

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Afriani, A., Antong, A., & HALIM, U. (2023). Implementasi Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Dalam Meningkatkan Citra Perusahaan di mata masyarakat pada PT Pratomo Putra Teknik Palopo. *Implementasi Corporate Social Responsibility (Csr) Dalam Meningkatkan Citra Perusahaan Di Mata Masyarakat Pada Pt Pratomo Putra Teknik Palopo*, 7(1), 16–25.
- Ageeva, E., Melewar, T. C., Foroudi, P., Dennis, C., & Jin, Z. (2018). Examining the influence of corporate website favorability on corporate image and corporate reputation: Findings from fsQCA. *Journal of Business Research*, 89, 287–304.
- Akbar, M. M., & Parvez, N. (2009). IMPACT OF SERVICE QUALITY , TRUST , AND CUSTOMER Mohammad Muzahid Akbar and Noorjahan Parvez. *ABAC Journal*, 29(1), 24–38.
- Ali, H. Y., Danish, R. Q., & Asrar-ul-Haq, M. (2020). How corporate social responsibility boosts firm financial performance: The mediating role of corporate image and customer satisfaction. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(1), 166–177.
- Ardianto, E. (2011). *Handbook of public relations: pengantar komprehensif*.
- Arkan, E., & Güner, S. (2013). The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility, Service Quality and Customer-company Identification on Customers. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 99, 304–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.498>
- Ashraf, S., Ilyas, R., Imtiaz, M., & Ahmad, S. (2018). Impact of service quality, corporate image and perceived value on brand loyalty with presence and absence of customer satisfaction: A study of four service sectors of Pakistan. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(2), 452–474.
- Asnawi, A., Awang, Z., Afthanorhan, A., Mohamad, M., & Karim, F. (2019). The influence of hospital image and service quality on patients' satisfaction and loyalty. *Management Science Letters*, 9(6), 911–920.
- Bahrudin, M., & Zuhro, S. (2016). Pengaruh kepercayaan dan kepuasan pelanggan terhadap loyalitas pelanggan. *BISNIS: Jurnal Bisnis Dan Manajemen Islam*, 3(1), 1–17.
- a, F., Manurung, J. S., & ... (2022). Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Elektronik, Promosi Aplikasi PIn-Mobile Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan PIn (Persero) Ulp *Nasional Social & ...*, 71–82.
- ng, B., & Reynel, R. (2021). The Effect of Service Quality Elements on



Customer Satisfaction. *Golden Ratio of Marketing and Applied Psychology of Business*, 1(2), 107–118. <https://doi.org/10.52970/grmapb.v1i2.102>

Chesanti, P. C., & Setyorini, R. (2018). Pengaruh E-Service Quality terhadap kepuasan pelanggan sebagai pengguna Aplikasi PLN Mobile. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 18(1), 10–17.

Dam, S. M., & Dam, T. C. (2021). Relationships between Service Quality, Brand Image, Customer Satisfaction, and Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 585–593. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no3.0585>

Dyatmika, S. W., & Firdaus, L. M. (2021). the Effect of Price, Service Quality, and Company Image on Purchase Decisions on Jalanjalan. Id Gresik. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR)*, 5(2).

Elvinaro, A. (2010). Metodologi penelitian untuk public relations kuantitatif dan kualitatif. *Bandung: Simbiosis Rekatama Media*, 64–65.

Ene, S., & Özkaya, B. (2014). A study on corporate image, customer satisfaction and brand loyalty in the context of retail stores. *Asian Social Science*, 10(14), 52.

Fajar, L. (2008). Manajemen pemasaran. *Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu*.

Fudin, A. (2020). PENGARUH SARANA PRASARANA TERHADAP KINERJA GURU. | *Pengaruh Sarana Prasarana...*, Fudin, 15(1), 7–11. <https://doi.org/10.23917/mp.v15i1.10611>

Giovanis, A. N., Zondiros, D., & Tomaras, P. (2014). The antecedents of customer loyalty for broadband services: The role of service quality, emotional satisfaction and corporate image. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 148, 236–244.

Gloria Ribka Lengkey, Mandey, S. L., & Soepono, D. (2022). Pengaruh Promosi Dan Kualitas Pelayanan Elektronik Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan Pengguna Aplikasi Pln Mobile Pelanggan Pt.Pln (Persero) Unit Layanan Pelanggan Tomohon. *Jurnal EMBA*, 4(5), 62–71.

Haq, A. A. (2022). Penggunaan Aplikasi Pln Mobile Sebagai Sarana Komunikasi Digital dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Kualitas Pelayanan Pelanggan. *COMSERVA: Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 2(7), 1192–1200. <https://doi.org/10.36418/comserva.v2i07.447>

M., Normajatun, N., & Rahmita, D. (2019). Kualitas Pelayanan Haji Umrah Pada Kantor Kementerian Agama Kabupaten Hulu Sungai Tengah. *AS-SIYASAH: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, 3(1), 1–8.

..., S. (2017). *PENGARUH HARGA, PROMOSI DAN KUALITAS*



PELAYANAN TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELIAN PADA PT GRESIK GRAHA WISATA TOUR AND TRAVEL. Universitas Muhammadiyah Gresik.

- Khan, R. U., Salamzadeh, Y., Iqbal, Q., & Yang, S. (2022). The impact of customer relationship management and company reputation on customer loyalty: The mediating role of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Relationship Marketing*, 21(1), 1–26.
- Khvtisiashvili, I. (2012). How does corporate image affect the competitive advantage of Georgian banking segment. *Journal of Business*, 1(1), 35–44.
- Kotler, P. (2021). *Marketing 4.0 do tradisional ao digital*.
- Kotler, P., Burton, S., Deans, K., Brown, L., & Armstrong, G. (2015). *Marketing*. Pearson Higher Education AU.
- Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., Setiawan, I., & Ham, H. van den. (2017). *Marketing 4.0: van traditioneel naar digitaal*. *Vakmediane*(Deventer), 1–175.
- Li, F., Lu, H., Hou, M., Cui, K., & Darbandi, M. (2021). Customer satisfaction with bank services: The role of cloud services, security, e-learning and service quality. *Technology in Society*, 64(October 2020), 101487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101487>
- Mahmud, A. N. (2020). Kepuasan Pasien: Pengaruh Kualitas Layanan Terhadap Kepuasan Pasien Rawat Inap. *Voice of Midwifery*, 10(2), 940–954.
- Manalu, R. E. N., Haura, A. Al, Ginting, A. E., Amanda, B., & Syakira, H. (2023). Analisis Manfaat Penggunaan PLN Mobile Pada Bagian Pelayanan Pelanggan Di PLN ULP Medan. *Ebismen: Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Manajemen*, 2(3), 95–104.
- Masili, V., Lumanauw, B., & Tielung, M. V. J. (2022). Pengaruh Kualitas Layanan Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan Dengan Kepuasan Pelanggan Sebagai Variabel Intervening Pada Usaha Toko Bahan Bangunan Mentari Di Desa SEA Kecamatan Pineleng Kabupaten Minahasa. *Jurnal EMBA: Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, 10(4), 32–39.
- Moon, Y.-J., & Kim, J.-W. (2013). A Study on The Influence of Airlines' Service Quality on The Customers Satisfaction, Service Value and Repurchasing Intention: By using Grönroos Model: By using Grönroos Model. *국제경영연구*, 25(8), 629–648.

M. (2023). *Pengaruh kualitas pelayanan dan Relationship Marketing adap loyalitas nasabah dengan citra perusahaan sebagai variabel teras: Studi pada Baitul Maal wat Tamwil (BMT) Masalah cabang*



Wagir Kabupaten Malang Jawa Timur. Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim.

- Nur Faridah, A., & Riza Hernawati. (2022). Efektivitas Pelayanan Ketenagalistrikan melalui Aplikasi PLN Mobile dalam Menangani Keluhan. *Bandung Conference Series: Public Relations*, 2(1), 204–208. <https://doi.org/10.29313/bcspr.v2i1.658>
- Özkan, P., Süer, S., Keser, İ. K., & Kocakoç, İ. D. (2020). The effect of service quality and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 38(2), 384–405. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-03-2019-0096>
- Poceratu, I. C., & Maitimu, N. E. (2022). Aplikasi Metode Service Quality Dalam Menganalisis Kualitas Pelayanan Pt. Pln (Persero) Pltd Poka Ambon. *ALE Proceeding*, 5, 121–128. <https://doi.org/10.30598/ale.5.2022.121-128>
- Priyatna, S., & Ardianto, E. (2009). Tujuh Pilar Strategi Komunikasi Bisnis. *Bandung: Widya Padjadjaran*.
- Rafiah, K. K. (2019). Analisis pengaruh kepuasan pelanggan dan kepercayaan pelanggan terhadap loyalitas pelanggan dalam berbelanja melalui E-commerce di Indonesia. *Al Tijarah*, 5(1), 46–56.
- RAMADHANI, A. R. (2022). *PENGARUH KUALITAS PRODUK DAN KEMUDAHAN MENGGUNAKAN MOBILE BANKING TERHADAP KEPUASAN NASABAH (Studi Kasus pada Pengguna Mobile Banking Livin di Bank Mandiri KCP Jakarta RS Persahabatan)*. Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Indonesia Jakarta.
- Rehman, A., Farooq, M., Naveed, M., Nawaz, A., & Shahzad, B. (2018). Seed priming of Zn with endophytic bacteria improves the productivity and grain biofortification of bread wheat. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 94, 98–107.
- Richard, J. E., & Zhang, A. (2012). Corporate image, loyalty, and commitment in the consumer travel industry. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 28(5–6), 568–593.
- Rita, P., Oliveira, T., & Farisa, A. (2019). The impact of e-service quality and customer satisfaction on customer behavior in online shopping. *Heliyon*, 5(10), e02690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02690>
- Rosady, R. (2014). Manajemen Public Relations dan Media Komunikasi: Persepsi dan Aplikasi. *Jakarta: Raja Grafindo*.
- ..., M. A., & Niati, A. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Kualitas Produk, Kualitas Pelayanan Dan Promosi Terhadap Kepuasan Konsumen Elsa Hijab Semarang. *Solusi*, 17(3).



- Sanawiri, B., & Iqbal, M. (2018). *Kewirausahaan*. Universitas Brawijaya Press.
- Sensuse, D. I., & Prayoga, S. H. (2012). Analisis usability pada aplikasi berbasis web dengan mengadopsi model kepuasan pengguna (user satisfaction). *Jurnal Sistem Informasi*, 6(1), 70–79.
- Sugiyono. (2016). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. PT Alfabet.
- Sugiyono. (2017a). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta, CV.
- Sugiyono, P. D. (2017b). Metode penelitian bisnis: pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, kombinasi, dan R&D. *Penerbit CV. Alfabeta: Bandung*, 225, 87.
- Sutojo, S. (2004). Membangun citra perusahaan. *Jakarta: Damar Mulia Pustaka*, 160.
- Tjiptono, F. (2007). *Service, quality satisfaction*.
- Tjiptono, F. (2014). Pemasaran Jasa: Prinsip, Penerapan. *Penelitian. Andi*.
- Tjiptono, F. (2017). *Service, quality, dan satisfaction*.
- Tjiptono, F. (2022). *SERVICE MANAGEMENT: Mewujudkan Layanan Prima Edisi 4*. Penerbit Andi.
- Zameer, H., Tara, A., Kausar, U., & Mohsin, A. (2015). Impact of service quality, corporate image and customer satisfaction towards customers' perceived value in the banking sector in Pakistan. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 33(4), 442–456. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-01-2014-0015>



LAMPIRAN

Tabulasi Data Kualitas Layanan

NO	X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6	TOTALX
1	4	4	4	2	4	3	21
2	4	4	4	2	4	3	21
3	5	4	4	5	4	5	27
4	4	5	5	4	4	5	27
5	5	5	4	5	5	5	29
6	4	4	4	5	4	4	25
7	4	5	5	5	5	5	29
8	4	4	4	3	4	3	22
9	5	4	4	4	4	5	26
10	3	3	3	2	3	3	17
11	1	2	1	1	2	2	9
12	2	2	1	1	2	5	13
13	2	1	1	2	2	2	10
14	3	3	3	3	3	3	18
15	1	4	2	2	4	2	15
16	2	5	1	1	4	2	15
17	2	2	1	1	5	2	13
18	1	5	1	2	5	2	16
19	1	3	1	2	3	2	12
20	2	2	1	3	2	2	12
21	2	2	1	2	4	2	13
22	1	1	2	1	2	2	9
23	2	2	2	2	4	4	16
24	1	1	1	1	2	2	8
25	3	3	1	2	3	2	14
26	3	4	2	2	3	1	15
27	5	4	4	4	2	2	21
28	4	5	5	5	5	1	25
29	2	3	3	3	1	1	13
30	1	2	2	2	2	2	11
	2	1	1	1	4	1	10
	4	4	4	3	3	2	20
	3	3	3	4	2	2	17
	5	5	3	5	5	2	25



35	4	4	3	3	1	1	16
36	3	3	4	2	2	2	16
37	2	2	4	1	4	2	15
38	3	3	4	3	3	2	18
39	2	2	1	5	5	1	16
40	5	5	5	4	4	3	26
41	1	1	2	2	2	2	10
42	1	2	1	1	2	2	9
43	2	2	1	1	2	5	13
44	4	4	4	3	4	3	22
45	5	4	4	4	4	5	26
46	3	3	3	2	3	3	17
47	1	2	1	1	2	2	9
48	2	2	1	1	2	5	13
49	2	1	1	2	2	2	10
50	5	5	5	5	4	5	29
51	4	4	4	4	4	4	24
52	5	5	4	5	4	5	28
53	5	5	4	4	5	4	27
54	4	4	4	4	5	5	26
55	5	4	4	4	4	4	25
56	5	5	4	5	4	5	28
57	5	5	5	5	5	4	29
58	5	4	4	3	5	5	26
59	2	4	4	5	5	4	24
60	5	5	5	5	4	4	28
61	4	5	5	5	4	5	28
62	5	5	4	4	5	4	27
63	5	5	5	5	4	4	28
64	4	5	4	5	5	5	28
65	4	5	5	4	4	4	26
66	4	5	4	4	4	4	25
67	5	5	5	4	3	3	25
68	4	3	4	5	5	5	26
69	4	4	4	4	5	4	25
70	5	5	4	5	4	5	28
71	4	5	4	5	4	4	26
72	5	5	5	4	4	3	26
73	5	5	4	4	5	5	28



74	5	5	4	4	5	5	28
75	5	5	4	4	4	4	26
76	4	4	4	4	5	5	26
77	5	5	4	4	5	5	28
78	4	4	4	3	3	5	23
79	4	4	4	4	4	4	24
80	4	4	4	4	5	4	25
81	4	4	5	3	5	5	26
82	4	5	5	3	4	5	26
83	5	5	5	4	5	3	27
84	5	5	5	4	5	4	28
85	4	4	5	5	3	3	24
86	4	3	4	3	4	4	22
87	4	4	4	4	5	4	25
88	5	5	5	4	5	5	29
89	4	5	5	3	5	5	27
90	5	5	4	4	4	4	26
91	5	5	5	4	4	5	28
92	4	5	5	4	4	4	26
93	5	5	4	4	4	4	26
94	5	5	4	5	4	4	27
95	4	4	5	4	4	4	25
96	4	5	4	4	3	4	24
97	4	5	5	4	4	4	26
98	4	4	4	3	3	4	22
99	4	4	4	3	5	4	24
100	4	3	4	3	4	4	22



Tabulasi Data Kepuasan Pelanggan

NO	Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	TOTALY1
1	3	3	3	4	4	17
2	3	3	3	4	4	17
3	4	4	5	5	4	22
4	4	5	5	4	5	23
5	4	4	5	5	5	23
6	4	5	5	4	4	22
7	4	5	4	4	5	22
8	3	3	3	4	4	17
9	4	5	5	5	4	23
10	3	3	3	3	3	15
11	1	1	3	1	2	8
12	2	2	2	2	2	10
13	3	2	2	2	1	10
14	3	3	3	3	3	15
15	2	2	5	1	4	14
16	3	2	4	2	5	16
17	1	1	2	2	2	8
18	2	1	4	1	5	13
19	1	1	4	1	3	10
20	3	2	5	2	2	14
21	2	2	2	2	2	10
22	1	1	1	1	1	5
23	1	1	2	2	2	8
24	1	1	1	1	1	5
25	2	1	3	3	3	12
26	1	1	3	3	4	12
27	2	2	4	5	5	18
28	1	2	4	5	4	16
29	2	1	3	3	3	12
30	1	1	2	1	1	6
31	2	2	3	4	3	14
32	2	3	4	4	4	17
	1	1	3	3	3	11
	2	2	2	2	1	9
	1	1	1	2	1	6
	1	1	2	2	1	7



NO	Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	TOTALY1
37	1	1	2	1	2	7
38	2	4	2	2	4	14
39	3	2	4	2	2	13
40	3	2	4	2	2	13
41	2	2	3	3	3	13
42	1	1	3	1	2	8
43	2	2	2	2	2	10
44	3	3	3	4	4	17
45	4	5	5	5	4	23
46	3	3	3	3	3	15
47	1	1	3	1	2	8
48	2	2	2	2	2	10
49	3	2	2	2	1	10
50	5	3	5	5	5	23
51	4	3	4	4	4	19
52	5	5	4	5	5	24
53	4	3	4	4	4	19
54	5	3	4	5	4	21
55	4	1	5	5	3	18
56	5	4	4	5	5	23
57	4	2	4	5	4	19
58	5	3	4	4	4	20
59	4	5	5	4	3	21
60	4	3	3	4	5	19
61	5	4	4	4	5	22
62	4	3	4	4	5	20
63	4	1	4	5	5	19
64	5	3	4	4	5	21
65	4	4	4	4	5	21
66	4	4	4	4	5	21
67	3	3	3	4	4	17
68	5	5	4	4	3	21
69	4	5	4	4	3	20
70	5	3	4	5	5	22
	4	4	3	3	3	17
	3	2	5	5	3	18
	5	3	4	4	5	21
	5	3	4	5	4	21



NO	Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	TOTALY1
75	4	4	3	4	5	20
76	5	4	4	5	4	22
77	5	5	4	5	5	24
78	5	3	5	3	2	18
79	4	5	5	4	5	23
80	4	4	4	4	4	20
81	5	4	4	5	4	22
82	5	3	5	4	4	21
83	3	3	3	5	5	19
84	4	4	4	5	5	22
85	4	3	3	4	4	18
86	4	3	3	4	4	18
87	4	4	4	4	4	20
88	5	3	4	5	4	21
89	5	3	3	4	4	19
90	4	4	4	4	5	21
91	4	5	5	5	5	24
92	4	3	4	5	5	21
93	4	3	4	5	5	21
94	4	2	4	5	5	20
95	5	4	4	4	4	21
96	4	3	5	4	5	21
97	4	5	5	5	4	23
98	3	4	4	4	4	19
99	4	3	4	5	4	20
100	3	4	4	4	4	19



Tabulasi Data Citra Perusahaan

NO	Y2.1	Y2.2	Y2.3	Y2.4	Y2.5	TOTALY2
1	3	2	3	3	3	14
2	4	3	4	4	4	19
3	3	4	3	3	3	16
4	3	4	4	4	4	19
5	4	4	4	3	3	18
6	4	4	4	3	3	18
7	3	3	3	4	4	17
8	4	3	4	4	4	19
9	4	5	4	4	4	21
10	3	3	3	3	3	15
11	3	3	3	2	2	13
12	2	5	2	1	1	11
13	3	3	2	2	2	12
14	3	3	3	3	3	15
15	4	2	4	2	2	14
16	4	2	5	1	1	13
17	5	2	2	1	1	11
18	5	2	5	1	1	14
19	4	3	4	2	2	15
20	3	3	3	2	2	13
21	5	3	3	2	2	15
22	2	2	1	2	2	9
23	4	4	2	2	2	14
24	3	3	2	2	2	12
25	3	2	3	1	1	10
26	4	2	5	3	3	17
27	3	2	5	2	2	14
28	5	2	5	3	3	18
29	2	2	4	2	2	12
30	4	4	3	3	3	17
31	4	1	3	1	1	10
32	3	2	4	2	2	13
	2	2	3	2	2	11
	5	2	1	2	2	12
	4	4	4	4	4	20
	2	2	1	1	1	7



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

37	4	2	2	1	1	10
38	4	3	5	3	3	18
39	5	1	2	2	2	12
40	4	3	2	2	2	13
41	2	2	3	3	3	13
42	2	2	2	1	1	8
43	2	5	2	1	1	11
44	4	3	4	4	4	19
45	3	4	3	3	3	16
46	3	3	3	3	3	15
47	2	2	2	1	1	8
48	2	5	2	1	1	11
49	2	2	1	1	1	7
50	4	4	4	4	4	20
51	4	4	5	4	4	21
52	5	5	5	4	4	23
53	4	4	4	4	4	20
54	5	4	4	1	3	17
55	5	5	5	3	3	21
56	5	5	5	5	5	25
57	4	5	4	5	5	23
58	4	4	4	3	4	19
59	4	4	4	2	4	18
60	4	4	4	2	4	18
61	4	4	5	4	4	21
62	3	4	4	2	5	18
63	4	4	4	5	5	22
64	4	5	4	5	5	23
65	5	4	4	3	4	20
66	5	5	4	5	5	24
67	4	5	5	4	4	22
68	3	3	3	3	3	15
69	4	4	4	3	5	20
70	4	4	3	4	4	19
71	3	4	4	3	4	18
	4	5	4	3	4	20
	4	5	4	3	5	21
	5	4	4	3	5	21
	4	4	4	3	5	20



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

76	4	4	4	4	4	20
77	3	4	3	4	4	18
78	3	3	3	4	4	17
79	4	4	4	3	4	19
80	3	3	3	4	4	17
81	5	4	4	3	5	21
82	4	4	4	4	4	20
83	3	4	4	4	4	19
84	4	4	3	4	4	19
85	4	4	4	3	4	19
86	4	4	4	3	5	20
87	3	3	3	3	3	15
88	3	3	3	4	4	17
89	3	4	4	3	3	17
90	3	4	4	4	4	19
91	4	4	4	4	4	20
92	3	4	4	4	4	19
93	4	4	4	4	4	20
94	4	4	4	3	5	20
95	3	3	3	3	3	15
96	3	3	3	3	3	15
97	3	4	4	3	3	17
98	4	3	3	2	4	16
99	4	3	3	2	4	16
100	3	3	3	2	4	15



Correlations

Correlations

		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.794**	.822**	.763**	.532**	.597**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	.794**	1	.766**	.720**	.594**	.506**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	.822**	.766**	1	.729**	.504**	.552**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
X1.4	Pearson Correlation	.763**	.720**	.729**	1	.534**	.485**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
X1.5	Pearson Correlation	.532**	.594**	.504**	.534**	1	.484**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
X1.6	Pearson Correlation	.597**	.506**	.552**	.485**	.484**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
	Pearson Correlation	.911**	.880**	.887**	.853**	.715**	.728**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

N	100	100	100	100	100	100
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Correlations

Correlations

		Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	TOTALY1
Y1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.717**	.631**	.742**	.628**	.886**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.2	Pearson Correlation	.717**	1	.549**	.621**	.568**	.823**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.3	Pearson Correlation	.631**	.549**	1	.611**	.628**	.791**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.4	Pearson Correlation	.742**	.621**	.611**	1	.729**	.882**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y1.5	Pearson Correlation	.628**	.568**	.628**	.729**	1	.841**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
	Pearson Correlation	.886**	.823**	.791**	.882**	.841**	1



Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
N	100	100	100	100	100	100

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations

Correlations

		Y2.1	Y2.2	Y2.3	Y2.4	Y2.5	TOTALY2
Y2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.223*	.481**	.269**	.379**	.581**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.026	.000	.007	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.2	Pearson Correlation	.223*	1	.370**	.527**	.610**	.725**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.026		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.3	Pearson Correlation	.481**	.370**	1	.494**	.542**	.746**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.4	Pearson Correlation	.269**	.527**	.494**	1	.806**	.837**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.007	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100
Y2.5	Pearson Correlation	.379**	.610**	.542**	.806**	1	.900**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100



TOTALY2	Pearson Correlation	.581**	.725**	.746**	.837**	.900**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	100	100	100	100	100	100

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	100	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.805	7



Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
X1.1	3.63	1.331	100
X1.2	3.84	1.253	100
X1.3	3.50	1.389	100
X1.4	3.36	1.307	100
X1.5	3.77	1.090	100
X1.6	3.50	1.291	100
TOTALX1	21.60	6.382	100

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X1.1	39.57	133.722	.891	.762
X1.2	39.36	136.334	.855	.769
X1.3	39.70	133.404	.859	.763
X1.4	39.84	136.156	.821	.769
X1.5	39.43	144.187	.670	.789
X1.6	39.70	140.576	.675	.783
TOTALX1	21.60	40.727	1.000	.910



Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	100	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.816	6

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Y1.1	3.28	1.334	100
Y1.2	2.87	1.284	100
Y1.3	3.59	1.026	100
Y1.4	3.56	1.321	100
Y1.5	3.62	1.262	100
TOTAL Y1	16.92	5.276	100



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Y1.1	30.56	88.168	.853	.770
Y1.2	30.97	90.676	.777	.781
Y1.3	30.25	95.260	.750	.796
Y1.4	30.28	88.486	.849	.771
Y1.5	30.22	90.537	.800	.780
TOTALY1	16.92	27.832	1.000	.899

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	100	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	100	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.797	6



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Y2.1	3.61	.863	100
Y2.2	3.42	1.017	100
Y2.3	3.48	.990	100
Y2.4	2.86	1.110	100
Y2.5	3.21	1.241	100
TOTALY2	16.58	4.023	100

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Y2.1	29.55	57.422	.502	.793
Y2.2	29.74	53.912	.656	.770
Y2.3	29.68	53.836	.684	.768
Y2.4	30.30	51.020	.787	.748
Y2.5	29.95	48.311	.863	.728
TOTALY2	16.58	16.185	1.000	.823



Frequencies

Statistics

		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6	Y1.1
N	Valid	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Statistics

		Y1.2	Y1.3	Y1.4	Y1.5	Y2.1	Y2.2	Y2.3
N	Valid	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Statistics

		Y2.4	Y2.5
N	Valid	100	100
	Missing	0	0

Frequency Table

X1.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
...sangat tidak setuju	10	10.0	10.0	10.0
...tidak setuju	15	15.0	15.0	25.0



Ragu-ragu	8	8.0	8.0	33.0
Setuju	36	36.0	36.0	69.0
Sangat setuju	31	31.0	31.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

X1.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Sangat tidak setuju	6	6.0	6.0	6.0
Tidak setuju	13	13.0	13.0	19.0
Ragu-ragu	12	12.0	12.0	31.0
Setuju	29	29.0	29.0	60.0
Sangat setuju	40	40.0	40.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

X1.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Sangat tidak setuju	18	18.0	18.0	18.0
Tidak setuju	6	6.0	6.0	24.0
Ragu-ragu	7	7.0	7.0	31.0



Setuju	46	46.0	46.0	77.0
Sangat setuju	23	23.0	23.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

X1.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Sangat tidak setuju	12	12.0	12.0	12.0
Tidak setuju	16	16.0	16.0	28.0
Ragu-ragu	17	17.0	17.0	45.0
Setuju	34	34.0	34.0	79.0
Sangat setuju	21	21.0	21.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

X1.5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Sangat tidak setuju	2	2.0	2.0	2.0
Tidak setuju	16	16.0	16.0	18.0
Ragu-ragu	13	13.0	13.0	31.0
Setuju	41	41.0	41.0	72.0
Sangat setuju	28	28.0	28.0	100.0
Total	100	100.0	100.0	



X1.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	6	6.0	6.0	6.0
	Tidak setuju	24	24.0	24.0	30.0
	Ragu-ragu	12	12.0	12.0	42.0
	Setuju	30	30.0	30.0	72.0
	Sangat setuju	28	28.0	28.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Y1.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	15	15.0	15.0	15.0
	Tidak setuju	14	14.0	14.0	29.0
	Ragu-ragu	18	18.0	18.0	47.0
	Setuju	34	34.0	34.0	81.0
	Sangat setuju	19	19.0	19.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



Y1.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	19	19.0	19.0	19.0
	Tidak setuju	19	19.0	19.0	38.0
	Ragu-ragu	31	31.0	31.0	69.0
	Setuju	18	18.0	18.0	87.0
	Sangat setuju	13	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Y1.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	3	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Tidak setuju	13	13.0	13.0	16.0
	Ragu-ragu	24	24.0	24.0	40.0
	Setuju	42	42.0	42.0	82.0
	Sangat setuju	18	18.0	18.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



Y1.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	10	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Tidak setuju	16	16.0	16.0	26.0
	Ragu-ragu	10	10.0	10.0	36.0
	Setuju	36	36.0	36.0	72.0
	Sangat setuju	28	28.0	28.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Y1.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	8	8.0	8.0	8.0
	Tidak setuju	14	14.0	14.0	22.0
	Ragu-ragu	15	15.0	15.0	37.0
	Setuju	34	34.0	34.0	71.0
	Sangat setuju	29	29.0	29.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	



Y2.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Tidak setuju	11	11.0	11.0	11.0
	Ragu-ragu	31	31.0	31.0	42.0
	Setuju	44	44.0	44.0	86.0
	Sangat setuju	14	14.0	14.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Y2.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	2	2.0	2.0	2.0
	Tidak setuju	20	20.0	20.0	22.0
	Ragu-ragu	25	25.0	25.0	47.0
	Setuju	40	40.0	40.0	87.0
	Sangat setuju	13	13.0	13.0	100.0
Total		100	100.0	100.0	



Y2.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	4	4.0	4.0	4.0
	Tidak setuju	12	12.0	12.0	16.0
	Ragu-ragu	28	28.0	28.0	44.0
	Setuju	44	44.0	44.0	88.0
	Sangat setuju	12	12.0	12.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Y2.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	14	14.0	14.0	14.0
	Tidak setuju	22	22.0	22.0	36.0
	Ragu-ragu	33	33.0	33.0	69.0
	Setuju	26	26.0	26.0	95.0
	Sangat setuju	5	5.0	5.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Y2.5



		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Sangat tidak setuju	13	13.0	13.0	13.0
	Tidak setuju	16	16.0	16.0	29.0
	Ragu-ragu	21	21.0	21.0	50.0
	Setuju	37	37.0	37.0	87.0
	Sangat setuju	13	13.0	13.0	100.0
Total		100	100.0	100.0	

Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
X1.1	100	1	5	3.63	1.331
X1.2	100	1	5	3.84	1.253
X1.3	100	1	5	3.50	1.389
X1.4	100	1	5	3.36	1.307
X1.5	100	1	5	3.77	1.090
X1.6	100	1	5	3.50	1.291
Y1.1	100	1	5	3.28	1.334
Y1.2	100	1	5	2.87	1.284
	100	1	5	3.59	1.026
	100	1	5	3.56	1.321
	100	1	5	3.62	1.262



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Y2.1	100	2	5	3.61	.863
Y2.2	100	1	5	3.42	1.017
Y2.3	100	1	5	3.48	.990
Y2.4	100	1	5	2.86	1.110
Y2.5	100	1	5	3.21	1.241
Valid N (listwise)	100				

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	TOTALX1 ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: TOTALY1

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.881 ^a	.776	.774	2.511

ors: (Constant), TOTALX1



ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2137.629	1	2137.629	339.125	.000 ^b
	Residual	617.731	98	6.303		
	Total	2755.360	99			

a. Dependent Variable: TOTALY1

b. Predictors: (Constant), TOTALX1

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.193	.890		1.340	.183
	TOTALX1	.728	.040	.881	18.415	.000

a. Dependent Variable: TOTALY1

Regression



Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	TOTALY1, TOTALX1 ^b		Enter

a. Dependent Variable: TOTALY2

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.781 ^a	.610	.602	2.539

a. Predictors: (Constant), TOTALY1, TOTALX1

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	977.051	2	488.526	75.782	.000 ^b
	Residual	625.309	97	6.446		
	Total	1602.360	99			



ent Variable: TOTALY2

rs: (Constant), TOTALY1, TOTALX1

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	5.844	.908		6.433	.000
	TOTALX1	.378	.084	.599	4.473	.000
	TOTALY1	.152	.102	.200	1.491	.139

a. Dependent Variable: TOTALY2

