

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] A. Campanile, V. Piscopo, and A. Scamardella, "Conditional reliability of bulk carriers damaged by ship collisions," *Mar. Struct.*, vol. 58, no. December 2017, pp. 321–341, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.marstruc.2017.12.003.
- [2] Y. Garbatov, S. Saad-Eldeen, and C. Guedes Soares, "Hull girder ultimate strength assessment based on experimental results and the dimensional theory," *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 100, pp. 742–750, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2015.06.003.
- [3] B. Liu, "Analytical method to assess double-hull ship structures subjected to bulbous bow collision," *Ocean Eng.*, vol. 142, no. September 2016, pp. 27–38, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2017.06.062.
- [4] B. Liu and C. Guedes Soares, "Assessment of the strength of double-hull tanker side structures in minor ship collisions," *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 120, pp. 1–12, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2016.04.011.
- [5] B. Liu, P. T. Pedersen, L. Zhu, and S. Zhang, "Review of experiments and calculation procedures for ship collision and grounding damage," *Mar. Struct.*, vol. 59, no. January, pp. 105–121, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.marstruc.2018.01.008.
- [6] M. Z. Muis Alie, "Simplified approach on the ultimate hull girder strength of asymmetrically damaged ships," *Int. J. Offshore Polar Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 200–205, 2018, doi: 10.17736/ijope.2018.jc708.
- [7] M. Z. Muis Alie, "Residual Strength Analysis of Asymmetrically Damaged Ship Hull Girder Using Beam Finite Element Method," *Makara J. Technol.*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 7, 2016, doi: 10.7454/mst.v20i1.3049.
- [8] M. Z. M. Alie and S. I. Latumahina, "Progressive collapse analysis of the local elements and ultimate strength of a Ro-Ro Ship," *Int. J. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1065–1074, 2019, doi: 10.14716/ijtech.v10i5.1768.
- [9] M. Z. M. Alie, "The Effect Of Symmetrical And Asymmetrical Configuration Shapes On Buckling And Fatigue Strength Analysis Of Fixed Offshore Platforms," *Int. J. Technol.*, pp. 1107–1116, 2016.
- [10] M. Z. Muis Alie and R. Adiputra, "Investigation on the Ship Hull Girder

- Strength With Grounding Damage,” *Makara J. Technol.*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 88, 2018, doi: 10.7454/mst.v22i2.3355.
- [11] N. Z. Chen, “Hull girder reliability assessment for FPSOs,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 114, no. March 2013, pp. 135–147, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2016.02.016.
- [12] J. Parunov, S. Rudan, and B. Bužančić Primorac, “Residual ultimate strength assessment of double hull oil tanker after collision,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 148, pp. 704–717, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2017.07.008.
- [13] S. R. Cho, D. N. C. Le, J. H. Jeong, P. A. Frieze, and H. K. Shin, “Development of simple design-oriented procedure for predicting the collision damage of FPSO caisson protection structures,” *Ocean Eng.*, vol. 142, no. September, pp. 458–469, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.oceaneng.2017.07.036.
- [14] M. Tekgoz, Y. Garbatov, and C. Guedes Soares, “Strength assessment of an intact and damaged container ship subjected to asymmetrical bending loadings,” *Mar. Struct.*, vol. 58, no. October 2017, pp. 172–198, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.marstruc.2017.11.006.
- [15] BKI. 2021. Rules For Classification And Construction.
- [16] IACS 2014. Common Structural Rules for Bulk Carriers and Oil Tanker.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Tabel Perhitungan Kekuatan Batas Kapal Tanker T3 menggunakan Metode NLFEA Kondisi *Sagging* dan *Hogging*

<i>Sagging</i>		<i>Hogging</i>	
<i>Bending Moment</i>	<i>Curvature</i>	<i>Bending Moment</i>	<i>Curvature</i>
0	0	0	0
-4,00E+11	-4,48E-03	2,00E+12	2,24E-02
-8,00E+11	-8,96E-03	4,00E+12	4,48E-02
-1,20E+12	-1,34E-02	6,01E+12	6,72E-02
-1,60E+12	-1,79E-02	8,02E+12	8,96E-02
-2,00E+12	-2,24E-02	1,00E+13	1,12E-01
-2,39E+12	-2,69E-02	1,20E+13	1,34E-01
-2,79E+12	-3,14E-02	1,23E+13	1,57E-01
-3,19E+12	-3,58E-02	1,28E+13	1,79E-01
-3,58E+12	-4,03E-02	1,30E+13	2,02E-01
-3,98E+12	-4,48E-02	1,29E+13	2,24E-01
-4,37E+12	-4,93E-02		
-4,76E+12	-5,38E-02		
-5,16E+12	-5,82E-02		
-5,54E+12	-6,27E-02		
-5,93E+12	-6,72E-02		
-6,32E+12	-7,17E-02		
-6,70E+12	-7,62E-02		
-7,09E+12	-8,07E-02		
-7,46E+12	-8,51E-02		
-7,72E+12	-8,96E-02		
-8,05E+12	-9,41E-02		
-8,32E+12	-9,86E-02		
-8,54E+12	-1,03E-01		
-8,92E+12	-1,08E-01		
-9,00E+12	-1,12E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,16E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,21E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,25E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,30E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,34E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,39E-01		
-8,59E+12	-1,43E-01		
-8,60E+12	-1,48E-01		
-8,60E+12	-1,52E-01		
-8,60E+12	-1,57E-01		
-8,60E+12	-1,61E-01		

-8,60E+12	-1,66E-01
-8,60E+12	-1,70E-01
-8,60E+12	-1,75E-01
-8,60E+12	-1,79E-01
-8,60E+12	-1,84E-01
-8,60E+12	-1,88E-01
-8,61E+12	-1,93E-01

Lampiran 2. Tabel Perhitungan Kekuatan Batas Kapal Tanker T3 BKI menggunakan Metode NLFEA Kondisi *Sagging* dan *Hogging*

<i>Sagging</i>		<i>Hogging</i>	
<i>Bending Moment</i>	<i>Curvature</i>	<i>Bending Moment</i>	<i>Curvature</i>
0	0	0	0
-9,99E+11	-0,01	2,00E+12	0,02
-2,00E+12	-0,02	4,00E+12	0,04
-3,00E+12	-0,03	5,95E+12	0,06
-4,00E+12	-0,04	7,85E+12	0,08
-5,00E+12	-0,05	9,13E+12	0,10
-6,01E+12	-0,07	9,74E+12	0,12
-7,01E+12	-0,08	9,74E+12	0,12
-8,01E+12	-0,09	9,88E+12	0,14
-8,01E+12	-0,10	1,00E+13	0,17
-8,01E+12	-0,10	1,01E+13	0,18
-7,93E+12	-0,22	1,01E+13	0,21
		1,01E+13	0,21
		1,02E+13	0,21
		1,02E+13	0,21
		1,02E+13	0,21
		1,02E+13	0,21
		1,02E+13	0,22
		1,02E+13	0,22
		1,03E+13	0,22
		1,03E+13	0,22
		1,03E+13	0,22

Lampiran 3. Tabel Perhitungan Kekuatan Batas Kapal Tanker T3 IACS menggunakan Metode NLFEA Kondisi *Sagging* dan *Hogging*

<i>Sagging</i>		<i>Hogging</i>	
<i>Bending Moment</i>	<i>Curvature</i>	<i>Bending Moment</i>	<i>Curvature</i>
0	0	0	0
-1,00E+12	-0,01	1,00E+12	0.01
-2,00E+12	-0,02	2,00E+12	0.02
-3,00E+12	-0,04	2,99E+12	0.04
-4,01E+12	-0,05	3,98E+12	0.05
-5,01E+12	-0,06	4,97E+12	0.06
-6,01E+12	-0,07	5,94E+12	0.07
-7,01E+12	-0,08	6,91E+12	0.08
-7,12E+12	-0,10	7,84E+12	0.09
-7,24E+12	-0,19	8,59E+12	0.10
-7,33E+12	-0,19	9,08E+12	0.20
-7,33E+12	-0,19	9,08E+12	0.21
-7,33E+12	-0,19	9,08E+12	0.21
		9,08E+12	0.21
		9,09E+12	0.21