DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Badan Keahlian Sekretariat Jenderal DPR RI. 2020. *Analisis Ringkas Cepat : Efisiensi & Efektivitas Belanja Pegawai, Barang & Modal.* DKI Jakarta : Pusat Kajian Anggaran.

Barwell, Richard. 2016. *Macroeconomic Policy after the Crash : Issues in Monetary and Fiscal Policy.* Dartford UK : Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan. Statistik Keuangan Daerah Pemerintah Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan. Realisasi Pengeluaran Pemerintah Provinsi 2007-2019.

Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan. Statistik Keuangan Daerah Pemerintah Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan. Realisasi Penerimaan Pemerintah Provinsi 2007-2019.

Badan Pusat Statistik Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan. Sulawesi Selatan Dalam Angka berbagai tahun terbitan. Makassar : Badan Pusat Statistik.

Bazán, C., Álvarez-Quiroz, V. J., & Morales Olivares, Y. 2022. Wagner's Law vs. Keynesian Hypothesis: Dynamic Impacts*. EconPapers*, *(Online)*, Vol. 14, No.16, 1-25, (https://doi.org/10.3390/su141610431, diakses pada 15 September 2022)

Cottarelli, Carlo dan Philip Gerson. 2014. *Post-Crisis Fiscal Policy.* London : The MIT Press.

Dudzeviciute, Gitana, Agne dan Ausra. 2018. Government expenditure and economic growth in the European Union countries, *International Journal of Social Economics, Emerald Group Publishing, (Online)*, Vol. 45, No.2,

372-386, (https://ideas.repec.org/a/eme/ijsepp/ijse-12-2016-0365.html, diakses pada 7 Oktober 2022).

Fidelia, Kartiko. 2020. “Penerapan Kausalitas *Granger* dan Kointegrasi *Johansen Trace Statistic Test* untuk Indeks Pembangunan Manusia terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Inflasi dan Kemiskinan di Nusa Tenggara Timur”.

DJPK Kemenkeu : Modul Peningkatan Kapasitas Pengelolaan Keuangan Daerah.

Harjanto, Sigit. 2014. Analisis Hubungan Kausalitas antara Pengeluaran Pemerintah dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang.

Hasanah, Erni Umi dan Danang Sunyoto. 2014. *Pengantar Ilmu Ekonomi Makro.* Yogyakarta : CAPS.

Hutahaean, Parluhutan. 2019. Belanja Negara dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia : Analisis Kointegrasi dan Kausalitas. *Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi dan Keuangan*, *(Online)*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 103-115, (https://doi.org/10.31685/kek.v3i2.411, diakses pada 18 Agustus 2022).

Iskandar, Azwar. 2016. Peran Alokatif Pemerintah melalui Pengadaan Barang/Jasa dan Perekonomian Indonesia. *Jurnal Kajian Ekonomi dan Keuangan*, *(Online)*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 149-167, (https://doi.org/10.31685/kek.v20i2.186, diakses pada 11 Agustus 2022).

Jeong, M., Kang, J., & Kim, S. 2017. Effects of government spending shocks in China, Japan, and Korea. *China Economic Journal*, *(Online)*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 194-225, (https://doi.org/10.1080/17538963.2017.1321880, diakses pada 7 oktober 2022).

Langdana, Farrokh K. 2009. *Macroeconomic Policy Demystifying Monetary and Fiscal Policy Second edition.* Newark : Springer US.

Mangkoesoebroto, Guritno. 2016. *Ekonomi Publik.* Yogyakarta : BPFE Yogyakarta.

Mankiw, N. Gregory. 2006. *Pengantar Teori Ekonomi Makro*. Edisi Ketiga. Jakarta: Salemba Empat

Mose, N. 2020. Government Expenditure and Regional Economic Growth: The Direction of Causality, *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, *(Online)*, Vol. 18, No. 4, 9-17, (doi: 10.9734/ajeba/2020/v18i430289, diakses pada 4 september 2022).

Mountford, A., & Uhlig, H. 2009. What are the effects of fiscal policy shocks?. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, *(Online)*, Vol. 24, No. 6, 960–992, (https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.1079, diakses pada 30 agustus 2022).

Popescu, C. C., & Diaconu Maxim, L. 2021. Government Spending and Economic Growth: A Cointegration Analysis on Romania. *MDPI Publishing, Sustainability, (Online)*, Vol. 13, No. 12, 6575, (https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126575, diakses pada 26 Agustus 2022).

Ratih. 2015. “Analisis Kausalitas Kesenjangan Pendapatan, Kemiskinan dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Di Kota Malang”.

Reizard. 2019. “Analisis Kausalitas Pendapatan Asli Daerah dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Provinsi Sulawesi Utara Tahun 2001-2017”.

Solikin, Akhmad. 2018. Pengeluaran Pemerintah dan Perkembangan Perekonomian Hukum Wagner di Negara sedang Berkembang : Tinjauan Sistematis. *Jurnal info Artha, (Online)*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 65-89, (https://doi.org/10.31092/jia.v2i1.237, diakses pada 12 Agustus 2022).

Sukmawan. 2014. *Pengaruh Ekonomi Makro Daerah terhadap Pendapatan Asli Daerah di Luwu Raya.*

Sutriono, Edy. 2018. *Model Kausalitas dan Guncangan Belanja Pemerintah terhadap Produk Domestik Bruto di indonesia.* Jurnal BPPK, 11 2, 75-100.

TNH, Teguh Pamuji. 2008. *Analisis Dampak Defisit Anggaran terhadap Ekonomi Makro di Indonesia Tahun 1993-2007.* Semarang : Program Pascasarjana Universitas Diponegoro.

Wardhono, Adhitya, Ciplis Gema Qori'ah dan Christina Dwi Ayu Wulandari. 2018. Studi Kesinambungan Fiskal pada Variabel Makro Ekonomi Indonesia : Analisis VAR. *Indonesia DSpace Group, (Online),* Vol. 8, No, 2, 113-121, (https://repository.unej.ac.id/handle/123456789/83990, diakses pada 2 Oktober 2022).

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 : Data mentah.

TAHUN	PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI (%)	BELANJA MODAL	BELANJA BANSOS	PENDAPATAN ASLI DAERAH	PENDAPATAN TRANSFER
2007	6,34	321084680	125999723	992252464	810026105
2008	7,78	275180935	151586443	1238690402	894934381
2009	6,23	295862668	73519402	1242766168	914502834
2010	8,19	303648224	25016900	1545589709	959942494
2011	7,61	467685317	19510370	1959515902	1106989189
2012	8,39	377151913	125999723	2198776396	1349192581
2013	7,65	490213949	151586443	2560045632	1422165817
2014	7,54	676237209	73519402	3029122239	1531386241
2015	7,19	849305058	25016900	3270828511	1590754389
2016	7,42	856863744	19510370	3449561308	3699816674
2017	7,21	1051187331	597750	3679083944	5354507388
2018	7,04	1081805775	600000	3948349252	5287519278
2019	6,91	969490769	818000	4138631216	5388320705

Lampiran 2 : Output uji stasioneritas variabel pertumbuhan ekonomi pada tingkat 1st difference.

Null Hypothesis: D(PE) has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-11.18594	0.0000
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.568308	
	5% level	-2.921175	
	10% level	-2.598551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 3 : Output uji stasioneritas variabel belanja modal pada tingkat 1st difference.

Null Hypothesis: D(MODAL) has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-6.855958	0.0000
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.568308	
	5% level	-2.921175	
	10% level	-2.598551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 4 : Output uji stasioneritas variabel belanja bantuan sosial ekonomi pada tingkat level.

Null Hypothesis: BANSOS has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-4.243365	0.0014
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.565430	

	5% level	-2.919952
	10% level	-2.597905

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 5 : Output uji stasioneritas variabel pendapatan asli daerah pada tingkat level.

Null Hypothesis: PAD has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

		t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-4.103290	0.0022
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.565430	
	5% level	-2.919952	
	10% level	-2.597905	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 6 : Output uji stasioneritas variabel pendapatan transfer pada tingkat 1st difference.

Null Hypothesis: D(TRANSFER) has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 1 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic		-8.347010	0.0000
Test critical values:	1% level	-3.571310	
	5% level	-2.922449	
	10% level	-2.599224	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 7 : Output pemilihan lag optimal persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan belanja modal.

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria
Endogenous variables: D(PE) D(MODAL)
Exogenous variables: C
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 14:14
Sample: 2007 2019
Included observations: 11

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-238.4881	NA	3.35e+16	43.72511	43.79745	43.67951
1	-225.8877	18.32781*	7.21e+15*	42.16140*	42.37844*	42.02460*
2	-222.8757	3.285800	9.68e+15	42.34104	42.70277	42.11303

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion
LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Lampiran 8 : Output pemilihan lag optimal persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan belanja bantuan sosial.

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria
Endogenous variables: D(PE) BANSOS
Exogenous variables: C
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 14:25
Sample: 2007 2019
Included observations: 11

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-219.3250	NA*	1.03e+15	40.24091	40.31326	40.19531
1	-213.1748	8.945768	7.14e+14	39.84997	40.06700	39.71316
2	-206.9844	6.753217	5.38e+14*	39.45170*	39.81343*	39.22369*

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion
LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Lampiran 9 : Output pemilihan lag optimal persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan pendapatan asli daerah.

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria
Endogenous variables: D(PE) PAD
Exogenous variables: C
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 14:30
Sample: 2007 2019
Included observations: 10

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-218.4870	NA	4.86e+16	44.09739	44.15791	44.03101
1	-192.2282	36.76227	5.88e+14	39.64564	39.82719	39.44648
2	-186.4783	5.749886	4.86e+14	39.29566	39.59825	38.96373
3	-163.2567	13.93300*	1.67e+13*	35.45133*	35.87495*	34.98662*

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion
LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion

SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Lampiran 10 : Output pemilihan lag optimal persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan pendapatan transfer.

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria
Endogenous variables: D(PE) TRANSFER
Exogenous variables: C
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 14:41
Sample: 2007 2019
Included observations: 10

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-229.8169	NA	4.69e+17	46.36337	46.42389	46.29699
1	-219.4080	14.57244*	1.35e+17	45.08160	45.26315	44.88243
2	-217.8464	1.561539	2.58e+17	45.56929	45.87187	45.23735
3	-202.7076	9.083298	4.45e+16*	43.34152*	43.76514*	42.87681*

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion
LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Lampiran 11 : Output uji kausalitas granger untuk persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan belanja modal.

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 23:02
Sample: 2007 2019
Lags: 1

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
MODAL does not Granger Cause PE	12	0.97552	0.3491
PE does not Granger Cause MODAL		5.63448	0.0417

Lampiran 12 : Output uji kausalitas granger untuk persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan belanja bantuan sosial.

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 23:03
Sample: 2007 2019
Lags: 2

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
BANSOS does not Granger Cause PE	11	0.08107	0.9231
PE does not Granger Cause BANSOS		0.63915	0.5602

Lampiran 13 : Output uji kausalitas granger untuk persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan pendapatan asli daerah.

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 23:03
Sample: 2007 2019
Lags: 3

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
PAD does not Granger Cause PE	10	16.4339	0.0229
PE does not Granger Cause PAD		11.0802	0.0394

Lampiran 14 : Output uji kausalitas granger untuk persamaan pertumbuhan ekonomi dan pendapatan transfer.

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests
Date: 11/29/23 Time: 23:05
Sample: 2007 2019
Lags: 3

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
TRANSFER does not Granger Cause PE	10	17.9385	0.0203
PE does not Granger Cause TRANSFER		0.02527	0.9935