

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Reducing air pollution from broiler farms

To cite this article: C Hidayat *et al* 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **788** 012150

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

## Reducing air pollution from broiler farms

C Hidayat<sup>1</sup>, S Purwanti<sup>2</sup>, Komarudin<sup>1</sup> and Rahman<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Indonesian Research Institute for Animal Production, Ciawi, Bogor, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Hasanudin, Makassar

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Universitas Halu Oleo, Palu

E-mail: sripurwanti@unhas.ac.id

**Abstract.** Broiler farms are the main supplier of national meat needs in Indonesia. Production of broilers in Indonesia continues to increase every year as a consequence of the Indonesian population increase. The main issue in animal production is environmental pollution including in broiler production. This study aimed to identify the source of air pollution related to broiler farms and how to overcome air pollution from broiler farms. The result of the study showed that there were several primary air pollutions from broiler farms, i.e., the pollution from emissions of ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, greenhouse gasses, odor, and dust. Generally, air pollution from the broiler farm resulted mainly from broiler manure. It has been concluded that manure management is the main way in the reduction of air pollution from broiler farms. Management of feeding is the other way to reduce air pollution from broiler farms, it is because the air pollution from broiler manure resulted from excess nutrients from feed which released through manure. Applying feed additives and waste additive is the other way which recommendation to reduce air pollution from broiler farms, besides other kinds of approaches.

### 1. Introduction

Broiler farms are the main supplier of national meat needs in Indonesia. The level of meat production of broilers in Indonesia continues to increase every year from 2013 to 2016 has increased by 3.10; 5.43; 17.02%, respectively [1]. This steady increase in demand for broiler meat in Indonesia above caused by the increasing Indonesian people population, so that requires more broiler production in the future. The side effect of broiler production is resulting in air pollution. Broiler farms may increase air pollution, i.e., harmful emissions to the atmospheric air, and increase the risk of odour nuisance. Broiler farms resulted in some gasses which affected odor to the environment, some gasses released from broiler farms, i.e., methyl mercaptan, dimethyl trisulphide, dimethyl disulphide, hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S), and dimethyl sulphide [2]. Besides, undesirable odors from broiler farms caused by the concentration of sulfuric gas, ammonia, and methane, to the suspension of particulate matter and dust [3]. Furthermore, manure chickens are among the main contributors to ammonia emissions, globally [4].



The unpleasant odor is the most sensible form of pollution to humans [5]. Accordingly, in many cases of Indonesian farmers, air pollution frequently became the reason why several broiler farms have to close because being denied by many people which has a house that located neared to the broiler farms. Recently, environmental issues, mainly for air pollution, becoming a critical point to the animal production industry which invited criticism to animal production [6]. Therefore, several studies reported that air pollutants presence has a negative effect on animals and people, particularly impact on respiratory diseases [7]. Furthermore, poultry feeding operations have relation to diminished air quality through excreta [8]. In the other side, the quality of air is one of the most influenced factors to support the production of poultry [9]. Accordingly, it is necessary to study of caused factors that affect air pollution by broiler farms and how to reduce the real impacts of air pollution from broiler farms. Hence, the aim of this review article was to identify the source of air pollution related to broiler farms and how to overcome air pollution from broiler farms.

## **2. Broiler farms and air pollution**

There are three most important topics related to the air quality of animal production, ie., ammonia, odor, and dust [10]. Meanwhile, air pollutions related to animal production are volatile organic compounds (VOC), odors, ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, methane, nitric oxide, nitrous oxide, particulate matter (PM)/dust [11]. The other analysis stated that broiler farms through broiler manure identified as the one of contributor in the greenhouse gas emission. It is because broiler manure has been identified producing carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub>, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) [12]. Air pollution caused by the addition of components which are normally absent in natural air composition. Some parameters related to air quality are carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and aerosolized particulates or dust [13]. The most main gaseous pollutants from poultry farms are hydrogen sulphide, methane, ammonia, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide. Meanwhile, other air pollution, namely odor [14]. The odor from broiler farms produced by a combination of hundreds of odorants, which may be classified as volatile organic compounds (VOC) or gases such as NH<sub>3</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>S [15]. Odor released in animal production caused by incomplete anaerobic decomposition of stored manure, in which these processes resulted in malodors [16]. If there are insufficient populations of bacteria that degrade these compounds, malodorous are produced and accumulate during these decomposition process. Conversely, if the odor substrate is completely decomposed, the odorless gases are produced. Accordingly, in poultry production, the management of litter storage is the main factor related to odor produced in those farms [17].

High levels of odor have a negative effect not only to the environment but also reportedly reduce growth performance and increase susceptibility to disease [18]. Besides, odor also has a negative effect on worker health. Poultry manure is the main source of air pollution from poultry production. Poultry manure can be an air pollution source from broiler farms through ammonia volatilization. Ammonia emissions from poultry farms produced from the process of aerobic and anaerobic bacterial fermentation of nitrogen which happen in the manure [19]. Ammonia volatilization resulted from N in poultry manure, in which most of the N in poultry manure (>50%) losing through a process of volatilization of ammonia [20]. Ammonia volatilization from poultry manure will happen because of poultry manure contains N compounds including uric acid, urea, ammonia/ammonium, and undigested proteins. Some factors which affected the volatilization process, i.e., dry matter content, physical properties of the feces, acidity (pH), and temperature [21]. NH<sub>3</sub> and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions lead to conditions detrimental to the environment [22]. NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from manure make affected problem on chicken farms, either for chicken or farm worker [23]. The maximum concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> level at poultry farms is 7 ppm [24]. Meanwhile, ammonia reduced poultry production, the study by Anderson et al. [25] showed that maximum doses of ammonia in poultry farms are 20 ppm. Furthermore, ammonia exposure has a negative impact on the system of respiratory and then caused irritation to mucous membranes in the eyes of poultry [26]. Ammonia is not volatilized at a pH of 7 or

below, because all of the ammonia is bound as ammonium. Furthermore, the volatilization of ammonia increases at high temperatures [27]. Generally, inorganic N form in manure is ammonium, increasing pH will convert ammonium to ammonia [28]. Ammonia volatilization is affected by manure moisture content. N retention of the manure is related to manure moisture content, in which manure moisture tends to decrease with storage time [29].

The moisture content of poultry manure has a negative correlation to the height of the manure pile [30]. The one kind of air pollution from broiler farms is Hydrogen sulfide. Hydrogen sulfide is malodorous gas which has a highly toxic characteristic. Hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ) is formed in chicken farms when decomposition process of organic compound which contain sulfur in manure and broken eggs happened under anaerobic conditions [31].  $H_2S$  resulted in a negative effect on animal and human.  $H_2S$  affect negatively for animal because of  $H_2S$  exposed animal became more susceptible to pneumonia and respiratory diseases [32]. Tri hundred (300) ppm doses of  $H_2S$  in the air in the long-term exposure caused human death [33]. Greenhouse gasses (Methane/ $CH_4$ ), nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) and carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) produced by agriculture [34]. Even though monogastric methane production lower than ruminant, methane considered as one kind of gas mitigation which produced by poultry [35]. It is because methane is one of the by-products of plant carbohydrates broken down by bacteria in the digestive system of animal (both ruminants and monogastric). Related to broiler farms, methane also produced from storage manure. There are several factors which affecting methane emission, i.e., retention time, temperature, manure composition, and the inhibitor compounds presence [36]. One kind of air pollution from broiler farms is dust. The dust has been considered as a serious contaminant [37]. The dust from poultry farms contained 3,4-dimethylphenol and L,6-dimethyl phenol [38]. Combination of dust and  $NH_3$  reported found in the farm's environment [39]. Odorous compounds tend to be carried through dust particles [17].

### **3. The way to reduce air pollution from broiler farms**

There are several kinds of main air pollution produced by broiler farms, i.e., ammonia emission, hydrogen sulfide emission, greenhouse gasses (GHG) emission, odor pollution, and dust pollution. Many studies have reported how to reduce those air pollutions.

#### *3.1. Reducing ammonia emissions*

Ammonia volatilization from broiler farms can be reduced through several approaches. Firstly, manipulating feeding strategies [40]. Secondly, adding additives to feed and manure [41]. Thirdly, manure management [42]. Based on the nutritional aspect, nitrogen content in manure originates from dietary protein, dietary free amino acids, and dietary non-protein nitrogen. Therefore, one strategy to minimize ammonia emission through a nutritional approach can be done through the way of matching protein intake to those broilers required protein. Synthetic amino acids (lysine and methionine) supplementation to the diet reduced total protein intake. Supplementation of acidifying feed additives, such as, gypsum, to diet reduce pH of manure, which reduced the potential for  $NH_3$  emissions [43,44]. Using gypsum as limestone replacer on poultry diet reduced pH of the manure (8.3 to < 7), so that, reduced 15% ammonia emissions from manure [45]. Supplementation of 10% adsorbent additives (zeolites) into the broiler diet reduced aerial  $NH_3$  concentrations up to 8% [46]. Reducing  $NH_3$  volatilization can be done through inhibiting microbial uricase activity in poultry manure [47]. Inhibiting microbial uricase in poultry manure are critical ways to reduce  $NH_3$  volatilization.  $NH_3$  gas from poultry manure produced by uric acid hydrolyzing by microbial uricase. Supplementation 1000 ppm inorganic zinc to laying hens diet reduced 16% ammonia level with no negative effect on performance production [47].

Reducing ammonia volatilization can be done by directing an air flow across the manure, in which this way will accelerate the drying of the manure. Adding acidifier and adsorbent additives to manure have can be reducing  $NH_3$  volatilization [41]. Several researchers reported that there are various acids which have the

ability to reduce ammonia volatilization, i.e., sulfuric acid and hydrochloric acid, chloride and nitrate salts of magnesium and calcium, and base precipitating salts [48–50]. There are adsorbent additives which can adsorb  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , or both, such as zeolites. Zeolites can use as both as a feed additive and waste additive which can reduce ammonia volatilization [51]. Zeolite doses addition for broiler litter are  $5 \text{ kg/m}^2$  which reduced  $\text{NH}_3$  concentrations by up to 35% [46]. Uricase inhibitors addition to manure will inhibit ammonia volatilization. The addition of 3 and 6 g Zn to 600 g poultry manure reduced  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilization approximately 15 and 26%, respectively, during the 3-week incubation. Zn has the ability to block the activity of microbial uricase. Besides Zn, Cu reported has used as a uricase inhibitor [47].

### 3.2. Reducing hydrogen sulfide emissions

Hydrogen sulfide is produced of anaerobic processing from the microbial reduction of sulfate in water and the decomposition of sulfur-containing organic matter in manure.  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  which produced in poultry farms reported harmful for poultry itself, humans, and the environment. There are several ways to reduce  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emission from manure, the first is through agitating the manure, it is aimed to promote  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  release at the manure which results in a much smaller volume of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  in the manure [52]. The second, reducing dietary sulfur, because dietary sulfur is the major source of sulfur in the manure. Lowering dietary sulfur, which can be accomplished by selecting low sulfur content feedstuffs or adding additives which have the ability to improve digestive efficiency [52]. The third way is adding feed-additive to diet. Adding probiotics and prebiotics reduced the amount of left-over S in manure [53]. The moisture content of manure significantly influenced the  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emission from manure, high moisture content of manure resulted in high  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emission from manure [85]. Addition 0.05% chlorine dioxide to broiler diet reported significantly reduced  $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}$  emission up to 62.5% [54]. Reducing  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  on broiler manure resulted with addition 0.2% lactulose to broiler diet as a result of increasing Lactobacilli and decreasing *Escherichia coli* in excreta [55]. Addition probiotic (*Enterococcus faecium*) on poultry diet significantly reduced in  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emissions or total  $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}$  from manure which freshly collected [56,57]. Addition of 105 CFU/kg of *Bacillus subtilis* on broiler diet significantly reduced  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  concentration up to 37.9%. Other report showed that addition of probiotic (*Bacillus subtilis*) significantly reduced  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  concentration on manure up to 29.9% [58]. Addition of 5 g/kg *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* to broiler diet has a significant effect in  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  fecal emission reduction [59]. The addition of 3 g/kg feed (107-8 CFU/g) probiotics (i.e., *Saccharomyces*, *Streptococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Clostridium*, and *Candida* species) on broiler diet reduced manure gaseous compounds ( $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , and  $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}$ ) [60].

Animal housing facilities and manure storage sites significantly influenced the reduction of hydrogen sulfide emission from manure in which manure pH plays a crucial role in emissions of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  [61]. Reduction of emission of  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  produced by higher manure pH.  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  produced maximum at physiological pH (6.5-7.5), in which, 50% inhibition  $\text{S}_2$ - production resulted at pH 4.0 and pH 9.0 [62]. Addition of bacteria and yeast (*Bacillus subtilis* subsp. spizizenii, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Candida inconspicua*, and *Psychrobacter faecalis*) in the water as poultry manure deodorization reduced  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  from the exhaust gas up to 17.5%. *Yucca schidigera* reported has the ability to reduce  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emission from poultry manure [63]. *Yucca schidigera* contain saponin which has the ability to inhibit microbial fermentation of protein [64]. Therefore, adding water which contains *Yucca schidigera* to manure reduced  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  concentration from poultry manure up to 64% [65]. The use of deodorization that using a combination of bacterial species (*Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Bacillus megaterium*, and *Lactobacillus plantarum*) in manure reported significantly reduced  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  concentration from the exhaust air by 60% [66]. The one important thing to reduce  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emission from poultry farms is how to reduce the moisture content of the manure. Therefore, making the good of ventilation system in the poultry farms is the one important way to control manure moisture [67]. Accordingly, good air quality in the poultry farms can be produced through a good ventilation system. So that, minimizing  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  emission. High reduction of

H<sub>2</sub>S concentration up to 98% can be achieved through using *Thiobacillus thioparus* as a biofilter in the condition of manure temperature between 20–37°C. It is because *Thiobacillus thioparus* has the ability to oxidize H<sub>2</sub>S to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, elemental S, SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and S<sup>2-</sup> [68]. Other biofilters, i.e., *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans* and *Hyphomicrobium VS* reduced more than 99.8% H<sub>2</sub>S concentration [69]. Besides, adding sawdust to manure reduced production of H<sub>2</sub>S.

### 3.3. Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emission (GHG) emissions

Broiler manure has been identified producing carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), in which those gasses is greenhouse gasses (GHG) contributor [12]. Greenhouse gas emission (GHG) generally produced by the combustion process. The major contributor of GHG is CO<sub>2</sub>. There are several factors which influenced the amount of GHG emissions from animal manure, i.e., the moisture content of manure, manure temperature, manure loading rate by the animal, depth of manure in the pen, redox potential, available carbon, diets, and microbial process [70]. There are several ways to decrease GHG emissions. First, lowering the temperature of storage manure. Second, making filter tools for ventilation air. Third, facilitating the manure storage house which can reduce anaerobic digestion process. Fourth, management of feed. Fifth, adding acidifier to manure. Sixth, increasing the aeration of the storage manure [71]. Methane produced by the anaerobic process. Therefore, increasing the oxygen level in a manure storage place will inhibit methane emission. Manure management is the main way to minimize GHG emission. There are several options of manure management which reduced GHG emission, i.e., application composting technology, control of aeration, use of amendments, or co-composting manure with other organic waste [72].

### 3.4. Reducing odor pollution

Emission of odor from poultry farms resulted from fresh and decomposing waste products, i.e., bedding litter, manure, carcasses, feathers. Manure storage is the main source of odor emission [73]. Odor from livestock farms produced by complex compound including hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). There are several ways to reduce odor pollution from broiler farms, i.e., using of biofilters, agent of neutralizing, and scrubber of air, besides, application management litter, treatment of ozone, walls for a windbreak, short stack, even though those ways is expensive and unpractical. [74]. Odor emissions from poultry production can be controlled in several approaches. First, cleaning poultry manure as often as possible, recommended cleaning litter can be done once a week for the dry season, and twice a week during the rainy season. Second, the use of a closed house equipped with biofilter and air purifier. Third, reducing manure water content can be done sprinkling rice husk/sawdust regularly to the litter cage. Fourth, adding deodorant products to the feed, or directly in the farms. Fifth, build a cage structure that provides protection against wind exchanges. Sixth, applying feed management [75]. Based on poultry nutrition aspect, the way to reduce odor pollution from broiler farms is how to give broiler diet which has sufficient to broiler nutrient requirement. The principle of odor pollution from animal manure is based on the amount of excessive nutrient which is released through the manure. The major source of odor from broiler farms is litter, in which dominant part of litter is excreta [76]. The content of fecal portion is undigested dietary nutrient, endogenous secretions, and microflora in the gastrointestinal tract, and their metabolites. Feed management has an important role in minimizing odor emission from broiler farms [77].

Many researchers reported that the role of management of feed to odor emission from broiler farms related to the role of dietary nutrient toward gut microflora, fecal microflora, litter moisture content, litter pH, litter water activity, in which all of those affected the odor emission of from litter [78-82]. Using low crude protein (CP) poultry diet reduced odor emission because reducing litter pH, nitrogen excretion, NH<sub>3</sub> emissions, and litter moisture [83]. Furthermore, broiler's low CP diet reduced the concentration of putrefactive metabolites in the caeca (i.e., ammonia, skatole, phenols, amines, indoles, cresol and branched

chain fatty acids) [84]. Nutrient content of diet-related to water intake, therefore, nutrient content has relation to a moisture content of litter, the water activity of litter ( $A_w$ ), litter pH and odor emissions [80]. Reducing litter moisture content and  $A_w$  reduced odor emission from broiler farms [85]. Reducing moisture litter content and maintaining litter pH above 7.5 effective reduced odor emission. The use of an enzyme (i.e., protease, phytase) in broiler diet which increasing nutrient utilization hopefully reducing the release of excess nutrient through excreta [76]. Therefore, adding an enzyme to a broiler diet can be reducing odor emissions. Adding feed additive that is lactobacillus containing probiotics on the broiler diet reported reducing odor emission from broiler farms [86]. The use of saponin has a positive effect to reduce odor emission. It is because saponin has the ability to inhibit microbial fermentation of protein [64]. Reducing odor emission from broiler farms can be done by using Vegetative Buffers [87]. The strategy of Vegetative Buffers is through planted grasses, shrubs and trees in the near area of broiler farms. The general way to reduce odor emission from poultry farms is through covering manure storage and anaerobic lagoons. This method has proven to control odor emissions. The covering manure method reduced odor emissions from poultry manure through making a physical barrier which inhibits the release of volatile chemical compounds from leaving the liquid. The several kinds of cover type are the permeable floating covers, i.e., straw or geotextile and plastic covers.

### 3.5. Reducing dust pollution

Dust is a component that plays a role in the transport of gaseous and odorous compounds, so that dust classified as one of the kinds of air pollution. Dust has a negative effect on the health and welfare of animals and humans, particularly farms worker. The concentration of dust in poultry houses vary from 0.02 to 81.33 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for inhalable dust and from 0.01 to 6.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for respirable dust [88]. There are several sources of dust in poultry houses, i.e., litter, down feathers, crystalline urine, feed, excrement, and microorganisms [89]. Litter is the primary source of dust emissions in broiler houses. Dust level in poultry houses influenced by several factors, i.e., moisture conditions, animal activity, and animal density. There are several approaches which can we do to reduce dust pollution in broiler farms, i.e., vacuum cleaning, adding fat to feed, purge ventilation, fogging with water and oil-based sprays, electrostatic filtration, ionization, and wet scrubbers [90]. One way to reduce dust pollution is using air ionization [91]. Air ionization method has several benefits, i.e., transform, destruct, and remove volatile organic compounds and potentially hazardous [92]. Air ionization is the dust reduction method that has the ability to reduce livestock dust up to 90% [93]. Several researchers reported that air ionization has many benefits besides reducing dust pollution in poultry houses, such as; having bactericidal effects and can reduce airborne micro/organisms, generating of submicron aerosol particles, settling of precipitated dust on surfaces in the room [94–96].

## 4. Conclusion

One problem in broiler production is air pollution from broiler farms. There are several primary air pollutions from broiler farms, i.e., the pollution from emissions of ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, greenhouse gasses, odor, and dust. Generally, air pollution from the broiler farm resulted mainly from broiler manure. Therefore, manure management is the main way in the reduction of air pollution from broiler farms. Management of feeding is the other way to reduce air pollution from broiler farms, it is because the air pollution from broiler manure resulted from excess nutrient from feed which released through manure. Applying feed additives and waste additive is the other way which recommendation to reduce air pollution from broiler farms, besides other approaches which recommend in this review.

## References

- [1] Directorate General of Animal Husbandry and Animal Health 2017 *Livestock and Animal Health Statistics Jakarta* (Indonesia: Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Indonesia)

- [2] Wadud S J 2011 *Understanding the Microbial Ecology of Chicken Litter in the Context of Odour Production* PhD Thesis (Australia: The University of New South Wales)
- [3] Meda A, Hassouna M, Aubert C, Robin P and Dourmand J Y 2011 Influence of rearing conditions and manure management practices on ammonia and greenhouse gas emissions from poultry houses *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **67** 441–5
- [4] Mattila P K and Joki-Tokola E 2003 Effect of treatment and application technique of cattle slurry on its utilization by ley: I. Slurry properties and ammonia volatilization *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystem* **65** 221–30
- [5] Licco E A 2002 *A Questão de Graxarias em Áreas Densamente Urbanizadas* (Relatório de Consultoria São Paulo: Não publicado)
- [6] Moore P A, Miles D M, Burns R, Pote D, Berg K, and Choi I H 2011 Ammonia emission factors measured from broiler litter in barns, in storage, and after land application *J. Environ. Qual.* **40** 1395–404
- [7] Alencar M C B, Naas I A and Gontijo L A 2004 Respiratory risks in broiler production workers *Ravista Bras. Cienc. acicola* **6** 23–39
- [8] Pearson J and Stewart G R 1993 The deposition of atmospheric ammonia and its effects on plants *New Phytol.* **125** 283–305
- [9] Menegali F C, Cecon M C C and Cordeiro M B 2009 Thermal environment and concentration of gases in facilities for broiler chickens in the warm-up period *Ravista Bras. Eng. Agric. e ambiental* **13** 984–90
- [10] Groot Koerkamp P W G, van Middelkoop J H, and Ellen H H 2001 *Air quality management and requirements in Europe* (North Carolina: Addressing Animal Production and Environmental Issues) pp 72–9
- [11] Van Kley J A 2002 Air pollution issues for animal feeding *Proc. National Poultry Waste Management Symposium* (Alabama: Auburn University Printing Service) pp 89–97
- [12] Suffian S A, Sidek A A, Matsuto T, Al-Hazza M H, Yosof H M and Hashim A Z 2018 greenhouse gas emission of broiler chicken production in Malaysia using life cycle assessment guidelines: A case study *Int. J. Eng. Mater. Manuf.* **3** 87–97
- [13] Barrenetxea CO 2003 *Contaminación Ambiental: Una Visión Desde La Química* (Madrid: Thomson) p 325
- [14] Barrasa M, Lamosa S, Fernandez M D and Fernandez E 2012 Occupational exposure to carbon dioxide, ammonia and hydrogen sulphide on livestock farms in north-west Spain *Ann. Agric Environ. Med.* **19** 17–24
- [15] Lacey R, Mukhtar S, Carey J and Ullman J 2004 A review of literature concerning odors, ammonia, and dust from broiler production facilities: Odor concentrations and emissions. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* **13** 500–8
- [16] Powers W J, Van Horn H H, Wilkie AC, Wilcox C J and Nordstedt RcA 1999 Effects of anaerobic digestion and additives to effluent or cattle feed odors and odorant concentrations *J. Anim. Sci.* **77** 1412–21
- [17] Powers W J 2002 Odor mechanisms and mitigation *Proc National Poultry Waste Management Symposium* (Alabama: Auburn University Printing Service) pp 11–17
- [18] Tamminga S, Phillips C and Piggins D 1992 Gaseous pollutants by farm animal enterprises *Farm Animals and the Environment* ed C Phillips and D Piggins (Willingford: CAB International) pp 345–357
- [19] Zhang R, Ishibashi K and Day D L 1991 Experimental study of microbial decomposition in liquid swine manure, and generation rates of ammonia *Proc. Livestock Waste Management Conf.* (Michigan: The Michigan Society of Association Executives)

- [20] Sims J T and Wolf D C 1994 Poultry manure management: Agricultural and environmental issues *Advances Agron.* **52** 1–83
- [21] Elliot H A and Collins N E 1982 Factors affecting ammonia release in broiler houses *Trans ASAE* **25** 413–8
- [22] Sharpe R R and Harper L A 1997 Ammonia and nitrous oxide emissions from sprinkler irrigation applications of swine effluent *J. Environ. Qual.* **26** 703–6
- [23] Donham D, Haglind P, Peterson Y, Rylander R and Belin L 1989 Environmental and health studies of farm workers in Swedish confinement buildings *Br. J. Ind. Med.* **46** 31–7
- [24] Doham K J 2000 Occupational health hazards and recommended exposure limits for workers in poultry buildings *Pro National Waste Management Symposium* (Alabama: Auburn University Press) pp 92–109
- [25] Anderson D P, Beard C W and Hanson P R 1964 The adverse effects of ammonia on chicks including resistance to infection with Newcastle disease virus *Avian Dis.* **8** 369–79
- [26] Kristensen H H and Watches C M 2000 Ammonia and poultry welfare: A review *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **56** 235–45
- [27] Nahm K H 2003 Current pollution and odor control technologies for poultry production *Avian Poult. Biol. Rev.* **14** 151–74
- [28] Moore P A 1998 Best management practices for poultry manure utilization that enhance agricultural productivity and reduce pollution *Animal Waste Utilization: Effective Use of Manure as a soil Resource* ed Hatfield J L and Stewart B A (Chelsea: Ann Arbor Press)
- [29] Chepete H J, Xin H, Gates R S and Puma M C 2004 Heat and moisture production of poultry and their housing systems: Pullets and layers *ASHRAE Trans* **110** 286–99
- [30] Yang P, Lorimor J C and Xin H 2000 Nitrogen losses from laying hen manure in commercial high-rise layer facilities *Trans ASAE* **43** 1771–80
- [31] Arogo J, Westerman P W and Heber A J 2003 A review of ammonia emissions from confined swine feeding operations *Trans ASAE* **46** 805–17
- [32] Lillie R J 1970 *Air pollutants affecting the performance of domestic animals: A literature review Agricultural Handbook* No 380 (Washington DC: GPO) p 109
- [33] Pomeroy R D 1976 *The Problem of Hydrogen Sulfide in Sewers* (London: Clay Pipe Development Assoc. Ltd)
- [34] Phetteplace H W, Johnson D E and Seidl A F 2001 Greenhouse gas emissions from simulated beef and dairy livestock systems in the United States *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems* **60** 99–102
- [35] Monteny G J, Groenestein C M and Hilhorst M A 2001 Interactions and coupling between emissions of methane and nitrous oxide from animal husbandry *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystem* **60** 123–32
- [36] Zeeman G 1991 *Mesophilic and Psychrophilic Digestion of Liquid Manure* PhD Thesis (Wageningen: Department of Environmental Technology, Agricultural University)
- [37] Lampman W P 1982 *Analysis of Dust Particles and their Influence in a Livestock Environment. Bull. 1-1982* (Canada: Dept. of Agricultural Engineering, Univ. of Saskatchewan) p 69
- [38] Hammond E G, Fedler C and Smith R J 1981 Analysis of particle-borne swine house odours *Agric. Environ.* **6** 395–401
- [39] McQuitty J D, Feddes J J R, and Leonard J J 1985 Air quality in commercial laying barns *Can. Agric. Eng.* **27** 13–5
- [40] Panetta D M, Powers W J and Lorimor J C 2006 Management strategy impacts on ammonia volatilization from swine manure *J. Environ. Qual.* **34** 1119–30
- [41] McCrory D F and Hobbs P J 2001 Additives to reduce ammonia and odor emissions from livestock wastes: A review *J. Environ. Qual.* **30** 345–55

- [42] Phillips V R, Cowell D A, Sneath R W, Cumby T R, Williams A G, Demmers T G M and Sandars D L 1999 An assessment of ways to abate ammonia emissions from UK livestock buildings and waste stores. Part 1: Ranking exercise *Biores. Tech.* **70** 143–55
- [43] Kim I B, Ferket P R, Powers W J, Stein H H and van Kempen T A T G 2004 Effects of different dietary acidifier sources of calcium and phosphorus on ammonia, methane and odorant emission from growing-finishing pigs *Asian-Aust J. Anim. Sci.* **17** 1131–8
- [44] Wu-Haan W, Powers W J, Angel C R, Hale C E and Applegate T J 2007 Effect of an acidifying diet combined with zeolite and slight protein reduction on air emissions from laying hens of different ages *Poult. Sci.* **86** 1963–71
- [45] Hale E C 2005 Reduction of ammonia emission and phosphorus excretion in laying hen manure through feed manipulation *Symposium on the state of the science: Animal Manure and Waste Management* (San Antonio: National Center for Manure & Animal Waste Management)
- [46] Nakaue H S, Koelliker J K and Pierson M L 1981 Studies with clinoptilolite in poultry: Effect of feeding broilers and the direct application of clinoptilolite (zeolite) on clean and reused broiler litter on broiler performance and house environment *Poult. Sci.* **60** 1221–8
- [47] Kim W K and Patterson P H 2003 Effect of minerals on activity of microbial uricase to reduce ammonia volatilization in poultry manure *Poult. Sci.* **82** 223–31
- [48] Pain B F, Thompson R B, Rees Y J and Skinner J H 1990 Reducing nitrogen losses from cattle slurry applied to grassland by use of additives *J. Anim. Sci.* **45** 1188–203
- [49] Husted S, Jensen L S and Jorgensen S S 1991 Reducing ammonia loss from cattle slurry by the use of acidifying additives: The role of the buffer system *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **57** 335–49
- [50] Witter E 1991 Use of CaCl<sub>2</sub> to decrease ammonia volatilization after application of fresh and anaerobic chicken slurry to soil *J. Soil. Sci.* **423** 369–80
- [51] Bernai M P and Lopez-Real J M 1993 Natural zeolites and sepiolite as ammonium and ammonia adsorbent materials *Biores. Technol.* **43** 27–33
- [52] Clark O G, Morin B, Zhang Y H, Sauer W C and Feddes J R 2005 Preliminary investigation of air bubbling and dietary sulfur reduction to mitigate hydrogen sulfide and odor from swine waste *J. Environ. Qual.* **34** 2018–23
- [53] Sutton A L, Kephart K B, Verstegen M W A, Canh T T and Hobbs P J 1999 Potential for reduction of odors compounds in swine manure through diet modification *J. Anim. Sci.* **77** 430–39
- [54] Ahmed S T, Kim G, Islam M, Mun H S, Bostami A B and Yang C J 2015 Effects of dietary chlorine dioxide on growth performance, intestinal and excreta microbiology, and odorous gas emissions from broiler excreta *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* **24** 502–10
- [55] Cho J H and Kim I H 2013 Effects of lactulose supplementation on performance, blood profiles, excreta microbial shedding of *Lactobacillus* and *Escherichia coli*, relative organ weight and excreta noxious gas contents in broilers *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **98** 424–30
- [56] Zhang Z F and Kim I H 2014 Effects of probiotic supplementation in different energy and nutrient density diets on performance, egg quality, excreta microflora, excreta noxious gas emission, and serum cholesterol concentrations in laying hens *J. Anim. Sci.* **91** 4781–87
- [57] Lan R X, Lee S I and Kim I H 2017 Effects of *Enterococcus faecium* SLB 120 on growth performance, blood parameters, relative organ weight, breast muscle meat quality, excreta microbiota shedding, and noxious gas emission in broilers *Poult. Sci.* **96** 1–8
- [58] Sharma N K, Choct M, Dunlop M W, Wu S B, Castada H Z and Swick R A 2017 Characterisation and quantification of changes in odorants from litter headspace of meat chickens fed diets varying in protein levels and additives *Poult. Sci.* **96** 851–60

- [59] Ahmed S T, Islam M, Mun H S, Sim H J and Kim Y J 2014 Effects of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* as a probiotic strain on growth performance, cecal microflora, and fecal noxious gas emissions of broiler chickens *Poult. Sci.* **93** 1963–71
- [60] Endo T and Nakano M 1999 Influence of a probiotic on productivity, meat components, lipid metabolism, caecal flora and metabolites, and raising environment in broiler production *J. Anim. Sci.* **70** 207–18
- [61] Gay S W, Schmidt D R, Clanton C J, Janni K A, Jacobson L D and Weisberg S 2003 Odor, total reduced sulfur, and ammonia emissions from animal housing facilities and manure storage units in Minnesota *Appl. Eng. Agr.* **19** 347–60
- [62] Ludington D C, Sobel A T and Hashimoto A G 1971 Odors and gases liberated from diluted and undiluted chicken manure *Trans of the ASAE* **14** 855–59
- [63] Gutarowska B, Matusiak K and Borowski S 2014 Removal of odorous compounds from poultry manure by microorganisms on perlite-bentonite carrier *J. Environ. Manag.* **141** 70–6
- [64] Cheeke P 2000 Actual and potential applications of and saponins in human and animal nutrition *J. Anim. Sci.* **77** 1–10
- [65] Matusiak K, Oleksy M, Borowski S, Nowak A and Korcvynski M 2016 The use of *Yucca schidigera* and microbial preparation for poultry manure deodorization and hygienization *J. Environ. Manag.* **170** 50–9
- [66] Borowski S, Matusiak K, Powalowski S, Pielech-Przybylska K and Makowski K 2017 A novel microbial-mineral preparation for the removal of offensive odors from poultry manure *Int. Biodet. Biodeg.* **119** 299–308
- [67] Zhang G, Zhang Y, Kim Y, Kim J and Liu L 2011 Field study on the impact of indoor air quality on broiler production *Indoor Built Environ.* **20** 449–55
- [68] Chung Y C, Huang C and Tseng C P 1996 Operation optimization of *Thiobacillus thioparus* CH11 biofilter for hydrogen sulfide removal *J. Biotechnol.* **52** 31–8
- [69] Sercu B, NuNez D, Van Langenhove H, Aroca G and Verstraete W 2004 Operational and microbiological aspects of a bioaugmented two-stage biotrickling filter removing hydrogen sulfide and dimethyl sulfide *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **90** 259–69
- [70] Borhan M S, Mukhtar S, Capareda S and Rahman S 2012 Greenhouse gas emissions from housing and manure management systems at confined livestock operations ([www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com))
- [71] Hilhorst M A, Willers H C, Groenestein C M and Monteny G J 2001 Effective Strategies to reduce methane emissions from livestock *ASAE Ann. Intl. Meeting* (California: Sacramento)
- [72] Willers H C, Derikx P J L, TenHave P J W and Vijn T K 1996 Emission of ammonia and nitrous oxide from aerobic treatment of veal calf slurry *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* **63** 345–52
- [73] Kolominskas C, Bawden K and Ormerod R 2002 Strategies to reduce odour emissions from meat chicken farm *Proc. Poult. Information Exchange 14–16 April 2002* (Queensland: Queensland Poultry Industry and DPIQ activity) pp 27–39
- [74] Dunlop M and Gallagher E 2011 *Dust and odour emissions from meat chicken sheds* Final report Australian Poultry (Australia: CRC Press) pp 4–45
- [75] Gerber P, Opio C and Steinfeld H 2007 Poultry production and the environment – a review Poultry in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century ([www.fao.org](http://www.fao.org))
- [76] Jiang J and Sands J 2000 Odour and ammonia emission from broiler farms *RIRDC Pub. 00/2, Project No. UNS-11A* (Barton: Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation)
- [77] McGahan E, Kolominskas C, Bawden K and Ormerod R 2002 Strategies to reduce odour emissions from meat chicken farms *Proc. Poult. Information Exchange 2002* (Queensland: Queensland Poultry Industry and DPIQ activity) pp 27–39

- [78] Yang Y, Iji P and Choct M 2009 Dietary modulation of gut microflora in broiler chickens: A review of the role of six kinds of alternatives to in-feed antibiotics *World's Poult. Sci.* **65** 97–114
- [79] Jung S, Houde R, Baurhoo B, Zhao X and Lee B 2008 Effects of galactooligosaccharides and a bifidobacteria lactis-based probiotic strain on the growth performance and fecal microflora of broiler chickens *Poult. Sci.* **87** 1694–99
- [80] Francesch M and Brufau J 2004 Nutritional factors affecting excreta/litter moisture and quality *World's Poult. Sci.* **60** 64–75
- [81] Ferguson N S, Gates R S, Taraba J L, Cantor A H, Pescatore A J, Ford M J and Burnham D J 1998 The effect of dietary crude protein on growth, ammonia concentration, and litter composition in broilers *Poult. Sci.* **77** 1481–87
- [82] Van Der Hoeven-Hangoor E, Rademaker C, Paton N, Verstegen M and Hendriks W 2014 Evaluation of free water and water activity measurements as functional alternatives to total moisture content in broiler excreta and litter samples *Poult. Sci.* **93** 1–11
- [83] Gates R 2000 Poultry diet manipulation to reduce output of pollutants to environment *Simposio sobre Residuos da Producao Avicola* (SC: Concordia) pp 63–75
- [84] Qaisrani S, Van Krimpen M, Kwakkel R, Verstegen M and Hendriks W 2015 Dietary factors affecting hindgut protein fermentation in broilers: A review *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **71** 139–60
- [85] Sharma N K, Choct M, Wu S B, Smillie R and Morgan N 2016 Performance, litter quality and gaseous odour emissions of broilers fed phytase supplemented diets *Anim. Nut.* **2** 288–95
- [86] Chang M H and Chen T C 2003 Reduction of broiler house malodour by direct feeding of a lactobacilli containing probiotic *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* **2** 313–17
- [87] Tyndall J and Colletti J 2007 Mitigating swine odor with strategically designed shelter belt systems: a review *Agrofor. Syst.* **69** 45–65
- [88] Ellen H H, Bottcher R W, von Wachenfelt E and Takai H 2000 Dust levels and control methods in poultry houses *J. Agric. Saf. Heal.* **6** 275–82
- [89] Aarnink A J A, Roelofs P F M M, Ellen H and Gunnink H 1999 Dust sources in animal houses. *Proc. Int. Symp. on "Dust Control in Animal Production Facilities"* (Aarhus: Denmark) pp 34–40
- [90] Mitchell B W, Buhr R J, Berrang M E, Bailey J S and Cox N A 2002 Reducing airborne pathogens, dust and Salmonella transmission in experimental hatching cabinets using an electrostatic space charge system *Poult. Sci.* **81** 49–55
- [91] Grinshpun S A, Adhikari A, Lee B U, Trunov M, Mainelis G, Yermakov M and Reponen T 2004 Indoor air pollution control through ionization *Air Pollution XII* ed C A, Brebbia (Southampton: WIT Press) pp 689–704
- [92] Daniels S L 2001 Applications of air ionization for control of VOCs and PM<sub>x</sub> *Proc. the 94<sup>th</sup> air and waste management association annual conference* (Orlando: Air and Waste Management Association) p 918
- [93] Mitchell B W, Richardson L J, Wilson J L and Hofacre C L 2004 Application of an electrostatic space charge system for dust, ammonia, and pathogen reduction in a broiler breeder house *Appl. Eng. Agric.* **20** 87–93
- [94] Fletcher L A, Noakes C K, Sleigh P A, Beggs C B and Shepherd S J 2008 Air ion behaviour in ventilated rooms *Indoor Built. Environ.* **17** 173–82
- [95] Alshawa A, Russell A R and Nizkorodov S A 2007 Kinetic analysis of competition between aerosol particle removal and generation by ionization air purifiers *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **41** 2498–504
- [96] Grabarczyk Z 2001 Effectiveness of indoor air cleaning with corona ionizers *J. Electrostat.* **51** 278–83