

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Asosiasi Institusi Pendidikan Ners Indonesia (AIPNI). (2021). Kurikulum Inti Pendidikan Ners Indonesia 2021 Asosiasi Institusi Pendidikan Ners Indonesia. www.aipni-ainec.com
- Aitken, G. (2021). A Postdigital Exploration of Online Postgraduate Learning in Healthcare Professionals: A Horizontal Conception. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-020-00103-w>
- Agus Ari Afandi. (2014). Manajemen Pendidikan Klinik: Preceptorship Model, pelatihan preceptorship Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember.
- Almomani, E., Sullivan, J., Hijjeh, M., & Attlallah, K. (2021). The perceived relationship between reflective learning conversation and clinical reasoning skills amongst critical care and trauma nurses: A cross sectional parallel mixed method. *Nurse Education Today*, 105(December 2020), 105044. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.105044>
- Ankit Bansal, Tripta Goyal, & Umesh Sharma. (2018). Pedestrian Safety on Crosswalks in India - Need of the Hour. *Journal on Today's Ideas - Tomorrow's Technologies*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.15415/jotitt.2018.61004>
- Arnadi Arkan. 2019. Desain Pembelajaran *Blende Learning* Solusi Peningkatan Kualitas Pendidikan Indonesia. *prosiding seminar nasional "Menyongsong indonesia emas dalam peningkatan sumber daya menghadapi era 4.0.* Sintang 7 september 2019
- Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping Studies : Towards a Methodological Framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>
- Armstrong, R., Hall, B. J., Doyle, J., & Waters, E. (2011). Cochrane Update. “Scoping the scope” of a cochrane review. *Journal of Public Health (Oxford, England)*, 33(1), 147–150. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdr015>
- Arveklev, S. H., Berg, L., Wigert, H., Morrison-Helme, M., & Lepp, M. (2018). Nursing Students Experiences of Learning About Nursing Through Drama. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2017.09.007>
- Casman, C., Ahadi Pradana, A., Edianto, E., & Abdul Rahman, L. O. (2020). Kaleidoskop Menuju Seperempat Abad Pendidikan Keperawatan di Indonesia. *Jurnal Endurance*, 5(1), 115. <https://doi.org/10.22216/jen.v5i1.4291>
- Chicca, J., Shellenbarger, T. Generation Z, (2018). Approaches and Teaching-Learning Practices for Nursing Professional Development Practitioners. *J. Nurses Prof. Dev.* 2018, 34, 250–256.
- Coker, P, & Otr, L, 2010, “Effect Of An Experiential Learning Program On The Clinical Reasoning And Critical Thinking Skills Of Occupational Therapy Student”, *Journal of Allied Health*, Vol. 39 No. 4
- Colquhoun, H. L., Levac, D., O'Brien, K. K., Straus, S., Tricco, A. C., Perrier, L., Kastner, M., & Moher, D. (2014). Scoping reviews: Time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 67(12), 1291–1294.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.013>

- Dale, B., Leland, A., & Dale, J. G. (2017). What factors facilitate good learning experiences in clinical studies in nursing bachelor students' perceptions. *ISRN Nursing*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/628679>
- Daudt, H. M. L., van Mossel, C., & Scott, S. J. (2013). Enhancing the scoping study methodology: a large, inter-professional team's experience with Arksey and O'Malley's framework. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 13(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-48>
- Davis, K., Drey, N., & Gould, D. (2009). What are scoping studies? A review of the nursing literature. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 46(10), 1386–1400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2009.02.010>
- Ehwarieme, T. A., Anarado, A. N., Osian, E. A., & Nnahiwe, B. (2021). Clinical nurses knowledge, skills and learning needs about glasgow coma scale for neurological patients' assessment in tertiary hospitals in Edo state, Nigeria: A mixed method study. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*, 15, 100321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijans.2021.100321>
- Ernawati, S. (2018). Pengaruh penggunaan metode konseptual dalam bimbingan praktek klinik keperawatan terhadap pencapaian kompetensi. Universitas Sebelas Maret. Retrieved from <https://eprints.uns.ac.id/4219/>
- Ermawati, H., Spog, K., Obstetri, B., Unand, F. K., & Djamil, R. M. (n.d.). *Bed Side Teaching BST Merupakan Komponen Penting Dan*.
- Foster, D. (2014). Leadership and Nursing Care Management Huber Diane L Leadership and Nursing Care Management 640pp £48.99 Elsevier Fifth edition 9781455740710 1455740713. *Nursing Management*. <https://doi.org/10.7748/nm.21.6.13.s14>
- Gunay, U., Kilinc, G., 2018. Transfer pengetahuan teoretis ke praktik klinis oleh mahasiswa keperawatan dan kesulitan yang mereka alami: studi kualitatif. *Pendidikan Perawat*. Hari ini 65, 81–86. <https://doi.org/10.101016/j.nedt.2018.02.031>.
- Gade, S., & Chari, S. (2013). Case-based Learning In Endocrine Physiology: An Approach Toward Self-Directed Learning and The Development of Soft Skills In Medical Students. *American Journal of Physiology - Advances in Physiology Education*, 37(4). <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00076.2012>
- Grimshaw, J. (2010). *A Knowledge Synthesis Chapter a Knowledge Synthesis Chapter 1. Background Knowledge Synthesis for Knowledge Translation*.
- Hendi Rohendi, Ujeng Ujeng, lia Mulyati. (2020). Pengembangan Model *Blended-Learning* Dalam Meningkatkan *Learning Outcome* Mahasiswa di Lahan Praktik Klinik Keperawatan. Vol. 11 No2; *Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan Bhakti Husada; Health Science Journal*
- Jiang, H., Li, MZ, Li, K., Lu, Y., Sun, YM, Lu, YH, Lo, XP, Li, L., 2021. Exploring an unfolding case simulation teaching in stroke nursing training. *Chin. nurse. Manag* 21, 740–744. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1672-1756.2021.05.021>

- Karabulut, N. Aktaş, Y. (2016). A survey on Turkish nursing students' perception of clinical learning environment and its association with academic motivation and clinical decision making. *Nurse Education Today*, 36, 124–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2015.08.015>
- Koy, V. (2018). Perceptions of nursing students on effective clinical preceptors in Phnom Penh National Hospitals, Cambodia. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 3(7), 1605–1610. <https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20150237>
- Kim, S. H., Kim, Y. Y., & Yoo, S. Y. (2021). Testing the measurement invariance of the Korean clinical learning environment, supervision and nurse teacher (CLES+t) scale. *Nurse Education Today*, 107, 105140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.105140>
- Liu, J., Peng, P., Luo, L., 2019. The relation between family socioeconomic status and academic achievement in China: a meta-analysis. *Educ. Psychol. Rev.* 32, 49–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09494-0>
- Levac, D., Wishart, L., Missiuna, C., & Wright, V. (2009). The application of motor learning strategies within functionally based interventions for children with neuromotor conditions. *Pediatric Physical Therapy: The Official Publication of the Section on Pediatrics of the American Physical Therapy Association*, 21(4), 345–355. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PEP.0b013e3181beb09d>
- McCormick, MJ, Slavy, R., Fuller, B., 2013. Embracing technology: Using an unfolding case simulation to enhance nursing students' learning about parkinson disease. *J. Neurosci. nurse.* 45, 14–20. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JNN.0b013e318275b220>
- Munn, Z., Peters, M. D. ., Stern, C., Tufanaru, C., Mcarthur, A., & Aromataris, E. (2018). Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 18(143), 1–7. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x>
- Nelwati, Abdullah, K. L., & Chong, M. C. (2018). A systematic review of qualitative studies exploring peer learning experiences of undergraduate nursing students. *Nurse Education Today*, 71, 185–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.09.018>
- Smit, K., de Brabander, C.J., Boekaerts, M., Martens, R.L., 2017. The self-regulation of motivation: motivational strategies as mediator between motivational beliefs and engagement for learning. *Int. J. Educ. Res.* 82, 124–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2017.01.006>
- Najamuddin, N. isriani. (2019). Pengaruh Metode Pembelajaran Praktikum Simulasi Terhadap Pencapaian Kompetensi Klinik Mahasiswa Semester VI (lima) S1 Keperawatan di STIKes Bina Generasi Polewali Mandar. *Bina Generasi : Jurnal Kesehatan*, 10(2), 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.35907/jksbg.v10i2.116>
- Nahid Jamshidi, Zahra Molazem, Farkhondeh Sharif, Camellia Torabizadeh, and Majid Najafi Kalyani. (2016). The Challenges of Nursing Students in the Clinical Learning Environment: A Qualitative Study. *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Scientific World Journal Volume 2016, Article ID 1846178, 7 pages* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/1846178>
- Nhokwara, T. B., Ashipala, D. O., & Joel, M. H. (2022). Lived experiences of nursing students regarding learning in large classes and its effects on teaching and learning at the University of Namibia. *Curationis*, 45(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/curationis.v45i1.2243>
- Padilha, J. M., Ribeiro, A., Rosa, J., Marques, D., & Machado, P. P. (2020). Clinical Virtual Simulation as Lifelong Learning Strategy—Nurse's Verdict. *Clinical Simulation in*

Nursing, 47, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecns.2020.06.012>

- Peters, M. D. J., Godfrey, C. M., Khalil, H., McInerney, P., Parker, D., & Soares, C. B. (2015). Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 13(3), 141–146. <https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.0000000000000050>
- Peterson, J., Pearce, P. F., Ferguson, L. A., & Langford, C. A. (2017). Understanding scoping reviews: Definition, purpose, and process. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*, 29(1), 12–16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2327-6924.12380>
- Pham, M. T., Rajic, A., Greig, J. D., Sargeant, J. M., Papadopoulos, & Mcewen, S. A. (2015). A scoping review of scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. *Wiley Publishing, Inc.*, 5, 371–385. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1123>
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2015). The content validity index: Are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 29, 489–497. Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2012). *Nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice* (9th ed.). Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Reilly, D.E. & Obermann, M.H, 2002, Pengajaran Klinis dalam Pendidikan Keperawatan, Edisi 2, Alih bahasa: Enie Novieastari, Jakarta: EGC
- Upik Rahmi. (2019). Tingkat Kepuasan Mahasiswa DIII Keperawatan dalam Pembelajaran klinik. *jurnal Pendidikan Keperawatan Indonesia* 5 (2),p 184-190
- Saunders, C., Smith, A., Watson, H., Nimmo, A., Morrison, M., Fawcett, T., Ross, M. The experience of interdisciplinary peer-assisted Aris Setyawan: Implementasi online peer asisted learning dalam pembelajaran keterampilan klinik pada mahasiswa keperawatan 7 learning (PAL). *The Clinical Teacher*: 2019; 398-402.
- Setiawan, A. H., Nurjannah, S., & Astuti, N. M. (2019). The Effectiveness of Clinical Learning Module on Competency Achievement of Nursing Students. *Indonesian Nursing Journal of Education and Clinic (Injec)*, 3(2), 84. <https://doi.org/10.24990/injec.v3i2.200>
- Sepriyanda R, Sari RP, Fitriasia Y. Sistem Computer Based Test Try Out Uji Kompetensi Ners Berbasis Website Menggunakan Metode Prototyping (Studi Kasus: Fakultas Ilmu Keperawatan Universitas Riau). *J Komput Terap*. 2019;5(2):13–21.
- Setyawan, A. (2019). Implementasi online peer asisted learning dalam pembelajaran keterampilan klinik pada mahasiswa keperawatan. *Health Sciences and Pharmacy Journal*, 3(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.32504/hspj.v3i1.74>
- Siahaan, J. (2017a). Metode Pembelajaran Klinik dan Hambatannya pada Program Pendidikan Profesi Ners Menurut Persepsi Mahasiswa. 1 112. <http://repositori.usu.ac.id/handle/123456789/1513>
- Ravanipour, M., Bahreini, M., & Ravanipour, M. (2015). Exploring nursing students' experience of peer learning in clinical practice. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 4, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9531.157233>.
- Tursina, A., Safaria, T., & Mujidin, M. (2016). Pengaruh Bimbingan Preceptorship Model Kognitif Sosial Terhadap Peningkatan Kompetensi Klinik pada Mahasiswa. *PSIKOPEDAGOGIAJurnalBimbinganDanKonseling*,5(1),79. <https://doi.org/10.12928/p sikopedagogia.v5i1.4593>
- Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K., Colquhoun, H., Kastner, M., Levac, D., Ng,

- C., Sharpe, J. P., Wilson, K., Kenny, M., Warren, R., Wilson, C., Stelfox, H. T., & Straus, S. E. (2016). A scoping review on the conduct and reporting of scoping reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *16*(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-016-0116-4>
- Trinidad, J.E., 2019. Understanding when parental aspirations negatively affect student outcomes: the case of aspiration-expectation inconsistency. *Stud. Educ. Eval.* *60*, 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2019.01.004>.
- Warne. T, Johansson U. B, Papastavrou. E, Tichelaar. E, Tomietto. M, Van Den Bossche. K, Moreno. (2020). An exploration of the clinical learning experience of nursing students in nine European countries. *Nurse Education Today*, *30*, 809-15.
- Wareing, M., Green, H., Burden, B., Burns, S., Mhlanga, F., 2018. Coaching and Peer Assisted Learning' (C-PAL) -Pengalaman mahasiswa keperawatan kesehatan mental: evaluasi kualitatif. *J. Psikiater. Ment. Perawat Kesehatan* (Artikel diterima).<https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12493>
- Wirentanus, L. (2019). Peran Dan Wewenang Perawat Dalam Menjalankan Tugasnya Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 38 Tahun 2014 Tentang Keperawatan. *Media Keadilan: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum*, *10*(2), 148. <https://doi.org/10.31764/jmk.v10i2.2013>
- Soo-Young Yu, Eunyoung E.Suh, Yun-MiKim, Thi Anh Phuong Nguyen, Oyungoo Badamdorj, Yoonhee Seok, Soyoun Jang, Jeonghee Ahn. (2020). *Tablet PC-based competency evaluation for nursing students in three Asian countries: Cross-sectional comparative study. Nurse Education in Practice* Volume 57, November 2020, 103230 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103230>

LAMPIRAN



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS SULAWESI BARAT
FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN
KOMISI ETIK PENELITIAN KESEHATAN
Alamat : Kampus Padhang-padhang Kab. Majene, Sulawesi Barat.
Email: kometikpenelitian.fikes@gmail.com

REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR APPROVAL OF ETHICS
Nomor : 09/UN55.4/ KOM.ETIK/2022

Dalam upaya melindungi subjek penelitian kesehatan, Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Sulawesi Barat telah mengkaji dengan teliti dan seksama protokol penelitian sebagai berikut :

Judul Penelitian : **Metode Pembelajaran Klinik Terhadap Pencapaian Kompetensi Mahasiswa Keperawatan: *Scoping Review***


Tim Peneliti : Suryadi, S.Kep., Ners

Nama Institusi : Program Studi Magister Ilmu Keperawatan Fakultas Keperawatan Universitas Hasanuddin

Dengan ini menyatakan bahwa menyetujui protokol tersebut diatas.



Majene : 06 Juni 2022
Ketua Komisi Etik Penelitian


Muhammad Irwan, S.Kep.,Ns,M.Kes
Nip. 19780926 200502 1 010

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	



SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

* Where sources of evidence (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

† A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote).

‡ The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (8) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

§ The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

From: Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169:467–473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850

