DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Adams, D.A., Nelson, R.R., and Todd, P.A., 1992, "*Perceived Usefulness, Ease of Use and Usage of Information Technology: a replication*," *MIS Quarterly,* Vol.16, No.2, pp. 227-247.

Adiwibowo, Lili, Rati Hurriyati, dan Maya Sari, 2010. Analisis Perilaku Pengguna Teknologi Informasi pada Perguruan Tinggi Berstatus BHMN (Studi Penerapan Teknologi Informasi pada FPEB-Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia). Artikel (www.google.co.id/search, diakses 12 Nopember 2010)

Ajzen, I., 2002, "*Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and the Theory of Planned Behavior*," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol. 32, pp. 665-683.

Baron, Reuben M., and David A. Kenny, 1986, "*The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology,* Vol. 51, No. 6, pp. 1173-1182.

Compeau, D.R., and Higgins, C.A., 1995, "*Application of Social Cognitive Theory to Training for Computer Skill*," *Information Sistems Research*, Vol.6, No.2, pp. 118-143.

Compeau, D.R., and Higgins, C.A., dan Huff Sid, 1999, "*Social Cognitive Theory and Individual Reactions to Computing Technology: A Longitudinal Study*," *MIS Quarterly,* Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 145-158

Davis, Fred D., Richard P. Bagozzi, and Paul R. Warshaw, 1989, "*User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theorical Models*," *Management Science,* Vol.39, No.8, pp. 983-1003.

_____, 1989, "*Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and Acceptance of Information Sistem Technology*," *MIS Quarterly,* Vol.13, No.3, pp.319-339.

_____, Richard P. Bagozzi, and Paul R. Warshaw, 1992, "*Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation to Use Computers in the Workplace*," *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol.22, No.14, pp. 1111- 1132.

Ghozali, I., 2005, *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan program SPSS,* Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.

Goodhue and Thompson, 1995, "*Task – Technology Fit and Individual Performance,*" *MIS Quartely,* June, pp 213 – 236.

Hall, J.A., 2001, *Sistem Informasi Akuntansi,* Edisi 3, Salemba Empat. Jakarta.

Hamzah, Ardi. 2009. Pengaruh Ekspektasi Kinerja, Ekspektasi Usaha, Faktor Sosial, Kesesuaian Tugas dan Kondisi yang Memfasilitasi Pemakai Terhadap Minat pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi (Studi Empiris Pada Pemerintahan Kabupaten di Pulau Madura). Simposium Nasional Sistem Teknologi Informasi 1. Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadja Mada.

Handayani, Rini, 2007. Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Minat Pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi Dan Penggunaan Sistem Informasi (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Bursa Efek Jakarta). Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 10. Makassar: Universitas Hasanuddin.

Haryanti, Caecilia Sri. 2004. Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Pemanfaatan Personal Computer (Studi Empiris di Terboyo Semarang). Tesis Program Pasca Sarjana UNDIP (tidak dipublikasikan).

Husein, Muhammad Fachri. 2003. Sistem Informasi Akuntansi. Akademi Manajemen Perusahaan YKPN.

Hsu, M. H. dan Chiu, C. M., 2004. "*Predicting Electronic Service Continuance with a Decomposed Theory of Planned Behaviour,*" *Behaviour and Information Technology,* Vol. 23, pp. 359-373

Igbaria, Magid, Nancy Zinatelly, Paul Cragg, and Angele L.M. Cavaye, 1997. "*Personal Computing Acceptance Factors in Small Firms: A Structural Equation Model*". *MIS Quarterly,* September, pp 279-305.

Jogiyanto, 2004. Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis: Salah Kaprah dan pengalaman-pengalaman, BPFE, Yogyakarta.

_____, 2007. Sistem Informasi Keperilakuan. Edisis Revisi. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi

_____, 2009. Sistem Teknologi Informasi. Edisi ketiga. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi

Kadir, Abdul, 2003. Pengenalan Sistem Informasi. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi

Kartika, Shinta Eka, 2009. "Analisis Proses Penerimaan Sistem Informasi Icons Dengan Menggunakan Technology *Acceptance* Model Pada Karyawan PT.Bank Negara Indonesia (Persero) Tbk. Di Kota Semarang". Tesis Program Pasca Sarjana UNDIP (tidak dipublikasikan).

Lubis, Arfan Ikhsan, 2010. Akuntansi Keperilakuan. Jakarta: Salemba Empat

Maharsi, S., 2000, "Pengaruh Perkembangan Teknologi Informasi Terhadap Bidang Akuntansi Manajemen," *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan,* Vol.2, No.2, November, pp. 127-137.

Maedah, Diana P., 2001, "Studi Empiris Tentang Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Pemanfaatan Personil Computing Dan Dampaknya Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Akuntansi," Tesis Program Pasca Sarjana UNDIP (tidak dipublikasikan).

Milanda, Devi Putri. 2009. Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Penggunaan Teknologi Informasi Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan di STIE YKPN. Tesis Program Pasca Sarjana UGM (tidak dipublikasikan).

Moore, G.C., and Benbasat, I., 1991, "*Development of an Instrument to Measure the Perception of Adopting an Information Technology Innovation*," *Information Sistem Research,* Vol.2, No.3, pp. 192-222.

Nurchayati, 2002, Aplikasi *Model of Utilization* pada Penggunaan *Personal Computer*: Studi Empiris pada Perguruan Tinggi di Jawa Tengah. Tesis Program Pasca Sarjana UNDIP (tidak dipublikasikan).

Romney, M dan Steinbart, P. 2006. Sistem Informasi Akuntansi. Edisi Sembilan. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Sheppard,B.H., Hartwick, J., and Warshaw, P.R., 1988, "*The Theory of Reasoned Action: A Meta-Analysis of Past Research with Recommendations for Modifications and Future Research*," *Journal of Consumer Research,* Vol.15, No.3, pp. 325-343.

Suharyadi dan Purwanto S.K., 2004, Statistika untuk ekonomi dan keuangan modern. Jakarta: Salemba empat.

Taylor, and Todd, P. A., 1995a, *"Assessing IT Usage: The Role of Prior Experience," MIS Quarterly,* Vol.19, No. 2, pp. 561-570

_____, and Todd, P.A., 1995b, "*Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models*," *Information Sistems Research.* No.6, pp. 144-176.

Thompson, R.L., Higgins, C.A., and Howell, J.W., 1991, "*Personal Computing: Toward a Conceptual Model of Utilization*," *MIS Quarterly,* March, Vol.15, No.1, pp.124-143.

Venkatesh, and Moris, M.G., 2000, "*Why Don't Men Ever Stop to Ask for Directions? Gender, Social Influence and Their Role in Technology Acceptance and Usage Behavior*," *MIS Quarterly,* Vol.24, No.1, March, pp 115-139.

_____, Moris, M.G., and Ackerman, P.L., 2000, "*A Longitudinal Field Investigation of Gender Differences in Individual Technology Adoption Decision Making Processes*," *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes,* Vol.83, No.1, pp 33-60.

_____, Moris, M.G., Davis, G.B., and Davis F.D., 2003, "*User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View*," *MIS Querterly*, Vol.27, No.3, September, pp.425-475.

_____, and Davis, F.D., 2000, "*A Theoritical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies*," *Management Science,* Vol.46, No.2, Pebruari, pp.186-204.

Westland, J. C., and Clark, T. H. K. *Global Electronic Commerce: Theory and Case Studies*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000.

Yiu, Chi Sing., Kevin Grant, and David Edgar, 2007, "*Factors Affecting The Adoption Of Internet Banking In Hong Kong—Implications For The Banking Sector International*", *Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 27, pp. 336–351.

Yusoff, Yuslisa Mohd *et. al.,* 2009. *"Individual Differences, Perceived Ease of Use, and Perceived Usefulness in the E-Library Usage"*, *Computer and Information Science,* Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 76-83.

LAMPIRAN

Kuesioner

Responden yang terhormat,

Dalam rangka menyelesaikan tugas akhir program magister, penulis berusaha melakukan pengumpulan data tentang analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi minat pemanfaatan sistem informasi dan penggunaan sistem informasi. Untuk itu, besar harapan penulis agar responden bersedia untuk menjawab pertanyaan-pertanyaan yang sudah tersedia di dalam angket ini. Atas kesediannya, penulis mengucapkan terima kasih.

Hormat saya,

Hasyim M

Profil Responden

Berilah tanda tick (√) untuk setiap jawaban yang menurut anda paling sesuai dengan diri anda!

Nama : ………………………………………………………………………(Boleh tidak diisi)

Jenis kelamin : ☐ Pria ☐ Wanita   Berpengalaman memakai sistem : ☐ Ya   ☐ Tidak

Usia : ☐ 18 - 25 tahun   ☐ 26 - 30 tahun   ☐ 31-40 tahun   ☐ > 40 tahun

Pendidikan: ☐ SLTA ☐ Diploma ☐ Sarjana ☐ Pasca Sarjana

Petunjuk :

Berilah tanda tick (√) pada jawaban yang anda anggap paling mewakili diri anda, di kolom yang telah disediakan. Anda diminta untuk memberikan opini atas pernyataan-pernyataan di bawah ini.

Keterangan :
STS = Sangat Tidak Setuju; **TS** = Tidak Setuju; **BS**= Biasa Saja; **S** = Setuju; **SS** = Sangat Setuju

Pernyataan	Opini				
	STS	TS	BS	S	SS
Ekspektasi Kinerja					
Persepsi Kegunaan					
1 Penggunaan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) membantu proses penyelesaian pekerjaan saya	1	2	3	4	5
Keuntungan Relatif					
1 Penggunaan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) mempercepat penyelesaian pekerjaan saya	1	2	3	4	5
2 Penggunaan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) meningkatkan efektivitas pekerjaan saya	1	2	3	4	5
Ekspektasi Hasil					
1 Penggunaan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) meningkatkan pengalaman dan keterampilan saya	1	2	3	4	5
Ekspektasi Usaha					
Persepsi kemudahan penggunaan					
1 Saya tidak melakukan kesalahan berulang bila menggunakan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5
2 Adalah mudah bagi saya untuk menjadi mahir bila menggunakan system ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5
3 Adalah mudah bagi saya menggunakan system ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5

Pernyataan	Opini				
	STS	TS	BS	S	SS
Ekspektasi Usaha (Lanjutan)					
Mudah digunakan					
1 Belajar mengoperasikan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) mudah bagi saya	1	2	3	4	5
Pengaruh Sosial					
Norma Subyektif					
1 Kreditur atau pihak perbankan mendukung/menyarakan untuk mengadopsi suatu system (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5
2 Perusahaan lain pengguna system dan atau pemasok software sistem memotivasi penggunaan system ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5
Faktor Sosial					
1 Pemegang saham perusahaan ini mendukung penggunaan system ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5
2 Secara umum, perusahaan dalam lingkup grup perusahaan ini telah mendukung penggunaan system ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5
Minat Pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi					
1 Saya berkeinginan untuk menggunakan system ini (Misal: Software SAP) dalam pekerjaan saya	1	2	3	4	5
2 Saya memprediksi bahwa saya akan menggunakan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) setiap hari kerja	1	2	3	4	5
3 Saya akan menggunakan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) esok hari dalam menyelesaikan pekerjaan saya	1	2	3	4	5
Penggunaan Sistem Informasi					
1 Saya menggunakan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) dalam pekerjaan saya	1	2	3	4	5
2 Saya menggunakan sistem ini (Misal: Software SAP) setiap hari kerja	1	2	3	4	5
3 Saya menggunakan beberapa modul (Misal: transaksi, view laporan, cetak dokumen/laporan) dalam system ini (Misal: Software SAP)	1	2	3	4	5

Sekian dan terima kasih banyak atas partisipasinya

Correlations

[DataSet1] D:\Hasyim M\Semester IV\Semester IV\Tesis STI\Proposal\DATA BAB IV\TAB KUE.sav

Correlations

		EK1	EK2	EK3	EK4	EKSKIN
EK1	Pearson Correlation	1	.698(**)	.529(**)	.369(**)	.789(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.002	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EK2	Pearson Correlation	.698(**)	1	.759(**)	.413(**)	.898(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EK3	Pearson Correlation	.529(**)	.759(**)	1	.453(**)	.863(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EK4	Pearson Correlation	.369(**)	.413(**)	.453(**)	1	.679(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.000	.000		.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EKSKIN	Pearson Correlation	.789(**)	.898(**)	.863(**)	.679(**)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	69	69	69	69	69

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		EU1	EU2	EU3	EU4	EKSUS
EU1	Pearson Correlation	1	.321(**)	.531(**)	.425(**)	.698(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.007	.000	.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EU2	Pearson Correlation	.321(**)	1	.692(**)	.477(**)	.777(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.007		.000	.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EU3	Pearson Correlation	.531(**)	.692(**)	1	.598(**)	.875(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EU4	Pearson Correlation	.425(**)	.477(**)	.598(**)	1	.821(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
EKSUS	Pearson Correlation	.698(**)	.777(**)	.875(**)	.821(**)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	69	69	69	69	69

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		FS1	FS2	FS3	FS4	FAKSOS
FS1	Pearson Correlation	1	.348(**)	.193	.164	.635(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.003	.112	.179	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
FS2	Pearson Correlation	.348(**)	1	.215	.269(*)	.646(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.003		.077	.026	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
FS3	Pearson Correlation	.193	.215	1	.526(**)	.713(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.112	.077		.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
FS4	Pearson Correlation	.164	.269(*)	.526(**)	1	.730(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.179	.026	.000		.000
	N	69	69	69	69	69
FAKSOS	Pearson Correlation	.635(**)	.646(**)	.713(**)	.730(**)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	69	69	69	69	69

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		MP1	MP2	MP3	MINPEM
MP1	Pearson Correlation	1	.729(**)	.695(**)	.889(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69
MP2	Pearson Correlation	.729(**)	1	.726(**)	.911(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69
MP3	Pearson Correlation	.695(**)	.726(**)	1	.902(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	69	69	69	69
MINPEM	Pearson Correlation	.889(**)	.911(**)	.902(**)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	69	69	69	69

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		PP1	PP2	PP3	PERPENG
PP1	Pearson Correlation	1	.759(**)	.707(**)	.916(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69
PP2	Pearson Correlation	.759(**)	1	.722(**)	.911(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	N	69	69	69	69
PP3	Pearson Correlation	.707(**)	.722(**)	1	.889(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	N	69	69	69	69
PERPENG	Pearson Correlation	.916(**)	.911(**)	.889(**)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	69	69	69	69

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	69	100.0
	Excluded(a)	0	.0
	Total	69	100.0

a Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.825	.822	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
EK1	4.4783	.53161	69
EK2	4.3188	.65288	69
EK3	4.3188	.65288	69
EK4	4.3478	.56428	69

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	EK1	EK2	EK3	EK4
EK1	1.000	.698	.529	.369
EK2	.698	1.000	.759	.413
EK3	.529	.759	1.000	.453
EK4	.369	.413	.453	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
EK1	12.9855	2.456	.644	.496	.785
EK2	13.1449	1.949	.787	.698	.709
EK3	13.1449	2.038	.723	.599	.744
EK4	13.1159	2.633	.469	.229	.853

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
17.4638	3.811	1.95222	4

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	69	100.0
	Excluded(a)	0	.0
	Total	69	100.0

a   Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.795	.805	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
EU1	3.9130	.58760	69
EU2	4.0145	.62996	69
EU3	4.1739	.56767	69
EU4	3.8986	.78861	69

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	EU1	EU2	EU3	EU4
EU1	1.000	.321	.531	.425
EU2	.321	1.000	.692	.477
EU3	.531	.692	1.000	.598
EU4	.425	.477	.598	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
EU1	12.0870	2.845	.497	.306	.793
EU2	11.9855	2.573	.597	.489	.748
EU3	11.8261	2.469	.776	.629	.671
EU4	12.1014	2.151	.607	.383	.758

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
16.0000	4.176	2.04364	4

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	69	100.0
	Excluded(a)	0	.0
	Total	69	100.0

a   Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.613	.615	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
FS1	3.9565	.57993	69
FS2	3.9275	.49464	69
FS3	4.3913	.54819	69
FS4	4.2464	.57919	69

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	FS1	FS2	FS3	FS4
FS1	1.000	.348	.193	.164
FS2	.348	1.000	.215	.269
FS3	.193	.215	1.000	.526
FS4	.164	.269	.526	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
FS1	12.5652	1.485	.306	.136	.610
FS2	12.5942	1.539	.383	.169	.552
FS3	12.1304	1.380	.445	.290	.504
FS4	12.2754	1.320	.449	.302	.498

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
16.5217	2.253	1.50107	4

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	69	100.0
	Excluded(a)	0	.0
	Total	69	100.0

a   Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.882	.884	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
MP1	4.3333	.50488	69
MP2	4.2609	.55973	69
MP3	4.2319	.57253	69

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	MP1	MP2	MP3
MP1	1.000	.729	.695
MP2	.729	1.000	.726
MP3	.695	.726	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
MP1	8.4928	1.107	.766	.589	.841
MP2	8.5652	.985	.790	.624	.816
MP3	8.5942	.980	.765	.586	.840

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
12.8261	2.175	1.47485	3

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	69	100.0
	Excluded(a)	0	.0
	Total	69	100.0

a   Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.888	.890	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PP1	4.3043	.57661	69
PP2	4.2754	.51117	69
PP3	4.3333	.50488	69

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	PP1	PP2	PP3
PP1	1.000	.759	.707
PP2	.759	1.000	.722
PP3	.707	.722	1.000

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PP1	8.6087	.889	.790	.629	.838
PP2	8.6377	.999	.803	.645	.824
PP3	8.5797	1.041	.761	.581	.860

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
12.9130	2.081	1.44242	3

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed(b)

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	FAKSOS, EKSKIN, EKSUS(a)	.	Enter

a   All requested variables entered.
b   Dependent Variable: MINPEM

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.788(a)	.621	.603	.92897

a   Predictors: (Constant), FAKSOS, EKSKIN, EKSUS

ANOVA(b)

| Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	91.819	3	30.606	35.466	.000(a)
	Residual	56.094	65	.863		
	Total	147.913	68			

a   Predictors: (Constant), FAKSOS, EKSKIN, EKSUS
b   Dependent Variable: MINPEM

Coefficients(a)

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	-.756	1.340		-.564	.574		
	EKSKIN	.239	.067	.316	3.584	.001	.751	1.332
	EKSUS	.161	.070	.222	2.299	.025	.623	1.604
	FAKSOS	.414	.097	.422	4.265	.000	.597	1.675

a   Dependent Variable: MINPEM

Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual

Dependent Variable: MINPEM

Coefficients(a)

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	-.756	1.340		-.564	.574
	EKSKIN	.239	.067	.316	3.584	.001
	EKSUS	.161	.070	.222	2.299	.025
	FAKSOS	.414	.097	.422	4.265	.000

a   Dependent Variable: MINPEM

Variables Entered/Removed(b)

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	MINPEM, EKSUS, EKSKIN, FAKSOS(a)	.	Enter

a   All requested variables entered.
b   Dependent Variable: PERPENG

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.887(a)	.787	.773	.68670

a   Predictors: (Constant), MINPEM, EKSUS, EKSKIN, FAKSOS

ANOVA(b)

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	111.298	4	27.825	59.005	.000(a)
	Residual	30.180	64	.472		
	Total	141.478	68			

a   Predictors: (Constant), MINPEM, EKSUS, EKSKIN, FAKSOS
b   Dependent Variable: PERPENG

Coefficients(a)

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.497	.993		.500	.619
	EKSKIN	.091	.054	.123	1.683	.097
	EKSUS	.103	.054	.146	1.922	.059
	FAKSOS	.041	.081	.042	.501	.618
	MINPEM	.663	.092	.678	7.235	.000

a   Dependent Variable: PERPENG

Coefficients(a)

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	4.976	1.251		3.979	.000
	EKSKIN	.454	.071	.615	6.386	.000

a   Dependent Variable: PERPENG

Coefficients(a)

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	5.758	1.076		5.352	.000
	EKSUS	.447	.067	.634	6.703	.000

a   Dependent Variable: PERPENG

Coefficients(a)

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.439	1.464		1.667	.100
	FAKSOS	.634	.088	.660	7.185	.000

a   Dependent Variable: PERPENG