

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Arellano, M. (1987). Computing Robust Standard Errors for Within-Groups Estimators. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 49(4), 431–434.
- Ariefianto, D. M., & Trinugroho, I. (2020). *Statistik dan Ekonometrika Terapan 1: Aplikasi dengan Stata*. Erlangga.
- Arieska, D. I., & Puspongoro, N. H. (2016). Pendugaan Standard Error dan Confidence Interval Koefisien Gini dengan Metode Bootstrap: Terapan pada Data Susenas Provinsi Papua Barat Tahun 2013. *Jurnal Aplikasi Statistika & Komputasi Statistik*, 8(2), 57–66.
- Astuti, T. D., & Maruddani, D. A. I. (2009). Analisis Data Panel untuk Menguji Pengaruh Risiko Terhadap Return Saham Sektor Farmasi dengan Least Square Dummy Variable. *Media Statistika*, 2, 71–80.
- Aziz, A. (2010). *Ekonometrika: Teori dan Praktik Eksperimen dengan MATLAB*. UIN Maliki Pres.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2022). *Indikator Pasar Tenaga Kerja Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan 2022*. BPS Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan.
- Baltagi, B. H. (2021). *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. In *Springer* (Sixth Edition). Springer.
- Cahyono, T. (2018). *Statistika Terapan dan Indikator Kesehatan*. Deepublish.
- Cameron, A. C., & Miller, D. L. (2015). A Practitioner's Guide to Cluster-Robust Inference. *Journal of Human Resources*, 317–372.
- Cameron, A. C., & Trivedi, P. K. (2005). *Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ekananda, M. (2015). *Ekonometrika Dasar: Untuk penelitian di bidang ekonomi, sosial dan bisnis*. Mitra Wacana Media.
- Freund, R. J., Wilson, W. J., & Sa, P. (2006). *Regression Analysis: Statistical Response Variable* (2nd Edition). Academic Press.



- . *Econometric analysis* (Seventh Edition). Prentice Hall.
- . *Basic econometrics*. McGraw Hill.

Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics* (Fifth Edition). McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Hansen, B. E. (2020). *Econometrics*. Princeton University Press.

Hansen, C. B. (2007). Asymptotic properties of a robust variance matrix estimator for panel data when T is large. *Journal of Econometrics*, 141(2), 597–620.

Hsiao, C. (2022). *Analysis of Panel Data* (Fourth Edition). Cambridge University Press.

Huang, F. L., & Li, X. (2022). Using cluster-robust standard errors when analyzing group-randomized trials with few clusters. *Behavior Research Methods*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-021-01627-0>/Published

Judge, & G., G. (1998). *Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Econometrics*. John Wiley & Sons.

Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C., Neter, J., & Li, W. (2005). *Applied Linear Statistical Models* (5th edition). McGraw-Hill.

Liang, K.-Y., & Zeger, S. L. (1986). Longitudinal Data Analysis Using Generalized Linear Models. *Biometrika*, 73(1), 13–22.

Metanda, L. A. H., & Oktora, S. I. (2022). Analysis of Net Enumeration Rate of Senior High School Using Fixed-Effect Clustered-Robust Standard Error Model. *Indonesian Journal of Statistics and Its Applications*, 6(2), 270–286.

Millo, G. (2017). Robust Standard Error Estimators for Panel Models: a Unifying Approach. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 82, 1–27.

Nachrowi, N. D. (2006). *Ekonomika untuk Analisis Ekonomi dan Keuangan: Pendekatan Populer dan Praktis*. Lembaga Penerbit FE UI.

Nurlaila, Z., Susilawati, M., & Nilakusmawati, D. P. E. (2017). Penerapan Metode Newey West dalam Mengoreksi Standard Error Ketika Terjadi Heteroskedastisitas dan Autokorelasi pada Analisis Regresi. *E-Jurnal Matematika*, 6(1), 7–14.

..., & Wildani, Z. (2022). Pemodelan Tingkat Pengangguran Pensi Jambi Menggunakan Regresi Data Panel. *Jurnal Sains Dan* 157–162.

08). Estimating Standard Errors in Finance Panel Data Sets: Approaches. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 22(1), 435–480.



- Prasanti, T. A., Wuryandari, T., & Rusgiyono, A. (2015). Aplikasi Regresi Data Panel untuk Pemodelan Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka Kabupaten/Kota di Provinsi Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Gaussian*, 4(3), 687–696. <http://ejournal-s1.undip.ac.id/index.php/gaussian>
- Rizki, M. I., Gumelar, F., Cerelia, J. J., Ammar, T., & Nugraha, A. (2022). Pemodelan Regresi Data Panel pada Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka di Jawa Barat. *In Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika Dan Statistika*, 2.
- Sembodo, H. (2013). Pemodelan Regresi Panel pada Pendapatan Asli Daerah (PAD) dan Dana Alokasi Umum (DAU) Terhadap Belanja Daerah. *Jurnal Mahasiswa Statistik*, 1(4), 297–300.
- Widarjono, A. (2005). *Ekonometrika: Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Ekonomi dan Bisnis*. Ekonisia.
- Wooldridge, J. M. (2010). *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data* (Second Edition). MIT press.
- Yudiaatmaja, F. (2013). *Analisis Regresi dengan menggunakan aplikasi komputer statistik SPSS*. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.



# LAMPIRAN



## Lampiran 1. Data

Kabupaten/Kota	Tahun	TPT	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Kepulauan Selayar	2020	2.44	152	78.29	46.73	67.38
Kepulauan Selayar	2021	2.81	153	76.37	49.54	67.76
Kepulauan Selayar	2022	1.49	103	80.7	53.76	68.35
Bulukumba	2020	3.42	379	89.22	33.34	68.99
Bulukumba	2021	3.14	381	90.9	36.06	69.62
Bulukumba	2022	1.26	345	90.28	38.88	70.34
Bantaeng	2020	4.27	497	89.32	45.68	68.73
Bantaeng	2021	4.07	500	91.31	50.95	68.99
Bantaeng	2022	2.72	504	91.36	61	69.69
Jeneponto	2020	2.31	445	81	25.7	64.26
Jeneponto	2021	2.38	449	79.32	27.26	64.56
Jeneponto	2022	2.21	581	79.53	28.69	65.13
Takalar	2020	4.16	531	75.3	34.03	67.31
Takalar	2021	3.93	534	77.12	36.76	67.72
Takalar	2022	2.63	538	85.05	40.18	68.31
Gowa	2020	6.44	407	90.32	28.2	70.14
Gowa	2021	4.3	411	88.95	30.44	70.29
Gowa	2022	3.26	416	89.63	32.7	70.99
Sinjai	2020	2.65	316	84.95	43.74	67.6
Sinjai	2021	2.61	319	86.68	47.05	67.75
Sinjai	2022	1.8	330	89.39	51.29	68.33
Maros	2020	6.28	242	88.98	47.73	69.86
Maros	2021	6.3	245	87.75	47.88	70.41
Maros	2022	5.04	249	89.07	58.31	71
Pangkep	2020	5.18	311	90.5	74.38	68.72
Pangkep	2021	5.86	313	92.64	79.19	69.21
:	:	:	:	:	:	:
Toraja Utara	2020	3,17	227	83,6	37,37	69,33
Toraja Utara	2021	2,61	229	83,49	39,16	69,75
Toraja Utara	2022	1,99	221	83,34	42,18	70,36
Makassar	2020	15,92	8101	90,52	125,32	82,25
Makassar	2021	13,18	8122	92,23	133,31	82,66
Makassar	2022	11,82	7188	89,73	145,89	83,12
Pare-Pare	2020	7,14	1525	92,07	48,5	77,86
	2021	6,72	1540	94,77	51,18	78,21
	2022	5,6	1559	95,75	56,16	78,54
	2020	10,37	746	107,53	43,66	78,06
	2021	:	:	:	:	:
	2022	8.2	754	110.58	51.18	78.91



## Lampiran 2. Regresi Data Panel MEU, MET, dan MEA dengan *software R*

### A. Model Efek Umum

```
> CEM = plm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, data_tpt2, index = c("Kabupaten.Kota","Tahun"), model = "pooling")
> summary(CEM)
Pooling Model

Call:
plm(formula = TPT ~ KP + APK + PDRB + IPM, data = data_tpt2,
     model = "pooling", index = c("Kabupaten.Kota",
                                   "Tahun"))

Balanced Panel: n = 24, T = 3, N = 72

Residuals:
    Min.    1st Qu.    Median    3rd Qu.    Max.
-4.342072 -0.832529 -0.034348  0.894071  3.478251

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -1.6152e+01  4.4050e+00 -3.6668  0.0004873 ***
KP           7.7426e-04  1.9707e-04  3.9288  0.0002045 ***
APK          3.9766e-02  3.0218e-02  1.3160  0.1926676
PDRB         1.1244e-02  1.2219e-02  0.9202  0.3607548
IPM          2.2674e-01  8.4034e-02  2.6982  0.0088139 **
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares:    500.31
Residual Sum of Squares: 140.24
R-Squared:                0.71968
Adj. R-Squared:          0.70295
F-statistic: 43.0042 on 4 and 67 DF, p-value: < 2.22e-16
```

### B. Model Efek Tetap

```
> FEM = plm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, data_tpt2, index = c("Kabupaten.Kota","Tahun"), model = "within")
> summary(FEM)
Oneway (individual) effect within Model

Call:
plm(formula = TPT ~ KP + APK + PDRB + IPM, data = data_tpt2,
     model = "within", index = c("Kabupaten.Kota",
                                   "Tahun"))

Balanced Panel: n = 24, T = 3, N = 72

Residuals:
    Min.    1st Qu.    Median    3rd Qu.    Max.
-1.0040650 -0.3180892 -0.0026009  0.2689994  1.4165664

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|t|)
KP           0.00192933  0.00087285  2.2104  0.032325 *
APK          -0.08630999  0.04397279 -1.9628  0.056011 .
PDRB         -0.02101865  0.03613170 -0.5817  0.563722
IPM          -1.13151494  0.36432566 -3.1058  0.003317 **
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares:    40.243
Residual Sum of Squares: 14.616
R-Squared:                0.63681
Adj. R-Squared:          0.41394
F-statistic: 19.287 on 4 and 44 DF, p-value: 3.1578e-09
```

### C. Model Efek Acak

```
> REM = plm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, index = c("Kabupaten.Kota","Tahun"), data = data_tpt2, model = "random")
> summary(REM)
Oneway (individual) effect Random Effect Model
(Swamy-Arora's transformation)

Call:
plm(formula = TPT ~ KP + APK + PDRB + IPM, data = data_tpt2,
     model = "random", index = c("Kabupaten.Kota",
                                   "Tahun"))

Balanced Panel: n = 24, T = 3, N = 72

Effects:
              var std.dev share
idiosyncratic 0.3322  0.5764  0.193
individual    1.3856  1.1771  0.807
theta: 0.728

Residuals:
    Min.    1st Qu.    Median    3rd Qu.    Max.
-1.896425 -0.532120  0.060765  0.454821  1.980476

Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  1.6152e+01  4.4050e+00  3.6668  0.694628 ***
KP           7.7426e-04  1.9707e-04  3.9288  9.371e-09 ***
APK          3.9766e-02  3.0218e-02  1.3160  0.963980
PDRB         1.1244e-02  1.2219e-02  0.9202  0.002077 **
IPM          2.2674e-01  8.4034e-02  2.6982  0.358368
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Total Sum of Squares:    500.31
Residual Sum of Squares: 140.24
R-Squared:                0.71968
Adj. R-Squared:          0.70295
F-statistic: 43.0042 on 4 and 67 DF, p-value: < 2.22e-16
```



### Lampiran 3. Penentuan Model Regresi Data Panel

#### A. Uji Chow

```
> #Uji Chow: CEM vs FEM
> pFtest(FEM,CEM)

      F test for individual effects

data:  TPT ~ KP + APK + PDRB + IPM
F = 16.443, df1 = 23, df2 = 44, p-value = 9.289e-15
alternative hypothesis: significant effects
```

#### B. Uji Hausman

```
> #Uji Hausman
> phptest(FEM,REM)

      Hausman Test

data:  TPT ~ KP + APK + PDRB + IPM
chisq = 210.01, df = 4, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: one model is inconsistent
```



## Lampiran 4. Pengujian Asumsi Regresi Data Panel MET

### A. Uji Normalitas

```
> #Uji Normalitas
> resi <- residuals(FEM)
> # Jarque-Bera Test
> jb_test <- jarque.bera.test(resi)
> print(jb_test)
```

Jarque Bera Test

```
data: resi
X-squared = 1.8703, df = 2, p-value = 0.3925
```

### B. Uji Multikolinieritas

```
> #Mengecek multikol
> model1 <- lm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, data_tpt2 %>% filter(Tahun==2020))
> model2 <- lm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, data_tpt2 %>% filter(Tahun==2021))
> model3 <- lm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, data_tpt2 %>% filter(Tahun==2022))
> model4 <- lm(TPT ~ KP+APK+PDRB+IPM, data_tpt2)
>
> Multikol <- rbind(as.vector(vif(model1)), as.vector(vif(model2)), as.vector(vif(model3)), as.vector(vif(model4)))
> rownames(Multikol) <- c("Tahun 2020", "Tahun 2021", "Tahun 2022", "Tahun 2020-2022")
> colnames(Multikol) <- c("KP", "APK", "PRDB", "IPM")
> Multikol
```

	KP	APK	PRDB	IPM
Tahun 2020	3.588157	1.848890	2.787973	3.484924
Tahun 2021	3.508335	1.907669	2.678315	3.553343
Tahun 2022	3.154341	2.260896	2.558123	4.400111
Tahun 2020-2022	3.123732	1.957702	2.498526	3.727041

### C. Uji Homoskedastisitas

```
> bptest(FEM, studentize = F)
```

Breusch-Pagan test

```
data: FEM
BP = 14.224, df = 4, p-value = 0.006614
```

### D. Uji Autokorelasi

```
> #uji autokorelasi / serial correlation present
> pbgttest(FEM)
```

Breusch-Godfrey/Wooldridge test for serial correlation in panel models

```
data: TPT ~ KP + APK + PDRB + IPM
chisq = 19.568, df = 3, p-value = 0.0002085
alternative hypothesis: serial correlation in idiosyncratic errors
```





## Lampiran 5. Matriks Variansi Kovariansi tiap Cluster

\$`1`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 1. Kepulauan Selayar	63.6194091	0.775108167	-15.2909348	-2.09372544
	0.7751082	0.009443544	-0.1862974	-0.02550894
	-15.2909348	-0.186297367	3.6751785	0.50322723
	-2.0937254	-0.025508940	0.5032272	0.06890486

\$`2`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 2. Bulukumba	267.967710	7.0594819	-16.7174987	-4.36406525
	7.059482	0.1859787	-0.4404146	-0.11496922
	-16.717499	-0.4404146	1.0429419	0.27225764
	-4.364065	-0.1149692	0.2722576	0.07107224

\$`3`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 3. Bantaeng	0.002235153	-0.01202635	0.01961485	0.002027201
	-0.012026348	0.06470835	-0.10553863	-0.010907453
	0.019614846	-0.10553863	0.17213239	0.017789941
	0.002027201	-0.01090745	0.01778994	0.001838596

\$`1`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 4. Jeneponto	63.6194091	0.775108167	-15.2909348	-2.09372544
	0.7751082	0.009443544	-0.1862974	-0.02550894
	-15.2909348	-0.186297367	3.6751785	0.50322723
	-2.0937254	-0.025508940	0.5032272	0.06890486

\$`5`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 5. Takalar	3.6152793	4.5476478	3.1957861	0.51262659
	4.5476478	5.7204710	4.0199687	0.64483127
	3.1957861	4.0199687	2.8249681	0.45314477
	0.5126266	0.6448313	0.4531448	0.07268761

\$`6`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 6. Gowa	91.894554	-13.493387	47.402656	7.2976676
	-13.493387	1.981309	-6.960395	-1.0715570
	47.402656	-6.960395	24.452067	3.7644105
	7.297668	-1.071557	3.764411	0.5795333

\$`7`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 7. Sinjai	10.0910929	3.3608747	5.7909352	0.52485272
	3.3608747	1.1193514	1.9286918	0.17480408
	5.7909352	1.9286918	3.3232209	0.30119514
	0.5248527	0.1748041	0.3011951	0.02729837

\$`8`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 8. Maros	0.63930680	-0.26243356	0.030867078	0.117221634
	-0.26243356	0.10772821	-0.012670845	-0.048119136
	0.03086708	-0.01267085	0.001490328	0.005659707
	0.11722163	-0.04811914	0.005659707	0.021493454

\$`9`

	KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
	1.6118255	0.8410228	6.189703	0.6009210
	0.8410228	0.4388312	3.229681	0.3135502
	6.1897032	3.2296807	23.769587	2.3076460
	0.6009210	0.3135502	2.307646	0.2240355



## Lampiran 5. Matriks Variansi Kovariansi tiap Cluster (Lanjutan)

		\$`10`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 10. Barru	KP	0.07989315	0.19345469	0.3403706	0.03606273
	APK	0.19345469	0.46843459	0.8241793	0.08732292
	PDRB	0.34037056	0.82417927	1.4500882	0.15363883
	IPM	0.03606273	0.08732292	0.1536388	0.01627824
		\$`11`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 11. Bone	KP	0.091273331	0.56373388	0.11515871	0.0048639022
	APK	0.563733884	3.48180449	0.71125777	0.0300410478
	PDRB	0.115158707	0.71125777	0.14529466	0.0061367399
	IPM	0.004863902	0.03004105	0.00613674	0.0002591945
		\$`12`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 12. Soppeng	KP	22.2992734	0.1265271858	-6.0660031	-0.772963254
	APK	0.1265272	0.0007179215	-0.0344188	-0.004385832
	PDRB	-6.0660031	-0.0344188031	1.6501163	0.210266828
	IPM	-0.7729633	-0.0043858319	0.2102668	0.026793348
		\$`13`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 13. Wajo	KP	0.18535181	-0.19341896	0.5514785	0.08813226
	APK	-0.19341896	0.20183723	-0.5754807	-0.09196808
	PDRB	0.55147848	-0.57548074	1.6408176	0.26222051
	IPM	0.08813226	-0.09196808	0.2622205	0.04190569
		\$`14`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 14. Sidrap	KP	4.3396223	2.4552077	7.426397	0.9124764
	APK	2.4552077	1.3890713	4.201598	0.5162475
	PDRB	7.4263973	4.2015978	12.708796	1.5615212
	IPM	0.9124764	0.5162475	1.561521	0.1918630
		\$`15`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 15. Pinrang	KP	0.5497927	-0.31231237	1.1751075	0.13980577
	APK	-0.3123124	0.17741055	-0.6675254	-0.07941734
	PDRB	1.1751075	-0.66752545	2.5116332	0.29881593
	IPM	0.1398058	-0.07941734	0.2988159	0.03555095
		\$`16`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 16. Enrekang	KP	2.6145550	1.5887688	4.554847	0.5780156
	APK	1.5887688	0.9654363	2.767813	0.3512388
	PDRB	4.5548468	2.7678126	7.935052	1.0069677
	IPM	0.5780156	0.3512388	1.006968	0.1277854
		\$`17`			
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
Cluster 17. Luwu	KP	2.39047655	0.143733185	-0.84205542	-0.085269887
	APK	0.14373319	0.008642305	-0.05063062	-0.005127058
		-0.84205542	-0.050630619	0.29661756	0.030036676
		-0.08526989	-0.005127058	0.03003668	0.003041634
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM
		17.9466851	0.54910746	7.0753477	3.16701207
		0.5491075	0.01680082	0.2164815	0.09689979
		7.0753477	0.21648155	2.7894034	1.24857105
		3.1670121	0.09689979	1.2485711	0.55887566



**Lampiran 5. Matriks Variansi Kovariansi tiap Cluster (Lanjutan)**

		\$`19`				
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM	
<b>Cluster 19.</b> Luwu Utara	KP	0.0006113086	-0.04757319	0.05277085	0.007193168	
	APK	-0.0475731884	3.70223535	-4.10672750	-0.559785904	
	PDRB	0.0527708540	-4.10672750	4.55541292	0.620945982	
	IPM	0.0071931679	-0.55978590	0.62094598	0.084640826	
		\$`20`				
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM	
<b>Cluster 20.</b> Luwu Timur	KP	0.4259582	0.1610389	8.350195	0.2934724	
	APK	0.1610389	0.0608828	3.156897	0.1109510	
	PDRB	8.3501951	3.1568971	163.691553	5.7530345	
	IPM	0.2934724	0.1109510	5.753034	0.2021937	
		\$`21`				
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM	
<b>Cluster 21.</b> Toraja Utara	KP	0.307902037	0.0061879154	-0.123389252	-0.0250873314	
	APK	0.006187915	0.0001243587	-0.002479757	-0.0005041808	
	PDRB	-0.123389252	-0.0024797571	0.049447246	0.0100535453	
	IPM	-0.025087331	-0.0005041808	0.010053545	0.0020440729	
		\$`22`				
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM	
<b>Cluster 22.</b> Makassar	KP	758.18482	47.4301892	216.898967	11.1660741	
	APK	47.43019	2.9671167	13.568669	0.6985223	
	PDRB	216.89897	13.5686693	62.049729	3.1943530	
	IPM	11.16607	0.6985223	3.194353	0.1644470	
		\$`23`				
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM	
<b>Cluster 23.</b> Pare-Pare	KP	43.981777	2.7841622	11.1928376	0.78784398	
	APK	2.784162	0.1762448	0.7085361	0.04987260	
	PDRB	11.192838	0.7085361	2.8484436	0.20049689	
	IPM	0.787844	0.0498726	0.2004969	0.01411262	
		\$`24`				
		KP	APK	PDRB	IPM	
<b>Cluster 24.</b> Palopo	KP	30.971195	9.0100785	15.1034047	1.7010399	
	APK	9.010079	2.6211941	4.3938525	0.4948631	
	PDRB	15.103405	4.3938525	7.3653223	0.8295287	
	IPM	1.701040	0.4948631	0.8295287	0.0934267	



### Lampiran 6. Hasil Estimasi MET dengan *Standard Error Cluster Robust*

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-1.0041	-0.3181	-0.0026	0.2690	1.4166

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Cluster s.e.	t value	Pr(> t )	
KP	0.001929	0.000577	3.344	0.00282	**
APK	-0.086310	0.031606	-2.731	0.01191	*
PDRB	-0.021019	0.048043	-0.438	0.66583	
IPM	-1.131515	0.456569	-2.478	0.02097	*

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.5764 on 44 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared(full model): 0.9708 Adjusted R-squared: 0.9529

Multiple R-squared(proj model): 0.6368 Adjusted R-squared: 0.4139

F-statistic(full model, \*iid\*):54.15 on 27 and 44 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

F-statistic(proj model): 354.2 on 4 and 23 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16



Optimization Software:  
[www.balesio.com](http://www.balesio.com)

## Lampiran 7. Hasil Estimasi Parameter Model Terbaik

```

Residuals:
  Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-1.05363 -0.32068 -0.01016  0.28660  1.40259

Coefficients:
      Estimate Cluster s.e. t value Pr(>|t|)
X1  0.0021703   0.0001811  11.981 2.28e-11 ***
X2 -0.0849245   0.0312008  -2.722  0.0122 *
X3 -1.3153909   0.1682359  -7.819 6.34e-08 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.5721 on 45 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared(full model): 0.9706   Adjusted R-squared: 0.9536
Multiple R-squared(proj model): 0.634   Adjusted R-squared: 0.4226
F-statistic(full model, *iid*):57.06 on 26 and 45 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
F-statistic(proj model): 435.1 on 3 and 23 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

```

	effect <dbl>
Kabupaten.Kota.1	97.83708
Kabupaten.Kota.2	101.07877
Kabupaten.Kota.3	101.24206
Kabupaten.Kota.4	93.06266
Kabupaten.Kota.5	98.29319
Kabupaten.Kota.6	104.08599
Kabupaten.Kota.7	98.35048
Kabupaten.Kota.8	105.49940
Kabupaten.Kota.9	103.38160
Kabupaten.Kota.10	106.85416
	effect <dbl>
Kabupaten.Kota.11	96.35355
Kabupaten.Kota.12	102.22337
Kabupaten.Kota.13	101.93051
Kabupaten.Kota.14	105.56528
Kabupaten.Kota.15	104.32713
Kabupaten.Kota.16	105.78538
Kabupaten.Kota.17	105.47709
Kabupaten.Kota.18	99.98313
Kabupaten.Kota.19	102.80953
Kabupaten.Kota.20	108.55885
	effect <dbl>
Kabupaten.Kota.21	101.02127
Kabupaten.Kota.22	113.16905
Kabupaten.Kota.23	114.00903
Kabupaten.Kota.24	119.98764



## Lampiran 8. Hasil Estimasi Model Terbaik

Kabupaten/Kota	Model
Kepulauan Selayar	$\hat{y}_{1,t} = 97.837 + 0.00217X_{1,1,t} - 0.0849X_{2,1,t} - 1.3154X_{4,1,t}$
Bulukumba	$\hat{y}_{2,t} = 101.079 + 0.00217X_{1,2,t} - 0.0849X_{2,2,t} - 1.3154X_{4,2,t}$
Bantaeng	$\hat{y}_{3,t} = 101.242 + 0.00217X_{1,3,t} - 0.0849X_{2,3,t} - 1.3154X_{4,3,t}$
Jeneponto	$\hat{y}_{4,t} = 93.063 + 0.00217X_{1,4,t} - 0.0849X_{2,4,t} - 1.3154X_{4,4,t}$
Takalar	$\hat{y}_{5,t} = 98.293 + 0.00217X_{1,5,t} - 0.0849X_{2,5,t} - 1.3154X_{4,5,t}$
Gowa	$\hat{y}_{6,t} = 104.086 + 0.00217X_{1,6,t} - 0.0849X_{2,6,t} - 1.3154X_{4,6,t}$
Sinjai	$\hat{y}_{7,t} = 98.350 + 0.00217X_{1,7,t} - 0.0849X_{2,7,t} - 1.3154X_{4,7,t}$
Maros	$\hat{y}_{8,t} = 105.499 + 0.00217X_{1,8,t} - 0.0849X_{2,8,t} - 1.3154X_{4,8,t}$
Pangkep	$\hat{y}_{9,t} = 103.382 + 0.00217X_{1,9,t} - 0.0849X_{2,9,t} - 1.3154X_{4,9,t}$
Barru	$\hat{y}_{10,t} = 106.854 + 0.00217X_{1,10,t} - 0.0849X_{2,10,t} - 1.3154X_{4,10,t}$
Bone	$\hat{y}_{11,t} = 96.354 + 0.00217X_{1,11,t} - 0.0849X_{2,11,t} - 1.3154X_{4,11,t}$
Soppeng	$\hat{y}_{12,t} = 102.223 + 0.00217X_{1,12,t} - 0.0849X_{2,12,t} - 1.3154X_{4,12,t}$
Wajo	$\hat{y}_{13,t} = 101.931 + 0.00217X_{1,13,t} - 0.0849X_{2,13,t} - 1.3154X_{4,13,t}$
Sidrap	$\hat{y}_{14,t} = 105.565 + 0.00217X_{1,14,t} - 0.0849X_{2,14,t} - 1.3154X_{4,14,t}$
Pinrang	$\hat{y}_{15,t} = 104.327 + 0.00217X_{1,15,t} - 0.0849X_{2,15,t} - 1.3154X_{4,15,t}$
Enrekang	$\hat{y}_{16,t} = 105.785 + 0.00217X_{1,16,t} - 0.0849X_{2,16,t} - 1.3154X_{4,16,t}$
	$\hat{y}_{17,t} = 105.477 + 0.00217X_{1,17,t} - 0.0849X_{2,17,t} - 1.3154X_{4,17,t}$
	$\hat{y}_{18,t} = 99.983 + 0.00217X_{1,18,t} - 0.0849X_{2,18,t} - 1.3154X_{4,18,t}$
	$\hat{y}_{19,t} = 102.810 + 0.00217X_{1,19,t} - 0.0849X_{2,19,t} - 1.3154X_{4,19,t}$



**Lampiran 8. Hasil Estimasi Model Terbaik (Lanjutan)**

Kabupaten/Kota	Model
Luwu Timur	$\hat{y}_{20,t} = 108.559 + 0.00217X_{1,20,t} - 0.0849X_{2,20,t} - 1.3154X_{4,20,t}$
Toraja Utara	$\hat{y}_{21,t} = 101.021 + 0.00217X_{1,21,t} - 0.0849X_{2,21,t} - 1.3154X_{4,21,t}$
Makassar	$\hat{y}_{22,t} = 113.169 + 0.00217X_{1,22,t} - 0.0849X_{2,22,t} - 1.3154X_{4,22,t}$
Parepare	$\hat{y}_{23,t} = 114.009 + 0.00217X_{1,23,t} - 0.0849X_{2,23,t} - 1.3154X_{4,23,t}$
Palopo	$\hat{y}_{24,t} = 119.988 + 0.00217X_{1,24,t} - 0.0849X_{2,24,t} - 1.3154X_{4,24,t}$

