

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alfianto, H. 2009. Analisis Penawaran Bawang Merah di Kabupaten Karanganyar. Bandung.
- Asep Hermawan. 2008. Peneliti Bisnis Paradigma Kuantitatif. Jakarta. PT. Grasindo
- Agustina, R. 2006. Penggunaan Ramuan Herbal sebagai Feed Additive untuk Meningkatkan Performan Broiler. Prosiding Lokal Nasional Inovasi Teknologi Dalam Mendukung Usaha Ternak Unggas Berdaya Saing. Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Peternakan. Bogor.
- Asmara, Rosihan dan Ardhiani, Ruri. 2010. Integrasi Pasar Dalam Sistem Pemasaran Bawang Merah. *Agrise* 10 (3): 164-176
- Asmarantaka, R.W. 2009. Pemasaran Produk-produk Pertanian. Bunga Rampai Agribisnis: Seri Pemasaran. IPB Press, Bogor
- Anief, M, 2000, Farmasetika, 2000, Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta
- Anindita, R. 2004. Pemasaran Hasil Pertanian. Papyrus. Surabaya.
- Arifin, A., Ahmad, A. A., & Priyono, R. (2015). Model Struktur Pasar dan Pembentukan Harga Komoditas Daging Sapi di Kabupaten Banyumas. *ISSN 085-1442*, Vol. 30. No. 2, *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Manajemen*, 128-135.
- Arifianti, Ria. (2010). Pengaruh Atribut Supermarket Terhadap Impulse Buying (survei pada Supermarket Di Kota Bandung). *Jurnal Strategic*, Vol 9, 17.
- Ariyani, D. 2012. Integrasi vertikal Pasar Produsen Gabah Dengan Pasar Retail Beras di Indonesia. *Jurnal Manajemen Pertanian* 11 (2) : 225-238
- Azhara, S. (2019). Analisis Integrasi Pasar Bawang Merah (*Allium cepa* L.) Antara Pasar Induk Tuntungan dengan Level Pasar Pengecer (Studi Kasus: Kecamatan Medan Tuntungan, Kota Medan). Skripsi S1 Universitas Sumatera Utara
- Baffes J and Bruce G. 2003. The Transmission of World Commodity Prices to Domestic Markets Under Policy Reforms in Developing Countries. *Journal of Economic Policy Return*. 6(3): 159-180.
- Barrett, Christopher and Li, Jau Rong. 2002. Distinguishing between Equilibrium and Integration in Spatial Price Analysis. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* https://econpapers.repec.org/article/oupajagec/v_3a84_3ay_3a2002_3ai_3a2_3ap_3a292-307.htmnomics, 2002, vol. 84, issue 2, 292-307.
- Burhan, G. 2006. Analisis Integrasi Pasar Beras Dunia Dengan Pasar Beras dan Gabah Domestik serta Pengaruh Volume Impor Beras dan Harga BBM. Skripsi Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor.
- Emmy lilimantik, 2013. Struktur Perilaku Pasar Usaha Budidaya Ikan Mas (*Cyprinus corpio*) Dalam karamba di Kabupaten Banjar Provinsi Kalimantan Selatan. Fakultas Perikanan, Universitas Lambung Makarat. Banjarbaru.
- Given, Lisa M. (editor). (2008). *The Sage encyclopedia of qualitative research methods*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Hanafie, Rita, 2010. Pengantar Ekonomi Pertanian. Penerbit ANDI. Yogyakarta
- Irawan, B. (2007). Fluktuasi Harga, Transmisi Harga dan Margin Pemasaran Sayuran dan Buah. *Analisis Kebijakan Pertanian*, 358-373.
- Jumiati, E, dkk. 2013. Analisis Saluran Pemasaran dan Marjin Pemasaran kalapa dalam Di Daerah Perbatasan Kalimantan Timur. Universitas Borneo. Kalimantan Timur.
- Kementerian Pertanian. 2015. Produksi Bawang Merah di Jawa Barat. Pusat Data dan Informasi, Sekretariat Jenderal Kementerian Pertanian, Jakarta.
- Kotler, Philip dan Amstrong, Gary. 2010. *Principles of Marketing*. Prentice Hall. Inc. Nnth Edition. Englewood. New Jersey.

- Kusnadi, 2009, Keberdayaan Nelayan Dalam Dinamika Ekonomi Pesisir, Yogyakarta : Ar-Ruzz Media
- Lilimantik E, 2013. Spatial Equilibrium of Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus bleeker*) Market in South Borneo Province, Indonesia. *European Journal of Business and Management* Vol. 5 No. 5, 2013. ISSN 2222-1905 (Paper) ISSN 2222-2839 (Online).
- Limbong, W.H dan Sitorus P, 1997. Pengantar Tataniaga Pertanian. Jurusan Ilmu-ilmu Sosial Ekonomi Pertanian. Fakultas Pertanian, Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Rahayu. (2009). Analisis Efisiensi Pemasaran Jagung (*Zea mays*) Di Kabupaten Grobogan (Studi Kasus di Kecamatan Geyer). Program Studi Fakultas Pertanian. Universitas Sebelas Maret Sukarta.
- Ravallion, M. 1986. Testing Market Integration. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. 68 (1):101-108.
- Tjitrosoepomo, Gembong. 2010. Taksonomi Tumbuhan Spermatophyta. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada University press.
- Wibowo, S. 2007. Budidaya bawang; Bawang putih. bawang merah. bawang bombay. Penebar Swadaya, Jakarta
- Yudianto Mandak., B. Rorimpandey., P.O.V. Waleleng dan F.N.S. Oroh. 2017. Analisis Margin Pemasaran Ayam Broiler di Pasar Tradisional Kota Manado. *Zootek* 1. 37: 70-79.
- Yustiningsih, F, (2012), Analisis Integrasi Pasar dan Transmisi Harga Beras PetaniKonsumen di Indonesia, Tesis Fakultas Ekonomi Program Studi Magister Perencanaan dan Kebijakan Publik Universitas Indonesia, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Zed, M. (2014). Metode Penelitian Kepustakaan. Yayasan Obor Indonesia

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Harga Bawang Merah di Pasar Angeraja, Pasar Sudu dan Pasar Enrekang tahun 2016-2020

No.	Bulan	Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah		
		Tahun 2016		
		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Sudu	Pasar Enrekang
1	Januari	20,000	25,000	35,000
2	Februari	18,000	23,000	30,000
3	Maret	32,250	35,000	40,000
4	April	35,000	38,000	42,000
5	Mei	29,250	33,000	35,000
6	Juni	32,250	35,000	40,000
7	Juli	37,000	40,000	43,000
8	Agustus	40,000	43,000	45,000
9	September	42,500	45,000	50,000
10	Oktober	30,750	33,000	38,000
11	November	40,000	29,480	38,000
12	Desember	30,750	33,000	35,000

No.	Bulan	Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah		
		Tahun 2017		
		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Sudu	Pasar Enrekang
1	Januari	35,000	38,000	40,000
2	Februari	37,500	40,000	43,000
3	Maret	30,000	35,000	40,000
4	April	37,500	40,000	45,000
5	Mei	40,000	43,000	45,000
6	Juni	39,250	42,000	43,000
7	Juli	36,000	38,000	42,000
8	Agustus	40,000	43,000	45,000
9	September	39,500	43,000	45,000
10	Oktober	38,000	42,000	44,000
11	November	38,000	42,000	44,000
12	Desember	32,000	35,000	38,000

No.	Bulan	Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah		
		Tahun 2018		
		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Sudu	Pasar Enrekang
1	Januari	15,000	20,000	25,000
2	Februari	14,475	15,000	20,000
3	Maret	19,150	20,000	25,000
4	April	29,250	33,000	35,000
5	Mei	23,320	31,000	35,000
6	Juni	19,950	27,000	30,000
7	Juli	19,550	19,000	22,000
8	Agustus	12,640	20,000	25,000

9	September	10,250	21,000	22,000
10	Oktober	8,600	18,000	18,000
11	November	14,200	20,000	22,000
12	Desember	23,750	29,000	30,000

Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah Tahun 2019

No.	Bulan	Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah Tahun 2019		
		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Sudu	Pasar Enrekang
1	Januari	22,880	25,000	30,000
2	Februari	22,950	25,000	30,167
3	Maret	22,850	25,000	29,677
4	April	22,893	27,000	35,167
5	Mei	21,080	25,000	32,903
6	Juni	22,500	25,000	29,355
7	Juli	15,320	18,000	20,600
8	Agustus	15,000	18,000	22,581
9	September	11,600	15,000	25,000
10	Oktober	15,000	20,000	29,839
11	November	17,450	22,000	30,000
12	Desember	14,843	20,000	29,000

Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah Tahun 2020

No.	Bulan	Harga Rata-Rata Bulanan Komoditi Bawang Merah Tahun 2020		
		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Sudu	Pasar Enrekang
1	Januari	28,400	30,000	30,774
2	Februari	30,150	33,000	38,483
3	Maret	24,950	29,000	31,452
4	April	23,880	25,000	30,667
5	Mei	38,400	38,500	38,871
6	Juni	34,000	35,000	47,167
7	Juli	18,160	20,000	28,161
8	Agustus	15,300	20,000	21,484
9	September	15,160	19,000	24,800
10	Oktober	26,250	30,000	25,323
11	November	26,625	30,000	35,667
12	Desember	21,900	25,000	30,806
Rataan		25,265	27,875	31,971

Lampiran 2. Analisis Pearson

- Hasil Uji Korelasi Pasar ANggeraja dengan Pasar Sudu menggunakan Analisis Pearson

Correlations

		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Sudu
Pasar Anggeraja	Pearson Correlation	1	.959**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	60	60
Pasar Sudu	Pearson Correlation	.959**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	60	60

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

- Hasil Uji Korelasi Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Enrekang menggunakan analisis Pearson

Correlations

		Pasar Anggeraja	Pasar Enrekang
Pasar Anggeraja	Pearson Correlation	1	.928**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	60	60
Pasar Enrekang	Pearson Correlation	.928**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	60	60

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Lampiran 3. Uji Stasioner

- Hasil Uji stasioner harga Bawang Merah di Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Sudu

Null Hypothesis: HBPA has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=5)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-2.725351	0.0758
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.546099	
5% level	-2.911730	
10% level	-2.593551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Null Hypothesis: HBPS has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=5)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-2.729453	0.0751
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.546099	
5% level	-2.911730	
10% level	-2.593551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

- Hasil Uji stasioner harga Bawang Merah di Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Enrekang

Null Hypothesis: HBPE has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=5)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-2.866649	0.0554
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.546099	
5% level	-2.911730	
10% level	-2.593551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 4. Uji Derajat Integrasi

- Hasil Uji Derajat Integrasi PAsar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Sudu

Null Hypothesis: D(HBPA) has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=5)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-7.875131	0.0000
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.548208	
5% level	-2.912631	
10% level	-2.594027	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Null Hypothesis: D(HBPS) has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 1 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=5)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-7.548558	0.0000
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.550396	
5% level	-2.913549	
10% level	-2.594521	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

- Hasil Uji Derajat Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Enrekang

Null Hypothesis: D(HBPE) has a unit root
 Exogenous: Constant
 Lag Length: 1 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=5)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-7.274956	0.0000
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.550396	
5% level	-2.913549	
10% level	-2.594521	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Lampiran 5. Analisis Regresi

- Hasil Regresi antara Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Sudu

Dependent Variable: HBPA

Method: Least Squares

Date: 11/07/21 Time: 16:30

Sample: 2016M01 2020M12

Included observations: 60

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-4890.813	1248.755	-3.916552	0.0002
HBPS	1.054160	0.040742	25.87376	0.0000
R-squared	0.920270	Mean dependent var		26136.27
Adjusted R-squared	0.918895	S.D. dependent var		9475.848
S.E. of regression	2698.622	Akaike info criterion		18.67164
Sum squared resid	4.22E+08	Schwarz criterion		18.74145
Log likelihood	-558.1491	Hannan-Quinn criter.		18.69894
F-statistic	669.4514	Durbin-Watson stat		1.463957
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

- Hasil analisis Integrasi Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Enrekang

Dependent Variable: HBPA

Method: Least Squares

Date: 11/07/21 Time: 17:12

Sample: 2016M01 2020M12

Included observations: 60

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-10161.15	1972.053	-5.152573	0.0000
HBPE	1.077104	0.056901	18.92929	0.0000
R-squared	0.860683	Mean dependent var		26136.27
Adjusted R-squared	0.858281	S.D. dependent var		9475.848
S.E. of regression	3567.233	Akaike info criterion		19.22973
Sum squared resid	7.38E+08	Schwarz criterion		19.29954
Log likelihood	-574.8920	Hannan-Quinn criter.		19.25704
F-statistic	358.3181	Durbin-Watson stat		1.701918
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Lampiran 6. Uji Kointegrasi

- Hasil Uji Kointegrasi antara Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Sudu

Null Hypothesis: ECT has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-5.766931	0.0000
Test critical values:		
1% level	-3.546099	
5% level	-2.911730	
10% level	-2.593551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation

Dependent Variable: D(ECT)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 01/03/22 Time: 09:58

Sample (adjusted): 2016M02 2020M12

Included observations: 59 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
ECT(-1)	-0.734591	0.127380	-5.766931	0.0000
C	26.76464	340.7475	0.078547	0.9377

R-squared	0.368473	Mean dependent var	32.20339
Adjusted R-squared	0.357394	S.D. dependent var	3265.011
S.E. of regression	2617.321	Akaike info criterion	18.61100
Sum squared resid	3.90E+08	Schwarz criterion	18.68143
Log likelihood	-547.0245	Hannan-Quinn criter.	18.63849
F-statistic	33.25749	Durbin-Watson stat	1.950809
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Dependent Variable: D(HBPA)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 11/07/21 Time: 16:37

Sample (adjusted): 2016M02 2020M12

Included observations: 59 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	6024.844	2337.697	2.577256	0.0126
HBPA(-1)	-0.228656	0.083900	-2.725351	0.0085

R-squared	0.115285	Mean dependent var	32.20339
Adjusted R-squared	0.099764	S.D. dependent var	6425.061
S.E. of regression	6096.148	Akaike info criterion	20.30201
Sum squared resid	2.12E+09	Schwarz criterion	20.37244
Log likelihood	-596.9094	Hannan-Quinn criter.	20.32950
F-statistic	7.427539	Durbin-Watson stat	1.889255
Prob(F-statistic)	0.008516		

- Hasil Uji Kointegrasi antara pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Enrekang

Null Hypothesis: HBPA01 has a unit root

Exogenous: Constant

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=10)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-7.041235	0.0000
Test critical values:		
1% level	-3.546099	
5% level	-2.911730	
10% level	-2.593551	

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation
 Dependent Variable: D(HBPA01)
 Method: Least Squares
 Date: 01/03/22 Time: 10:01
 Sample (adjusted): 2016M02 2020M12
 Included observations: 59 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
HBPA01(-1)	-0.890302	0.126441	-7.041235	0.0000
C	125.6717	446.8261	0.281254	0.7795
R-squared	0.465185	Mean dependent var		108.7691
Adjusted R-squared	0.455803	S.D. dependent var		4652.432
S.E. of regression	3432.087	Akaike info criterion		19.15303
Sum squared resid	6.71E+08	Schwarz criterion		19.22346
Log likelihood	-563.0145	Hannan-Quinn criter.		19.18053
F-statistic	49.57899	Durbin-Watson stat		2.044358
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Lampiran 7. Uji Error Correction Model (ECM)

- Hasil Uji ECM antara Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Sudu

Dependent Variable: D(HBPA)
 Method: Least Squares
 Date: 11/07/21 Time: 16:58
 Sample (adjusted): 2016M02 2020M12
 Included observations: 59 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	26.91245	340.5230	0.079033	0.9373
D(HBPS)	0.993118	0.058869	16.87008	0.0000
HBPA01(-1)	-0.714628	0.128744	-5.550781	0.0000
R-squared	0.839990	Mean dependent var		32.20339
Adjusted R-squared	0.834275	S.D. dependent var		6425.061
S.E. of regression	2615.597	Akaike info criterion		18.62588
Sum squared resid	3.83E+08	Schwarz criterion		18.73152
Log likelihood	-546.4635	Hannan-Quinn criter.		18.66712
F-statistic	146.9891	Durbin-Watson stat		2.029354
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

- Hasil Uji ECM antara Pasar Anggeraja dengan Pasar Enrekang

Dependent Variable: D(HBPA)
 Method: Least Squares
 Date: 11/07/21 Time: 17:22
 Sample (adjusted): 2016M02 2020M12
 Included observations: 59 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	119.5392	447.7585	0.266972	0.7905
D(HBPE)	1.003394	0.083645	11.99586	0.0000
HBPA01(-1)	-0.843272	0.137472	-6.134140	0.0000
R-squared	0.723416	Mean dependent var		32.20339
Adjusted R-squared	0.713538	S.D. dependent var		6425.061
S.E. of regression	3438.833	Akaike info criterion		19.17316
Sum squared resid	6.62E+08	Schwarz criterion		19.27880
Log likelihood	-562.6083	Hannan-Quinn criter.		19.21440
F-statistic	73.23490	Durbin-Watson stat		2.105162
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			