

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- AICPA. 2002. AU Section 316 Consideration of Fraud in a Financial, (99, 113): 167–218.
- Afiah, E. T., & Aulia, V. 2020. Financial stability, financial targets, effective monitoring dan rationalization dan kecurangan laporan keuangan. *Jurnal Revenue: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Akuntansi*, 1(1): 90-100.
- Alijoyo, A. & Zaini, S. 2004. *Komisaris Independen: Penggerak Praktik GCG di Perusahaan*. Jakarta: PT. Indeks.
- Antawirya, R. D. E. P., et al. 2019. Application of Fraud Pentagon in Detecting Financial Statement Fraud. *International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences*, 6(5): 73–80.
- Anthony, R. N. & Govindarajan, V. 2009. *Sistem Pengendalian Manajemen*. Jilid 1. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Apriliana, S., & Agustina, L. 2017. The Analysis of Fraudulent Financial Reporting Determinant through Fraud Pentagon Approach. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi*, 9(2): 154–165.
- Asmara, C. G. 2018. *Kasus SNP Finance, Kemenkeu: Sanksi untuk KAP Sudah Diteken!*, (Online): (<https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20180821100751-17-29513/kasus-snp-finance-kemenkeu-sanksi-untuk-kap-sudah-diteken>, diakses pada 3 September 2021).
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. 2016. Report to the Nations on Occupational Fraud and Abuse. Austin: ACFE.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. 2020. Report to the Nations 2020 Global Study on Occupational Fraud and Abuse. Asia Pacific Edition. Austin: ACFE.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners Indonesia Chapter. 2019. Survei Fraud Indonesia 2019. Jakarta: ACFE Indonesia Chapter.
- Beneish, M. D. (2001). Earnings management: A perspective. *Managerial finance*.
- Cressey, D. R. 1953. *Other People's Money: A Study in the Social Psychology of Embezzlemente*. Montclair: Patterson Smith.
- Chen, J., Dong, W., Li, S., & Zhang, Y. 2018. Perceived audit quality, state ownership, and stock price delay: evidence from China. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics*, 25(1-2): 253-275.
- Crowe, Horwarth. 2011. Why the fraud triangle is no longer enough. *Horwath, Crowe LLP*.

- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. 1996. Causes and consequences of earnings manipulation: An analysis of firms subject to enforcement actions by the SEC. *Contemporary accounting research*, 13(1): 1-36.
- Damayani, F., Wahyudi, T., & Yuniartie, E. 2017. Pengaruh Fraud Pentagon Terhadap Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan Pada Perusahaan Infrastruktur Yang terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia tahun 2014–2016. *AKUNTABILITAS: Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengembangan Akuntansi*, 11(2): 151–170.
- Duru, A., Iyengar, R. J., & Zampelli, E. M. 2016. The dynamic relationship between CEO duality and firm performance: The moderating role of board independence. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10): 4269-4277.
- Faradiza, S. A. 2018. Fraud Pentagon Dan Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan. *EkBis: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 2(1): 1–22.
- Festinger, I. 1957. *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Firdaus, E. F., & Suryandari, E. 2008. Pengaruh Faktor Kultur Organisasi, Manajemen, Strategi, Keuangan, Auditor dan Pemerintahan terhadap Kecenderungan Kecurangan Akuntansi. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Investasi*, 9(2): 173–188.
- Gaio, C., & Pinto, I. 2018. The role of state ownership on earnings quality: evidence across public and private European firms. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*. 9(2): 312-332.
- Ghozali, Imam. 2013. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program SPSS Edisi 7*. Semarang: BP Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, Imam. 2016. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program (IBM SPSS) Edisi 8*. Semarang: BP Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hasyim, L. W. 2019. *Analisis Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan pada perusahaan Sektor Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia*. Tesis. Medan: Program Magister Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Sumatera Utara.
- Hope, O. K. 2013. Large shareholders and accounting research. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 6(1): 3-20.
- Jannah, N. A. 2017. Pengaruh Gender dan Latar Belakang Pendidikan CEO terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan Go Publik yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi AKUNESA*, 6(1): 1–20.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. 1976. Theory of the Firm : Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*.
- Jogiyanto. 2004. *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis: Salah Kaprah dan Pengalaman-Pengalaman*. BPF:Yogyakarta.

- Kieso, D. E., Weygandt, J. J., & Warfield, T.D. 2018. *Intermediate Accounting: IFRS Edition*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kusumosari, Larassanti. 2020. *Analisis Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan melalui Fraud Hexagon pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2014-2018*. Skripsi. Semarang: Program Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Lestari, M. I. & Henny, D. 2019. Pengaruh Fraud Pentagon Terhadap Fraudulent Financial Statements Pada Perusahaan Perbankan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2015-2017. *Jurnal Akuntansi Trisakti*, 6(1): 141–156.
- Li, W., & Zhang, R. 2010. Corporate social responsibility, ownership structure, and political interference: Evidence from China. *Journal of business ethics*, 96(4): 631-645.
- Mahawyaharti, P. T. & Budiasih, I. G. A. N. 2016. Asimetri Informasi, Leverage, dan Ukuran Perusahaan pada Manajemen Laba. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Bisnis*, 11(2): 100-110.
- Mukaromah, I., & Budiwitjaksono, G. S. 2021. Fraud Hexagon Theory dalam Mendeteksi Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan pada Perbankan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2015-2019. *Jurnal Ilmiah Komputersasi Akuntansi*. 14(1): 61-72.
- Nabila, Afifah. 2020. *Analisis Pengaruh Fraud Pentagon terhadap Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan (Studi Empiris pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Periode 2016-2018)*. Skripsi. Yogyakarta: Program Sarjana Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika Universitas Islam Indonesia.
- Puspitaningrum, M. T., Taufiq, E., & Wijaya, S. Y. 2019. Pengaruh fraud triangle sebagai prediktor kecurangan pelaporan keuangan. *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, 21(1): 77-88.
- Putra, A. L. 2021. *Deteksi Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan dengan Analisis Fraud Pentagon pada Perusahaan Milik Negara (BUMN)*. Skripsi. Surabaya: Program Pendidikan Sarjana Jurusan Akuntansi Universitas Hayam Wuruk Perbanas.
- Rachman, F. F. 2018. *Bank Bukopin Permak Laporan Keuangan, Ini Kata BI dan OJK*, (Online): (<https://finance.detik.com/moneter/d-3994551/bank-bukopin-permak-laporan-keuangan-ini-kata-bi-dan-ojk>, diakses pada 3 September 2021)
- Rachmawati, K. K., & Marsono, M. 2014. Pengaruh faktor-faktor dalam perspektif fraud triangle terhadap fraudulent financial reporting (Studi kasus pada perusahaan berdasarkan sanksi dari Bapepam periode 2008-2012). *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 3(2): 693-706.
- Ratnasari, E., & Solikhah, B. 2019. Analisis Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan: Pendekatan Fraud Pentagon Theory. *Gorontalo Accounting Journal*, 2(2): 98–112.

- Rezaee, Zabihollah. 2002. *Financial statement fraud: prevention and detection*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Romney, M. B., & Steinbart, P. J. 2014. *Sistem Informasi Akuntansi: Accounting Information Systems (Edisi 13)*. Prentice Hall.
- Sabrina, O. Z., et al. 2020. Pengaruh koneksitas organ corporate governance, ineffective monitoring dan manajemen laba terhadap fraudulent financial reporting. *Jurnal Akuntansi, Keuangan, dan Manajemen*, 1(2): 109-122.
- Safitri, Kiki. 2020. *DPR Panggil Dirut BTN Terkait Dugaan Manipulasi Laporan Keuangan*, (Online): (<https://money.kompas.com/read/2020/02/03/151601726/dpr-panggil-dirut-btn-terkait-dugaan-manipulasi-laporan-keuangan>, diakses 3 September 2021).
- Sagala, S. G., & Siagian, V. 2021. Pengaruh Fraud Hexagon Model Terhadap Fraudulent Laporan Keuangan pada Perusahaan Sub Sektor Makanan dan Minuman yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2016-2019. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 13(2): 245-259.
- Sanjaya, I., Suyanto, S., & Sari, G. P. 2021. PENGARUH KEPEMILIKAN SAHAM EKSEKUTIF, CEO EDUCATION DAN PERGANTIAN AUDITOR TERHADAP KECURANGAN LAPORAN KEUANGAN PADA PERUSAHAAN BUMN (STUDI EMPIRIS PADA PERUSAHAAN BUMN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BEI). *Jurnal Akuntansi AKTIVA*, 2(1): 87-94.
- Sasongko, N., Nurmulina, A., & Fernandez, D. 2019. Analysis of Fraud Factors in Financial Statement Fraud. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 5(4): 918-923.
- Septriani, Y., & Handayani, D. 2018. Mendeteksi kecurangan laporan keuangan dengan analisis fraud pentagon. *Jurnal Akuntansi Keuangan Dan Bisnis*, 11(1): 11-23.
- Setiawan, R., & Gestanti, L. 2018. CEO Education, Karakteristik Perusahaan dan Kinerja Perusahaan. *MAGISTRA: Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 2(2): 101-109.
- Shawtari, F. A., Mohamad, M. H. S., Rashid, H. M. A., & Moh'd Ayedh, A. 2017. Board characteristics and real performance in Malaysian state-owned enterprises (SOEs). *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 66(8): 1064-1086.
- Sihombing, K. S., & Rahardjo, S. N. 2014. Analisis Fraud Diamond Dalam Mendeteksi Financial Statement Fraud: Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) Tahun 2010-2012. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 3(2).
- Skousen, C. J., Smith, K. R., & Wright, C. J. 2009. *Detecting and predicting financial statement fraud: The effectiveness of the fraud triangle and SAS No. 99*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

- Sulastri, Nining. 2019. Analisis Fraud Triangle dan Ukuran Perusahaan dalam Mendeteksi Potensi Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan (Studi pada Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2014-2017). Skripsi. Yogyakarta: Program Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Islam Indonesia.
- Syahria, R., Kusumawati, F., & Ervanto, A. D. 2019. Detecting Financial Statement Fraud using Fraud Diamond (A Study on Banking Companies Listed On the Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2012-2016). *Asia Pacific Fraud Journal*, 4(2): 183-190.
- Vousinas, G. L. 2019. Advancing Theory of Fraud: The S.C.O.R.E Model. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 26(1): 372-381.
- Wolfe, D. T., & Hermanson, D. R. 2004. The Fraud Diamond: Considering the Four Elements of Fraud: Certified Public Accountant. *The CPA Journal*, 74(12): 38-42.
- Ying, C. H., & Yeung, C. M. 2014. CEO Education and Firm Performance: Evidence From Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Baptist University*, 1.
- Yang, D., Jiao, H., & Buckland, R. 2017. The determinants of financial fraud in Chinese firms: Does corporate governance as an institutional innovation matter? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 125: 309-320.

LAMPIRAN**Lampiran 1****Daftar Perusahaan Sampel Penelitian**

No	Kode	Nama Perusahaan
1	AGRO	Bank Rakyat Indonesia Agroniaga Tbk
2	BBCA	Bank Central Asia Tbk
3	BBMD	Bank Mestika Dharma Tbk
4	BBRI	Bank Rakyat Indonesia (Persero) Tbk
5	BBTN	Bank Tabungan Negara (Persero) Tbk
6	BDMN	Bank Danamon Indonesia Tbk
7	BNGA	Bank Ganesha Tbk
8	BINA	Bank Ina Perdana Tbk
9	BJBR	Bank Pembangunan Daerah Jawa Barat Tbk
10	BJTM	Bank Pembangunan Daerah Jawa Timur Tbk
11	BMAS	Bank Maspion Indonesia Tbk
12	BMRI	Bank Mandiri (Persero) Tbk
13	BNBA	Bank Bumi Arta Tbk
14	BNGA	Bank CIMB Niaga Tbk
15	BNII	Bank Maybank Indonesia Tbk
16	BRIS	Bank BRIsyariah Tbk
17	BSIM	Bank Sinarmas Tbk
18	BTPN	Bank Tabungan Pensiunan Nasional Tbk
19	MAYA	Bank Mayapada Internasional Tbk
20	MEGA	Bank Mega Tbk
21	NISP	Bank OCBC NISP Tbk
22	NOBU	Bank Nationalnobu Tbk
23	PNBN	Bank Pan Indonesia Tbk

Lampiran 2
Tabulasi Data Penelitian

No	Kode Emiten	Tahun	DAit (Y)	ROA (X1)	PCEO (X2)	SOE (X3)	BDOUT (X4)	RAS (X5)	CEOD (X6)
1	AGRO	2019	0.14713	0.0019	1	0	0.6667	0.09878	1
2	AGRO	2020	-0.0018	0.0011	1	0	0.5	-0.0261	0
3	BBCA	2016	0.04679	0.0305	0	0	0.6	-0.0338	0
4	BBCA	2017	0.08256	0.0311	0	0	0.6	0.01821	0
5	BBCA	2018	0.09847	0.0313	0	0	0.6	0.02539	0
6	BBCA	2019	0.06243	0.0311	0	0	0.6	-0.0254	0
7	BBCA	2020	-0.0305	0.0252	0	0	0.6	-0.0222	0
8	BBMD	2017	0.04648	0.0224	1	0	0.5	-0.0153	0
9	BBMD	2018	0.04122	0.022	1	0	0.5	0.03865	0
10	BBMD	2019	0.04536	0.0192	1	0	0.5	-0.0051	1
11	BBMD	2020	-0.0487	0.023	1	0	0.5	-0.1108	0
12	BBRI	2016	0.09587	0.0262	1	1	0.5556	0.00409	0
13	BBRI	2017	0.06976	0.0258	1	1	0.5556	-0.0089	0
14	BBRI	2018	0.10612	0.025	1	1	0.625	-0.0192	0
15	BBRI	2019	0.05309	0.0243	1	1	0.625	-0.0072	0
16	BBRI	2020	-0.0004	0.0123	1	1	0.5	-0.0318	0
17	BBTN	2016	0.15513	0.0122	1	1	0.5714	-0.0335	1
18	BBTN	2017	0.16793	0.0116	1	1	0.5556	0.01044	1
19	BBTN	2018	0.15461	0.0092	1	1	0.625	0.01703	1
20	BBTN	2019	0.05055	0.0007	1	1	0.625	0.04823	0
21	BBTN	2020	0.00139	0.0044	0	1	0.5	-0.069	1
22	BDMN	2016	-0.0392	0.016	0	0	0.5714	0.02727	1
23	BDMN	2017	0.01601	0.0215	0	0	0.625	0.00045	1
24	BDMN	2018	0.05463	0.022	0	0	0.5556	-0.0018	1
25	BDMN	2019	0.03674	0.0219	0	0	0.5	0.06844	1
26	BDMN	2020	-0.0535	0.0054	0	0	0.5	-0.0807	1
27	BGTG	2017	0.1156	0.0112	1	0	0.5	-0.0088	0
28	BGTG	2018	-0.0163	0.0012	1	0	0.5	0.00877	1
29	BGTG	2019	0.0274	0.0025	1	0	0.5	-0.0659	1
30	BINA	2016	-0.0483	0.0077	1	0	0.6667	-0.1012	0
31	BINA	2017	0.03273	0.0059	1	0	0.6667	0.07106	0
32	BINA	2018	0.0994	0.003	0	0	0.6667	-0.1283	0
33	BJBR	2016	0.09683	0.0113	1	1	0.8	-0.0483	1
34	BJBR	2017	0.07615	0.0105	1	1	0.8	-0.0119	1
35	BJBR	2018	0.04532	0.0129	1	1	0.5	0.06343	1
36	BJBR	2019	0.06338	0.0127	1	1	0.6	0.06602	0
37	BJBR	2020	0.05598	0.012	1	1	0.6	0.02189	0
38	BJTM	2016	0.02151	0.0239	1	1	0.8	0.0502	1
39	BJTM	2017	0.04803	0.0225	1	1	0.8	-0.1068	1
40	BJTM	2018	0.04805	0.0201	1	1	0.5	-0.1303	1
41	BJTM	2019	0.07797	0.0179	1	1	0.5	-0.0125	1

42	BJTM	2020	0.03769	0.0178	1	1	0.5	0.02056	1
43	BMAS	2016	0.02767	0.0124	1	0	1	0.0468	0
44	BMAS	2017	0.06303	0.0115	1	0	0.6667	0.01652	0
45	BMAS	2018	0.07687	0.0106	1	0	0.5	-0.0436	0
46	BMAS	2019	0.0764	0.0079	1	0	0.5	-0.0109	0
47	BMRI	2016	0.06364	0.0141	1	1	0.5	-0.0259	0
48	BNBA	2016	0.02623	0.0111	1	0	0.6667	-0.0466	0
49	BNBA	2017	0.00339	0.0128	1	0	0.6667	0.02943	0
50	BNBA	2018	0.03542	0.0127	1	0	0.6667	0.01399	0
51	BNBA	2019	0.05642	0.0067	1	0	0.6667	0.01609	0
52	BNBA	2020	-0.0812	0.0046	1	0	0.6667	-0.0795	0
53	BNGA	2016	0.00805	0.0086	0	0	0.5	-0.005	1
54	BNGA	2017	0.02423	0.0113	0	0	0.5	-0.0643	1
55	BNGA	2018	0.01793	0.0131	0	0	0.5714	0.01586	1
56	BNGA	2019	0.02249	0.0133	0	0	0.5	0.00573	1
57	BNII	2016	0.02208	0.0118	0	0	0.5	0.04018	1
58	BNII	2017	0.04706	0.0107	0	0	0.5	0.03381	1
59	BNII	2018	0.04646	0.0127	0	0	0.5	0.05407	1
60	BNII	2019	-0.0645	0.0114	0	0	0.5	-0.0247	1
61	BRIS	2016	0.03071	0.0061	1	0	0.4	-0.0174	0
62	BRIS	2017	-0.0017	0.0032	1	0	0.75	-0.1234	0
63	BRIS	2018	0.02963	0.0028	1	0	0.75	-0.0142	0
64	BRIS	2019	0.05056	0.0017	0	0	0.5	0.00636	0
65	BSIM	2016	0.06714	0.0119	0	0	0.6667	-0.0122	0
66	BSIM	2017	-0.0248	0.0105	1	0	0.6667	0.03706	0
67	BSIM	2018	0.03171	0.0016	1	0	0.6667	0.03255	0
68	BSIM	2019	0.07016	0.0002	1	0	0.6667	0.03334	0
69	BSIM	2020	-0.0683	0.0027	1	0	0.6667	-0.0448	0
70	BTPN	2016	0.05797	0.0205	0	0	0.6	-0.0088	1
71	BTPN	2017	0.02333	0.015	0	0	0.6	0.00311	1
72	BTPN	2018	0.02507	0.021	0	0	0.6	-0.0243	1
73	BTPN	2020	-0.0436	0.0111	0	0	0.6	-0.0919	0
74	MAYA	2017	0.14763	0.009	1	0	0.5	-0.0224	0
75	MAYA	2018	0.11412	0.005	1	0	0.5	0.02083	0
76	MAYA	2019	0.06525	0.0057	1	0	0.5714	0.04098	0
77	MEGA	2016	-0.0603	0.0164	1	0	0.5	-0.0233	0
78	MEGA	2017	0.10234	0.0158	1	0	0.4	-0.0493	0
79	MEGA	2018	0.09005	0.0191	1	0	0.6	0.0573	0
80	MEGA	2019	0.13386	0.0199	1	0	0.6	-0.0163	0
81	MEGA	2020	-0.0484	0.0268	1	0	0.6	0.03167	0
82	NISP	2016	0.05334	0.013	1	0	0.5	-0.0658	1
83	NISP	2017	0.08947	0.0141	1	0	0.625	0.01673	1
84	NISP	2018	0.07609	0.0152	1	0	0.625	-0.0327	1
85	NISP	2019	0.00564	0.0163	1	0	0.6667	-0.0093	1
86	NISP	2020	-0.0269	0.0102	1	0	0.625	-0.0154	1
87	NOBU	2016	0.07882	0.0034	0	0	0.6667	-0.1071	0
88	PNBN	2016	0.04123	0.0126	1	0	0.5	0.01271	0

89	PNBN	2017	0.03454	0.0094	1	0	0.5	0.04576	0
90	PNBN	2018	0.04752	0.0154	1	0	0.5	0.06917	0
91	PNBN	2019	0.0282	0.0166	1	0	0.6	-0.0052	0

Lampiran 3

Hasil Analisis Regresi Linier Berganda menggunakan SPSS 28.0.1.1

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
ROA	91	.0002	.0313	.013405	.0078678
PCEO	91	0	1	.70	.459
SOE	91	0	1	.23	.424
BDOUT	91	.40	1.00	.5874	.09837
TATA	91	-.1303	.0988	-.008244	.0487248
CEOD	91	0	1	.41	.494
DAit	91	-.0812	.1679	.042108	.0530190

Correlations

		DAit	ROA	PCEO	SOE	BDOUT	RAS	CEOD
Pearson Correlation	DAit	1.000	.084	.173	.299	-.002	.215	.008
	ROA	.084	1.000	-.181	.153	-.043	.066	.023
	PCEO	.173	-.181	1.000	.299	.152	.088	-.295
	SOE	.299	.153	.299	1.000	.089	-.016	.184
	BDOUT	-.002	-.043	.152	.089	1.000	.000	-.094
	RAS	.215	.066	.088	-.016	.000	1.000	.011
	CEOD	.008	.023	-.295	.184	-.094	.011	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	DAit	.	.215	.051	.002	.491	.020	.470
	ROA	.215	.	.043	.074	.343	.267	.414
	PCEO	.051	.043	.	.002	.075	.202	.002
	SOE	.002	.074	.002	.	.200	.439	.041
	BDOUT	.491	.343	.075	.200	.	.499	.187
	RAS	.020	.267	.202	.439	.499	.	.459
	CEOD	.470	.414	.002	.041	.187	.459	.
N	DAit	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
	ROA	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
	PCEO	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
	SOE	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
	BDOUT	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
	RAS	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
	CEOD	91	91	91	91	91	91	91

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	CEOD, RAS, ROA, BDOUT, SOE, PCEO ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: DAit

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.382 ^a	.146	.085	.0507157	2.040

a. Predictors: (Constant), CEOD, RAS, ROA, BDOUT, SOE, PCEO

b. Dependent Variable: DAit

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
		B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	.040	.036		1.107	.271		
	ROA	.261	.717	.039	.364	.717	.899	1.112
	PCEO	.009	.014	.074	.618	.538	.712	1.404
	SOE	.035	.014	.283	2.470	.016	.772	1.295
	BDOUT	-.022	.055	-.040	-.391	.697	.969	1.032
	RAS	.230	.111	.211	2.069	.042	.977	1.024
	CEOD	-.003	.012	-.029	-.262	.794	.815	1.227

a. Dependent Variable: Dait

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.037	6	.006	2.393	.035 ^b
	Residual	.216	84	.003		
	Total	.253	90			

a. Dependent Variable: Dait

b. Predictors: (Constant), CEOD, TATA, ROA, BDOUT, SOE, PCEO

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual	
N		91	
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000	
	Std. Deviation	.04899598	
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.060	
	Positive	.058	
	Negative	-.060	
Test Statistic		.060	
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) ^c		.200 ^d	
Monte Carlo Sig. (2-tailed) ^e	Sig.	.582	
	99% Confidence Interval	Lower Bound	.570
		Upper Bound	.595

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

d. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

e. Lilliefors' method based on 10000 Monte Carlo samples with starting seed 484067124.

BIODATA

Identitas Diri

Nama : Risha Aprilia
Tempat, Tanggal Lahir : Kendari, 5 April 2001
Jenis Kelamin : Perempuan
Alamat Rumah : Jl. Sahabat V
Telpon Rumah dan HP : 082292090991
Alamat Email : rishaapr.s@gmail.com

Riwayat Pendidikan

Pendidikan Formal

- Tahun 2006-2012 : SDN 01 Kendari Barat
- Tahun 2012-2015 : SMP Negeri 2 Kendari
- Tahun 2015-2018 : SMA Negeri 1 Kendari
- Tahun 2018-2022 : Universitas Hasanuddin (Akuntansi)

Pendidikan Nonformal

- Pelatihan Basic Learning Skills, Character and Creativity (BALANCE), Universitas Hasanuddin (2018)
- Latihan Kepemimpinan Tingkat Pertama (LK 1), IMA FEB UH (2019)

Pengalaman

Organisasi

- Keakuntansian Ikatan Mahasiswa Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Hasanuddin (IMA FEB UH) Periode 2021

Demikian biodata ini dibuat dengan sebenarnya

Makassar, 21 Mei 2022

Risha Aprilia