

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahern DP, Kelly ME, Courtney D, Rausa E, Winter DC. The management of penetrating rectal and anal trauma: A systematic review. *Injury*. 2017, 48(6):1133–8.
- Altomare, D. F., 2017. Anal and Rectal Trauma. *Coloproctology*, 371–376.
- Anderson SW, Soto JA. Anorectal Trauma: The Use of Computed Tomography Scan in Diagnosis. *Semin Ultrasound, CT MRI*. 2008, 29(6):472-28.
- Asli Duran, Sirin Y, et al., 2018. Clinical and histopathological evaluation of the effects of platelet rich plasma, platelet poor plasma and topical serum physiologic treatment on wound healing caused by radiofrequency electrosurgery in rats. *Turkderm-Turk Arch Dermatol Venereology* 2018;52:44-50.
- Baglioni, S., Francalanci, M., Squecco, R., Lombardi, A., Cantini, G., Angeli, R., Luconi, M. 2009. Characterization of human adult stem-cell populations isolated from visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue. *The FASEB Journal*, 23(10), 3494–3505. doi:10.1096/fj.08-126946.
- Barkley S, Khan M, Garner J. Rectal trauma in adults. *Trauma*. 2013, 15(1):3–15.
- Burin, P. et al., 2013. Stromal Cells from the Adipose tissue-derived Stromal Vascular Fraction and Culture Expanded Adipose tissue-derived Stromal/Stem Cells: a Joint Statement of the International Federation for Adipose Therapeutics and Science (IFATS). *Cytotherapy*. England, 15(6), pp.641–648. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2013.02.006.
- Builders, M. I., Joseph, O. S., & Vhritherhire, A. R. (2019). Effect of *Parkia biglobosa* extract on open skin wound healing in dexamethasone-induced hyperglycaemia and histological assessment in rats. *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 13(8), 84-89.
- Brown CVR, Teixeira PG, Furay E, Sharpe JP, Musonza T, Holcomb J, et al. Contemporary management of rectal injuries at Level I trauma centers: The results of an American Association for the Surgery of Trauma multi-institutional study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2018 Feb 1;84(2):225–33.
- Chieragato, K. et al., 2011. Epidermal Growth Factor, Basic Fibroblast Growth Factor and Platelet-derived Growth Factor-b can Substitute for Fetal Bovine Serum and Compete with Human Platelet-rich Plasma in the ex vivo Expansion of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells derived from Adipose Tissue. *Cytotherapy*. England, 13(8), pp.933–943. doi: 10.3109/14653249.2011.583232.
- Choi, J., Minn, K. W., & Chang, H. 2012. The Efficacy and Safety of Platelet-Rich

- Plasma and Adipose-Derived Stem Cells: An Update. *Archives of Plastic Surgery*, 39(6), 585. doi:10.5999/aps.2012.39.6.585.
- Clemens MS, Peace KM, Yi F. Rectal Trauma: Evidence-Based Practices. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2021 May 1];31(1):17–23.
- Comella, K., Silbert, R., & Parlo, M. 2017. Effects of the intradiscal implantation of stromal vascular fraction plus platelet rich plasma in patients with degenerative disc disease. *Journal of Translational Medicine*, 15(1).
- Darinskas, A. et al., 2017. Stromal Vascular Fraction cells for the Treatment of Critical Limb Ischemia: a pilot study. *Journal of Translational Medicine*. England, 15(1), p.143. doi: 10.1186/s12967-017-1243-3.
- El-Sharkawy, H., Kantarci, et al. 2007. Platelet-Rich Plasma: Growth Factors and Pro- and Anti-Inflammatory Properties. *Journal of Periodontology*, 78(4), 661–669.
- Ferraro, G. A., Mizuno, H., & Pallua, N. 2016. Adipose Stem Cells: From Bench to Bedside. *Stem Cells International*, 2016, 1–2. doi:10.1155/2016/6484038.
- Gal P. et al. 2008. Simple Methode of open skin wound healing model in corticosteroid-treated and diabetic rats: standardization of semi-quantitative and quantitative histological assessments. *Veterinari Medicina*, 53: pp. 625-659.
- Gokulakrishnan, M., Nagarajan, L., Ramani, C., TA, K., & Safiuzamma, M. (2013). Comparison of histological changes of recipient wound bed by platelet rich plasma and adipose derived stem cell in dogs. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)* Volume, 5.
- Gupta, A., & Kumar, P. (2015). Assessment of the histological state of the healing wound. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*, 2, 239-242.
- Gurtner, G.C., 2007. Wound Healing, Normal and Abnormal In: Thorne C.H., Beasley, R.W., Aston, S.J., Bartlett, S.P., Gurtner, G.C., Spear, S.L. (Eds), *Grabb and Smith's Plastic surgery*, Edisi 6, Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, p.15-22.56.
- Halim D., Murti H. Sandra F., Boediono A., et al., 2010. *Stem Cell dasar teori dan aplikasi klinis*. Erlangga press. Jakarta. 1-136.
- Herndon, D., 2017. *Total Burn Care*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier, p.66 -113.
- Herzig, D., 2012. Care of The Patient with Anorectal Trauma. *Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery*, 25(04), 210–213.
- Horwitz, E.M. et al., 2005. Clarification of the Nomenclature for MSC: The International Society for Cellular Therapy Position Statement. *Cytotherapy*. England. &(5), pp.393 – 395. doi. 10.1080/14653240500 319234.

- Ibn Majdoub Hassani K, Ait laalim S, Benjelloun EB, Toughrai I, Mazaz K. Anorectal avulsion: An exceptional rectal trauma. Vol. 8, World Journal of Emergency Surgery. 2013.
- Injury Scoring Scale - The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma. 2021.
- Josh F, et al., 2012. Accelerated and safe proliferation of human adipose-derived stem cells in medium supplemented with human serum. Tokyo, Japan. J Nippon Med (79): pp. 444-452. edition. Denver, USA. RC Press.
- Josh F, et al., 2013. Concentration of PDGF-AB, BB and TGF- β 1 as Valuable Human Serum Parameters in Adipose-derived Stem Cell Proliferation. Tokyo, Japan. J Nippon Med (80): pp. 140-147.
- Josh F, et al., 2024. The Combination of Stromal Vascular Fraction Cells and Platelet Rich Plasma Increases Fibroblast Growth Factor 2 and Insulin Like Growth Factor 1 in Full Thickness Burns in Animal Model. Annals of Burns and Fire Disaster; 37(1):35-44.
- Josh F, et al., 2023. The Combination of Stromal Vascular Fraction Cells and Platelet Rich Plasma Increases Fibroblast Growth Factor 7 and Promotes Angiogenesis in Full Thickness Burns Injury: Rat Experimental Study. Annals of Burns and Fire Disaster; 36(3):234-242.
- Kim Yeol, et al., 2014. Effects of Platelet-Rich Plasma, Adipose Derived Stem Cells and Stromal Vascular Fraction on the Survival of Human Transplanted Adipose Tissue. Seoul, Republic of Korea. J Korean Med Science (29): pp. 193-200.
- Komlatse Akakpo-Numado G. Anorectal Traumas in Children. Neonatol Clin Pediatr. 2015 Apr 7;2(1):1–5.
- Laididing SR, et al., 2021. The effect of Combined platelet-rich plasma and stromal vascular fraction compared with platelet-rich plasma, stromal vascular fraction, and vaseline alone on healing of deep dermal burn wound injuries in the Wistar rat. Medicina Clinia Practica, 4(1):1-11.
- Lee L, McKendy KM. Management of trauma to the rectum and anus. Dis Colon Rectum [Internet]. 2018, 61(11):1245–9.
- Li, Z., Gan, H., Liang, A., Wang, X., Hu, X., Liang, P., Li, H. (2022). Promoting repair of highly purified stromal vascular fraction gel combined with advanced platelet-rich fibrin extract for irradiated skin and soft tissue injury. Annals of Translational Medicine, 10(17).
- McLeod, A.S. and Mansbridge, J.N., 2016. The Innate Immune System in Acute and Chronic Wounds. Advances in Wound Care. Mary Ann Liebert Inc. 5(2), pp. 65- 78. Durham, North Carolina.

- Mehranfar, S., Abdi Rad, I., Mostafavi, E., & Akbarzadeh, A. (2019). The use of stromal vascular fraction (SVFs), platelet-rich plasma (PRP) and stem cells in the treatment of osteoarthritis: an overview of clinical trials. *Artificial cells, nanomedicine, and biotechnology*, 47(1), 882-890.
- Moenadjat Y, dkk., 2011. Luka Bakar: Masalah dan Tatalaksana, edisi 4. Jakarta, Indonesia. Balai Penerbit FKUI.
- Moss L.S. 2010. Treatment of the burn patient in primary care. *Adv Skin Wound Care*,. 23(11): 517–24;quiz 525–6.
- Nauta A, et al., 2012. Adipose-derived Stromal Cells Overexpressing Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Accelerate Mouse Excisional Wound Healing. California, USA. The American Society of Gene & Cell Therapy. 10.1038/mt.2012.234.
- Park, J., Hwang, S., & Yoon, I.-S. 2017. Advanced Growth Factor Delivery Systems in Wound Management and Skin Regeneration. *Molecules*, 22(8), 1259.
- Pathan, I., Kumar Chittoria, R., Gupta, S., Likhitha Reddy, C., & Bharathi Mohan, P. (2020). Role of stromal vascular fraction in wound healing. *Clin. Surg. J*, 4(2), 44-48.
- Prihantono,. dkk., 2020. Buku Pedoman Penulisan Karya Ilmiah dan Tesis. Makassar, Indonesia: Program Pendidikan Dokter Spesialis I Ilmu Bedah, Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Ramadhan, E., 2018. Efek Pemberian Platelet Rich Plasma (PRP) terhadap Penyembuhan Luka Bakar pada Model Luka Bakar Deep Dermal Tikus Wistar. Makassar, Indonesia: Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Rigotti G, Marchi A., 2009. Adipose-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Past, Present and Future. Verona, Italy. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery Journal* (33): pp. 271–273.
- Rigotti, G., Charles-de-Sá, L., Gontijo-de-Amorim, N. F., Takiya, C. M., Amable, P. R., Borojevic, R. & Sbarbati, A. (2016). Expanded stem cells, stromal-vascular fraction, and platelet-rich plasma enriched fat: comparing results of different facial rejuvenation approaches in a clinical trial. *Aesthetic surgery journal*, 36(3), 261-270.
- Rowan, M. P., Cancio, L. C., Elster, E. A., Burmeister, D. M., Rose, L. F., Natesan, S., ... & Chung, K. K. (2015). Burn wound healing and treatment: review and advancements. *Critical care*, 19, 1-12.
- Rumalla V.K, Borah GL: Cytokine, growth factor and plastic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 108:719-733, 2001.

- Samudra, M. F., Rosadi, I., Afini, I., Widyastuti, T., Sobariah, S., Remelia, M., ... & Tunggadewi, T. I. Combination of the stromal vascular fraction and platelet-rich plasma accelerates the wound healing process: pre-clinical study in a Sprague-Dawley rat model. *Stem cell investigation*, 2019.
- Sethi, A. et al., 2016. Moisturizers: The Slippery Road. *Indian Journal of Dermatology*. Medknow Publications & Media Pvt Ltd, 61(3), pp.279– 287. doi: 10.4103/0019-5154.182427.
- Singh, V. K., et al. 2016. Describing the Stem Cell Potency: The Various Methods of Functional Assessment and In silico Diagnostics. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 4.
- Sjamsuhidajat, de Jong., 2016. *Buku Ajar Ilmu Bedah, Sistem Organ dan Tindak Bedahnya*. edisi 4 Vol. 2. Jakarta, Indonesia. EGC.
- Snell RS. *Anatomi klinis berdasarkan sistem*. Suwahjo A, Liyestiawan J antony, editors. USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Kluwer Health Inc; 2008. 696–700.
- Sulmiati S, et al., 2023. Effect of Platelet Rich Plasma Plus Stromal Vascular Fraction on Epithelialization and Collagenization of Rectoanal Wounds in Wistar Rats. *Gazetta Medica Italiana*, 182(1):1-6.
- Tajima, S. et al., 2014. Direct and Indirect Effects of a Combination of Adipose-derived Stem Cells and Platelet Rich Plasma on Bone Regeneration. *Tissue Engineering. Part A*, 21. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2014.0336.
- Tantuway, V. et al., 2016. Autologous Grafting of Non Manipulated Freshly Isolated-Adipose Tissue derived Stromal Vascular Fraction in Single Surgical Sitting for Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. *International Journal of Research in Orthopaedics*, Vol-3, p.107.
- Thorne, et al., 2007. *Grabb and Smith Plastic Surgery*, 6th edition. New York, USA. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Tohidnezhad, M., Varoga, D., Wruck, C.J., et al., 2011. Platelet-released growth factors can accelerate tenocyte proliferation and activate the anti-oxidant response element. *Histochemistry and Cell Biology* 135(5):453-460.
- Trebol, J., Georgiev-Hristov, T., Vega-Clemente, L., García-Gómez, I., Carabias-Orgaz, A., García-Arranz, M., & García-Olmo, D. (2018). Rat model of anal sphincter injury and two approaches for stem cell administration. *World Journal of Stem Cells*, 10(1):1.
- Uchino H, Kong V, Elsabagh A, Laing G, Bruce J, Manchev V, et al. Contemporary management of rectal trauma - A South African experience. *Injury*. 2020, 51(5):1238–41.

- Van Pham, P., Bui, K. H.-T., Ngo, D., Vu, N., Truong, N., Phan, N. L.-C., Phan, N. 2013. Activated platelet-rich plasma improves adipose-derived stem cell transplantation efficiency in injured articular cartilage. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, 4(4):91.
- Virzì, F., Bianca, P., Giammona, A., Apuzzo, T., Di Franco, S., Mangiapane, L. R., ... & Stassi, G. (2017). Combined platelet-rich plasma and lipofilling treatment provides great improvement in facial skin-induced lesion regeneration for scleroderma patients. *Stem cell research & therapy*, 8, 1-11.
- Widjajakusumah, Tanzil A., 2014. Guyton and Hall Buku Ajar Fisiologi Kedokteran, edisi 12. Singapore. Elsevier.
- Witte, M. B. and Barbul, A., 1997. General Principles of Wound Healing. *The Surgical Clinics of North America*. USA, 77(3), pp.509–528. doi: 10.1016/s0039-6109(05)70566-1.
- Xue, M., & Jackson, C. J. (2015). Extracellular matrix reorganization during wound healing and its impact on abnormal scarring. *Advances in wound care*, 4(3), 119-136.
- Yun, Y.-R., Won, J. E., Jeon, E., Lee, S., Kang, W., Jo, H., ... Kim, H.-W. 2010. Fibroblast Growth Factors: Biology, Function, and Application for Tissue Regeneration. *Journal of Tissue Engineering*, 1(1), 218142.
- Zhang, Y.S et al., 2011. Effect of Platelet-rich Plasma on the Proliferation and Adipogenic Differentiation of Human Adipose-derived Stem Cells in Vitro. *Nan fang yi ke da xue xue bao = Journal of Southern Medical University*. China, 31(3), pp.525–528.
- Ziegler, T. R., Pierce, G. F., & Herndon, D. N. (Eds.). (1997). *Growth Factors and Wound Healing*. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-1876-0.
- Zuk, P., 2013. *Adipose-derived Stem Cells in Tissue Regeneration: A Review*. Los Angeles, USA. Hindawi Publishing Corporation ISRN Stem Cells, doi: 10.1155/2013/71395.

LAMPIRAN 1. DATA PENELITIAN

NO	KELOMPOK	KODE	SKOR KOLAGEN
1	KONTROL	K1	0
2		K2	0
3		K3	0
4		K4	0
1	TRAUMA TANPA OBAT H-1	C1	0
2		C2	0
3		C3	0
4		C4	0
1	TRAUMA + OBAT H-1	Z1	0
2		Z2	0
3		Z3	0
4		Z4	0
1	TRAUMA TANPA OBAT H-7	B1	1
2		B2	0
3		B3	0
4		B4	3
1	TRAUMA + OBAT H-7	Y1	0
2		Y2	3
3		Y3	3
4		Y4	3
1	TRAUMA TANPA OBAT H-14	A1	0
2		A2	2
3		A3	3
4		A4	3
1	TRAUMA + OBAT H-14	X1	3
2		X2	3
3		X3	3
4		X4	4

LAMPIRAN 2. ANALISIS DATA

Data Deskriptif

Descriptive Statistics					
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
H1 TANPA OBAT	4	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00000
H1 DENGAN OBAT	4	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00000
H7 TANPA OBAT	4	0.00	3.00	1.0000	1.41421
H7 DENGAN OBAT	4	0.00	3.00	2.2500	1.50000
H14 TANPA OBAT	4	0.00	3.00	2.0000	1.41421
H14 DENGAN OBAT	4	3.00	4.00	3.2500	0.50000
Valid N (listwise)	4				

Uji Normalitas

Descriptives				Statistic	Std. Error
H1 TANPA OBAT	Mean			0.0000	0.00000
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		0.0000	
		Upper Bound		0.0000	
	5% Trimmed Mean			0.0000	
	Median			0.0000	
	Variance			0.000	
	Std. Deviation			0.00000	
	Minimum			0.00	
	Maximum			0.00	
	Range			0.00	
	Interquartile Range			0.00	
	Skewness				
	Kurtosis				
H1 DENGAN OBAT	Mean			0.0000	0.00000
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		0.0000	
		Upper Bound		0.0000	
	5% Trimmed Mean			0.0000	
	Median			0.0000	
	Variance			0.000	
	Std. Deviation			0.00000	
	Minimum			0.00	
	Maximum			0.00	
	Range			0.00	
	Interquartile Range			0.00	

H7 TANPA OBAT	Skewness				
	Kurtosis				
	Mean		1.0000	0.70711	
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	-1.2503		
		Upper Bound	3.2503		
	5% Trimmed Mean		0.9444		
	Median		0.5000		
	Variance		2.000		
	Std. Deviation		1.41421		
	Minimum		0.00		
	Maximum		3.00		
	Range		3.00		
	Interquartile Range		2.50		
	Skewness		1.414	1.014	
	Kurtosis		1.500	2.619	
H7 DENGAN OBAT	Mean		2.2500	0.75000	
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	-0.1368		
		Upper Bound	4.6368		
	5% Trimmed Mean		2.3333		
	Median		3.0000		
	Variance		2.250		
	Std. Deviation		1.50000		
	Minimum		0.00		
	Maximum		3.00		
	Range		3.00		
	Interquartile Range		2.25		
	Skewness		-2.000	1.014	
	Kurtosis		4.000	2.619	
	H14 TANPA OBAT	Mean		2.0000	0.70711
95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Lower Bound	-0.2503		
		Upper Bound	4.2503		
5% Trimmed Mean		2.0556			
Median		2.5000			
Variance		2.000			
Std. Deviation		1.41421			
Minimum		0.00			
Maximum		3.00			
Range		3.00			
Interquartile Range		2.50			
		Skewness		-1.414	1.014

	Kurtosis	1.500	2.619
H14 DENGAN OBAT	Mean	3.2500	0.25000
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	2.4544
		Upper Bound	4.0456
	5% Trimmed Mean	3.2222	
	Median	3.0000	
	Variance	0.250	
	Std. Deviation	0.50000	
	Minimum	3.00	
	Maximum	4.00	
	Range	1.00	
	Interquartile Range	0.75	
	Skewness	2.000	1.014
	Kurtosis	4.000	2.619

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
H1 TANPA OBAT		4		N/A	4	N/A
H1 DENGAN OBAT		4		N/A	4	N/A
H7 TANPA OBAT	0.260	4		0.827	4	0.161
H7 DENGAN OBAT	0.441	4		0.630	4	0.101
H14 TANPA OBAT	0.260	4		0.827	4	0.161
H14 DENGAN OBAT	0.441	4		0.545	4	0.095

*Normality test with a P value > 0.05

Uji Anova & Uji Homogenitas (F)

Descriptives

ANOVA KONTROL

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
H1	4	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.00
H7	4	1.0000	1.41421	0.70711	-1.2503	3.2503	0.00	3.00
H14	4	2.0000	1.41421	0.70711	-0.2503	4.2503	0.00	3.00
Total	12	1.0000	1.34840	0.38925	0.1433	1.8567	0.00	3.00

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

		Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
Positif 1714	Based on Mean	3.000	2	9	.100
	Based on Median	2.000	2	9	.191
	Based on Median and with adjusted df	2.000	2	6.000	.216
	Based on trimmed mean	2.982	2	9	.102
Intervensi 1714	Based on Mean	6.300	2	9	.019
	Based on Median	.700	2	9	.522
	Based on Median and with adjusted df	.700	2	3.659	.553
	Based on trimmed mean	4.732	2	9	.039

ANOVA

ANOVA KONTROL

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	8.000	2	4.000	3.000	0.100
Within Groups	12.000	9	1.333		
Total	20.000	11			

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: ANOVA KONTROL

LSD

		Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
(I) KODE KONTROL					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
H1	H7	-1.00000	0.81650	0.252	-2.8470	0.8470
	H14	-2.00000	0.81650	0.037	-3.8470	-0.1530
H7	H1	1.00000	0.81650	0.252	-0.8470	2.8470
	H14	-1.00000	0.81650	0.252	-2.8470	0.8470
H14	H1	2.00000	0.81650	0.037	0.1530	3.8470
	H7	1.00000	0.81650	0.252	-0.8470	2.8470

*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

Descriptives

ANOVA INTERVENSI

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
H1	4	0.0000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.00
H7	4	2.2500	1.50000	0.75000	-0.1368	4.6368	0.00	3.00
H14	4	3.2500	0.50000	0.25000	2.4544	4.0456	3.00	4.00
Total	12	1.8333	1.64225	0.47408	0.7899	2.8768	0.00	4.00

ANOVA

ANOVA INTERVENSI

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	22.167	2	11.083	13.300	0.019
Within Groups	7.500	9	0.833		
Total	29.667	11			

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: ANOVA INTERVENSI

LSD

(I) KODE INTERVENSI		Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
H1	H7	-2.25000	0.64550	0.007	-3.7102	-0.7898
	H14	-3.25000	0.64550	0.001	-4.7102	-1.7898
H7	H1	2.25000	0.64550	0.007	0.7898	3.7102
	H14	-1.00000	0.64550	0.156	-2.4602	0.4602
H14	H1	3.25000	0.64550	0.001	1.7898	4.7102
	H7	1.00000	0.64550	0.156	-0.4602	2.4602

*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

Group Statistics

H1 Tes		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
H1 Tes	H1 Tanpa Obat	4	0.00	.000 ^a	0.000
	OBAT	4	0.00	.000 ^a	0.000

Group Statistics

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
H7 Tes	H7 Tanpa Obat	4	1.00	0.414	0.707
	OBAT	4	2.25	1.500	0.750

Group Statistics

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
H14 Tes	H14 Tanpa Obat	4	2.00	1.414	0.707
	OBAT	4	3.25	0.500	0.250

Uji Independent T-Test & Uji Homogenitas (F)

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Lower	Upper
H7	Equal variances assumed	0.051	0.071	-1.213	6	0.041	-1.250	1.031		-3.772	1.272
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.213	5.979	0.061	-1.250	1.031		-3.774	1.274

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Lower	Upper
H14	Equal variances assumed	2.143	0.005	-1.667	6	0.040	-1.250	0.750		-3.085	0.585
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.667	3.738	0.176	-1.250	0.750		-3.391	0.891

Group Statistics

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
H1 VS H7					
H1 VS H7	H1	4	0.00	0.000	0.000
	H7	4	2.25	1.500	0.750

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Lower	Upper
H1 VS H7	Equal variances assumed	9.000	0.024	-3.000	6	0.024	-2.250	0.750		-4.085	-0.415
	Equal variances not assumed			-3.000	3.000	0.058	-2.250	0.750		-4.637	0.137

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Lower	Upper
H1 VS H14	Equal variances assumed	9.000	0.024	-13.000	6	0.000	-3.250	0.250		-3.862	-2.638
	Equal variances not assumed			-13.000	3.000	0.001	-3.250	0.250		-4.046	-2.454

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Lower	Upper
H7 VS H14	Equal variances assumed	3.600	0.107	-1.265	6	0.063	-1.000	0.791		-2.934	0.934
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.265	3.659	0.063	-1.000	0.791		-3.278	1.278