

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aggrey, S. E., A. B. Karnuah, B. Sebastian, and N. B. Anthony. 2010. Genetic Properties of Feed Efficiency Parameters in Meat-Type Chickens. *Genetics Selection Evolution*. 42 (25) : 1–5.
- Alende, M., P. A. Lancaster, M. L. Spangler, A. J. Pordomingo, and J. G. Andrae. 2016. Residual Feed Intake In Cattle: Physiological Basis. a Review Residual Feed Intake in Cattle. *Revisión bibliográfica. Revista Argentina de Producción Animal*. 36(2): 49–56.
- Al-Shamery, N.J. and M.B.S. Al-Shuhaib. 2015. Effect of in ovo injection of various nutrients on the hatchability, mortality ratio and weight of the broiler chickens. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinar Science* 8 (2): 30-33.
- Arisman. 2017. Morfometri dan Histologi Usus Halus Ayam Kampung Jantan Hasil in ovo feeding asam amino L-glutamin. Universitas Hasanuddin. Makassar.
- Ashifudin, M., E. Kurnianto, dan Sutopo. 2017. Karakteristik morfometrik ayam kedu jengger merah dan jengger hitam generasi pertama di Satker Ayam Maron-Temanggung. *Jurnal Ilmu Ternak*. 17 (1) : 40-46.
- Budiarto A B. 2015. Ukuran tubuh hasil persilangan ayam kedu dengan silangan sentul kampung dan resiprokalnya umur 0 sampai 12 minggu. Skripsi. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor
- Hardjosworo, P. S. 1994. Upaya untuk mencari fisik petelur lokal betina yang baik. Lebar rentang pubis itik lokal betina pada saat masak kelamin. *Media Peternakan, Fakultas Peternakan Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor*. Vol 1. P: 1-5.
- Hardjosubroto, W. 1994. Aplikasi Pemuliabiakan Ternak di Lapangan. Gramedia, Jakarta.
- Herren, R. 2000. *The Science of Animal Agriculture*. Ed ke-2. Delmar, New York.
- Ismoyowati T. J. Yuwanta, P. Sidadolog dan S. Keman. 2006. Hubungan antara karakteristik morfologi dan performans reproduksi itik Tegal sebagai dasar seleksi. *J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric*. 31:152-156
- Jamili, M.A. 2022. Perbandingan Waktu Pubertas Ayam KUB dan Ayam Kampung Lokal yang Dipelihara Secara Tradisional. *Tarjih Tropical Livestock Journal*. 2(1): 1–3.
- Johari, S., Sutopo, dan A. Santi. 2009. Frekuensi fenotipik sifat-sifat kualitatif Ayam Kedu dewasa. Seminar Nasional Kebangkitan Peternakan. Semarang, 20 Mei 2009. Hal 606-616.

- Kurnia, Y. 2011. Morfometrik ayam sentul, kampung dan kedu pada fase pertumbuhan dari umur 1-12 minggu. Skripsi. Bogor : Institut Pertanian Bogor
- Kusuma, D. dan N. S. Prijono. 2007. Keanekaragaman Sumber Daya Hayati Ayam Lokal Indonesia: Manfaat dan Potensi. LIPI Press. Jakarta.
- Mariyandani, H.N., D.D. Solihin, S. Sulandari, dan C. Sumantri. 2013. Keragaman fenotipik dan pendugaan jarak genetic pada ayam lokal dan ayam broiler menggunakan analisis morfologi. *Jurnal Veteriner* 14(4):475-484.
- Martojo, H. 1992. Peningkatan Mutu Genetik Ternak. Departemen Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Direktorat Jendral Pendidikan Tinggi Pusat Antar Universitas Bioteknologi IPB, Bogor.
- Metzler-Zebeli, B.U, S.C. Siegerstetter, E. Magowan, P.G. Lawlor, N.E. O'Connell, and Q. Zebeli. 2019. Feed Restriction Reveals Distinct Serum Metabolome Profiles in Chickens Divergent in Feed Efficiency Traits. *Metabolites*. 9(38): 2–3.
- Mulyono, R.H. dan R.B. Pangestu. 1996. Analisis Statistik Ukuran-Ukuran Tubuh dan Analisis Karakteristik Genetik Eksternal Pada Ayam Pedaging. Hasil Penelitian Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Musa A.M., N.Z. Idam, and K.M. Elamin. 2012. Regression analysis of linier body measurements on live weight in Sudanese Shugor sheep. *Online J.Anim. Feed Res.* 2(1):27-29
- Noor, R. 2000. Genetika Ternak. Penebar Swadaya, Jakarta.
- Nozawa, K. 1980. Phylogenetic Studies on Native Domestic an Animal in East and Shoutheast Asia. Tropical Agriculture Research Center, Japan IV: 23- 43
- Pamungkas, R.S., Ismoyowati, dan S.A. Santosa. 2013. Kajian bobot tetas, bobot badan umur 4 dan 8 minggu serta korelasinya pada berbagai itik lokal dan itik manila jantan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peternakan* 1 (2): 488 – 500.
- Rahman, I. 2020. Performa Produksi Ayam Ras Pedaging Fase Finisher yang Diberi Ransum dengan Penambahan Ampas Tahu Fermentasi *Aspergillus Niger*. Skripsi. Fakultas Pertanian dan Peternakan. Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau.
- Santosa, S. A., D. Purwantini dan L. Setiana. 2018. Penerapan Metode Seleksi Individu untuk Mendapatkan Bibit Ayam Kampung di Kelompok Mugi Lestari Kecamatan Kebasen Kabupaten Banyumas. Prosiding Seminar

- Nasional dan Call for Papers. 14–15 November 2018. Purwokerto. Hlm. 325–326.
- Saputra, H. 2006. Penampilan kuantitatif ayam kampung pada pemeliharaan ekstensif di Kecamatan Kuranji Kota Padang. Skripsi. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Andalas, Padang.
- Sartika, T. 2012. Ketersediaan Sumberdaya Genetik Ayam Lokal dan Strategi Pengembangannya untuk Pembentukan *Parent* dan *Grand Parent Stock*. Prosiding Workshop Nasional Unggas Lokal 2012. Januari 2012. Bogor. 16–17.
- Sartika, T. 2013. Perbandingan morfometrik ukuran tubuh Ayam KUB dan Sentul melalui pendekatan analisis diskriminan. Seminar Nasional Teknologi Peternakan dan Veteriner. Medan, 3-4 september 2013. Puslitbang Peternakan, Medan. 561-570.
- Sasimowski. 1987. *Animal Breeding and Production an Outline*. By. PWN-Publish Scientific Publishers. Warszawa
- Sitanggang, E.N., Hasnudi, dan Hamdan. 2015. Keragaman sifat kualitatif dan morfometrik antara ayam kampung, ayam Bangkok, ayam Katai, ayam Birma, ayam Bagon dan Magon di Medan. *Jurnal Peternakan Integratif*. Vol. 3 No. 2 : 167-189.
- Subekti, K dan F. Arlina. 2011. Karakteristik genetik eksternal ayam kampung di Kecamatan Sungai Pagu Kabupaten Solok Selatan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu-ilmu Peternakan* 14(2):74-86.
- Suprijatna, E.U, Atmomarsono. R, Kastasudjana. 2005. *Ilmu Dasar Ternak Unggas*. Penebar Swadaya, Jakarta.
- Suryaman, A. 2001. Perbandingan morfometrik ayam kampung, ayam pelung dan ayam keturunan pertama (F1) persilangan pelung kampung jantan dan betina. Skripsi. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Soeparno. 1992. *Ilmu dan Teknologi Daging*. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.
- Tamzil, M.H., M. Ichsan, N.S. Jaya and M. Taqiuddin. 2015. Growth rate, carcass weight and percentage weight of carcass parts of laying type cockerels, kampung chicken and arabic chicken in different ages. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 14 (7): 377 382,
- Wahyu. 2004. *Ilmu Nutrisi Unggas*. Cetakan ke-5. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.

- Wijayanti, R. P. 2011. Pengaruh suhu kandang yang berbeda terhadap performans ayam pedaging periode starter. Skripsi. Malang : Universitas Brawijaya
- Willems, O.W, S.P Miller, and B.J Wood. 2013. Aspects of Selection For Feed Efficiency in Meat Producing Poultry. *World's Poultry Science Journal*. 69(1): 77–87.
- Yang L., X. wang, T. He, F. Xiong, X. Chen, S. Jin and Z. Geng. 2020. Association of residual feed intake with growth performance, carcass traits, meat quality, and blood variables in native chickens. *Journal of Animal Science*. 98(7): 1–36

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Perbedaan Dimensi Tubuh Low RFI dan High RFI pada Ayam kampung Jantan

a. Panjang Sayap

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Sayap	Low RFI	22	21.7955	2.11986	.45195
	High RFI	21	22.5810	1.94335	.42407

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Panjang_Sayap	Equal variances assumed	.184	.670	-1.265	41	.213	-.78550	.62104	-2.03972	.46872
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.267	40.937	.212	-.78550	.61976	-2.03719	.46619

b. Panjang Punggung

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Punggung	Low RFI	22	16.6909	1.76201	.37566
	High RFI	21	16.5143	1.94789	.42507

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Panjang_Punggung	Equal variances assumed	.010	.919	.312	41	.757	.17662	.56593	-.96629	1.31954

Equal variances not assumed			.311	40.129	.757	.17662	.56728	-.96977	1.32302
--------------------------------	--	--	------	--------	------	--------	--------	---------	---------

c. Panjang dada

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Dada	Low RFI	22	14.4636	1.34644	.28706
	High RFI	21	13.6524	1.67530	.36558

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Panjang_D ada	Equal variances assumed	.250	.620	1.754	41	.087	.81126	.46244	-.12266	1.74517
	Equal variances not assumed			1.745	38.37 3	.089	.81126	.46482	-.12941	1.75192

d. Lingkar Dada

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Lingkar_Dada	Low RFI	22	26.1091	1.81499	.38696
	High RFI	21	26.0143	2.41273	.52650

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differenc e	Std. Error Differenc e	Lower	Upper
Lingkar_ Dada	Equal variances assumed	5.617	.023	.146	41	.885	.09481	.64910	-1.21609	1.40570

Equal variances			.145	37.12	.885	.09481	.65341	-1.22897	1.41858
not assumed				6					

e. Panjang Leher

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Leher	Low RFI	22	14.1773	2.24625	.47890
	High RFI	21	14.5857	1.67937	.36647

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Panjang_Leher	Equal variances assumed	1.120	.296	-.673	41	.505	-.40844	.60711	-1.63452	.81764
	Equal variances not assumed			-.677	38.819	.502	-.40844	.60303	-1.62837	.81149

f. Lingkar Leher

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Lingkar_Leher	Low RFI	22	8.7273	.62043	.13228
	High RFI	21	8.3429	.79785	.17411

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Lingkar_Leher	Equal variances assumed									
	Equal variances not assumed									

Lingkar_Leher	Equal variances assumed	.644	.427	1.768	41	.084	.38442	.21738	-.05458	.82341
	Equal variances not assumed			1.758	37.768	.087	.38442	.21865	-.05832	.82715

g. Lingkar Shank

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Lingkar_Shank	Low RFI	22	4.0636	.30790	.06565
	High RFI	21	4.0762	.44934	.09805

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Lingkar_Shank	Equal variances assumed	2.529	.119	-.107	41	.915	-.01255	.11699	-.24882	.22371
	Equal variances not assumed			-.106	35.210	.916	-.01255	.11800	-.25205	.22695

h. Panjang Paruh

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Paruh	Low RFI	22	33.0364	2.35019	.50106
	High RFI	21	32.4619	3.39300	.74041

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Panjang_P aruh	Equal variances assumed	.602	.442	.648	41	.521	.57446	.88656	-1.21599	2.36491
	Equal variances not assumed			.643	35.435	.525	.57446	.89402	-1.23971	2.38862

i. Tinggi jengger

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Tinggi_Jengger	Low RFI	22	26.2091	8.17499	1.74291
	High RFI	21	27.7286	9.51331	2.07598

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Tinggi_Jengger	Equal variances assumed	.020	.889	-.563	41	.577	-1.51948	2.70091	-6.97408	3.93512
	Equal variances not assumed			-.561	39.460	.578	-1.51948	2.71061	-7.00017	3.96121

j. Jumlah Jengger

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Jumlah_Gerigi_Jengger	Low RFI	22	9.0909	3.03800	.64770
	High RFI	21	9.0476	3.69427	.80616

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Jumlah_Gerigi_Jengger	Equal variances assumed	.041	.840	.042	41	.967	.04329	1.02937	-2.03557	2.12215
	Equal variances not assumed			.042	38.769	.967	.04329	1.03412	-2.04882	2.13540

k. Lebar dada

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Lebar_Dada	Low RFI	22	58.7455	6.36297	1.35659
	High RFI	21	54.1857	10.17847	2.22112

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Lebar_Dada	Equal variances assumed	1.085	.304	1.770	41	.084	4.55974	2.57561	-.64182	9.76130
	Equal variances not assumed			1.752	33.292	.089	4.55974	2.60264	-.73360	9.85308

1. Panjang Femur

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Femur	Low RFI	22	94.1636	5.85073	1.24738
	High RFI	21	95.7762	6.18287	1.34921

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Panjang_Femur	Equal variances assumed	.674	.417	-.879	41	.385	-1.61255	1.83507	-5.31855	2.09344
	Equal variances not assumed			-.878	40.572	.385	-1.61255	1.83748	-5.32461	2.09950

m. Panjang Tibia

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Tibia	Low RFI	22	136.5636	7.51092	1.60133
	High RFI	21	135.5048	8.16495	1.78174

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Panjang_Tibia	Equal variances assumed	.176	.677	.443	41	.660	1.05887	2.39084	-3.76953	5.88728
	Equal variances not assumed			.442	40.311	.661	1.05887	2.39559	-3.78164	5.89938

n. Panjang Shank

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Shank	Low RFI	22	81.7455	8.06502	1.71947
	High RFI	21	83.5952	8.03564	1.75352

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Differenc e	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Panjang_S hank	Equal variances assumed	.117	.734	-.753	41	.456	-1.84978	2.45610	-6.80999	3.11042
	Equal variances not assumed			-.753	40.921	.456	-1.84978	2.45589	-6.80985	3.11028

o. Panjang Jari ke tiga

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Panjang_Jari_Ketiga	Low RFI	22	59.0182	5.25698	1.12079
	High RFI	21	60.7619	5.76641	1.25833

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper

Panjang_Jari_Ketiga	Equal variances assumed	.056	.815	-1.037	41	.306	-1.74372	1.68141	-5.13939	1.65195
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.035	40.215	.307	-1.74372	1.68510	-5.14888	1.66143

p. Lebar Pubis

Group Statistics

	RFI	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Lebar_Pubis	Low RFI	22	14.9500	4.20405	.89631
	High RFI	21	14.0381	4.31874	.94243

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Lebar_Pubis	Equal variances assumed	.062	.805	.702	41	.487	.91190	1.29976	-1.71300	3.53681
	Equal variances not assumed			.701	40.773	.487	.91190	1.30059	-1.71513	3.53894

Lampiran 2. Dokumentasi Pelaksanaan Penelitian



RIWAYAT HIDUP



Endigo Dwicahyo, biasa dipanggil Igo”. Lahir di Makassar 25 juni 1997 dari pasangan bapak Andarias dan ibu Suwarti dan merupakan anak kedua dari empat bersaudara. Penulis berasal dari Kecamatan Mamasa, Kabupaten Mamasa, Provinsi Sulawesi Barat . Penulis memulai Pendidikan pertama di SDN 001 Mamasa , kemudian melanjutkan Pendidikannya di SMPN 1 Mamasa, dan kemudian melanjutkan Pendidikan di SMAN 1 Mamasa. Pada tahun 2015 penulis melanjutkan pendidikan melalui jalur SBMPTN di Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar. Selama menempuh pendidikan di Fakultas Peternakan, penulis bergabung dalam organisasi Himpunan Mahasiswa Produksi Ternak (HIMAPROTEK-UH).