *StatPearls*. 2023. Available from URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK507823/>
3. Verma N, Knight BP. Update in Cardiac Pacing. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev*. 2019 Jul;8(3):228-33
4. Puette JA, Malek R, Ellison B. Pacemaker. *StatPearls*. 2022. Available from URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526001/>
5. Davies A. Permanent pacemakers: an overview. *British J Cardiac Nurs*. 2009;4(6):262-9
6. Khurshid S, Epstein AE, Verdino RJ, et al. Incidence and predictors of right ventricular pacing-induced cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:1619–25
7. Vijayaraman P, Chung MK, Dandamudi G, et al. His bundle pacing. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72:927–47
8. Huang W, Su L, Wu S, et al. Novel pacing strategy with low and stable output: pacing the left bundle branch immediately beyond the conduction block. *Can J Cardiol* 2017;33:1736.e1–1736.e3.
9. Ponnusamy SS, Vijayaraman P. My preferred approach to left bundle branch pacing: lumenless leads. *Heart Rhythm soc*. 2022;4(2):147-53
10. Cano O, Navarro, Zalavadia P, Jover P, Osca J, Bahadur R, et al. Acute performance of stylet driven leads for left bundle branch area pacing: a comparison with lumenless leads. *Heart Rhyt O*. 2023;1:1-12
11. Liu C, Chen Y, Hu Y. Harnessing cell reprogramming for cardiac biological pacing. *J Biomed*. 2023;30:74
12. Turkkan C, Osmanov D, Yildirim E, Ozcan KS, Altay S, Hasdemir H, et al. Bradyarrhythmia development and permanent pacemaker implantation after cardiac surgery. *North Clin Istanbul*. 2018;5(4):288-94.
13. Bradshaw PJ, Stobie P, Knuiman MW, Briffa TG, Hobbs MS. Trends in the incidence and prevalence of cardiac pacemaker insertions in an ageing population. *Open Heart*. 2014;1:e000177.
14. Mulpuru SK, Madhavan M, McLeod CJ, Mei Y, Friedman PA. Cardiac pacemakers: function, troubleshooting, and management. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;69(2):189-210
15. Weerd JH, Chritoffels VM. The formation and function of the cardiac conduction system. *Development*. 2016;143:197-210
16. Lak HM, Goyal A. Pacemaker types and selection. *StatPearls*. 2022. Available from URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK556011/>
17. Zartner P. Antibradycardia therapy : Indication and implementation. *Herzschrit Elektrophysiol*. 2016 Jun;27(2):88-94

18. Poh PG, Liew C, Yeo C, Chong LR, Tan A, Poh A. Cardiovascular implantable electronic devices: a review of the dangers and difficulties in MR scanning and attempts to improve safety. *Insights Imaging*. 2017 Aug;8(4):405-418
19. Huang W, Chen X, Su L, Wu S, Xia X, Vijayaraman P. A beginner's guide to permanent left bundle branch pacing. *Heart Rhythm*. 2019;16(12):1791-6
20. Miyajima K, Urushida T, Tomida Y, Tamura T, Masuda S, Okazaki A, et al. Comparison of the left ventricular dyssynchrony between stylet-driven and lumen-less lead technique in left bundle branch area pacing using myocardial perfusion scintigraphy. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. 2023;13(10):6840-53
21. Byeon K, Kim HR, Park SJ, Park YJ, Choi JH, Kim JY, et al. Initial experience with left bundle branch area pacing with conventional stylet-driven extendable screw-in leads and new pre-shaped delivery sheaths. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11:2483
22. Yu GI, Kim TH, Yu HT, Joung B, Pak HN, Lee MH. Left bundle branch area pacing with stylet-driven pacing leads: implantation technique. *Int J Arrhythm*. 2023;24:12
23. Vijayaraman P, Subzposh FA, Naperkowski A, et al. Prospective evaluation of feasibility and electrophysiologic and echocardiographic characteristics of left bundle branch area pacing. *Heart Rhythm*. 2019;16:1774–82.
24. Zhang S, Zhou X, Gold MR. Left bundle branch pacing: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;74:3039–49
25. Chen KP, Li YQ. How to implant left bundle branch pacing lead in routine clinical practice. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2019;30:2569–77
26. Jiang H, Hou X, Qian Z, et al. A novel 9-partition method using fluoroscopic images for guiding left bundle branch pacing. *Heart Rhythm*. 2020;17:1759–67
27. Zhang J, Wang Z, Zu L, et al. Simplifying physiological left bundle branch area pacing using a new nine-partition method. *Can J Cardiol*. 2021;37:329–38
28. Pooter JD, Calle S, Timmermans F, Heuverswyn FV. Left bundle branch area pacing using stylet-driven pacing leads with a new delivery sheath: a comparison with lumen-less leads. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2021;32:439-48
29. Zanon F, Marcantoni L, Pastore G, Baracca E. Left bundle branch pacing by standard stylet-driven lead: preliminary experience of two case reports. *Heart Rhythm Soc*. 2020;6:614-17
30. Padala SK, Ellenbogen KA. Left bundle branch pacing is the best approach to physiological pacing. *Heart Rhythm*. 2020;1:59-67
31. Pestrea C, Cicala E, Gherghina A, Orjan F. Conduction system pacing using stylet-driven leads. What is the difference?. *Romanian J Cardiol*. 2022;32(2):108-12

32. Ravi V, Larsen T, Ooms S, Trohman R, Sharma PS. Late-onset interventricular septal perforation from left bundle branch pacing. *HeartRhythm Case Rep.* (2020) 6:627–31
33. Forno AR, Ternes CM, Rech JV, Garcia H, Lewandowski A, Damasceno G, et al. Left bundle branch pacing of his-purkinje conduction system: initial experience. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2022;118(2):505-16
34. Ponnusamy SS, Vijayaraman P. Aborted ST-elevation myocardial infarction—an unusual complication of left bundle branch pacing. *HeartRhythm Case Rep.* (2020) 6:520–2
35. Liu P, Wang Q, Sun H, Qin X, Zheng Q. Left bundle branch pacing: current knowledge and future prospects. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2021;8:630399
36. Matsubara T, Sumiyoshi M, Kimura A, Minami-Takano A, Maruyama K, Kimura Y, et al. Trend in Age at the Initial Pacemaker Implantation in Patients With Bradyarrhythmia — A 50-Year Analysis (1970-2019) in Japan —. *Circ J.* 2022;86(8):1292-1297.
37. Gao Z, Chen Z, Sun A, Deng X. Gender differences in cardiovascular disease. *Med Nov Technol Devices.* 2019;4(October):100025.
38. Siam NH, Snigdha NN, Tabasumma N, Parvin I. Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease: Exploring Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, and Treatment Strategies. *Rev Cardiovasc Med.* 2024;25(12).
39. Rodriguez-Araujo G, Nakagami H. Pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease in diabetes mellitus. *Cardiovasc Endocrinol Metab.* 2018;7(1):4-9.
40. Du Z, Qin Y. Dyslipidemia and Cardiovascular Disease: Current Knowledge, Existing Challenges, and New Opportunities for Management Strategies. *J Clin Med.* 2023;12(1):12-15.
41. Bays HE, Kirkpatrick CF, Maki KC, Toth PP, Morgan RT, Tondt J, et al. Obesity, dyslipidemia, and cardiovascular disease: A joint expert review from the Obesity Medicine Association and the National Lipid Association 2024. *J Clin Lipidol.* 2024;18(3):e320-e350.
42. Gallucci G, Tartarone A, Lerosé R, Lalinga AV, Capobianco AM. Cardiovascular risk of smoking and benefits of smoking cessation. *J Thorac Dis.* 2020;12(7):3866-3876.
43. Sharma PS, Dandamudi G, Naperkowski A, Oren JW, Storm RH, Ellenbogen KA, et al. Permanent His-bundle pacing is feasible, safe, and superior to right ventricular pacing in routine clinical practice. *Hear Rhythm.* 2015;12(2):305-312.
44. Abdin A, Aktaa S, Vukadinović D, Arbelo E, Burri H, Glikson M, et al. Outcomes of conduction system pacing compared to right ventricular pacing as a primary strategy for treating bradyarrhythmia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2022;111(11):1198-1209.
45. Abdelrahman M, Subzposh FA, Beer D, Durr B, Naperkowski A, Sun H, et al. Clinical Outcomes of His Bundle Pacing Compared to Right Ventricular Pacing. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71(20):2319-2330.

46. Michalik J, Dabrowska-Kugacka A, Kosmalska K, Moroz R, Kot A, Lewicka E, et al. Hemodynamic effects of permanent his bundle pacing compared to right ventricular pacing assessed by two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(21).
47. Ezzeddine F, Dandamudi G. An Interesting Case of Permanent His-Bundle Pacing and a Review of the Current Literature. *J Innov Card Rhythm Manag*. 2017;8(4):2666-2672.
48. Chung MK, Patton KK, Lau CP, Dal Forno ARJ, Al-Khatib SM, Arora V, et al. 2023 HRS/APHRS/LAHRS guideline on cardiac physiologic pacing for the avoidance and mitigation of heart failure. *Hear Rhythm*. 2023;20(9):e17-e91.
49. Zweerink A, Bakelants E, Stettler C, Burri H. His bundle pacing to avoid electrical dyssynchrony with traditional right ventricular pacing: Importance of heart size. *Int J Cardiol*. 2020;311:54-57.
50. Zhang J, Guo J, Hou X, Wang Y, Qian Z, Li K, et al. Comparison of the effects of selective and non-selective His bundle pacing on cardiac electrical and mechanical synchrony. *Europace*. 2018;20(6):1010-1017.
51. Niu HX, Liu X, Gu M, Chen X, Cai C, Cai M, et al. Conduction System Pacing for Post Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement Patients: Comparison With Right Ventricular Pacing. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2021;8(November):1-8.

LAMPIRAN**Karakteristik Subjek**

		Jenis Kelamin			Cumulative Percent
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
Valid	Laki-laki	20	33.9	33.9	33.9
	Perempuan	39	66.1	66.1	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

		Hipertensi			Cumulative Percent
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
Valid	Ya	38	64.4	64.4	64.4
	Tidak	21	35.6	35.6	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

		Diabetes Melitus			Cumulative Percent
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
Valid	Ya	3	5.1	5.1	5.1
	Tidak	56	94.9	94.9	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

		Status Merokok			Cumulative Percent
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
Valid	Ya	9	15.3	15.3	15.3
	Tidak	50	84.7	84.7	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

		Dislipidemia			Cumulative Percent
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	
Valid	Ya	15	25.4	25.4	25.4
	Tidak	44	74.6	74.6	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

ACS

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	17	28.8	28.8	28.8
	Tidak	42	71.2	71.2	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

Gagal Jantung

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Ya	12	20.3	20.3	20.3
	Tidak	47	79.7	79.7	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

Diagnosis

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	TAVB	34	57.6	57.6	57.6
	SND	25	42.4	42.4	100.0
	Total	59	100.0	100.0	

Statistics

usia		
N	Valid	59
	Missing	0
Mean		65.8814
Median		68.0000
Mode		66.00
Std. Deviation		11.67680
Variance		136.348
Range		71.00
Minimum		19.00
Maximum		90.00

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.095 ^a	1	.758		
Continuity Correction ^b	.001	1	.981		
Likelihood Ratio	.095	1	.758		
Fisher's Exact Test				.783	.487

N of Valid Cases	59			
------------------	----	--	--	--

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.46.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate			
	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Jenis Kelamin (Laki-laki / Perempuan)	.840	.277	2.545
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	.936	.610	1.436
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	1.114	.564	2.202
N of Valid Cases	59		

Hipertensi * Jenis Intervensi

Crosstab					
			Jenis Intervensi		
			HBP	RVP	Total
Hipertensi	Ya	Count	16	22	38
		Expected Count	23.8	14.2	38.0
		% within Hipertensi	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	43.2%	100.0%	64.4%
		% of Total	27.1%	37.3%	64.4%
	Tidak	Count	21	0	21
		Expected Count	13.2	7.8	21.0
		% within Hipertensi	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	56.8%	0.0%	35.6%
		% of Total	35.6%	0.0%	35.6%
Total	Count	37	22	59	
	Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0	
	% within Hipertensi	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	
	% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.387 ^a	1	<.001		
Continuity Correction ^b	16.990	1	<.001		
Likelihood Ratio	26.208	1	<.001		
Fisher's Exact Test				<.001	<.001
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.83.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	.421	.290	.611
N of Valid Cases	59		

Diabetes Melitus * Jenis Intervensi**Crosstab**

		Jenis Intervensi			
		HBP	RVP	Total	
Diabetes Melitus	Ya	Count	1	2	3
		Expected Count	1.9	1.1	3.0
		% within Diabetes Melitus	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	2.7%	9.1%	5.1%
		% of Total	1.7%	3.4%	5.1%
	Tidak	Count	36	20	56
		Expected Count	35.1	20.9	56.0
		% within Diabetes Melitus	64.3%	35.7%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	97.3%	90.9%	94.9%
		% of Total	61.0%	33.9%	94.9%
Total	Count	37	22	59	

Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0
% within Diabetes Melitus	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%
% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests					
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.167 ^a	1	.280		
Continuity Correction ^b	.218	1	.640		
Likelihood Ratio	1.120	1	.290		
Fisher's Exact Test				.549	.310
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 2 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.12.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate			
	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Diabetes Melitus (Ya / Tidak)	.278	.024	3.258
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	.519	.103	2.600
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	1.867	.779	4.473
N of Valid Cases	59		

Status Merokok * Jenis Intervensi

Crosstab					
		Jenis Intervensi			
		HBP	RVP	Total	
Status	Ya	Count	3	6	9
Merokok		Expected Count	5.6	3.4	9.0

Total	Tidak	% within Status Merokok	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	8.1%	27.3%	15.3%
		% of Total	5.1%	10.2%	15.3%
		Count	34	16	50
		Expected Count	31.4	18.6	50.0
		% within Status Merokok	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	91.9%	72.7%	84.7%
		% of Total	57.6%	27.1%	84.7%
		Count	37	22	59
		Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0
		% within Status Merokok	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	3.920 ^a	1	.048		
Continuity Correction ^b	2.577	1	.108		
Likelihood Ratio	3.791	1	.052		
Fisher's Exact Test				.066	.056
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.36.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Status Merokok (Ya / Tidak)	.235	.052	1.063
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	.490	.191	1.259
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	2.083	1.128	3.849
N of Valid Cases	59		

Dislipidemia * Jenis Intervensi

Crosstab

			Jenis Intervensi		
			HBP	RVP	Total
Dislipidemia	Ya	Count	11	4	15
		Expected Count	9.4	5.6	15.0
		% within Dislipidemia	73.3%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	29.7%	18.2%	25.4%
		% of Total	18.6%	6.8%	25.4%
	Tidak	Count	26	18	44
		Expected Count	27.6	16.4	44.0
		% within Dislipidemia	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	70.3%	81.8%	74.6%
		% of Total	44.1%	30.5%	74.6%
Total	Count	37	22	59	
	Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0	
	% within Dislipidemia	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	
	% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.970 ^a	1	.325		
Continuity Correction ^b	.457	1	.499		
Likelihood Ratio	1.004	1	.316		
Fisher's Exact Test				.372	.253
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.59.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Dislipidemia (Ya / Tidak)	1.904	.523	6.934
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	1.241	.839	1.836
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	.652	.262	1.621
N of Valid Cases	59		

ACS * Jenis Intervensi

Crosstab

			Jenis Intervensi		
			HBP	RVP	Total
ACS	Ya	Count	11	6	17
		Expected Count	10.7	6.3	17.0
		% within ACS	64.7%	35.3%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	29.7%	27.3%	28.8%
		% of Total	18.6%	10.2%	28.8%
	Tidak	Count	26	16	42
		Expected Count	26.3	15.7	42.0
		% within ACS	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	70.3%	72.7%	71.2%
		% of Total	44.1%	27.1%	71.2%
Total	Count	37	22	59	
	Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0	
	% within ACS	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	
	% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.041 ^a	1	.840		
Continuity Correction ^b	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.041	1	.840		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.542
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.34.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

	Risk Estimate	
	Value	95% Confidence Interval Lower Upper
Odds Ratio for ACS (Ya / Tidak)	1.128	.349 3.648
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	1.045	.684 1.597
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	.926	.438 1.962
N of Valid Cases	59	

Gagal Jantung * Jenis Intervensi

Crosstab					
			Jenis Intervensi		
			HBP	RVP	Total
Gagal Jantung	Ya	Count	8	4	12
		Expected Count	7.5	4.5	12.0
		% within Gagal Jantung	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	21.6%	18.2%	20.3%
		% of Total	13.6%	6.8%	20.3%
	Tidak	Count	29	18	47
		Expected Count	29.5	17.5	47.0
		% within Gagal Jantung	61.7%	38.3%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	78.4%	81.8%	79.7%
		% of Total	49.2%	30.5%	79.7%
Total	Count	37	22	59	
	Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0	
	% within Gagal Jantung	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	
	% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.101 ^a	1	.751		
Continuity Correction ^b	.000	1	1.000		
Likelihood Ratio	.102	1	.749		
Fisher's Exact Test				1.000	.514
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.47.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Gagal Jantung (Ya / Tidak)	1.241	.326	4.725
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	1.080	.683	1.710
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	.870	.362	2.095
N of Valid Cases	59		

Diagnosis * Jenis Intervensi**Crosstab**

			Jenis Intervensi		Total
			HBP	RVP	
Diagnosis	TAVB	Count	16	18	34
		Expected Count	21.3	12.7	34.0
		% within Diagnosis	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	43.2%	81.8%	57.6%
		% of Total	27.1%	30.5%	57.6%
	SND	Count	21	4	25
		Expected Count	15.7	9.3	25.0
		% within Diagnosis	84.0%	16.0%	100.0%
		% within Jenis Intervensi	56.8%	18.2%	42.4%
		% of Total	35.6%	6.8%	42.4%

Total	Count	37	22	59
	Expected Count	37.0	22.0	59.0
	% within Diagnosis	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%
	% within Jenis Intervensi	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	62.7%	37.3%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.408 ^a	1	.004		
Continuity Correction ^b	6.902	1	.009		
Likelihood Ratio	8.936	1	.003		
Fisher's Exact Test				.006	.004
N of Valid Cases	59				

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9.32.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Diagnosis (TAVB / SND)	.169	.048	.599
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = HBP	.560	.377	.832
For cohort Jenis Intervensi = RVP	3.309	1.277	8.577
N of Valid Cases	59		

Descriptives

Jenis Intervensi		Statistic	Std. Error
usia	HBP	Mean	64.5946
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	60.5840
		Upper Bound	68.6052
	5% Trimmed Mean	65.8378	
	Median	68.0000	
	Variance	144.692	
	Std. Deviation	12.02881	
	Minimum	19.00	
	Maximum	80.00	
	Range	61.00	

RVP	Interquartile Range		7.50	
	Skewness		-2.221	.388
	Kurtosis		5.713	.759
	Mean		68.0455	2.34242
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	63.1741	
		Upper Bound	72.9168	
	5% Trimmed Mean		68.3081	
	Median		68.5000	
	Variance		120.712	
	Std. Deviation		10.98691	
	Minimum		41.00	
	Maximum		90.00	
	Range		49.00	
	Interquartile Range		14.25	
	Skewness		-.350	.491
	Kurtosis		.619	.953

Tests of Normality

Jenis Intervensi		Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
usia	HBP	.285	37	<.001	.746	37	<.001
	RVP	.066	22	.200*	.987	22	.990

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Test Statistics^a

	usia
Mann-Whitney U	363.500
Wilcoxon W	1066.500
Z	-.683
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.494

a. Grouping Variable: Jenis Intervensi

Perbandingan HBP dengan RVP

Descriptives

Jenis Intervensi		Statistic		Std. Error
Amplitudo (1 bulan)	HBP	Mean	4.5324	.33114
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	3.8608
			Upper Bound	5.2040
		5% Trimmed Mean	4.4842	
		Median	4.7000	

			Variance	4.057	
			Std. Deviation	2.01426	
			Minimum	.80	
			Maximum	9.50	
			Range	8.70	
			Interquartile Range	2.90	
			Skewness	.263	.388
			Kurtosis	-.388	.759
	RVP	Mean		2.2591	.15868
			95% Confidence Interval for Mean	1.9291	
			Lower Bound		
		Upper Bound		2.5891	
		5% Trimmed Mean		2.2429	
		Median		2.1500	
		Variance		.554	
		Std. Deviation		.74429	
		Minimum		.80	
		Maximum		4.00	
		Range		3.20	
		Interquartile Range		1.00	
		Skewness		.482	.491
		Kurtosis		.499	.953
Impedance (1 bulan)	HBP	Mean		1.1108	.04679
			95% Confidence Interval for Mean	1.0159	
			Lower Bound		
		Upper Bound		1.2057	
		5% Trimmed Mean		1.0701	
		Median		1.0000	
		Variance		.081	
		Std. Deviation		.28459	
		Minimum		.90	
		Maximum		2.10	
		Range		1.20	
		Interquartile Range		.00	
		Skewness		2.468	.388
		Kurtosis		5.540	.759
	RVP	Mean		.9773	.01305
			95% Confidence Interval for Mean	.9501	
			Lower Bound		
		Upper Bound		1.0044	
		5% Trimmed Mean		.9859	
		Median		1.0000	
		Variance		.004	

Treshold (1 bulan)	HBP	Std. Deviation		.06119	
		Minimum		.80	
		Maximum		1.00	
		Range		.20	
		Interquartile Range		.00	
		Skewness		-2.601	.491
		Kurtosis		5.634	.953
		Mean		884.2703	41.22123
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	800.6697	
			Upper Bound	967.8708	
		5% Trimmed Mean		858.5916	
		Median		853.0000	
		Variance		62870.036	
		Std. Deviation		250.73898	
		Minimum		534.00	
		Maximum		2000.00	
		Range		1466.00	
		Interquartile Range		271.50	
		Skewness		2.469	.388
		Kurtosis		10.267	.759
	RVP	Mean		685.2273	23.46840
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	636.4221	
			Upper Bound	734.0325	
		5% Trimmed Mean		684.3737	
		Median		663.0000	
		Variance		12116.851	
		Std. Deviation		110.07657	
		Minimum		504.00	
		Maximum		881.00	
		Range		377.00	
		Interquartile Range		155.50	
		Skewness		.298	.491
		Kurtosis		-.779	.953
Amplitudo (3 bulan)	HBP	Mean		4.5557	.35509
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	3.8355	
			Upper Bound	5.2758	
		5% Trimmed Mean		4.4913	
		Median		4.0000	
		Variance		4.665	
		Std. Deviation		2.15994	

	RVP	Minimum		.80	
		Maximum		9.70	
		Range		8.90	
		Interquartile Range		3.30	
		Skewness		.352	.388
		Kurtosis		-.536	.759
		Mean		2.3409	.18594
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	1.9542	
			Upper Bound	2.7276	
		5% Trimmed Mean		2.3232	
		Median		2.2500	
		Variance		.761	
		Std. Deviation		.87214	
		Minimum		.70	
		Maximum		4.30	
		Range		3.60	
		Interquartile Range		1.02	
		Skewness		.537	.491
		Kurtosis		.368	.953
Impedance (3 bulan)	HBP	Mean		1.1230	.04795
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	1.0257	
			Upper Bound	1.2202	
		5% Trimmed Mean		1.0836	
		Median		1.0000	
		Variance		.085	
		Std. Deviation		.29169	
		Minimum		.90	
		Maximum		2.10	
		Range		1.20	
		Interquartile Range		.05	
		Skewness		2.255	.388
		Kurtosis		4.443	.759
	RVP	Mean		1.0182	.03928
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	.9365	
			Upper Bound	1.0999	
		5% Trimmed Mean		.9909	
		Median		1.0000	
		Variance		.034	
		Std. Deviation		.18423	
		Minimum		.80	

Treshold (3 bulan)	HBP	Maximum	1.80	
		Range	1.00	
		Interquartile Range	.00	
		Skewness	3.828	.491
		Kurtosis	17.335	.953
		Mean	928.2973	67.96582
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	790.4562
			Upper Bound	1066.1384
		5% Trimmed Mean	874.3138	
		Median	823.0000	
		Variance	170916.048	
		Std. Deviation	413.41994	
		Minimum	421.00	
		Maximum	3000.00	
		Range	2579.00	
		Interquartile Range	315.50	
		Skewness	3.686	.388
		Kurtosis	17.810	.759
	RVP	Mean	737.4545	22.45589
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	690.7550
			Upper Bound	784.1541
		5% Trimmed Mean	734.3838	
		Median	710.5000	
		Variance	11093.879	
		Std. Deviation	105.32748	
		Minimum	554.00	
		Maximum	973.00	
		Range	419.00	
		Interquartile Range	122.75	
		Skewness	.806	.491
		Kurtosis	.402	.953
Amplitudo (6 bulan)	HBP	Mean	4.5892	.36901
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	3.8408
			Upper Bound	5.3376
		5% Trimmed Mean	4.5593	
		Median	4.0000	
		Variance	5.038	
		Std. Deviation	2.24460	
		Minimum	.00	
		Maximum	9.90	

	RVP	Range		9.90	
		Interquartile Range		3.60	
		Skewness		.218	.388
		Kurtosis		-.418	.759
		Mean		2.5455	.20720
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	2.1146	
			Upper Bound	2.9764	
		5% Trimmed Mean		2.5061	
		Median		2.3000	
		Variance		.945	
		Std. Deviation		.97186	
		Minimum		1.00	
		Maximum		4.80	
		Range		3.80	
		Interquartile Range		1.27	
		Skewness		.824	.491
		Kurtosis		.158	.953
Impedance (6 bulan)	HBP	Mean		1.1378	.05633
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	1.0236	
			Upper Bound	1.2521	
		5% Trimmed Mean		1.0805	
		Median		1.0000	
		Variance		.117	
		Std. Deviation		.34266	
		Minimum		.90	
		Maximum		2.50	
		Range		1.60	
		Interquartile Range		.10	
		Skewness		3.136	.388
		Kurtosis		9.300	.759
	RVP	Mean		1.0591	.04729
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	.9607	
			Upper Bound	1.1574	
		5% Trimmed Mean		1.0242	
		Median		1.0000	
		Variance		.049	
		Std. Deviation		.22181	
		Minimum		.80	
		Maximum		2.00	
		Range		1.20	

Treshold (6 bulan)	HBP	Interquartile Range		.02	
		Skewness		3.913	.491
		Kurtosis		17.184	.953
		Mean		942.5946	37.23373
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	867.0811	
			Upper Bound	1018.1081	
		5% Trimmed Mean		931.6141	
		Median		944.0000	
		Variance		51294.970	
		Std. Deviation		226.48393	
		Minimum		531.00	
		Maximum		1618.00	
		Range		1087.00	
		Interquartile Range		266.50	
		Skewness		.824	.388
		Kurtosis		1.160	.759
	RVP	Mean		787.6818	34.55019
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	715.8308	
			Upper Bound	859.5329	
		5% Trimmed Mean		778.8182	
		Median		761.0000	
		Variance		26261.751	
		Std. Deviation		162.05478	
		Minimum		563.00	
		Maximum		1173.00	
		Range		610.00	
		Interquartile Range		167.50	
		Skewness		.994	.491
		Kurtosis		.899	.953

Tests of Normality							
	Jenis Intervensi	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Amplitudo (1 bulan)	HBP	.138	37	.074	.978	37	.651
	RVP	.123	22	.200*	.975	22	.830
Impedance (1 bulan)	HBP	.435	37	<.001	.558	37	<.001
	RVP	.508	22	<.001	.417	22	<.001
Treshold (1 bulan)	HBP	.194	37	.001	.794	37	<.001
	RVP	.125	22	.200*	.952	22	.339
Amplitudo (3 bulan)	HBP	.130	37	.116	.971	37	.443
	RVP	.110	22	.200*	.964	22	.569

Impedance (3 bulan)	HBP	.420	37	<.001	.592	37	<.001
	RVP	.494	22	<.001	.384	22	<.001
Treshold (3 bulan)	HBP	.211	37	<.001	.647	37	<.001
	RVP	.139	22	.200*	.929	22	.118
Amplitudo (6 bulan)	HBP	.124	37	.163	.982	37	.793
	RVP	.155	22	.183	.938	22	.181
Impedance (6 bulan)	HBP	.355	37	<.001	.479	37	<.001
	RVP	.378	22	<.001	.447	22	<.001
Treshold (6 bulan)	HBP	.153	37	.028	.956	37	.156
	RVP	.150	22	.200*	.913	22	.055

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Test Statistics ^a						
	Treshold (1 bulan)	Impedance (1 bulan)	Impedance (3 bulan)	Treshold (3 bulan)	Impedance (6 bulan)	Treshold (6 bulan)
Mann-Whitney U	165.500	305.500	329.500	221.500	338.000	232.000
Wilcoxon W	418.500	558.500	582.500	474.500	591.000	485.000
Z	-3.786	-2.134	-1.588	-2.908	-1.268	-2.743
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001	.033	.112	.004	.205	.006

a. Grouping Variable: Jenis Intervensi

Test Statistics ^a				
		Amplitudo (1 bulan)	Amplitudo (3 bulan)	Amplitudo (6 bulan)
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.666	.593	.511
	Positive	.000	.000	.027
	Negative	-.666	-.593	-.511
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		2.473	2.204	1.898
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		<.001	<.001	.001

a. Grouping Variable: Jenis Intervensi

			Jenis Intervensi			
			HBP		RVP	
			Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
EF	HFrEF	Impedance (6 bulan)	1.34	.63	1.00	.
		Treshold (3 bulan)	719.88	160.27	554.00	.
		Impedance (3 bulan)	1.00	.00	1.00	.

		Threshold (1 bulan)	820.38	230.87	504.00	.
		Impedance (1 bulan)	1.00	.00	1.00	.
		Amplitudo (1 bulan)	4.30	.91	1.80	.
		Amplitudo (3 bulan)	4.35	.95	1.90	.
		Amplitudo (6 bulan)	4.39	.92	1.90	.
		Threshold (6 bulan)	784.63	143.48	590.00	.
	HFmrEF	Impedance (6 bulan)	1.00	.00	1.10	.14
		Threshold (3 bulan)	806.00	21.21	742.00	111.72
		Impedance (3 bulan)	1.00	.00	1.00	.00
		Threshold (1 bulan)	812.00	26.87	712.00	125.87
		Impedance (1 bulan)	1.00	.00	1.00	.00
		Amplitudo (1 bulan)	2.95	.92	1.40	.85
		Amplitudo (3 bulan)	3.10	.71	.90	.28
		Amplitudo (6 bulan)	3.10	.85	1.60	.85
		Threshold (6 bulan)	821.00	84.85	642.00	111.72
	NormoEF	Impedance (6 bulan)	1.09	.20	1.06	.24
		Threshold (3 bulan)	999.11	458.25	746.63	101.43
		Impedance (3 bulan)	1.17	.33	1.02	.20
		Threshold (1 bulan)	908.56	265.33	691.95	106.32
		Impedance (1 bulan)	1.15	.33	.97	.07
		Amplitudo (1 bulan)	4.72	2.26	2.37	.71
		Amplitudo (3 bulan)	4.72	2.45	2.52	.78
		Amplitudo (6 bulan)	4.76	2.55	2.68	.96
		Threshold (6 bulan)	998.41	230.55	813.42	157.22

			Jenis Intervensi			
			HBP		RVP	
			Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
Diagnosis TAVB (riwayat ACS dan atau HF)	Impedance (6 bulan)		1.00	.00	1.08	.10
	Treshold (3 bulan)		762.75	264.63	694.25	110.49
	Impedance (3 bulan)		1.00	.00	1.00	.00
	Treshold (1 bulan)		811.50	175.91	636.75	122.61
	Impedance (1 bulan)		1.00	.00	1.00	.00
	Amplitudo (1 bulan)		5.65	1.55	2.08	.65
	Amplitudo (3 bulan)		5.98	1.75	2.10	1.12
	Amplitudo (6 bulan)		6.00	1.73	2.33	.96
	Treshold (6 bulan)		843.00	264.36	738.25	114.27
SND	Impedance (6 bulan)		1.15	.41	1.25	.50
	Treshold (3 bulan)		838.00	223.98	667.75	9.50
	Impedance (3 bulan)		1.06	.23	1.20	.40
	Treshold (1 bulan)		833.05	185.95	607.75	50.04
	Impedance (1 bulan)		1.05	.23	.98	.05
	Amplitudo (1 bulan)		4.14	1.73	1.88	.92
	Amplitudo (3 bulan)		4.03	1.78	1.93	.99
	Amplitudo (6 bulan)		3.99	1.90	2.05	.95
	Treshold (6 bulan)		899.33	222.92	662.75	106.71