

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adrianti, H. (2019). Peer Review Pengaruh Faktor End User Computing Satisfaction (EuCs) Terhadap Manfaat Nyata Pengguna Sistem Informasi Elektronik (E-Puskesmas) Di Puskesmas Sawah Besar Jakarta. *Jurnal Rekam Medis Dan Informasi Kesehatan*, 6(2), 63–69.
- Agustini, K., Darmawiguna, I. G. M., Artayasa, I. K. D., & Mertayasa, I. N. E. (2020). Evaluation of the teachers' acceptance to E-report card applications with the hot-fit model approach. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(3), 475–490. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.13333a>
- Andriani, R., Kusnanto, H., & Istiono, W. (2017). Analisis Kesuksesan Implementasi Rekam Medis Elektronik Di Rs Universitas Gadjah Mada. *Jurnal Sistem Informasi*, 13(2), 90. <https://doi.org/10.21609/jsi.v13i2.544>
- Astria, L., & Nugroho, E. (2018). Evaluasi Sistem Informasi Manajemen Rumah Sakit dengan Menggunakan Metode Hot-Fit di Rumah Sakit Umum Daerah (RSUD) Tora Belo Kabupaten Sigi. *Journal of Information Systems for Public Health*, 3(2), 69–85.
- Ballarini, P., Guido, R., Mazza, T., & Prandi, D. (2009). Taming the complexity of biological pathways through parallel computing. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 10(3), 278–288. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbp020>
- Bandiyono, A., & Naufal, M. (2020). Hot-fit model framework in central government employee data management systems. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(1), 1798–1787.
- Bayu, A., & Izzati, S. (2013). *Evaluasi Faktor-Faktor Kesuksesan Implementasi Sistem Informasi manajemen Rumah Sakit di PKU Muhammadiyah Sruweng dengan Menggunakan Metode*. November, 78–86.
- Beny, B. B. (2021). Evaluasi penerapan sistem informasi manajemen rumah sakit (simrs) di rsud praya kabupaten lombok tengah nusa tenggara barat. *Journal of Information Systems for Public Health*, 5(3), 13. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jisph.41428>
- Bowman, S. (2013). Impact of electronic health record systems on information integrity: quality and safety implications. *Perspectives in Health Information Management / AHIMA, American Health Information Management Association*, 10.
- Chang, C., Hou, K., Yamamori, N., Inoue, K., Scherer, K., & Fichtner, H. (n.d.). *Evaluation of The Application of Hospital Management Information System (SIMRS) in RSUD Dr . Kanujoso Djatiwibowo Using The HOT- Fit Method*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1726/1/012011>

- Dayatri, M. B. (2019). *Perancangan Aplikasi Evaluasi Sistem Informasi Rekam Medis Pasien Rawat Jalan RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar menggunakan Metode HOT-FIT*.
- Delone, & McLean. (1992). The quest for the dependent variable. *Information Systems Research*, 3(1), 60–95. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.3.1.60>
- DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2003). The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success: A ten-year update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(4), 9–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2003.11045748>
- Dutta, B., & Hwang, H.-G. (2020). The adoption of electronic medical record by physicians. *Medicine*, 99(8), e19290. <https://doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000000019290>
- Erawantini, F. (2013). Pendahuluan Penggunaan rekam medis elektronik berpotensi memberikan manfaat besar bagi pelayanan kesehatan seperti fasilitas pelayanan dasar maupun rujukan (rumah sakit). Salah satu manfaat yang dirasakan setelah penggunaan rekam medis elektronik adalah. *Fiki*, 1(1), 1–10.
- Erialata, S. (2016). *Pendekatan Hot-Fit Framework dalam Generalized Structural Component Analysis pada Sistem Informasi Manajemen Barang Milik Daerah : Sebuah Pengujian Efek Resiprokal*. 17(2), 141–157. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jai.2016.0051.141-157>
- Erlirianto, L. M., Ali, A. H. N., & Herdiyanti, A. (2015). The Implementation of the Human, Organization, and Technology-Fit (HOT-Fit) Framework to Evaluate the Electronic Medical Record (EMR) System in a Hospital. *Procedia Computer Science*, 72, 580–587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.12.166>
- Febrita, H., Martunis, M., Syahrizal, D., Abdat, M., & Bakhtiar, B. (2021). Analysis of Hospital Information Management System Using Human Organization Fit Model. *Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia*, 9(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jaki.v9i1.2021.23-32>
- Granić, A., & Marangunić, N. (2019). Technology acceptance model in educational context: A systematic literature review. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(5), 2572–2593. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12864>
- Handiwidjojo, W. (2009). Rekam medis elektronik. *EKSIS*.
- Indrayati, L., Bahry Noor, N., Rivai, F., Muhammad Saleh, L., & Ansariadi. (2021). Factors Affecting User Satisfaction and Benefits of SIMRS at the Regional General Hospital Beriman. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12(13), 1565–1572.
- Irdasari, F. (2022). *Evaluasi Rekam Medis Elektronik dengan Metode HOT-fit di Klinik Saraf RS Mitra Plumbon Franki*. 13, 43–51.

- <https://doi.org/DOI>: <http://dx.doi.org/10.33846/sf13108>
- Jagiyanto. (2007). No Title. *Sistem Informasi Keperilakuan*.
- Kamal, S. A., Shafiq, M., & Kakria, P. (2020). Investigating acceptance of telemedicine services through an extended technology acceptance model (TAM). *Technology in Society*, 60(March 2019), 101212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2019.101212>
- Kemendes. (2009). *UNDANG-UNDANG RI NOMOR 44 TAHUN 2009 TENTANG RUMAH SAKIT*.
- Kodarisman, R., & Nugroho, E. (2013). Evaluasi Penerapan Sistem Informasi Manajemen Kepegawaian (SIMPEG) di Pemerintah Kota Bogor. *Jnteti Issn:2301-4156*, 2(2), 24–32.
- Kosasi, S., & Kuway, S. M. (2012). Studi Analisis Persyaratan Kebutuhan Sistem Dalam Menghasilkan Perangkat Lunak Yang Berkualitas. *Sisfotenika*, 2(1), 1–10. <http://sisfotenika.stmikpontianak.ac.id/index.php/ST/article/view/58>
- Lestari, F. (2020). Evaluasi Sistem Informasi Manajemen Rumah Sakit Menggunakan Framework Human, Organization, and Technology-FIT (HOT-FIT) Model (Studi Pada RSI UNISMA Malang). *Jurnal Pengembangan Teknologi Informasi Dan Ilmu Komputer*, 4(8), 121. <https://j-ptiik.ub.ac.id/index.php/j-ptiik/article/view/7748>
- Luh, N., Kartika, A., Sarja, Y., & Bali, P. N. (2019). *EVALUASI PENERAPAN SISTEM INFORMASI ABSENSI ONLINE DENGAN HOT EVALUASI PENERAPAN SISTEM INFORMASI*. November. <https://doi.org/10.36002/jutik.v5i1.631>
- MacKinnon, W., & Wasserman, M. (2009). Implementing Electronic Medical Record Systems. *IT Professional*, 11(6), 50–53. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2009.125>
- Menachemi, N., & Collum, T. H. (2011). Benefits and drawbacks of electronic health record systems. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 4, 47–55. <https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S12985>
- Mudiono, D. R. P. (2018). *The evaluation of hospital information system management based on hot-fit model at rsu dr . h . koesnadi bondowoso 2018*. 486–490.
- Murnita, R., Sedyono, E., & Purnami, C. T. (2016). Evaluasi Kinerja Sistem Informasi Manajemen Farmasi Di Rs Roemani Muhammadiyah Dengan Metode Hot Fit Model. *Jurnal Manajemen Kesehatan Indonesia*, 4(1), 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jmki.4.1.2016.11-19>
- Nurdiansyah, Y., Wulandari, E. P. A., & Wulandari, D. A. R. (2020). Analisis Faktor Kepuasan Pengguna Layanan Website SKCK Online Menggunakan Metode End User Computing Satisfaction (EUCS). *INFORMAL: Informatics Journal*, 5(2), 72.

<https://doi.org/10.19184/isj.v5i2.18669>

- Orbeta, P. (2005). A Service-Oriented Approach to Electronic Medical Records in Developing Countries. *Source*.
- Puspita, S. C. (2020). *Analisis Implementasi Sistem Informasi Rumah Sakit Menggunakan Fit Human-Organization-Technology (HOT) Metode : Studi Kasus Rumah Sakit di Indonesia*. 6, 1–8.
- Qurani, A. H., & Hidayati, M. (2021). *ANALISIS KELENGKAPAN PENGISIAN RINGKASAN MASUK DAN KELUAR RAWAT INAP RUANG ISOLASI PENYAKIT COVID-19 DI*. 1(8), 917–926.
- Rahi, S., Khan, M. M., & Alghizzawi, M. (2021). Extension of technology continuance theory (TCT) with task technology fit (TTF) in the context of Internet banking user continuance intention. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 38(4), 986–1004.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-03-2020-0074>
- Rahmawati, S. R., & Nadjib, M. (2018). *Could We Derive Benefit from Implementing Electronic Medical Records in Hospital ? A Structured Evidence and Narrative Review Medis Elektronik Di Rumah Sakit ? Sebuah Ulasan Naratif dan Bukti Terstruktur*. 3.
- Rumana, N. A., Apzari, E. I., Dewi, D. R., Indawati, L., & Yulia, N. (2020). Penerimaan Pasien Terhadap Sistem Pendaftaran Online Menggunakan Technology Acceptance Model di RSUP Fatmawati. *Faktor Exacta*, 13(1), 44.
<https://doi.org/10.30998/faktorexacta.v13i1.5611>
- Satria Dewi, W., Ginting, D., & Gultom, R. (2021). Evaluasi Sistem Informasi Manajemen Rumah Sakit Di Instalasi Rekam Medis RSUP H. Adam Malik Dengan Metode Human Organization Technology Fit (HOT-FIT) Tahun 2019. *Jurnal Ilmiah Perekam Dan Informasi Kesehatan Imelda (JIPIKI)*, 6(1), 73–82.
<https://doi.org/10.52943/jipiki.v6i1.510>
- Sebayang, F., & Tarigan, Z. J. H. (2009). Ketersediaan Sistem Informasi Terintegrasi terhadap Kepuasan Pengguna. *Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan*. *Jurnal Keuangan Dan Perbankan*, 13(2), 325–336.
- Setyowati, E. O. T., & Respati, A. D. (2017). Persepsi Kemudahan Penggunaan, Persepsi Manfaat, Computer Self Efficacy, Dan Kepuasan Pengguna Sistem Informasi Akuntansi. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 13(1), 63.
<https://doi.org/10.21460/jrak.2017.131.281>
- Sholistiyawati, A., Mawarni, A., & Yudhy, D. (2020). Hubungan Faktor Human, Organization Dan Technology (Hot-Fit Model) Dengan Kinerja Sistem Informasi Manajemen Farmasi Di Rumah Sakit Bwt Semarang. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat (e-Journal)*, 8(2), 188–195.
<http://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/jkm>

- Sugiyono. (2013). No Title. In *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. CV. Alfabeth.
- Supriyono, S. (2020). Evaluasi sistem informasi manajemen rumah sakit dengan metode hot fit di rumah sakit umum daerah raden mattaher jambi. *Journal of Information Systems for Public Health*, 4(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jisph.17142>
- Tiorentap, D. R. A. (2020). Manfaat Penerapan Rekam Medis Elektronik di Negara Berkembang: Systematic Literature Review. *Indonesian of Health Information Management Journal (INOHIM)*, 8(2), 69–79.
- Try Windy, W., Sari, F. R., & Chalidyanto, D. (2020). Evaluation of hospital information system using HOT-FIT method in hospital in Indonesia. *European Journal of Molecular and Clinical Medicine*, 7(5), 639–643.
- Wahyudin, Y., & Rahayu, D. N. (2020). Analisis Metode Pengembangan Sistem Informasi Berbasis Website: A Literatur Review. *Jurnal Interkom: Jurnal Publikasi Ilmiah Bidang Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi*, 15(3), 26–40. <https://doi.org/10.35969/interkom.v15i3.74>
- Wahyuni, T., & Parasetorini, A. (2019). Metode HOT FIT Untuk Mengukur Tingkat Kesiapan SIMRS Dalam Mendukung Implementasi E-Health. *Jurnal Manajemen Informasi Kesehatan Indonesia*, 7(1), 75. <https://doi.org/10.33560/jmiki.v7i1.217>
- Yusof, M. M., Kuljis, J., Papazafeiropoulou, A., & Stergioulas, L. K. (2008a). An evaluation framework for Health Information Systems: human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit). *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 77(6), 386–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2007.08.011>
- Yusof, M. M., Kuljis, J., Papazafeiropoulou, A., & Stergioulas, L. K. (2008b). An evaluation framework for Health Information Systems: human, organization and technology-fit factors (HOT-fit). *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 77(6), 386–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJMEDINF.2007.08.011>
- Yusof, M. M., & Yusuff, A. Y. A. (2013). Evaluating E-government system effectiveness using an integrated socio-technical and fit approach. In *Information Technology Journal* (Vol. 12, Issue 5, pp. 894–906). <https://doi.org/10.3923/itj.2013.894.906>

Lampiran

Lampiran 1 Questioner Penelitian

INFORMED CONSENT (Persetujuan Setelah Penjelasan)

Yth. Bapak/Ibu/Dokter/Ners

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini adalah Mahasiswa Manajemen Rumah Sakit Program Studi Kesehatan Masyarakat Pascasarjana Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar yang mengadakan penelitian dengan judul **“Evaluasi Rekam Medis Elektronik di RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar Tahun 2022”**.

Dalam penelitian ini tidak akan terjadi resiko fisik. Risiko yang dapat terjadi adalah jam kerja yang dipakai dari Bapak/Ibu/Dokter/Ners sekalian untuk menjawab beberapa pertanyaan ini sekitar 10-15 menit. Keuntungannya, informasi yang di berikan secara benar dapat menjadi acuan dan saran bagi manajemen rumah sakit tempat penelitian.

Dalam penelitian ini, identitas Bapak/Ibu/Dokter/Ners akan disembunyikan. Data penelitian ini akan disimpan dan disatukan tanpa menyebutkan nama Bapak/Ibu/Dokter/Ners dalam arsip tertulis atau elektronik (computer) dan tidak bisa dilihat oleh orang lain selain peneliti. Sepenuhnya kerahasiaan data akan dijamin. Bila data perlu dipublikasikan, kerahasiaan tetap akan di jaga. Jika Bapak/Ibu/Dokter/Ners tidak ingin berpartisipasi, kami tidak akan menjadikan anda sebagai sampel penelitian dan anda dapat menolak untuk mengisi kuesioner yang diberikan.

Jika anda setuju untuk berpartisipasi, disarankan untuk mengisi semua pertanyaan dengan persepsi Bapak/Ibu/Dokter/Ners dengan menandatangani formulir persetujuan mengikuti penelitian. Atas kerjasama dan kesediaan Bapak/Ibu sebagai responden kami ucapkan terima kasih.

Makassar, Juli 2022

Asriani Usman

No. Responden: _____ (diisi oleh peneliti)

**QUESTIONER PENELITIAN PENGGUNAAN REKAM MEDIK ELEKTRONIK
RSUP DR. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR**

Tanggal _____ :

KARAKTERISTIK RESPONDEN

| | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|---------------|--|
| Nama / Inisial | : | | | |
| Umur | : | | | |
| Jenis Kelamin | : | () laki-laki | | |
| | | () Perempuan | | |
| Masa Kerja | : | () Tahun | | |
| Profesi | : | | | |
| Instalasi | : | | | |
| Tingkat Pendidikan | : | () SMA/SMK | () S2 | |
| | | () D3 | () S3 | |
| | | () S1/D4 | | |

| | |
|--|---|
| Chek List STS , bila Sangat Tidak Setuju | Pernyataan tersebut sangat tidak sesuai dengan kondisi yang dialami saat ini (bernilai 1) |
| Chek List TS , bila Tidak Setuju | Pernyataan tersebut tidak sesuai dengan kondisi yang dialami saat ini (bernilai 2) |
| Chek List KS , bila Kurang Setuju | Pernyataan tersebut Kurang sesuai dengan kondisi yang dialami saat ini (bernilai 3) |
| Chek List S , bila Setuju | Pernyataan tersebut sangat namun belum optimal dengan kondisi yang dialami saat ini (bernilai 4) |
| Chek List SS , bila Sangat Setuju | Pernyataan tersebut sangat sesuai dengan kondisi yang dialami saat ini (bernilai 5) |

KUALITAS SISTEM

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|-----------|---|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Sistem telah memiliki data akurat sesuai dengan yang saya butuhkan | | | | | |
| 2 | Data dalam Rekam Medis Elektronik sudah lengkap | | | | | |
| 3 | Sistem memiliki tampilan yang sederhana | | | | | |
| 4 | Tersedia fasilitas/menu untuk mengoreksi data pada RME | | | | | |
| 5 | Sistem dapat dipelajari dengan mudah oleh saya | | | | | |
| 6 | Sistem mudah dimengerti oleh saya | | | | | |
| 7 | sistem dapat dengan mudah diakses oleh saya | | | | | |
| 8 | Sistem cepat dalam memproses transaksi | | | | | |
| 9 | Sistem sudah terintegrasi antar sub bagian satu dengan bagian lainnya | | | | | |
| 10 | Sistem cepat dalam mengakses informasi yang diinput | | | | | |

KUALITAS INFORMASI

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|-----------|--|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 1 | Sistem menampilkan informasi yang relevan dengan data yang diinput | | | | | |
| 2 | Informasi yang dihasilkan oleh sistem sudah lengkap dan sesuai dengan kebutuhan saya | | | | | |
| 3 | Sistem memiliki informasi yang berguna bagi saya | | | | | |
| 4 | Sistem menyajikan informasi secara ringkas | | | | | |
| 5 | Sistem menyajikan informasi secara jelas | | | | | |

KUALITAS LAYANAN

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|----|---|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | Layanan sistem di dukung teknis yang sesuai fungsinya | | | | | |
| 2 | Sistem merespon dengan cepat | | | | | |
| 3 | Sistem telah memiliki jaminan perlindungan dalam mengelola system | | | | | |

PENGEMBANGAN SISTEM

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|----|---|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | Melakukan perencanaan terhadap pengembangan sistem | | | | | |
| 2 | Manajemen sangat mendukung pengembangan sistem | | | | | |
| 3 | Menentukan waktu dalam pengembangan sistem | | | | | |
| 4 | Menerapkan strategi Teknologi Informasi dalam pengembangan sistem | | | | | |

PENGUNAAN SISTEM

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|----|---|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | Perilaku yang baik mempengaruhi kelancaran sistem | | | | | |
| 2 | Pelatihan mendukung penggunaan system | | | | | |
| 3 | Sistem digunakan sesuai dengan pekerjaan saya | | | | | |
| 4 | Menu dalam RME sesuai dengan yang saya butuhkan | | | | | |
| 5 | Sistem telah digunakan secara rutin oleh saya | | | | | |
| 6 | Sistem berjalan sesuai dengan keinginan saya | | | | | |

STRUKTUR

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|----|---|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | Penerapan sistem RME mendapat dukungan dari top manajemen | | | | | |
| 2 | Sistem didukung dengan kepemimpinan organisasi secara maksimal | | | | | |
| 3 | Sistem didukung oleh sumberdaya manusia yang saling bekerjasama dalam penerapannya | | | | | |
| 4 | Penggunaan Rekam Medik Elektronik menjadikan strategi organisasi menjadi lebih baik | | | | | |
| 5 | Susunan kepegawaian sudah baik dalam pengelolaan sistem | | | | | |
| 6 | Pengetahuan karyawan dapat disimpan oleh sistem sehingga perusahaan tetap berjalan dengan baik dalam situasi apapun | | | | | |
| 7 | Sistem dapat mengolah pengetahuan karyawan sehingga perusahaan tetap berjalan dengan baik dalam situasi apapun | | | | | |

LINGKUNGAN

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|----|---|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | Kebijakan pemerintah terdapat pada organisasi | | | | | |
| 2 | Implementasi RME menjadikan kinerja rumah sakit meningkat | | | | | |
| 3 | Penerimaan sistem dipengaruhi oleh kondisi di lingkungan internal rumah sakit | | | | | |

MANFAAT

| NO | PERNYATAAN | STS | TS | KS | S | SS |
|----|--|-----|----|----|---|----|
| 1 | Penerapan Elektronik rekam medis dapat membantu melakukan pekerjaan saya sehari-hari | | | | | |
| 2 | Sistem dapat meningkatkan produktivitas pekerjaan saya | | | | | |
| 3 | Sistem dapat mengurangi beban kerja saya | | | | | |
| 4 | Sistem dapat membantu pencapaian tujuan dengan efektif | | | | | |
| 5 | Sistem membantu membuat keputusan dalam tiap kondisi | | | | | |
| 6 | Sistem dapat membantu meminimalisir tingkat kesalahan dalam pelaksanaan pekerjaan saya | | | | | |
| 7 | Sistem dapat membantu mengurangi anggaran yang dikeluarkan menjadi lebih efisien | | | | | |

Keluhan Dan Saran

LAMPIRAN WAWANCARA

1. Kualitas Sistem
 - Bagaimana kualitas sistem Rekam Medis Elektronik menurut anda?
2. Kualitas Informasi
 - Bagaimana Kualitas Informasi yang dihasilkan Rekam Medis Elektronik menurut anda?
3. Kualitas Layanan
 - Bagaimana kualitas layanan Rekam Medis Elektronik menurut anda?
4. Pengembangan Sistem
 - Bagaimana pengembangan sistem Rekam Medis Elektronik dirumah sakit menurut anda?
5. Penggunaan Sistem
 - Bagaimana penggunaan sistem Rekam Medis Elektronik menurut anda?
6. Kepuasan Pengguna
 - Apakah anda puas dalam menggunakan Rekam Medis Elektronik?
7. Struktur
 - Bagaimana dukungan manajemen terhadap penerapan Rekam Medis Elektronik?
8. Lingkungan
 - Bagaimana keadaan di sekitar tempat kerja dan peralatan yang mendukung Rekam Medis Elektronik dirumah sakit?
9. Manfaat
 - Apakah secara umum, Rekam Medis Elektronik bermanfaat dalam menunjang pekerjaan anda di rumah sakit?

Lampiran 2. Output SPSS

1. Karakteristik Responden

H. Umur

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| <30 | 12 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| 31-40 | 31 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 28.5 |
| Valid 41-50 | 65 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 71.5 |
| >50 | 43 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

JenisKelamin

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Perempuan | 99 | 65.6 | 65.6 | 65.6 |
| Laki-Laki | 52 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

MasaKerja

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| <5 | 29 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 |
| 6-10 | 7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 23.8 |
| Valid 11-20 | 53 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 58.9 |
| 21-30 | 39 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 84.8 |
| >30 | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Profesi

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Dokter | 61 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Perawat | 87 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 98.0 |
| Bidan | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |

| | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|

Tingkat Pendidikan

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| S1 | 81 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 53.6 |
| S2 | 28 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 72.2 |
| Valid S3 | 28 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 90.7 |
| D3 | 14 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

REGRESSION

/MISSING LISTWISE

/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA

/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)

/NOORIGIN

/DEPENDENT Teknologi

/METHOD=ENTER Manusia Organisasi.

2. Univariat dimensi variabel

PTeknologi

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Baik | 72 | 47.7 | 47.7 | 47.7 |
| Valid Kurang Baik | 79 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

PManusia

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Baik | 67 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 44.4 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | Kurang Baik | 84 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

POrganisasi

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Baik | 50 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 33.1 |
| Valid | Kurang Baik | 101 | 66.9 | 66.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

PManfaat

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Baik | 63 | 41.7 | 41.7 | 41.7 |
| Valid | Kurang Baik | 88 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=Umur JenisKelamin MasaKerja Instalasi Profesi
TingkatPendidikan

/STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV MIN MAX.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=Umur JenisKelamin MasaKerja Instalasi Profesi
TingkatPendidikan

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Teknologi

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Baik | 78 | 51.7 | 51.7 | 51.7 |
| Valid | Kurang Baik | 73 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Teknologi

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | Baik | 41 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 |
| | Kurang Baik | 110 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 100.0 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|--|
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|--|

Teknologi

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Baik | 103 | 68.2 | 68.2 | 68.2 |
| Kurang Baik | 48 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Manusia

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Baik | 52 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 |
| Kurang Baik | 99 | 65.6 | 65.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Manusia

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Baik | 82 | 54.3 | 54.3 | 54.3 |
| Kurang Baik | 69 | 45.7 | 45.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Manusia

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Baik | 114 | 75.5 | 75.5 | 75.5 |
| Kurang Baik | 37 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Organisasi

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Baik | 62 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 41.1 |
| Kurang Baik | 89 | 58.9 | 58.9 | 100.0 |

| | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|

Organisasi

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Baik | 39 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 |
| Valid Kurang Baik | 112 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.

SAVE OUTFILE='C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav'

/COMPRESSED.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=PTeknologi PManusia POrganisasi PManfaat

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

ANALISIS UNIVARIAT PER ITEM PERTANYAAN

Frequency Table

P1

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| STS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| KS | 17 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 13.9 |
| Valid S | 110 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 86.8 |
| SS | 20 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P2

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|

| | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| Valid | STS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| | TS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| | KS | 35 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 28.5 |
| | S | 87 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 86.1 |
| | SS | 21 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P3

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | STS | 1 | .7 | .7 |
| | TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.6 |
| | KS | 11 | 7.3 | 9.9 |
| | S | 118 | 78.1 | 88.1 |
| | SS | 18 | 11.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

P4

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | STS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| | TS | 5 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
| | KS | 33 | 21.9 | 26.5 |
| | S | 91 | 60.3 | 86.8 |
| | SS | 20 | 13.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

P5

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | TS | 7 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| | KS | 6 | 4.0 | 8.6 |
| | S | 107 | 70.9 | 79.5 |
| | SS | 31 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

P6

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid TS | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| KS | 10 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 9.3 |
| S | 114 | 75.5 | 75.5 | 84.8 |
| SS | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P7

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| TS | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 11.9 |
| S | 107 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 82.8 |
| SS | 26 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P8

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid 0 | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| STS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 5.3 |
| KS | 27 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 23.2 |
| S | 97 | 64.2 | 64.2 | 87.4 |
| SS | 19 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P9

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| KS | 17 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 15.2 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| S | 101 | 66.9 | 66.9 | 82.1 |
| SS | 27 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P10

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid STS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
| KS | 17 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 15.9 |
| S | 101 | 66.9 | 66.9 | 82.8 |
| SS | 26 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P11

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid TS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| KS | 8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.0 |
| S | 120 | 79.5 | 79.5 | 85.4 |
| SS | 22 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P12

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid TS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| KS | 26 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 21.2 |
| S | 98 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 86.1 |
| SS | 21 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P13

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| S | 107 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 79.5 |
| SS | 31 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P14

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 |
| S | 112 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 84.8 |
| SS | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P15

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| KS | 9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.9 |
| S | 114 | 75.5 | 75.5 | 83.4 |
| SS | 25 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P16

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| KS | 11 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 9.3 |
| S | 114 | 75.5 | 75.5 | 84.8 |
| SS | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P17

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | S | 110 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 81.5 |
| | SS | 28 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P18

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | KS | 18 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Valid | S | 111 | 73.5 | 73.5 | 85.4 |
| | SS | 22 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P19

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Valid | KS | 27 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 19.2 |
| | S | 101 | 66.9 | 66.9 | 86.1 |
| | SS | 21 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P20

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Valid | KS | 18 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 13.9 |
| | S | 115 | 76.2 | 76.2 | 90.1 |
| | SS | 15 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P21

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| KS | 32 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 23.2 |
| S | 96 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 86.8 |
| SS | 20 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

P22

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| TS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| KS | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 19.2 |
| Valid S | 100 | 66.2 | 66.2 | 85.4 |
| SS | 22 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.

SAVE OUTFILE='C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav'

/COMPRESSED.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Output Created | | 21-JUL-2023 16:31:02 |
| Comments | | |
| | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| Input | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| | Cases Used | Statistics are based on all cases with valid data. |

| | | |
|-----------|----------------|---|
| Syntax | | FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S11 S12 S13 S14 /ORDER=ANALYSIS. |
| Resources | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,02 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Statistics

| | | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Statistics

| | | S8 | S9 | S10 | S11 | S12 | S13 | S14 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Frequency Table

S1

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| | KS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 7.3 |
| | S | 108 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 78.8 |
| | SS | 32 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S2

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|----|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | KS | 9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.9 |
| | S | 107 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 78.8 |
| | SS | 32 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S3

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| | KS | 9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 9.3 |
| Valid | S | 113 | 74.8 | 74.8 | 84.1 |
| | SS | 24 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S4

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| | KS | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 4.0 |
| Valid | S | 110 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 76.8 |
| | SS | 35 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S5

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | KS | 1 | .7 | .7 | 1.3 |
| Valid | S | 79 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 53.6 |
| | SS | 70 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S6

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|---|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | S | 80 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | SS | 71 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S7

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| | KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.9 |
| Valid | S | 97 | 64.2 | 64.2 | 74.2 |
| | SS | 39 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S8

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| | KS | 22 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 17.9 |
| Valid | S | 96 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 81.5 |
| | SS | 28 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S9

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| | KS | 12 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 11.9 |
| Valid | S | 92 | 60.9 | 60.9 | 72.8 |
| | SS | 41 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S10

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | 0 | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | STS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.6 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| TS | 10 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 11.3 |
| KS | 33 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 33.1 |
| S | 75 | 49.7 | 49.7 | 82.8 |
| SS | 26 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S11

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| TS | 7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| KS | 11 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 12.6 |
| S | 92 | 60.9 | 60.9 | 73.5 |
| SS | 40 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S12

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid STS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| TS | 8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.6 |
| KS | 25 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 23.2 |
| S | 96 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 86.8 |
| SS | 20 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S13

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid TS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| KS | 12 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 11.9 |
| S | 108 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 83.4 |
| SS | 25 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

S14

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| TS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 |
| KS | 21 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 17.9 |
| Valid S | 100 | 66.2 | 66.2 | 84.1 |
| SS | 24 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.

SAVE OUTFILE='C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav'

/COMPRESSED.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 M6 M7 M8 M9 M10

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Output Created | | 21-JUL-2023 20:49:28 |
| Comments | | |
| | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| Input | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| | Cases Used | Statistics are based on all cases with valid data. |
| Syntax | | FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 M6 M7 M8 M9 M10 /ORDER=ANALYSIS. |
| Resources | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |

Elapsed Time

00:00:00,02

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\sav

Statistics

| | | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Statistics

| | | M8 | M9 | M10 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Frequency Table**M1**

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | TS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.3 |
| | S | 113 | 74.8 | 74.8 | 84.1 |
| | SS | 24 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M2

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | KS | 15 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| | S | 107 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 80.8 |
| | SS | 29 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M3

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
|--|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|

| | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| | TS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | KS | 10 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 7.3 |
| Valid | S | 107 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 78.1 |
| | SS | 33 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M4

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | KS | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| Valid | S | 98 | 64.9 | 64.9 | 68.2 |
| | SS | 48 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M5

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| | KS | 15 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 11.9 |
| Valid | S | 110 | 72.8 | 72.8 | 84.8 |
| | SS | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M6

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| | TS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | KS | 15 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 10.6 |
| Valid | S | 108 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 82.1 |
| | SS | 27 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M7

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| TS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| KS | 17 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.9 |
| Valid S | 106 | 70.2 | 70.2 | 82.1 |
| SS | 27 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M8

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| 0 | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | 1.3 |
| TS | 7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 6.0 |
| Valid KS | 8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 11.3 |
| S | 105 | 69.5 | 69.5 | 80.8 |
| SS | 29 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M9

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| KS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Valid S | 112 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 78.1 |
| SS | 33 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

M10

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Valid KS | 5 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 |
| S | 115 | 76.2 | 76.2 | 80.8 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| SS | 29 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.

SAVE OUTFILE='C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav'

/COMPRESSED.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=O1 O2 O3 O4 O5 O6 O7

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Output Created | | 22-JUL-2023 11:23:39 |
| Comments | | |
| | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| Input | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| | Cases Used | Statistics are based on all cases with valid data. |
| Syntax | | FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=O1 O2 O3 O4 O5 O6 O7 /ORDER=ANALYSIS. |
| Resources | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,01 |

DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Statistics

| | | O1 | O2 | O3 | O4 | O5 | O6 | O7 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Frequency Table

O1

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| | TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| | KS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.3 |
| | S | 94 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 65.6 |
| | SS | 52 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

O2

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | TS | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| | KS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| | S | 88 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 64.2 |
| | SS | 54 | 35.8 | 35.8 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

O3

| | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| | KS | 16 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 11.9 |
| | S | 84 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 67.5 |
| | SS | 49 | 32.5 | 32.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

O4

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| KS | 6 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| S | 88 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 62.3 |
| SS | 57 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

O5

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| TS | 7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| KS | 11 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 11.9 |
| S | 97 | 64.2 | 64.2 | 76.2 |
| SS | 36 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

O6

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| TS | 2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| KS | 13 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.9 |
| S | 96 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 73.5 |
| SS | 40 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

O7

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| STS | 1 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| KS | 4 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.3 |
| S | 96 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 66.9 |
| SS | 50 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

```
DATASET ACTIVATE DataSet1.  
SAVE OUTFILE='C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav'  
/COMPRESSED.  
CROSSTABS  
/TABLES=PTeknologi BY PManusia  
/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES  
/STATISTICS=CHISQ  
/CELLS=COUNT ROW  
/COUNT ROUND CELL.
```

3. ANALISIS BIVARIAT

Crosstabs

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Output Created | | 22-JUL-2023 14:31:52 |
| Comments | | |
| | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| Input | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| Missing Value Handling | Cases Used | Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table. |
| Syntax | | <p>CROSSTABS</p> <p>/TABLES=PTeknologi BY POrganisasi</p> <p>/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES</p> <p>/STATISTICS=CHISQ</p> <p>/CELLS=COUNT ROW</p> <p>/COUNT ROUND CELL.</p> |
| | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,01 |
| Resources | Dimensions Requested | 2 |
| | Cells Available | 174762 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Case Processing Summary

| | Cases | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Valid | | Missing | | Total | |
| | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| PTeknologi * POrganisasi | 151 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 151 | 100.0% |

PTeknologi * POrganisasi Crosstabulation

| | | POrganisasi | | Total |
|------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | | Baik | Kurang Baik | |
| PTeknologi | Baik | Count 38 | 34 | 72 |
| | | % within PTeknologi 52.8% | 47.2% | 100.0% |
| PTeknologi | Kurang Baik | Count 12 | 67 | 79 |
| | | % within PTeknologi 15.2% | 84.8% | 100.0% |
| Total | | Count 50 | 101 | 151 |
| | | % within PTeknologi 33.1% | 66.9% | 100.0% |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 24.029 ^a | 1 | .000 | | |
| Continuity Correction ^b | 22.362 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 24.865 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | .000 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 23.870 | 1 | .000 | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 151 | | | | |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 23,84.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

CROSSTABS
 /TABLES=PManusia BY PManfaat
 /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES
 /STATISTICS=CHISQ
 /CELLS=COUNT ROW
 /COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Output Created | | 22-JUL-2023 14:48:10 |
| Comments | | |
| Input | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| | Cases Used | Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table. |
| Syntax | | CROSSTABS /TABLES=PManusia BY PManfaat /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ /CELLS=COUNT ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL. |
| | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,01 |
| | Resources | Dimensions Requested |
| Cells Available | | 174762 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Case Processing Summary

| | Cases | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Valid | | Missing | | Total | |
| | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| PManusia * PManfaat | 151 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 151 | 100.0% |

PManusia * PManfaat Crosstabulation

| | | PManfaat | | Total | |
|----------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------|--------|
| | | Baik | Kurang Baik | | |
| PManusia | Baik | Count | 50 | 17 | 67 |
| | | % within PManusia | 74.6% | 25.4% | 100.0% |
| | Kurang Baik | Count | 13 | 71 | 84 |
| | | % within PManusia | 15.5% | 84.5% | 100.0% |
| Total | | Count | 63 | 88 | 151 |
| | | % within PManusia | 41.7% | 58.3% | 100.0% |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 53.632 ^a | 1 | .000 | | |
| Continuity Correction ^b | 51.227 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 56.887 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | .000 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 53.277 | 1 | .000 | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 151 | | | | |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 27,95.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

CROSSTABS

/TABLES=POrganisasi BY PManfaat

/FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTICS=CHISQ

/CELLS=COUNT ROW

/COUNT ROUND CELL.

Crosstabs

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Output Created | | 22-JUL-2023 14:50:13 |
| Comments | | |
| | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| Input | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| Missing Value Handling | Cases Used | Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table. |
| | | CROSSTABS |
| | | /TABLES=POrganisasi BY PManfaat |
| Syntax | | /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES |
| | | /STATISTICS=CHISQ |
| | | /CELLS=COUNT ROW |
| | | /COUNT ROUND CELL. |
| | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,01 |
| Resources | Dimensions Requested | 2 |
| | Cells Available | 174762 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Case Processing Summary

| | Cases | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Valid | | Missing | | Total | |
| | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| POrganisasi * PManfaat | 151 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 151 | 100.0% |

POrganisasi * PManfaat Crosstabulation

| | | PManfaat | | Total | |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|-------|--------|
| | | Baik | Kurang Baik | | |
| POrganisasi | Baik | Count | 44 | 6 | 50 |
| | | % within POrganisasi | 88.0% | 12.0% | 100.0% |
| POrganisasi | Kurang Baik | Count | 19 | 82 | 101 |
| | | % within POrganisasi | 18.8% | 81.2% | 100.0% |
| Total | | Count | 63 | 88 | 151 |
| | | % within POrganisasi | 41.7% | 58.3% | 100.0% |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 65.843 ^a | 1 | .000 | | |
| Continuity Correction ^b | 63.028 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 70.816 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | .000 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 65.407 | 1 | .000 | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 151 | | | | |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 20,86.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=Umur JenisKelamin MasaKerja Instalasi Profesi
TingkatPendidikan

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Output Created | | 22-JUL-2023 14:54:24 |
| Comments | | |
| Input | Data Active Dataset Filter Weight Split File N of Rows in Working Data File | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav DataSet1 <none> <none> <none> 151 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing Cases Used | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. Statistics are based on all cases with valid data. FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=Umur JenisKelamin MasaKerja Instalasi Profesi TingkatPendidikan /ORDER=ANALYSIS. |
| Syntax | | |
| Resources | Processor Time Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,00 00:00:00,01 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Statistics

| | | Umur | JenisKelamin | MasaKerja | Instalasi | Profesi | TingkatPendidikan |
|---|---------|------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------------|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Frequency Table

Umur

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | <30 | 12 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| | 31-40 | 31 | 20.5 | 28.5 |
| | 41-50 | 65 | 43.0 | 71.5 |
| | >50 | 43 | 28.5 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

JenisKelamin

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Perempuan | 99 | 65.6 | 65.6 | 65.6 |
| Valid Laki-Laki | 52 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

MasaKerja

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid <5 | 29 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 |
| Valid 6-10 | 7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 23.8 |
| Valid 11-20 | 53 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 58.9 |
| Valid 21-30 | 39 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 84.8 |
| Valid >30 | 23 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Profesi

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Dokter | 61 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Valid Perawat | 87 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 98.0 |
| Valid Bidan | 3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

TingkatPendidikan

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid S1 | 81 | 53.6 | 53.6 | 53.6 |
| Valid S2 | 28 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 72.2 |
| Valid S3 | 28 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 90.7 |
| Valid D3 | 14 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 151 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

```

CROSSTABS
  /TABLES=PTeknologi BY PManfaat
  /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES
  /STATISTICS=CHISQ
  /CELLS=COUNT
  /COUNT ROUND CELL.

```

```

CROSSTABS
  /TABLES=PTeknologi BY PManfaat
  /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES
  /STATISTICS=CHISQ
  /CELLS=COUNT COLUMN
  /COUNT ROUND CELL.

```

```

CROSSTABS
  /TABLES=PTeknologi BY PManfaat
  /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES
  /STATISTICS=CHISQ
  /CELLS=COUNT ROW
  /COUNT ROUND CELL.

```

Crosstabs

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Output Created | | 25-JUL-2023 10:06:43 |
| Comments | | |
| Input | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\File SPSS RME.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| Missing Value Handling | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| | Cases Used | Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table. |
| Syntax | | CROSSTABS /TABLES=PTeknologi BY PManfaat /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ /CELLS=COUNT ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL. |
| Resources | Processor Time | 00:00:00,00 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,01 |
| | Dimensions Requested | 2 |
| | Cells Available | 174762 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\File SPSS RME.sav

Case Processing Summary

| | Cases | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Valid | | Missing | | Total | |
| | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| PTeknologi * PManfaat | 151 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 151 | 100.0% |

PTeknologi * PManfaat Crosstabulation

| | | PManfaat | | Total | |
|------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|--------|
| | | Baik | Kurang Baik | | |
| PTeknologi | Baik | Count | 43 | 29 | 72 |
| | | % within PTeknologi | 59.7% | 40.3% | 100.0% |
| PTeknologi | Kurang Baik | Count | 20 | 59 | 79 |
| | | % within PTeknologi | 25.3% | 74.7% | 100.0% |
| Total | | Count | 63 | 88 | 151 |
| | | % within PTeknologi | 41.7% | 58.3% | 100.0% |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (2-sided) | Exact Sig. (1-sided) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 18.339 ^a | 1 | .000 | | |
| Continuity Correction ^b | 16.951 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Likelihood Ratio | 18.705 | 1 | .000 | | |
| Fisher's Exact Test | | | | .000 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 18.218 | 1 | .000 | | |
| N of Valid Cases | 151 | | | | |

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 30,04.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

REGRESSION

/MISSING LISTWISE

/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA

/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)

/NOORIGIN

```
/DEPENDENT Manfaat  
/METHOD=ENTER Teknologi Organisasi Manusia.  
REGRESSION  
/MISSING LISTWISE  
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA  
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)  
/NOORIGIN  
/DEPENDENT Teknologi  
/METHOD=ENTER Organisasi Manusia Manfaat.
```

4. ANALISIS MULTIVARIAT

ANALISIS JALUR

Variables Entered/Removed^a

| Model | Variables Entered | Variables Removed | Method |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| 1 | Organisasi, Manusia ^b | . | Enter |

a. Dependent Variable: Teknologi

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | .799 ^a | .639 | .634 | 5.910 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Organisasi, Manusia

ANOVA^a

| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|-------------------|
| 1 | Regression | 9150.756 | 2 | 4575.378 | 130.988 | .000 ^b |
| | Residual | 5169.601 | 148 | 34.930 | | |
| | Total | 14320.358 | 150 | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Teknologi

b. Predictors: (Constant), Organisasi, Manusia

Coefficients^a

| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-------|------|
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 5.768 | 5.144 | | 1.121 | .264 |
| | Manusia | .903 | .123 | .547 | 7.339 | .000 |
| | Organisasi | .734 | .180 | .303 | 4.074 | .000 |

a. Dependent Variable: Teknologi

REGRESSION

/MISSING LISTWISE

/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA

/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)

/NOORIGIN

/DEPENDENT Manfaat

/METHOD=ENTER Manusia Organisasi Teknologi.

Regression

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Output Created | | 21-JUL-2023 08:52:28 |
| Comments | | |
| Input | Data Active Dataset Filter Weight Split File N of Rows in Working Data File | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav DataSet1 <none> <none> <none> 151 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing Cases Used | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any variable used. REGRESSION /MISSING LISTWISE /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10) /NOORIGIN /DEPENDENT Manfaat /METHOD=ENTER Manusia Organisasi Teknologi. |
| Syntax | | |
| Resources | Processor Time Elapsed Time Memory Required Additional Memory Required for Residual Plots | 00:00:00,02 00:00:00,01 2468 bytes 0 bytes |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Variables Entered/Removed^a

| Model | Variables Entered | Variables Removed | Method |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|
| | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|-------|
| 1 | Teknologi, Organisasi, Manusia ^b | . | Enter |
|---|---|---|-------|

a. Dependent Variable: Manfaat

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | .745 ^a | .555 | .546 | 2.371 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Teknologi, Organisasi, Manusia

ANOVA^a

| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------------|
| 1 | Regression | 1029.548 | 3 | 343.183 | 61.030 | .000 ^b |
| | Residual | 826.611 | 147 | 5.623 | | |
| | Total | 1856.159 | 150 | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: Manfaat

b. Predictors: (Constant), Teknologi, Organisasi, Manusia

Coefficients^a

| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 3.331 | 2.073 | | 1.607 | .110 |
| | Manusia | .294 | .058 | .495 | 5.102 | .000 |
| | Organisasi | .470 | .076 | .539 | 6.163 | .000 |
| | Teknologi | -.112 | .033 | -.311 | -3.393 | .001 |

a. Dependent Variable: Manfaat

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P6 P7 P8 P9 P10 P11 P12 P13 P14 P15 P16
P17 P18 P19 P20 P21 P22

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

Notes

| | | |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Output Created | 21-JUL-2023 12:06:02 | |
| Comments | | |
| Input | Data | C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav |
| | Active Dataset | DataSet1 |
| | Filter | <none> |
| | Weight | <none> |
| | Split File | <none> |
| | N of Rows in Working Data File | 151 |
| Missing Value Handling | Definition of Missing | User-defined missing values are treated as missing. |
| | Cases Used | Statistics are based on all cases with valid data. |
| Syntax | FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P6 P7 P8 P9 P10 P11 P12 P13 P14 P15 P16 P17 P18 P19 P20 P21 P22 /ORDER=ANALYSIS. | |
| Resources | Processor Time | 00:00:00,02 |
| | Elapsed Time | 00:00:00,01 |

[DataSet1] C:\rizma file new\Project data analysis\kak asriani.sav

Statistics

| | | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Statistics


| | | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 | P12 | P13 | P14 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Statistics

| | | P15 | P16 | P17 | P18 | P19 | P20 | P21 |
|---|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Statistics

| | | P22 |
|---|---------|-----|
| N | Valid | 151 |
| | Missing | 0 |



Lampiran 3 Dokumentasi Penelitian



Lampiran 4 Curriculum Vitae

A. Data Pribadi

Nama : Asriani Usman

Alamat : Perumahan Griya Bakti Utama Blok A3 No. 12,
Makassar

Tempat, Tanggal Lahir : Ujung Pandang, 22 September 1977

Kewarganegaraan : Indonesia

Agama : Islam

Email : asriani.rsws@gmail.com

HP : 081244620977

B. Riwayat Pendidikan

| Periode (Tahun) | Jenjang Pendidikan | Sekolah/Instansi/Universitas |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 1983-1989 | SD | SDN Maricayya 2 No,112 Makassar |
| 1989-1992 | SMP | SMP Muhammadiyah 1 Makassar |
| 1992-1995 | SMA | SMAN 1 Makassar |
| 1995-1998 | D3 | Akademi Fisioterapi Makassar |
| 1999-2001 | S1 | Universitas Indonusa Esa Unggul Jakarta |
| 2020-... | S2 | Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar |

Lampiran 4 Curriculum Vitae

A. Data Pribadi

Nama : Asriani Usman
Alamat : Perumahan Griya Bakti Utama Blok A3 No. 12,
Makassar
Tempat, Tanggal Lahir : Ujung Pandang, 22 September 1977
Kewarganegaraan : Indonesia
Agama : Islam
Email : asriani.rsws@gmail.com
HP : 081244620977

B. Riwayat Pendidikan

| Periode (Tahun) | Jenjang Pendidikan | Sekolah/Instansi/Universitas |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 1983-1989 | SD | SDN Maricayya 2 No,112 Makassar |
| 1989-1992 | SMP | SMP Muhammadiyah 1 Makassar |
| 1992-1995 | SMA | SMAN 1 Makassar |
| 1995-1998 | D3 | Akademi Fisioterapi Makassar |
| 1999-2001 | S1 | Universitas Indonusa Esa Unggul Jakarta |
| 2020-... | S2 | Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar |



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KESEHATAN MASYARAKAT

Jln.Perintis Kemerdekaan KM.10 Makassar 90245, Telp. (0411) 585658, 516005, Fax (0411) 586013
E-mail : fk.unhas@gmail.com, website : <https://fk.unhas.ac.id/>

No : 9901/UN4.14.1/PT.01.04/2022
Lamp : Proposal
Hal : **Permohonan Izin Penelitian**

26 Agustus 2022

Yth.

Gubernur Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan
Cq. Kepala UPT P2T, BKPM
Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan

Di-

Tempat

Dengan hormat kami sampaikan bahwa mahasiswa Program Studi Magister Administrasi Rumah Sakit Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Hasanuddin yang dibawah ini:

Nama : Asriani Usman
Nomor Pokok : K022201005
Program Pendidikan : Magister (S2)
Program Studi : Administrasi Rumah Sakit

Bermaksud melakukan penelitian dalam rangka persiapan penulisan tesis dengan judul
"Evaluasi Implementasi Rekam Medis Elektronik Di RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar Tahun 2022"

Pembimbing : 1. Dr. Irwandy, SKM.,M.Sc.PH.,M.Kes (Ketua)
2. Dr. dr. Noer Bahry Noor, M.Sc (Anggota)
Lokasi Penelitian : RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar
Waktu Penelitian : Agustus 2022 – September 2022

Sehubungan dengan hal tersebut kami mohon kebijaksanaan Bapak/Ibu kiranya berkenan memberi izin kepada yang bersangkutan.

Atas perkenan dan kerjasamanya diucapkan terima kasih.

a.n. Dekan.

Plt. Wakil Dekan Bidang Akademik,
Riset dan Inovasi



Prof. Dr. Atjo Wahyu, SKM., M.Kes
Nip. 1970 02161994 12 1 001

Tembusan Kepada Yth. :

1. Wakil Dekan FKM Unhas
2. Mahasiswa Yang Bersangkutan
3. Peringgal



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN
RISET, DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KESEHATAN MASYARAKAT




Jln.Perintis Kemerdekaan Km.10 Makassar 90245, Telp.(0411) 585658,
E-mail : fkm.unhas@gmail.com, website: <https://fkm.unhas.ac.id/>

REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK

Nomor : 12129/UN4.14.1/TP.01.02/2022

Tanggal : 17 Oktober 2022

Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| No.Protokol | 101022052266 | No. Sponsor Protokol | |
| Peneliti Utama | Asriani Usman | Sponsor | Pribadi |
| Judul Peneliti | Evaluasi Sistem Rekam Medis Elektronik di RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar Tahun 2022 | | |
| No.Versi Protokol | 1 | Tanggal Versi | 10 Oktober 2022 |
| No.Versi PSP | 1 | Tanggal Versi | 10 Oktober 2022 |
| Tempat Penelitian | Rumah Sakit Grestelina Makassar | | |
| Judul Review | <input type="checkbox"/> Exempted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Fullboard | Masa Berlaku 17 Oktober 2022 Sampai 17 Oktober 2023 | Frekuensi review lanjutan |
| Ketua Komisi Etik Penelitian | Nama : Prof.dr.Veni Hadju,M.Sc,Ph.D | Tanda tangan  | Tanggal 17 Oktober 2022  |
| Sekretaris komisi Etik Penelitian | Nama : Dr. Wahiduddin, SKM.,M.Kes | Tanda tangan  | Tanggal 17 Oktober 2022 |

Kewajiban Peneliti Utama :

1. Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan
2. Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 Jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Laporan SUSAR dalam 72 Jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan
3. Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah
4. Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir
5. Melaporakn penyimpangan dari protocol yang disetujui (protocol deviation/violation)
6. Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan



**KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KESEHATAN MASYARAKAT**

Sekretariat: Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan Km. 10 Makassar 90245, Telp (0411) 585658
Fax: (0411) 586013. Email: dekanfkmuh@gmail.com. Website: www.fkm.unhas.ac.id

SURAT PERSETUJUAN ATASAN YANG BERWENANG

No: 9303/UN4.14.1/TD.06/2022

Yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini:

Nama : Prof. Dr. Atjo Wahyu, SKM., M.Kes
NIP : 1970 02161994 12 1 001
Jabatan : Plt. Wakil Dekan Bidang Akademik, Riset dan Inovasi

Menyatakan bahwa:

Nama : Asriani Usman
NIM : K022201005
Judul Penelitian : **Evaluasi Sistem Rekam Medis Elektronik di RSUP Dr. Wahidin
Sudirohusodo Makassar Tahun 2022**

Disetujui untuk melakukan penelitian dengan judul tersebut di atas.

Demikian disampaikan untuk dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Makassar, 12 Agustus 2022



Prof. Dr. Atjo Wahyu, SKM., M.Kes
Nip. 1970 02161994 12 1 001



PEMERINTAH PROVINSI SULAWESI SELATAN
DINAS PENANAMAN MODAL DAN PELAYANAN TERPADU SATU PINTU

Jl. Bougenville No.5 Telp. (0411) 441077 Fax. (0411) 448936
Website : <http://simap-new.sulselprov.go.id> Email : ptsp@sulselprov.go.id
Makassar 90231

Nomor : **8406/S.01/PTSP/2022**

Lampiran : -

Perihal : **Izin penelitian**

Kepada Yth.

Direktur RSUP Dr. Wahidin
Sudirohusodo Makassar

di-

Tempat

Berdasarkan surat Deka Fak. Kesehatan Masyarakat UNHAS Makassar Nomor : 9901/UN4.14.1/PT.01.04/2022 tanggal 26 Agustus 2022 perihal tersebut diatas, mahasiswa/peneliti dibawah ini:

N a m a : **ASRIANI USMAN**
Nomor Pokok : K022201005
Program Studi : Administrasi Rumah Sakit
Pekerjaan/Lembaga : Mahasiswa (S2)
Alamat : Jl. P. Kemerdekaan Km.,. 10 Makassar



Bermaksud untuk melakukan penelitian di daerah/kantor saudara dalam rangka menyusun Tesis, dengan judul :

**" EVALUASI IMPLEMENTASI REKAM MEDIS ELEKTRONIK DI RSUP DR. WAHIDIN
SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR TAHUN 2022 "**

Yang akan dilaksanakan dari : Tgl. **29 Agustus s/d 29 September 2022**

Sehubungan dengan hal tersebut diatas, pada prinsipnya kami **menyetujui** kegiatan dimaksud dengan ketentuan yang tertera di belakang surat izin penelitian.

Demikian Surat Keterangan ini diberikan agar dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Diterbitkan di Makassar
Pada Tanggal 29 Agustus 2022

A.n. GUBERNUR SULAWESI SELATAN
KEPALA DINAS PENANAMAN MODAL DAN PELAYANAN TERPADU
SATU PINTU PROVINSI SULAWESI SELATAN



Ir. H. SULKAF S LATIEF, M.M.
Pangkat : PEMBINA UTAMA MADYA
Nip : 19630424 198903 1 010

Tembusan Yth

1. Deka Fak. Kesehatan Masyarakat UNHAS Makassar di Makassar;
2. *Pertinggal.*