

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aderinto, N., AbdulBasit, M.O., Olatunji, G., Adejumo, T., 2023. Exploring the transformative influence of neuroplasticity on stroke rehabilitation: a narrative review of current evidence. *Annals of Medicine & Surgery* 85, 4425–4432. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MS9.0000000000001137>
- Aydin-Abidin, S., Trippe, J., Funke, K., Eysel, U.T., Benali, A., 2008. High- and low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation differentially activates c-Fos and zif268 protein expression in the rat brain. *Exp Brain Res* 188, 249–261. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1356-2>
- Barbosa, N.E., Forero, S.M., Galeano, C.P., Hernández, E.D., Landinez, N.S., Sunnerhagen, K.S., Alt Murphy, M., 2019. Translation and cultural validation of clinical observational scales – the Fugl-Meyer assessment for post stroke sensorimotor function in Colombian Spanish. *Disabil Rehabil* 41, 2317–2323. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2018.1464604>
- Bayat, M., Rayegani, S.M., Raeissadat, S.A., Alikhani, E., Hasan Bahrami, M., Karimzadeh, A., 2016. Iranian Journal of Neurology © 2016 Evaluation of complete functional status of patients with stroke by Functional Independence Measure scale on admission, discharge, and six months poststroke, *Iran J Neurol*.
- Belagaje, S.R., 2017. Stroke Rehabilitation. *CONTINUUM: Lifelong Learning in Neurology* 23, 238–253. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000423>
- Bernhardt, J., Hayward, K.S., Kwakkel, G., Ward, N.S., Wolf, S.L., Borschmann, K., Krakauer, J.W., Boyd, L.A., Carmichael, S.T., Corbett, D., Cramer, S.C., 2017. Agreed definitions and a shared vision for new standards in stroke recovery research: The Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation Roundtable taskforce. *International Journal of Stroke* 12, 444–450. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493017711816>
- Bintang, A. K., Halim, F., & Umbas, J. C. G. (2020). The Effectiveness Of Mirror Therapy And Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation For The Improvement Of The Arm Motoric Function Of Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients.
- Campbell, B.C. V., De Silva, D.A., Macleod, M.R., Coutts, S.B., Schwamm, L.H., Davis, S.M., Donnan, G.A., 2019. Ischaemic stroke. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 5, 70. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0118-8>
- Caplan, L.R., 2016. *Caplan's Stroke: A Clinical Approach*, 5th ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Cham, Y.S., Chu, L.Y., Lee, J.H., Bang, J.S., Kim, H.R., Kim, Hyoung-Chun, Lee, Y.J., Hyeong-Dong, Sul, J.D., Kim, D., Chung, Y.H., Jeong, J.H., 2012. Extremely low-



frequency magnetic fields modulate nitric oxide signaling in rat brain. *Bioelectromagnetics* 33, 568–574. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bem.21715>

CloudTMS, 2023. CloudTMS Machine [WWW Document]. URL <https://mycloudtms.com/tms-machine/> (accessed 6.9.23).

Compagnat, M., Mandigout, S., Perrochon, A., Lacroix, J., Vuillerme, N., Salle, J.Y., Daviet, J.C., 2021. The Functional Independence of Patients With Stroke Sequelae: How Important Is the Speed, Oxygen Consumption, and Energy Cost of Walking? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 102, 1499–1506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2021.01.085>

Coupland, A.P., Thapar, A., Qureshi, M.I., Jenkins, H., Davies, A.H., 2017. The definition of stroke. *J R Soc Med* 110, 9–12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0141076816680121>

Cramer, S.C., 2008. Repairing the human brain after stroke: I. Mechanisms of spontaneous recovery. *Ann Neurol* 63, 272–287. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.21393>

Feske, S.K., 2021. Ischemic Stroke. *Am J Med* 134, 1457–1464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2021.07.027>

Fisicaro, F., Lanza, G., Grasso, A.A., Pennisi, G., Bella, R., Paulus, W., Pennisi, M., 2019. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in stroke rehabilitation: review of the current evidence and pitfalls. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 12, 175628641987831. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286419878317>

Funamizu, H., Ogiue-Ikeda, M., Mukai, H., Kawato, S., Ueno, S., 2005. Acute repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation reactivates dopaminergic system in lesion rats. *Neurosci Lett* 383, 77–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2005.04.018>

Grefkes, C., Fink, G.R., 2020. Recovery from stroke: current concepts and future perspectives. *Neurol Res Pract* 2, 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42466-020-00060-6>

Guan, Y., Li, J., Zhang, X., Wu, S., Du, H., Cui, L., Zhang, W., 2017. Effectiveness of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) after acute stroke: A one-year longitudinal randomized trial. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 23, 940–946. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cns.12762>

Jönsson, A.C., Delavaran, H., Iwarsson, S., Ståhl, A., Norrving, B., Lindgren, A., 2014. Functional status and patient-reported outcome 10 years after stroke: The lund stroke register. *Stroke* 45, 1784–1790. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.005164>

Jung, H.-Y., 2017. Rehabilitation in Subacute and Chronic Stage After Stroke, in: *Stroke* edited: Diagnosis and Treatment of Ischemic Stroke. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 351–360. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-1424-6_33

Kemendik Kesehatan RI, 2018. Hasil Utama Riskesdas 2018.



Khaku, A.S., Tadi, P., 2022. Cerebrovascular Disease. StatPearls.

Kwakkel, G., Buma, F.E., Selzer, M.E., 2014. Understanding the mechanisms underlying recovery after stroke, in: Textbook of Neural Repair and Rehabilitation. Cambridge University Press, pp. 7–24. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511995590.004>

León Ruiz, M., Rodríguez Sarasa, M.L., Sanjuán Rodríguez, L., Benito-León, J., García-Albea Ristol, E., Arce Arce, S., 2018. Current evidence on transcranial magnetic stimulation and its potential usefulness in post-stroke neurorehabilitation: Opening new doors to the treatment of cerebrovascular disease. *Neurología* 33, 459–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nrl.2016.03.008>

Liu, Y., Li, H., Zhang, J., Zhao, Q., Mei, H., Ma, J., 2021. A Meta-Analysis: Whether Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Improves Dysfunction Caused by Stroke with Lower Limb Spasticity. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2021, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/7219293>

Long, H., Wang, H., Zhao, C., Duan, Q., Feng, F., Hui, N., Mao, L., Liu, H., Mou, X., Yuan, H., 2018. Effects of combining high- and low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on upper limb hemiparesis in the early phase of stroke. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 36, 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.3233/RNN-170733>

Ma, J., Zhang, Z., Su, Y., Kang, L., Geng, D., Wang, Y., Luan, F., Wang, M., Cui, H., 2013. Magnetic stimulation modulates structural synaptic plasticity and regulates BDNF–TrkB signal pathway in cultured hippocampal neurons. *Neurochem Int* 62, 84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2012.11.010>

Mann, S.K., Malhi, N.K., 2022. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation.

Marín-Medina, D.S., Arenas-Vargas, P.A., Arias-Botero, J.C., Gómez-Vásquez, M., Jaramillo-López, M.F., Gaspar-Toro, J.M., 2023. New approaches to recovery after stroke. *Neurological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-023-07012-3>

Niimi, M., Fujita, Y., Ishima, T., Hashimoto, K., Sasaki, N., Hara, T., Yamada, N., Abo, M., 2020. Role of D-serine in the beneficial effects of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in post-stroke patients. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 32, 128–134. <https://doi.org/10.1017/neu.2020.4>

Pearson, T. A., Palaniappan, L. P., Artinian, N. T., Carnethon, M. R., Criqui, M. H., Daniels, S. R., ... & Turner, M. B. (2013). American Heart Association Guide for Improving Cardiovascular Health at the Community Level, 2013 update: a scientific statement for public health practitioners, healthcare providers, and health policy makers. *Stroke*, 44(1), 173–182.



gh, M., Emmady, P.D., 2022. Neuroplasticity.

Rasyid, A., Kurniawan, M., Mesiano, T., Hidayat, R., Harris, S., 2022. Buku Ajar Neurologi, 2nd ed. Departemen Neurologi, Jakarta.

Rech, K.D., Salazar, A.P., Marchese, R.R., Schifino, G., Cimolin, V., Pagnussat, A.S., 2020. Fugl-Meyer Assessment Scores Are Related With Kinematic Measures in People with Chronic Hemiparesis after Stroke. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 29, 104463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2019.104463>

Simis, M., Adeyemo, B.O., Medeiros, L.F., Miraval, F., Gagliardi, R.J., Fregni, F., 2013. Motor cortex-induced plasticity by noninvasive brain stimulation. *Neuroreport* 24, 973–975. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WNR.0000000000000021>

Singh, P.K., 2021. World Stroke Day [WWW Document]. WHO. URL <https://www.who.int/southeastasia/news/detail/28-10-2021-world-stroke-day#:~:text=Globally%2C%20stroke%20is%20the%20second,tobacco%20use%20and%20alcohol%20abuse>. (accessed 7.15.22).

Starosta, M., Cichoń, N., Saluk-Bijak, J., Miller, E., 2022. Benefits from Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Post-Stroke Rehabilitation. *J Clin Med*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11082149>

Sylaja, P.N., Nitha, J., Sivasambath, S., Arun, K., Amal, M., George, J., Jose, P., Parthan TS, 2013. Handbook on Stroke Rehabilitation for Physiotherapists. Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Thiruvananthapuram.

van Lieshout, E.C.C., van der Worp, H.B., Visser-Meily, J.M.A., Dijkhuizen, R.M., 2019. Timing of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Onset for Upper Limb Function After Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Neurol* 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.01269>

Wang, H.-Y., Crupi, D., Liu, J., Stucky, A., Cruciata, G., Di Rocco, A., Friedman, E., Quartarone, A., Ghilardi, M.F., 2011. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Enhances BDNF-TrkB Signaling in Both Brain and Lymphocyte. *Journal of Neuroscience* 31, 11044–11054. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2125-11.2011>

Xia, Y., Xu, Y., Li, Y., Lu, Y., Wang, Z., 2022. Comparative Efficacy of Different Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Protocols for Stroke: A Network Meta-Analysis. *Front Neurol* 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.918786>

Yang, Y.-W., Pan, W.-X., Xie, Q., 2020. Combined effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and physical exercise on cortical plasticity. *Neural Regen Res* 15, 1986. <https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.282239>



Yousufuddin M, Young N. Aging and ischemic stroke. *Aging* (Albany NY). 2019 May 1;11(9):2542-2544. doi: 10.18632/aging.101931.

O'Donnell MJ, et al. *Lancet*. 2010; 376:112–23. 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60834-3

Leritz EC, et al. *Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep*. 2011; 5:407–12. 10.1007/s12170-011-0189-x

Yeramaneni S, et al. *Int J Stroke*. 2017; 12:152–60. 10.1177/1747493016670175

Abdu H, Seyoum G. Sex Differences in Stroke Risk Factors, Clinical Profiles, and In-Hospital Outcomes Among Stroke Patients Admitted to the Medical Ward of Dessie Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northeast Ethiopia. *Degener Neurol Neuromuscul Dis*. 2022 Oct 21;12:133-144. doi: 10.2147/DNND.S383564.

Sullivan KJ, Tilson JK, Cen SY, Rose DK, Hershberg J, Correa A, Gallichio J, McLeod M, Moore C, Wu SS, Duncan PW. Fugl-Meyer assessment of sensorimotor function after stroke: standardized training procedure for clinical practice and clinical trials. *Stroke*. 2011 Feb;42(2):427-32. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.592766. Epub 2010 Dec 16. PMID: 21164120.

Hernández ED, Galeano CP, Barbosa NE, Forero SM, Nordin Å, Sunnerhagen KS, Alt Murphy M. Intra- and inter-rater reliability of Fugl-Meyer Assessment of Upper Extremity in stroke. *J Rehabil Med*. 2019 Oct 4;51(9):652-659. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2590.

Khedr EM, Fetoh NA. Short- and long-term effect of rTMS on motor function recovery after ischemic stroke. *Restor Neurol Neurosci*. 2010;28:545-559.

Watanabe K, Kudo Y, Sugawara E, Nakamizo T, Amari K, Takahashi K, Tanaka O, Endo M, Hayakawa Y, Johkura K. Comparative study of ipsilesional and contralesional repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulations for acute infarction. *J Neurol Sci* 2018;384:10-14.

Khedr EM, Abdel-Fadeil MR, Farghali A, Qaid M. Role of 1 and 3 Hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on motor function recovery after acute ischaemic stroke. *Eur J Neurol* 2009;16:1323-1330.

Carey JR, Deng H, Gillick BT, Cassidy JM, Anderson DC, Zhang L, Thomas W. Serial treatments of primed low-frequency rTMS in stroke: characteristics of responders vs. non responders. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 2014;32:323-335

Lee JH, Kim SB, Lee KW, Kim MA, Lee SJ, Choi SJ. Factors associated with upper extremity motor recovery after repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in stroke patients. *Ann Rehabil Med* 2015;39:268-276.

Boggio PS, Alonso-Alonso M, Mansur CG, Rigonatti SP, Schlaug G, Pascual-Leone A, Fregni F. Hand function improvement with low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the unaffected hemisphere in a severe case of stroke. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2006;85:927-930.

Demirtas-Tatlidede A, Alonso-Alonso M, Shetty RP, Ronen I, Pascual-Leone A, Fregni F. Long-term effects of contralesional rTMS in severe stroke: safety, cortical excitability, and relationship with transcallosal motor fibers. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2015;36:51-59.

A, Karita T, Nakada N, Fukushima S, Mori F. Correlation between changes of intralesional cortical activity and motor function recovery in patients with



hemiparetic stroke. *Phys Ther Rev* 2017;20:28-35
Veldema J, Bösl K, Nowak DA. Cortico-spinal excitability and hand motor recovery in stroke: alongitudinal study. *J Neurol* 2018;265:1071-1078.

Emara TH, Moustafa RR, Elnahas NM, Elganzoury AM, Abdo TA, Mohamed SA, Eletribi MA. Repetitivetranscranial magnetic stimulation at 1Hz and 5Hz produces sustained improvement in motor functionand disability after ischaemic stroke. *Eur J Neurol* 2010;17:1203-1209.

Borschmann, K. N., & Hayward, K. S. (2019). *Recovery of upper limb function is greatest early after stroke but does continue to improve during the chronic phase: a two-year, observational study. Physiotherapy.* doi:10.1016/j.physio.2019.10.001

Abdullahi, A., Wong, T. W., & Ng, S. S. (2023). Variation in the rate of recovery in motor function between the upper and lower limbs in patients with stroke: Some proposed hypotheses and their implications for research and practice. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 14, 1225924. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2023.1225924>

Leung A, Donohue M, Xu R, Lee R, Lefaucheur JP, Khedr EM, et al.. rTMS for suppressing neuropathic pain: a meta-analysis. *J Pain* (2009) 10(12):1205–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2009.03.010



LAMPIRAN

LAMPIRAN 1

FMA

(Fugl Meyer Assessment)

FUGL-MEYER ASSESSMENT UPPER EXTREMITY (FMA-UE) Assessment of sensorimotor function

ID:
Date:
Examiner:

Fugl-Meyer AR, Jaasko L, Leyman J, Olsson S, Sieghind S: The post-stroke hemiplegic patient. A method for evaluation of physical performance. Scand J Rehabil Med 1975, 7:13-31.

A. UPPER EXTREMITY, sitting position				
I. Reflex activity		none	can be elicited	
Flexors: biceps and finger flexors (at least one)		0	2	
Extensors: triceps		0	2	
Subtotal I (max 4)				
II. Volitional movement within synergies, without gravitational help		none	partial	full
Flexor synergy: Hand from contralateral knee to ipsilateral ear. From extensor synergy (shoulder adduction/ internal rotation, elbow extension, forearm pronation) to flexor synergy (shoulder abduction/ external rotation, elbow flexion, forearm supination). Extensor synergy: Hand from ipsilateral ear to the contralateral knee	Shoulder retraction	0	1	2
	Shoulder elevation	0	1	2
	Shoulder abduction (90°)	0	1	2
	Shoulder external rotation	0	1	2
	Elbow flexion	0	1	2
	Forearm supination	0	1	2
	Shoulder adduction/internal rotation	0	1	2
	Elbow extension	0	1	2
	Forearm pronation	0	1	2
	Subtotal II (max 18)			
III. Volitional movement mixing synergies, without compensation		none	partial	full
Hand to lumbar spine hand on lap	cannot perform or hand in front of ant-sup iliac spine hand behind ant-sup iliac spine (without compensation) hand to lumbar spine (without compensation)	0	1	2
Shoulder flexion 0°- 90° elbow at 0° pronation-supination 0°	immediate abduction or elbow flexion abduction or elbow flexion during movement flexion 90°, no shoulder abduction or elbow flexion	0	1	2
Pronation-supination elbow at 90° shoulder at 0°	no pronation/supination, starting position impossible limited pronation/supination, maintains starting position full pronation/supination, maintains starting position	0	1	2
Subtotal III (max 6)				
IV. Volitional movement with little or no synergy		none	partial	full
Shoulder abduction 0 - 90° elbow at 0° forearm neutral	immediate supination or elbow flexion supination or elbow flexion during movement abduction 90°, maintains extension and pronation	0	1	2
Shoulder flexion 90° - 180° elbow at 0° pronation-supination 0°	immediate abduction or elbow flexion abduction or elbow flexion during movement flexion 180°, no shoulder abduction or elbow flexion	0	1	2
Pronation/supination elbow at 0° shoulder at 30°- 90° flexion	no pronation/supination, starting position impossible limited pronation/supination, maintains start position full pronation/supination, maintains starting position	0	1	2
Subtotal IV (max 6)				
V. Normal reflex activity assessed only if full score of 6 points is achieved in part IV; compare with the unaffected side		hyper	lively	normal
Biceps, triceps, xors	2 of 3 reflexes markedly hyperactive 1 reflex markedly hyperactive or at least 2 reflexes lively maximum of 1 reflex lively, none hyperactive	0	1	2
Subtotal V (max 2)				
Total A (max 36)				



B. WRIST support may be provided at the elbow to take or hold the starting position, no support at wrist, check the passive range of motion prior testing		none	partial	full
Stability at 15° dorsiflexion elbow at 90°, forearm pronated shoulder at 0°	less than 15° active dorsiflexion dorsiflexion 15°, no resistance tolerated maintains dorsiflexion against resistance	0	1	2
Repeated dorsiflexion / volar flexion elbow at 90°, forearm pronated shoulder at 0°, slight finger flexion	cannot perform volitionally limited active range of motion full active range of motion, smoothly	0	1	2
Stability at 15° dorsiflexion elbow at 0°, forearm pronated slight shoulder flexion/abduction	less than 15° active dorsiflexion dorsiflexion 15°, no resistance tolerated maintains dorsiflexion against resistance	0	1	2
Repeated dorsiflexion / volar flexion elbow at 0°, forearm pronated slight shoulder flexion/abduction	cannot perform volitionally limited active range of motion full active range of motion, smoothly	0	1	2
Circumduction elbow at 90°, forearm pronated shoulder at 0°	cannot perform volitionally jerky movement or incomplete complete and smooth circumduction	0	1	2
Total B (max 10)				

C. HAND support may be provided at the elbow to keep 90° flexion, no support at the wrist, compare with unaffected hand, the objects are interposed, active grasp		none	partial	full
Mass flexion from full active or passive extension		0	1	2
Mass extension from full active or passive flexion		0	1	2
GRASP				
a. Hook grasp flexion in PIP and DIP (digits II-V), extension in MCP II-V	cannot be performed can hold position but weak maintains position against resistance	0	1	2
b. Thumb adduction 1-st CMC, MCP, IP at 0°, scrap of paper between thumb and 2-nd MCP joint	cannot be performed can hold paper but not against tug can hold paper against a tug	0	1	2
c. Pincer grasp, opposition pulpa of the thumb against the pulpa of 2-nd finger, pencil, tug upward	cannot be performed can hold pencil but not against tug can hold pencil against a tug	0	1	2
d. Cylinder grasp cylinder shaped object (small can) tug upward, opposition of thumb and fingers	cannot be performed can hold cylinder but not against tug can hold cylinder against a tug	0	1	2
e. Spherical grasp fingers in abduction/flexion, thumb opposed, tennis ball, tug away	cannot be performed can hold ball but not against tug can hold ball against a tug	0	1	2
Total C (max 14)				

D. COORDINATION/SPEED , sitting, after one trial with both arms, eyes closed, tip of the index finger from knee to nose, 5 times as fast as possible		marked	slight	none
Tremor		0	1	2
Dysmetria	pronounced or unsystematic slight and systematic no dysmetria	0	1	2
		≥ 6s	2 - 5s	< 2s
Time start and end with the hand on the knee	8 or more seconds slower than unaffected side 2-5 seconds slower than unaffected side less than 2 seconds difference	0	1	2
Total D (max 6)				

TOTAL A-D (max 66)	
---------------------------	--



H. SENSATION, upper extremity eyes closed, compared with the unaffected side		anesthesia	hypoesthesia or dysesthesia	normal
Light touch	upper arm, forearm palmar surface of the hand	0 0	1 1	2 2
		less than 3/4 correct or absence	3/4 correct or considerable difference	correct 100%, little or no difference
Position small alterations in the position	shoulder elbow wrist thumb (IP-joint)	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2
Total H (max12)				

I. PASSIVE JOINT MOTION, upper extremity, sitting position, compare with the unaffected side				J. JOINT PAIN during passive motion, upper extremity		
	only few degrees (less than 10° in shoulder)	decreased	normal	pronounced pain during movement or very marked pain at the end of the movement	some pain	no pain
Shoulder						
Flexion (0° - 180°)	0	1	2	0	1	2
Abduction (0°-90°)	0	1	2	0	1	2
External rotation	0	1	2	0	1	2
Internal rotation	0	1	2	0	1	2
Elbow						
Flexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
Extension	0	1	2	0	1	2
Forearm						
Pronation	0	1	2	0	1	2
Supination	0	1	2	0	1	2
Wrist						
Flexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
Extension	0	1	2	0	1	2
Fingers						
Flexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
Extension	0	1	2	0	1	2
Total (max 24)				Total (max 24)		

A. UPPER EXTREMITY	/36
B. WRIST	/10
C. HAND	/14
D. COORDINATION / SPEED	/ 6
TOTAL A-D (motor function)	/66

H. SENSATION	/12
I. PASSIVE JOINT MOTION	/24
J. JOINT PAIN	/24



**FUGL-MEYER ASSESSMENT
LOWER EXTREMITY (FMA-LE)
Assessment of sensorimotor function**

ID:
Date:
Examiner:

Fugl-Meyer AR, Jaasko I, Leyman I, Olsson S, Sjöstrand S: The post-stroke hemiplegic patient. 1. a method for evaluation of physical performance. Scand J Rehabil Med 1975, 7:13-31.

E. LOWER EXTREMITY					
I. Reflex activity, supine position		none	can be elicited		
Flexors: knee flexors		0	2		
Extensors: patellar, achilles (at least one)		0	2		
Subtotal I (max 4)					
II. Volitional movement within synergies		supine position	none	partial	full
Flexor synergy: Maximal hip flexion (abduction/external rotation), maximal flexion in knee and ankle joint (palpate distal tendons to ensure active knee flexion).	Hip flexion	0	1	2	
	Knee flexion	0	1	2	
	Ankle dorsiflexion	0	1	2	
Extensor synergy: From flexor synergy to the hip extension/adduction, knee extension and ankle plantar flexion. Resistance is applied to ensure active movement, evaluate both movement and strength (compare with the unaffected side)	Hip extension	0	1	2	
	Knee extension	0	1	2	
	Ankle plantar flexion	0	1	2	
Subtotal II (max 14)					
III. Volitional movement mixing synergies		sitting position, knee 10cm from the edge of the chair/bed	none	partial	full
Knee flexion from actively or passively extended knee	no active motion less than 90° active flexion, palpate tendons of hamstrings more than 90° active flexion	0	1	2	
Ankle dorsiflexion compare with unaffected side	no active motion limited dorsiflexion complete dorsiflexion	0	1	2	
Subtotal III (max 4)					
IV. Volitional movement with little or no synergy		standing position, hip at 0°	none	partial	full
Knee flexion to 90° hip at 0°, balance support is allowed	no active motion or immediate, simultaneous hip flexion less than 90° knee flexion and/or hip flexion during movement at least 90° knee flexion without simultaneous hip flexion	0	1	2	
Ankle dorsiflexion compare with unaffected side	no active motion limited dorsiflexion complete dorsiflexion	0	1	2	
Subtotal IV (max 4)					
V. Normal reflex activity		supine position, assessed only if full score of 4 points is achieved in part IV, compare with the unaffected side	hyper	lively	normal
Reflex activity knee flexors, Patellar, Achilles,	2 of 3 reflexes markedly hyperactive 1 reflex markedly hyperactive or at least 2 reflexes lively maximum of 1 reflex lively, none hyperactive	0	1	2	
Subtotal V (max 2)					
Total E (max 28)					



F. COORDINATION/SPEED , supine, after one trial with both legs, eyes closed, heel to knee cap of the opposite leg, 5 times as fast as possible		marked	slight	none
Tremor		0	1	2
Dysmetria	pronounced or unsystematic slight and systematic no dysmetria	0	1	2
		≥ 6s	2 - 5s	< 2s
Time	8 or more seconds slower than unaffected side 2-5 seconds slower than unaffected side less than 2 seconds difference	0	1	2
Total F (max 6)				

H. SENSATION , lower extremity eyes closed, compare with the unaffected side		anesthesia	hypoesthesia or dysesthesia	normal
Light touch	leg foot sole	0 0	1 1	2 2
		less than 3/4 correct or absence	3/4 correct or considerable difference	correct 100%, little or no difference
Position small alterations in the position	hip knee ankle great toe (IP-joint)	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2
Total H (max12)				

I. PASSIVE JOINT MOTION, lower extremity supine position, compare with the unaffected side				J. JOINT PAIN during passive motion, lower extremity			
		only few degrees ($<10^{\circ}$ hip)	decreased	normal	pronounced pain during movement or very marked pain at the end of the movement	some pain	no pain
Hip	Flexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
	Abduction	0	1	2	0	1	2
	External rotation	0	1	2	0	1	2
	Internal rotation	0	1	2	0	1	2
Knee	Flexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
	Extension	0	1	2	0	1	2
Ankle	Dorsiflexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
	Plantar flexion	0	1	2	0	1	2
Foot	Pronation	0	1	2	0	1	2
	Supination	0	1	2	0	1	2
Total (max 20)					Total (max 20)		

E. LOWER EXTERMTY	/28
F. COORDINATION / SPEED	/6
TOTAL E-F (motor function)	/34

H. SENSATION	/12
I. PASSIVE JOINT MOTION	/20
J. JOINT PAIN	/20



Data mentah penelitian bisa didapatkan pada link dibawah:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1urK-MU3DEMZEWLSWh6p6WtfbH_a5mmoU/edit?usp=drive_link&oid=113740720102471568795&rtpof=true&sd=true

