

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akbar, A., Suwandaru, R., & Muhammad, N. (2023). Pengaruh Kesehatan Mental dan Kepuasan Kerja terhadap Komitmen Organisasi pada Karyawan UMKM. *Management and Accounting Research Statistics*, 3(2), 110–125.
- Ali, H., & Li, M. (2022). Employee engagement and innovative work behavior among Chinese millennials: mediating and moderating role of work-life balance and psychological empowerment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 942580.
- Asepta, U. Y., & Maruno, S. H. P. (2017). Analisis pengaruh work-life balance dan pengembangan karir terhadap kepuasan kerja karyawan PT. Telkomsel, TBK Branch Malang. *Jurnal Ilmiah Bisnis Dan Ekonomi Asia*, 11(2), 77–85.
- Atmi, R. T. (2014). *Dinamika Akses Informasi Ilmiah antar Generasi (Studi Kasus pada Pemustaka Perpustakaan Pusat Universitas Gadjah Mada)*. Yogyakarta).
- Atthohiri, N. A., & Wijayati, D. T. (2021). Pengaruh Employee Engagement terhadap Kepuasan Kerja dengan Work Life Balance sebagai Variabel Intervening. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 9(3), 1092–1100.
- Badri, S. K. Z., Yung, C. T. M., Wan Mohd Yunus, W. M. A., & Seman, N. A. A. (2023). The perceived effects of spirituality, work-life integration and mediating role of work passion to millennial or gen Y employees' mental health. *Management Research Review*, 46(9), 1278–1295.
- Dewi, R. S., Setiadi, I. K., & Mulyantini, S. (2022). Pengaruh Work-Life Balance, Employee Engagement dan Burnout Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Karyawan Milenial Kelurahan Kamal Jakarta Barat. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen Magister*, 1(1), 49–62.
<http://openjournal.unpam.ac.id/index.php/JIIM>
- Engagement, E., Kinerja, T., & Generasi, K. (2020). *PENGARUH BUDAYA ORGANISASI, GAYA KEPEMIMPINAN, DAN EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT TERHADAP KINERJA KARYAWAN GENERASI MILLENIAL (Studi Kasus Pada Karyawan PT Ritel Global Solusi)*.
- FRISKA, A. (2024). *PENGARUH WORK-LIFE BALANCE DAN PENGEMBANGAN KARIER TERHADAP TURNOVER INTENTION DENGAN KEPUASAN KERJA SEBAGAI VARIABEL MEDIASI (Studi Kasus Karyawan Milenial di Bandar Lampung)*. UIN RADEN INTAN LAMPUNG.



- Ganapathi. (2016). *Pengaruh Work Life Balance dan Burnout Terhadap Produktivitas Kerja*.
- Hendriana, E., Christoper, A., Adhitama Zain, H. O., & Pricilia, N. (2023). The Role of Employer Branding in Work-life Balance and Employee Retention Relationship among Generation Z Workers: Mediation or Moderation? *Jurnal Manajemen Teori Dan Terapan*, 16(1).
- Jaharuddin, N. S., & Zainol, L. N. (2019). The impact of work-life balance on job engagement and turnover intention. *The South East Asian Journal of Management*, 13(1), 7.
- Lailla, N., Tarmizi, M. I., Hananto, D., & Gunawan, A. (2024). *Determinan Work Engagement dan Proactive Personality Terhadap Innovation Work Behaviour pada Karyawan Generasi Milenial di Jakarta*. 8(1), 527–534. <https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v8i1.1554>
- Larasati, D. P., & Hasanati, N. (2019). The effects of work-life balance towards employee engagement in millennial generation. *4th ASEAN Conference on Psychology, Counselling, and Humanities (ACPCH 2018)*, 390–394.
- Mahardika, A. A., Ingarianti, T., & Zulfiana, U. (2022). Work-life balance pada karyawan generasi Z. *Collabryzk Journal for Scientific Studies*, 1(1), 1–16.
- Mennita, R., & Abdillah, P. (2022). Accountant: Passion, compassion and job satisfaction. *MARGIN ECO*, 6(1), 15–27.
- Muliawati, T., & Frianto, A. (2020). Peran work-life balance dan kepuasan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan milenial: studi literatur. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen (JIM)*, 8(3), 606–619.
- Nurdin, S., & Rohaeni, H. (2020). Work-Life Balance dan Dampaknya terhadap Intention Turnover pada Generasi Millenial: Peran Mediasi Job Satisfaction. *Jurnal Konsep Bisnis Dan Manajemen (JKBM)*, 6(2), 121–132.
- Nurjanah, D., & Indawati, N. (2021). Effect of emotional intelligence on employee engagement and job satisfaction with work-life balance as intervening variables in the generation Z in Surabaya. *International Journal of Economics, Management, Business, And Social Science (IJEMBIS)*, 1(3), 316–328.
- Pardo, A., & Román, M. (2013). Reflections on the Baron and Kenny model of statistical mediation. *Anales de Psicología*, 29(2), 614–623.
- Sari, A. S., & Darwin, M. (2021). Effect of work-life balance and



- welfare level on millennial employee performance through work engagement. *International Journal of Science and Society*, 3(1), 334–344.
- Rachmadini, F., & Riyanto, S. (2020). The impact of work-life balance on employee engagement in generation z. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 25(5), 62–66.
- Riyanto, S., Ariyanto, E., & Lukertina, L. (2019). Work life balance and its influence on employee engagement “Y” generation in courier service industry. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 9(6), 25.
- Rizky, A. O., Ramli, A. H., & Mariam, S. (2023). Leader-Member Exchange, Communication Satisfaction, Job Satisfaction, Employee Engagement Dan Employee Performance. *Jurnal Lentera Bisnis*, 12(3), 667–682.
- Sánchez-Hernández, M. I., González-López, Ó. R., Buenadicha-Mateos, M., & Tato-Jiménez, J. L. (2019). Work-life balance in great companies and pending issues for engaging new generations at work. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(24), 5122.
- Sinaga, O., & Sijabat, R. (2022). Analisis Pengaruh Employee Motivation, Employee Empowerment, Work Life Balance Terhadap Employee Retention Dengan Job Satisfaction Sebagai Variabel Mediasi pada PT XYZ. *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis (JAB)*, 12(1), 87–96.
- Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta, CV.
- Wahyuni, R., Edriani, D., Sari, F., & Irfani, H. (2022). Work Engagement, Komitmen Organisasi dan Pengembangan SDM terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Generasi Milenial. *Jurnal Ekobistek*, 11, 118–123. <https://doi.org/10.35134/ekobistek.v11i2.323>
- Wambui et al. (2017). Pengaruh Work-Life Balance, Employee Engagement dan Burnout Terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Karyawan Milenial Kelurahan Kamal Jakarta Barat. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Manajemen Magister*, 1(1).
- Wijayanto, P., Suharti, L., & Chaniago, R. (2022). Pengaruh Work Life Balance Terhadap Employee Engagement Dan Dampaknya Terhadap Turn-Over Intentions Dengan Job Characteristics Sebagai Pemoderasi (Studi Pada Karyawan Generasi Y Di Indonesia). *Jurnal Ekonomi Pendidikan Dan Kewirausahaan*, 10(1), 83–98.

LAMPIRAN

Redaksi Kuisioner

- I. Petunjuk Pengisian Opinier/Kusioner
 - a. Bacalah setiap pernyataan dengan teliti, sampai Bapak/Ibu benar-benar memahami intinya.
 - b. Jangan ada pernyataan yang terlewatkan/tidak diisi.
 - c. Berikan jawaban atas setiap pernyataan dengan memilih salah satu dari 5 (lima).
 - d. Alternatif pilihan yang paling cocok dengan keadaan sebenarnya, setiap pilihan.
 - e. Diberikan kode sebagai berikut :

SS = Sangat Setuju	5
S = Setuju	4
KS = Kurang Setuju	3
TS = Tidak Setuju	2
STS= Sangat Tidak Setuju	1
 - f. Cantumkan tanda centang (✓) pada kolom pilihan yang Bapak/Ibu pilih yang kolomnya tersedia di samping kanan dari setiap pernyataan.

Pernyataan

Kode	Pernyataan	Tanggapan				
		STS	TS	KS	S	SS
X1.1	Karyawan diberi kesempatan untuk terlibat dalam Pelatihan dan Pengembangan					
X1.2	Komunikasi Internal telah dilaksanakan dengan baik kepada semua karyawan					



Kode	Pernyataan	Tanggapan				
		STS	TS	KS	S	SS
X1.3	Penghargaan dan pengakuan diberi kepada karyawan yang produktif					
X1.4	Karyawan diberi kesempatan terlibat dalam proses pengambilan keputusan					
X2.1	Jam kerja yang telah diterapkan dengan baik dapat menunjang terciptanya work life balance					
X2.2	Waktu luang telah dimanfaatkan dengan baik oleh karyawan untuk beristirahat					
X2.3	Karyawan memperoleh dukungan dari tempat kerja untuk menyeimbangkan work life balance					
X2.4	Manajemen stres dapat dilaksanakan dengan baik pada perusahaan ini					
Y1.1	Tingkat stress tinggi ketika menjadi karyawan pada perusahaan ini					
Y1.2	Tingkat absen dan kehadiran karyawan rendah					
Y1.3	Kesejahteraan psikologis dapat dimiliki oleh setiap karyawan					



Kode	Pernyataan	Tanggapan				
		STS	TS	KS	S	SS
Y1.4	Komunikasi dan hubungan antar karyawan sering dilakukan saat bekerja					
Y2.1	Pekerjaan ini secara mental menantang bagi para karyawan					
Y2.2	Kondisi lingkungan kerja yang mendukung proses bekerja					
Y2.3	Gaji atau upah yang pantas diperoleh saat bekerja di perusahaan ini					
Y2.4	Saya memiliki kepribadian yang sesuai dengan pekerjaan					



```
FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=X1.1 X1.2 X1.3 X1.4 X2.1 X2.2 X2.3
X2.4 Y1.1 Y1.2 Y1.3 Y1.4 Y2.1 Y2.2 Y2.3
Y2.4
/STATISTICS=RANGE MINIMUM MAXIMUM MEAN MEDIAN
/ORDER=ANALYSIS.
```

Frequencies

Notes

Output Created	03-JUN-2024 01:44:21	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data.
Syntax	<pre>FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=X1.1 X1.2 X1.3 X1.4 X2.1 X2.2 X2.3 X2.4 Y1.1 Y1.2 Y1.3 Y1.4 Y2.1 Y2.2 Y2.3 Y2.4 /STATISTICS=RANGE MINIMUM MAXIMUM MEAN MEDIAN /ORDER=ANALYSIS.</pre>	
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.01
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00



Frequency Table

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

X1.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	26	9.0	9.0
	2.00	85	29.3	38.3
	3.00	107	36.9	75.2
	4.00	71	24.5	99.7
	5.00	1	.3	.3
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

X1.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	26	9.0	9.0
	2.00	39	13.4	13.4
	3.00	153	52.8	52.8
	4.00	64	22.1	22.1
	5.00	8	2.8	2.8
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

X1.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	32	11.0	11.0
	2.00	52	17.9	29.0
	3.00	127	43.8	43.8
	4.00	77	26.6	26.6
	5.00	2	.7	.7
	Total	290	100.0	100.0



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

X1.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
--	-----------	---------	---------------	--------------------

Valid	1.00	26	9.0	9.0	9.0
	2.00	132	45.5	45.5	54.5
	3.00	46	15.9	15.9	70.3
	4.00	73	25.2	25.2	95.5
	5.00	13	4.5	4.5	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0	

X2.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2.00	5	1.7	1.7	1.7
	3.00	50	17.2	17.2	19.0
	4.00	215	74.1	74.1	93.1
	5.00	20	6.9	6.9	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0	

X2.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	5	1.7	1.7	1.7
	2.00	8	2.8	2.8	4.5
	3.00	169	58.3	58.3	62.8
	4.00	104	35.9	35.9	98.6
	5.00	4	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0	

**X2.3**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	3.00	61	21.0	21.0	21.0

4.00	217	74.8	74.8	95.9
5.00	12	4.1	4.1	100.0
Total	290	100.0	100.0	

X2.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	5	1.7	1.7
	3.00	86	29.7	31.4
	4.00	193	66.6	97.9
	5.00	6	2.1	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

Y1.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	5	1.7	1.7
	2.00	15	5.2	6.9
	3.00	174	60.0	66.9
	4.00	94	32.4	99.3
	5.00	2	.7	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

Y1.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2.00	35	12.1	12.1
	3.00	163	56.2	68.3
	4.00	75	25.9	94.1
	5.00	17	5.9	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

Y1.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
3.00	133	45.9	45.9	45.9



4.00	150	51.7	51.7	97.6
5.00	7	2.4	2.4	100.0
Total	290	100.0	100.0	

Y1.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	5	1.7	1.7
	2.00	4	1.4	3.1
	3.00	160	55.2	55.2
	4.00	105	36.2	94.5
	5.00	16	5.5	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

Y2.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	5	1.7	1.7
	2.00	15	5.2	5.2
	3.00	169	58.3	58.3
	4.00	97	33.4	98.6
	5.00	4	1.4	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

Y2.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2.00	32	11.0	11.0
	3.00	161	55.5	66.6
	4.00	80	27.6	94.1
	5.00	17	5.9	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0

Y2.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	3.00	131	45.2	45.2



4.00	149	51.4	51.4	96.6
5.00	10	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	290	100.0	100.0	

Y2.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1.00	5	1.7	1.7
	2.00	1	.3	.3
	3.00	153	52.8	52.8
	4.00	117	40.3	95.2
	5.00	14	4.8	100.0
	Total	290	100.0	100.0



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=X1.1 X1.2 X1.3 X1.4
/SCALE(' Employee Engagement (X1)') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.
```

Reliability

Notes

Output Created	03-JUN-2024 01:14:41	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
	Matrix Input	
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data for all variables in the procedure.
Syntax	RELIABILITY /VARIABLES=X1.1 X1.2 X1.3 X1.4 /SCALE('Kepemimpinan (X1)') ALL /MODEL=ALPHA /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE /SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.	
Processor Time	00:00:00.00	
Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00	



Scale: Employee Engagement (X1)

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	290	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	290	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.894	.897	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
X1.1	2.7793	.92985	290
X1.2	2.9621	.90860	290
X1.3	2.8793	.94992	290
X1.4	2.7069	1.07839	290

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Min	Max	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	2.832	2.707	2.962	.255	1.094	.013	4



Item-Total Statistics

Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X1.1	8.5483	6.740	.784	.679
X1.2	8.3655	6.689	.825	.736
X1.3	8.4483	6.684	.774	.620
X1.4	8.6207	6.423	.696	.494

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
11.3276	11.391	3.37499	4



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=X2.1 X2.2 X2.3 X2.4
/SCALE('Work Life Balance (X2)') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.
```

Reliability

Notes

Output Created		03-JUN-2024 01:20:54
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset Filter Weight Split File	DataSet0 <none> <none> <none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
	Matrix Input	
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing Cases Used	User-defined missing values are treated as missing. Statistics are based on all cases with valid data for all variables in the procedure.
Syntax		RELIABILITY /VARIABLES=X2.1 X2.2 X2.3 X2.4 /SCALE('Work Life Balance (X2)') ALL /MODEL=ALPHA /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE /SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.
Processor Time		00:00:00.00
Elapsed Time		00:00:00.00



Scale: Work Life Balance (X2)

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	290	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	290	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.800	.810	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
X2.1	3.8621	.54067	290
X2.2	3.3241	.63772	290
X2.3	3.8310	.47323	290
X2.4	3.6724	.60528	290

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Min	Max	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.672	3.324	3.862	.538	1.162	.061	4



Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X2.1	10.8276	1.942	.657	.542	.730
X2.2	11.3655	1.928	.503	.290	.813
X2.3	10.8586	2.101	.656	.537	.739
X2.4	11.0172	1.768	.678	.460	.717

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
14.6897	3.225	1.79587	4



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=Y1.1 Y1.2 Y1.3 Y1.4
/SCALE('Mental Health (Y1)') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.
```

Reliability

Notes

Output Created	03-JUN-2024 01:25:09	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
	Matrix Input	
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data for all variables in the procedure.
Syntax	RELIABILITY /VARIABLES=Y1.1 Y1.2 Y1.3 Y1.4 /SCALE('Mental Health (Y1)') ALL /MODEL=ALPHA /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE /SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.	
Processor Time	00:00:00.00	
Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00	



Scale: Mental Health (Y1)

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	290	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	290	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.803	.805	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Y1.1	3.2517	.64067	290
Y1.2	3.2552	.74201	290
Y1.3	3.5655	.54314	290
Y1.4	3.4241	.69807	290

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Min	Max	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.374	3.252	3.566	.314	1.097	.023	4



Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Y1.1	10.2448	2.476	.742	.646	.693
Y1.2	10.2414	2.530	.552	.313	.793
Y1.3	9.9310	3.109	.511	.262	.801
Y1.4	10.0724	2.393	.696	.622	.713

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
13.4966	4.382	2.09340	4



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=Y2.1 Y2.2 Y2.3 Y2.4
/SCALE('Work Satisfaction (Y2)') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.
```

Reliability

Notes

Output Created	03-JUN-2024 01:28:10	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
	Matrix Input	
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data for all variables in the procedure.
Syntax	RELIABILITY /VARIABLES=Y2.1 Y2.2 Y2.3 Y2.4 /SCALE('Work Satisfaction (Y2)') ALL /MODEL=ALPHA /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE /SUMMARY=TOTAL MEANS.	
Processor Time	00:00:00.00	
Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00	



Scale: Work Satisfaction (Y2)

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	290	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	290	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.814	.816	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Y2.1	3.2759	.66011	290
Y2.2	3.2828	.73662	290
Y2.3	3.5828	.55964	290
Y2.4	3.4621	.67604	290

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Min	Max	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.401	3.276	3.583	.307	1.094	.022	4



Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Y2.1	10.3276	2.526	.728	.620	.720
Y2.2	10.3207	2.585	.575	.333	.800
Y2.3	10.0207	3.121	.534	.287	.810
Y2.4	10.1414	2.496	.719	.615	.724

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
13.6034	4.489	2.11879	4



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

REGRESSION

```
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT TOTAL_Y1
/METHOD=ENTER TOTAL_X1 TOTAL_X2.
```

Regression

Notes

Output Created	03-JUN-2024 02:41:57	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any variable used.
Syntax	<pre>REGRESSION /MISSING LISTWISE /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10) /NOORIGIN /DEPENDENT TOTAL_Y1 /METHOD=ENTER TOTAL_X1 TOTAL_X2.</pre>	
	Processor Time	00:00:00.01



Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00
Memory Required	3568 bytes
Additional Memory Required for Residual Plots	0 bytes

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	TOTAL_X2, TOTAL_X1 ^b		. Enter

- a. Dependent Variable: TOTAL_Y1
b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.705 ^a	.497	.493	1.49039

- a. Predictors: (Constant), TOTAL_X2, TOTAL_X1

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	628.993	2	314.497	141.584	.000 ^b
	Residual	637.503	287	2.221		
	Total	1266.497	289			

- a. Dependent Variable: TOTAL_Y1
b. Predictors: (Constant), TOTAL_X2, TOTAL_X1



Coefficients^a

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Beta	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error			
1 (Constant)	2.597	.740		3.512	.001
TOTAL_X1	.290	.026	.467	10.942	.000
TOTAL_X2	.519	.050	.445	10.421	.000

a. Dependent Variable: TOTAL_Y1

REGRESSION



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

```

/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT TOTAL_Y2
/METHOD=ENTER TOTAL_X1 TOTAL_X2 TOTAL_Y1.

```

Regression

Notes

Output Created	03-JUN-2024 02:43:53	
Comments		
Input	Active Dataset	DataSet0
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
Missing Value Handling	N of Rows in Working Data File	290
	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
Syntax	Cases Used	Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any variable used.
	REGRESSION /MISSING LISTWISE /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10) /NOORIGIN /DEPENDENT TOTAL_Y2 /METHOD=ENTER TOTAL_X1 TOTAL_X2 TOTAL_Y1.	
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.01
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.00
	Memory Required	4096 bytes



	Additional Required Residual Plots	Memory for	0 bytes
--	--	---------------	---------

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	TOTAL_Y1, TOTAL_X2, TOTAL_X1 ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: TOTAL_Y2

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.972 ^a	.946	.945	.49645

a. Predictors: (Constant), TOTAL_Y1, TOTAL_X2, TOTAL_X1

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1226.907	3	408.969	1659.326	.000 ^b
	Residual	70.490	286	.246		
	Total	1297.397	289			

a. Dependent Variable: TOTAL_Y2

b. Predictors: (Constant), TOTAL_Y1, TOTAL_X2, TOTAL_X1



Coefficients^a

Model	B	Unstandardized Coefficients		t	Sig.
		Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	-.250	.252		-.994	.321
TOTAL_X1	.030	.010	.048	2.871	.004
TOTAL_X2	.073	.019	.062	3.734	.000
TOTAL_Y1	.922	.020	.911	46.894	.000

a. Dependent Variable: TOTAL_Y2



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com