


DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adams, M. D., Celniker, S. E., Holt, R. A., Evans, C. A., Gocayne, J. D., Amanatides, P. G. 2000. The Genome Sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science*. Vol. 287,
- Baratawidjaja KG, Rengganis I. *Imunologi virus*. Dalam: *Imunologi Dasar*. Edisi 8. Jakarta: Balai Penerbit FKUI; 2009. h. 515-56.
- Buchon, N.; Silverman, N.; Cherry, S. Immunity in *Drosophila melanogaster*—From microbial recognition to whole-organism physiology. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2014, 14, 796–810.
- Buchon, N., Broderick, N. A., Poidevin, M., Pradervand, S. and Lemaitre, B. (2009). *Drosophila* Intestinal Response to Bacterial Infection: Activation of Host Defense and Stem Cell Proliferation. *Cell Host and Microbe* 5, 200–211
- Badinloo, M., Nguyen, E., Suh, W., Alzahrani, F., Castellanos, J., Klichko, V. I., Orr, W. C. and Radyuk, S. N. (2018). Overexpression of antimicrobial peptides contributes to aging through cytotoxic effects in *Drosophila* tissues. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 98.
- Bonilla FA, Oettgen HC. Adaptive immunity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2010;125(Suppl 2):S33–40.
- Bulet, P., Hetru, C., Dimarcq, J.L., Hoffmann, D., 1999. Antimicrobial peptides in insects; structure and function. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 23, 329-344
- Cortegiani A, Ingoglia G, Ippolito M, et al. A systematic review on the efficacy and safety of chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19. *J. Crit. Care.* 2020; 57: 279-283.
- Ceyda Sibel Kılıç, 2022. Chapter 16 - Herbal coumarins in healthcare, *Herbal Biomolecules in Healthcare Applications*, Academic Press Pages 363-380, ISBN 9780323858526, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85852-6.00003-2>.
- Engstrom, Y., 1999. Induction and regulation of antimicrobial peptides in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 23, 345-358
- Flybase. 2023. Flybase Gene Report: Dmel/DptA. [online] Available at: [Accessed November 2023].
- Justiz Vaillant AA, Qurie A. Immunodeficiency. 2023 Jun 26. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan–. PMID: 29763203.
- Iatsenko, I., Kondo, S., Mengin-Lecreulx, D., & Lemaitre, B. (2016). PGRP-SD, an Extracellular Pattern-Recognition Receptor, Enhances Peptidoglycan Mediated Activation of the *Drosophila* Imd Pathway. *Immunity*, 45(5), 1013–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IMMUNI.2016.10.029>
- Lemaitre, B.; Hoffmann, J. The host defense of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2007, 25, 697–743.
- Lemaitre, B., Meister, M., Govind, S., Georgel, P., Steward, R., Reichhart, J.M., Hoffmann, J.A., 1995a. Functional analysis and regulation of nuclear import of dorsal during the immune response in *Drosophila*. *EMBO J.* 14, 536-545.
- Murphy KM, Travers P, Walport M. *Janeway's immunobiology*. 7th ed. New York: Garland Science; 2007.
- Nainu, F. 2018. Review: Penggunaan *Drosophila melanogaster* Sebagai Organisme Model Dalam Penemuan Obat. *Jurnal Farmasi Galenika (Galenika Journal of Pharmacy)* 2018; 4 (1): 50 – 67
- Nainu, F.; Nakanishi, Y.; Shiratsuchi, A. Fruit fly as a model organism in the study of human diseases and drug discovery. *J. Cent. Med. Educ. Sapporo Med. Univ.* 2019, 10, 21–32.
- Nainu, F.; Bahar, M.A.; Sartini, S.; Rosa, R.A.; Rahmah, N.; Kamri, R.A.; Rumata, N.R.; Yulianty, R.; Wahyudin, E. Proof-of-Concept Preclinical Use of *Drosophila melanogaster* in the Initial Screening of Immunomodulators. *Sci. Pharm.* 2022, 90, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/scipharm90010011>
- Parsons, B., & Foley, E. (2016). Cellular immune defenses of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev Comp Immunol.*, 58, 95-101. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2015.12.019>
- Paredes, J.C.; Welchman, D.P.; Poidevin, M.; Lemaitre, B. Negative Regulation by Amidase PGRPs Shapes the *Drosophila* Antibacterial Response and Protects the Fly from Innocuous Infection. *Immunity* 2011, 35, 770–779.
- Ramet, M. and Myllymaki, H. 2014. JAK/STAT Pathway in *Drosophila* Immunity. *Immunology*. Doi: 10.1111/sji.12170.
- Schrezenmeier E and Dörner T. Mechanisms of action of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine: implications for rheumatology. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 2020; 16: 155-166.

- Strzelec Martyna, Detka Jan, Mieszczak Patrycja, Sobocińska Małgorzata Katarzyna, Majka Marcin. 2023. Immunomodulation—a general review of the current state-of-the-art and new therapeutic strategies for targeting the immune system. *Frontiers in Immunology*; 14 DOI=10.3389/fimmu.2023.1127704 ISSN=1664-3224
- Smith DA, Germolec DR. Introduction to immunology and autoimmunity. *Environ Health Perspect.* 1999 Oct;107 Suppl 5(Suppl 5):661-5. doi: 10.1289/ehp.99107s5661. PMID: 10502528; PMCID: PMC1566249
- Torsten Frosch, M. S., Gerhard Bringmann, Wolfgang Kiefer, and; Popp, J. r., 2006. Structural Analysis of the Anti-Malaria Active Agent Chloroquine under Physiological Conditions. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 111, 1815-1822
- Ugur, B., Chen, K., & Bellen, H. J. (2016). Drosophila tools and assays for the study of human diseases. *Dis Model Mech.*, 9(3), 235-244. doi: 10.1242/dmm.023762

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Skema kerja penelitian



Lampiran 2. analisis survival oneway anova

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Summary	Adjusted P Value
Untreated Control vs. Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i>	82.29	65.01 to 99.56	****	<0.0001
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	50.95	30.60 to 71.31	****	<0.0001
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	24.29	0.6341 to 47.94	*	0.0426
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	66.29	49.01 to 83.56	****	<0.0001
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	-31.33	-52.88 to -9.790	**	0.003
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-58	-82.68 to -33.32	****	<0.0001
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-16	-34.66 to 2.657	ns	0.1129
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-26.67	-53.60 to 0.2619	ns	0.053
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	15.33	-6.210 to 36.88	ns	0.2391
Chloroquine 0.01 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	42	17.32 to 66.68	***	0.0006

Lampiran 3. Tabel analisis ekspresi gen dpt oneway anova

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Summary	Adjusted P Value
Untreated Control vs. Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i>	-1.051	-3.209 to 1.107	ns	0.3978
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	-0.875	-3.033 to 1.283	ns	0.5407
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-1.191	-3.349 to 0.9671	ns	0.3062
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-6.076	-8.234 to -3.918	***	0.0005
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	0.176	-1.982 to 2.334	ns	0.9967
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-0.14	-2.298 to 2.018	ns	0.9986
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-5.025	-7.183 to -2.867	**	0.0013
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-0.316	-2.474 to 1.842	ns	0.9713
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-5.201	-7.359 to -3.043	**	0.0011
Chloroquine 0.01 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-4.885	-7.043 to -2.727	**	0.0015

Lampiran 4. analisis ekspresi gen cecA1 oneway anova

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Summary	Adjusted P Value
Untreated Control vs. Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i>	-1.263	-5.162 to 2.637	ns	0.7042
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	-14.62	-18.52 to -10.72	***	0.0001
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-10.67	-14.57 to -6.768	***	0.0006
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-9.033	-12.93 to -5.133	**	0.0013
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	-13.36	-17.25 to -9.455	***	0.0002
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-9.405	-13.30 to -5.505	**	0.0011
Heat-killed <i>E. coli</i> vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-7.77	-11.67 to -3.870	**	0.0027
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	3.95	0.05041 to 7.850	*	0.0476

Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	5.585	1.685 to 9.485	*	0.0117
Chloroquine 0.01 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	1.635	-2.265 to 5.535	ns	0.5146



Lampiran 5. analisis ekspresi gen totA oneway anova

Tukey's multiple comparisons test	Mean Diff.	95.00% CI of diff.	Summary	Adjusted P Value
Untreated Control vs. Heat-killed E.coli	-2.162	-3.003 to -1.321	***	0.0008
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	-0.327	-1.168 to 0.5140	ns	0.573
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-1.177	-2.018 to -0.3360	*	0.0129
Untreated Control vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-0.562	-1.403 to 0.2790	ns	0.1886
Heat-killed E.coli vs. Chloroquine 0.001 mM	1.835	0.9940 to 2.676	**	0.0017
Heat-killed E.coli vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	0.985	0.1440 to 1.826	*	0.027
Heat-killed E.coli vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	1.6	0.7590 to 2.441	**	0.0033
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.01 mM	-0.85	-1.691 to -0.009033	*	0.048
Chloroquine 0.001 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	-0.235	-1.076 to 0.6060	ns	0.7914
Chloroquine 0.01 mM vs. Chloroquine 0.1 mM	0.615	-0.2260 to 1.456	ns	0.145

DOKUMENTASI PENELITIAN



Sampel Klorokuin

Uji Survival *Drosophila melanogaster* PGRP-LB^APenyiapan isolasi dan analisis ekspresi gen *dpt*, *cecropinA1* dan *totA*