

Daftar Pustaka

- Ayu, D.R, Maarif, S., & Sukmawati, A. (2018). Pengaruh job demands, job resources dan personal resources terhadap work engagement. *Jurnal Aplikasi Bisnis dan Manajemen* 1(1), 12-22.
- Azwar, S. (2004). *Penyusunan Skala Psikologi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar
- Azwar, S. (2015). *Reliabilitas dan Validitas (Edisi IV)*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar
- Azwar, S. (2017). Metode Penelitian Psikologi (Edisi II). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Bakker, A. B. (2009). Building engagement in the workplace. Dalam R. J. Burke, & C. L. Cooper (Eds.), *The peak performing organization* (hal. 50-72). Oxon: Routledge.
- Bakker, A. B & Demerouti, A. (2008). Towards a model of *Employee engagement*, *Career Development International*, Vol. 13 No. 3 .109-223
- Bakker, A. B., Albrecht, S. L., & Leiter, M. P. (2011). Key Question Regarding *Employee engagement*. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 20, 4-28
- Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Verbeke, W. (2004). Using the job demands-resources model to predict burnout and performance. *Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 43(1), 83-104.
- Boztosun, Derviş & Aksoylu, Semra & Ulucak, Zübeyde. (2016). The Role of Human Capital in Economic Growth. *Economics World* 4.
- Carson, K. D. , Carson, P. P. , Lanford, H. , & Roe, C. W. 1997. The effects of organization-based self-esteem on workplace outcomes: An examination of emergency medical technicians. *Public Personnel Management* 26(1): 139–155
- Check, J. W., & Schutt, R. K. (2011). *Research Methods in Education*. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications
- Creswell, J. W. (2011). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (4th ed.)*. New York: Addison Wesley
- Dagher, G. K., Chapa, O., & Junaid, N. (2015). The historical evolution of *Employee engagement* and self-efficacy constructs: An empirical examination in a non-western country. *Journal of Management History*, 21(2), 232-256

- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied psychology*, 86(3), 499.
- Demerouti, A., & Cropanzano, R. (2010). From thought to action: Employee *Employee engagement* and job performance. Dalam A. B. Bakker, & M. P. Leiter (Eds.), *Employee engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research* (hal. 147-163). Hove: Psychology Press.
- Dwitasari, I. A., Ilhamuddin, Widyasari, S. D. (2015). pengaruh perceived organizational support dan organizational-based self esteem terhadap *Employee engagement*. *Jurnal Mediapsi*, Vol. 1 No. 1, 40-50
- Gallup Organization. 2006. Engaged Employees Inspire Company Innovation: National Survey Finds that Passionate Workers are More Likely to Drive Organisations Forward. *The Gallup Management journal*.
- Gallup Consulting. (2010). *Employee engagement, what's your engagement ratio by Gallup Consulting*. Diunduh Juni 2021, dari www.gallup.com
- Gallup. (2013). *State of the global workplace: Employee engagement insight for business leaders worldwide*. New Jersey: Gallup, Inc.
- Gardner, D. G. , & Pierce, J. L. 1998. Self-esteem and self-efficacy within the organizational context. *Group and Organization Management*, 23(1): 48–70
- Gliem, J. A., & Gliem, R. R. (2003). *Calculating, interpreting, and reporting Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for Likert-type scales*. Midwest Research-to-Practice Conference in Adult, Continuing, and Community Education.
- Hakanen, J. J., & Roodt, G. (2010). Using the job demands-resources model to predict engagement: Analysing a conceptual model. *Employee engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research*, 85-101.
- Howitt, D., & Cramer, D. (2011). *Introduction to SPSS statistics in psychology: for version 19 and earlier*. Pearson.
- Indrayanto, A., & Nugroho, S. W. D. (2013). Pengaruh Pelatihan terhadap Komitmen Organisasional dengan *Organizational Based Self-Esteem* sebagai Variabel Pemediasi. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, Vol. 18 No. 2
- Indrayanto, A.(2020). Pengaruh *Organizational Based Self-Esteem* terhadap Komitmen organisasi Pada PNS di Kota Jakarta. *Modernisasi*, Vol. 18 No. 1.
- Jannah, M. (2021). Pengaruh perubahan organisasi terhadap *employee engagement*. *Jurnal manajemen*, 1-13.

- Kahn, W. (1990). Psychological Condition of Personal Engagement and Disengagement at Work. *Journal of Academy Management*, 33, 692-724.
- Kreitner, Robert & Kinicki, Angelo. (2003). *Perilaku Organisasi*. Terjemahan Suandi, Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Keating, L. A., & Heslin, P. A. (2015). The potential role of mindsets in unleashing Employee engagement. *Human Resource Management Review*, 25(4), 329-341
- Leiter, M. P., & Bakker, A. B. (2010). *Employee engagement*: introduction. *Employee engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research*, 1-9.
- Mujiasih, E., & Zenita Ratnaningsih, I. (2012). Meningkatkan Employee engagement melalui gaya kepemimpinan transformasional dan budaya organisasi. In *SEMINAR NASIONAL DAN CALL FOR PAPERS*. FAKULTAS EKONOMI UNISBANK
- Norman, Steven & Gardner, Donald & Pierce, Jon. (2015). "Leader Roles, Organization-Based Self-Esteem, and Employee Outcomes". *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*. 36. 253-270. 10.1108/LODJ-06-2013-0072
- Pierce, J. L., Gardner, D. G., Cummings, L. L., & Dunham, R. B. (1989). Organization-Based Self-Esteem: Construct Definition, Measurement, and Validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol 32 No. 3, 622-648
- Pierce, J. L. , Gardner, D. G. , Dunham, R. B. , & Cummings, L. L. (1993). The moderating effects of organization-based self-esteem on role condition-employee response relationships. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36: 271–288.
- Pierce JL, Gardner DG.(2004). Self-Esteem Within the Work and Organizational Context: A Review of the Organization-Based Self-Esteem Literature. *Journal of Management* 30(5):591-622
- Richard Kipter Rotich. (2016). The Impact Organizational Based Self Esteem on Employee engagement. *European Journal of Business and Management* Vol.8 No.1, 114-124.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of managerial psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
- Sam, M. Riefqy Faraelly. (2020). *Kontribusi Organizational Based Self Esteem terhadap Komitmen Organisasi Pada Pegawai Negeri Sipil di Kota Makassar*. (Skripsi, Universitas Hasanuddin).

- Schaufeli, W. B. (2002). Burnout and Engagement in University Student. *Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology*, 33(55), 464-581.
- Schaufeli, W. B. (2012). *Employee engagement*: What do we know and where do we go? *Romanian Journal of Applied Psychology*, 14(1), 3-10.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). *UWES – Utrecht Employee engagement Scale: test manual*. Department of Psychology, Utrecht University, Utrecht. Retrieved: <http://www.wilmarschaufeli.nl>.
- Schaufeli, W. B., & Salanova, M. (2007). *Employee engagement*: an emerging psychology concept and its implications for organizations. *Managing Social and Ethical Issues in Organizations*, 5, 135-177.
- Sundaray, B. K. (2011). *Employee engagement*: A driver of organizational effectiveness. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 3 (8), 55-59.
- Suleman, C. F. (2020). *Hubungan job satisfaction dan wook engagement pada karyawan PT. X di Kota Makassar*. (Skripsi, Universitas Hasanuddin)
- Tang, T. L. , & Gilbert, P. R. 1994. Organization-based self-esteem among mental health workers: A replication and extension. *Public Personnel Management*, 23(1): 127–134
- Ulrich, D. (Ed.). (1998). *Delivering results: A new mandate for human resource professionals*. Harvard Business Press.
- Utami, Risdayanti. (2021). *Kontribusi Work Family Balance terhadap Work Engagement Pada Dosen Universitas Hasanuddin*. (Skripsi, Universitas Hasanuddin)
- Widhiarso, W. (2012). *Tanya Jawab tentang Uji Normalitas*. Naskah tidak dipublikasikan, Yogyakarta: Fakultas Psikologi UGM.
- Wills Tower Watson. (2018). *Survey best practice: Engaged employees aren't enough*. <https://www.willstowerswatson.com>
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2007). The role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International journal of stress management*, 14(2), 121

LAMPIRAN

LAMPIRAN 1 : SURAT IZIN PENELITIAN



Nomor : 19/KT.101/1/RECG-2021
Klasifikasi : Blasa
Lampiran : ---
Perihal : Persetujuan Izin Penelitian

Makassar, 29 NOV 2021

Kepada

Yth. Ketua Program Studi Psikologi
Universitas Hasanuddin
Di

Tempat

- Menunjuk Surat Ketua Program Studi Psikologi Universitas Hasanuddin, Nomor 24339/UN4.6.8/PT.01.04/2021, perihal Permohonan Izin Pengambilan Data, dengan ini disampaikan bahwa pada prinsipnya menyetujui pelaksanaan Permohonan Izin Penelitian dibawah ini :

Nama : Nurul Utami
No Pokok : C021171309
Program Studi : Psikologi
Judul : Pengaruh *Organizational Based Self Esteem Terhadap Employed Engagement* di PT Pelindo

- Sehubungan butir 1 di atas dan untuk efektivitas dalam pelaksanaannya, maka kegiatan tersebut dapat dilaksanakan dengan ketentuan sebagai berikut:
 - Menyampaikan proposal penelitian;
 - Membuat rencana jadwal pengambilan data;
 - Observasi pengambilan data dimaksud dilaksanakan mulai bulan Desember 2021 dan mengingat situasi dan kondisi saat ini sebaiknya dilakukan secara online, tetapi apabila memerlukan tatap muka langsung kiranya memperhatikan prosedur/protocol pencegahan Covid-19;
 - Agar menyerahkan 1 (satu) soft copy penelitian ke Manajemen Region Head 4 PT Pelabuhan Indonesia (Persero);
 - Untuk lebih lanjut dapat menghubungi narahubung Sdr. Jafar Sangaji, No. Hp 082112800221.
- Demikian disampaikan, atas perhatiannya kami mengucapkan terima kasih.

An. REGION HEAD 4
PT PELABUHAN INDONESIA (PERSERO)
DIVISION HEAD PELAYANAN SDM DAN UMUM

BASRI ALAM



SURAT TELAH MELAKUKAN PENELITIAN



Makassar, 31 Januari 2022

Nomor : KP.20.04/31/1/3/PLAS/PSDU/REG4-22
Lampiran : -
Perihal : Surat Keterangan

Kepada Yth. Ketua Program Studi Psikologi Universitas Hasanuddin

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : BASRI ALAM
Jabatan : DIVISION HEAD PELAYANAN SDM DAN UMUM

Dengan ini menerangkan bahwa :

Nama : NURUL UTAMI
NIM : C021181309
Program Studi : Psikologi
Universitas : Universitas Hasanuddin

Telah melaksanakan Penelitian di wilayah kerja PT Pelabuhan Indonesia (Persero) Regional 4, (Kantor Regional 4 dan Makassar New Port), tanggal 12 s/d tanggal 28 Januari 2022.

Demikian surat keterangan ini di buat untuk dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

PT PELABUHAN INDONESIA (PERSERO)
REGIONAL 4
DIVISION HEAD LAYANAN SDM DAN UMUM



BASRI ALAM
NIP. 101891



LAMPIRAN 2 : SKALA PENELITIAN

 <p>PRODI PSIKOLOGI UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN</p>	INFORMED CONSENT
<p>Selamat siang Bapak/Ibu,</p> <p>Perkenalkan saya Nurul Utami, mahasiswa Prodi Psikologi Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar. Saat ini saya sedang melakukan penelitian mengenai pekerjaan dan organisasi untuk keperluan tugas akhir skripsi. Untuk itu saya memohon kesediaan Bapak/Ibu untuk menjadi partisipan penelitian dengan mengisi kuisioner berikut.</p> <p>Kuisisioner terdiri dari 2 (dua) bagian. Masing-masing bagian terdiri dari pernyataan dengan alternatif respon yang menggambarkan diri anda. Dalam kuisioner ini tidak ada jawaban benar maupun salah, melainkan jawaban yang paling sesuai dengan keadaan yang Bapak/Ibu alami ketika bekerja.</p> <p>Sebelum mengerjakan kuisioner ini mohon baca dengan teliti petunjuk penelitian yang ada pada setiap bagian. Setelah selesai, Bapak/Ibu diharapkan untuk memeriksa kembali respon yang dituliskan untuk memastikan tidak ada yang terlewat.</p> <p>Seluruh respon yang Bapak/Ibu berikan pada kuisioner ini akan dijaga kerahasiaannya dan hanya akan digunakan untuk keperluan penelitian. Jika ada pertanyaan terkait kuisioner ini, Bapak/Ibu dapat menghubungi saya di nomor 081248600659 (Nurul Utami).</p> <p>Atas waktu dan kesediaan yang Bapak/Ibu berikan, saya mengucapkan banyak terima kasih.</p>	

*Berikan tanda silang (X) pada alternatif respon yang paling sesuai		
DATA RESPONDEN		
NAMA : _____		
JENIS KELAMIN	USIA : _____	
<input type="radio"/> Laki - laki	<input type="radio"/> Perempuan	
MASA KERJA		
<input type="radio"/> < 5 tahun	<input type="radio"/> 5 - 10 tahun	<input type="radio"/> > 10 tahun
JABATAN : _____		
SELAMAT MENGERJAKAN		

KUISIONER ORGANIZATIONAL BASED SELF ESTEEM



PRODI PSIKOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN

BAGIAN 1

Berikut terdapat 10 pernyataan mengenai perasaan anda ketika bekerja.
Beri tanda (V) pada jawaban yang paling menggambarkan diri anda dari rentang Sangat Setuju (SS),
Setuju (S), Netral (N), Tidak Setuju (TS), Sangat Tidak Setuju (STS).

NO	PERNYATAAN	JAWABAN				
		SS	S	N	TS	STS
1	Saya selalu dianggap serius					
2	Saya adalah orang yang dapat dipercaya					
3	Saya dianggap penting di Organisasi					
4	Saya dapat membawa perubahan					
5	Saya merasa berharga					
6	Saya suka menolong					
7	Saya adalah orang yang diperhitungkan di dalam organisasi					
8	Saya dapat bekerja sama					
9	Orang-orang yakin dengan kinerja saya					
10	Saya dapat bekerja secara efisien					

KUISIONER *EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT*



PRODI PSIKOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN

BAGIAN 2

Berikut terdapat 9 pernyataan mengenai perasaan anda ketika bekerja.
Beri tanda (V) pada jawaban yang paling menggambarkan diri anda dari rentang Sangat Tidak Setuju (STS), Tidak Setuju (TS), Setuju (S), Sangat Setuju (SS).

NO	PERNYATAAN	JAWABAN			
		SS	S	TS	STS
1	Saat bekerja saya merasa penuh dengan tenaga				
2	Saat bekerja saya merasa kuat dan penuh dengan semangat				
3	Saya antusias dengan pekerjaan saya				
4	Pekerjaan saya menginspirasi saya				
5	Saat bangun di pagi hari, saya ingin bekerja				
6	Saya merasa senang ketika bekerja sungguh-sungguh				
7	Saya bangga dengan pekerjaan yang saya kerjakan				
8	Saya terhanyut dalam pekerjaan saya				
9	Saya terbawa dalam pekerjaan ketika saya bekerja				

LAMPIRAN 3 : UJI VALIDITAS
SKALA ORGANIZATIONAL BASED SELF ESTEEM

Correlations											
	Item1	Item2	Item3	Item4	Item5	Item6	Item7	Item8	Item9	Item10	Total
Item1	Pearson Correlation	1	.606**	.661**	.617**	.588**	.547**	.604**	.597**	.583**	.528** .747**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item2	Pearson Correlation	.606**	1	.721**	.684**	.738**	.834**	.722**	.862**	.799**	.810** .870**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item3	Pearson Correlation	.661**	.721**	1	.826**	.817**	.726**	.832**	.733**	.776**	.757** .904**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item4	Pearson Correlation	.617**	.684**	.826**	1	.811**	.734**	.822**	.737**	.755**	.744** .883**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item5	Pearson Correlation	.588**	.738**	.817**	.811**	1	.781**	.851**	.780**	.778**	.751** .900**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item6	Pearson Correlation	.547**	.834**	.726**	.734**	.781**	1	.747**	.891**	.809**	.839** .884**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item7	Pearson Correlation	.604**	.722**	.832**	.822**	.851**	.747**	1	.765**	.807**	.781** .905**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item8	Pearson Correlation	.597**	.862**	.733**	.737**	.780**	.891**	.765**	1	.850**	.862** .901**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item9	Pearson Correlation	.583**	.799**	.776**	.755**	.778**	.809**	.807**	.850**	1	.903** .894**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item10	Pearson Correlation	.528**	.810**	.757**	.744**	.751**	.839**	.781**	.862**	.903**	1 .872**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Total	Pearson Correlation	.747**	.870**	.904**	.883**	.900**	.884**	.905**	.901**	.894**	.872** 1
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

SKALA EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

Correlations										
	Item1	Item2	Item3	Item4	Item5	Item6	Item7	Item8	Item9	Total
Item1	Pearson Correlation	1	.890**	.849**	.796**	.819**	.801**	.755**	.737**	.774**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item2	Pearson Correlation	.890**	1	.878**	.841**	.785**	.832**	.813**	.664**	.801**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item3	Pearson Correlation	.849**	.878**	1	.896**	.820**	.858**	.836**	.716**	.818**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item4	Pearson Correlation	.796**	.841**	.896**	1	.789**	.882**	.875**	.706**	.817**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item5	Pearson Correlation	.819**	.785**	.820**	.789**	1	.794**	.731**	.732**	.732**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item6	Pearson Correlation	.801**	.832**	.858**	.882**	.794**	1	.905**	.729**	.831**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item7	Pearson Correlation	.755**	.813**	.836**	.875**	.731**	.905**	1	.673**	.821**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item8	Pearson Correlation	.737**	.664**	.716**	.706**	.732**	.729**	.673**	1	.817**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Item9	Pearson Correlation	.774**	.801**	.818**	.817**	.732**	.831**	.821**	.817**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
Total	Pearson Correlation	.910**	.920**	.939**	.930**	.883**	.935**	.907**	.830**	.907**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

LAMPIRAN 4: UJI RELIABILITAS
SKALA ORGANIZATIONAL BASED SELF ESTEEM

Case Processing Summary

	N	%
Cases	Valid	202
	Excluded ^a	0
Total	202	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.966	.968	10

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Item1	38.14	78.050	.658	.505	.971
Item2	37.80	77.314	.851	.788	.962
Item3	38.10	76.736	.867	.796	.962
Item4	38.07	76.228	.849	.769	.962
Item5	38.00	76.836	.871	.803	.961
Item6	37.84	77.467	.868	.833	.962
Item7	38.11	75.739	.876	.814	.961
Item8	37.78	77.607	.893	.870	.961
Item9	37.86	77.895	.891	.856	.961
Item10	37.86	77.155	.877	.865	.961

SKALA EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	202	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	202	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.973	.973	9

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Item1	33.89	62.420	.884	.848	.969
Item2	33.81	62.734	.897	.869	.969
Item3	33.76	63.008	.922	.874	.968
Item4	33.76	63.734	.912	.867	.968
Item5	33.86	63.543	.850	.759	.971
Item6	33.82	62.021	.916	.876	.968
Item7	33.74	63.506	.881	.857	.970
Item8	33.89	64.917	.786	.740	.974
Item9	33.83	63.325	.882	.828	.970

LAMPIRAN 5: UJI ASUMSI

UJI NORMALITAS

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		202
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	.0000000
	Std. Deviation	2.20618212
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.062
	Positive	.059
	Negative	-.062
Test Statistic		.062
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.054 ^c

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

UJI LINEARITAS

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Included		Excluded		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Employee Engagement *	202	100.0%	0	0.0%	202	100.0%
Organizational Based Self Esteem						

ANOVA Table

			Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
			142.122	8	17.765	3.805	.000
Employee Engagement *	Between Groups	(Combined)	142.122	8	17.765	3.805	.000
		Linearity	64.794	1	64.794	13.879	.000
		Deviation from Linearity	77.328	7	11.047	2.366	.024
	Within Groups		900.987	193	4.668		
	Total		1043.109	201			

LAMPIRAN 6: UJI HIPOTESIS

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Organizational Based Sel Esteem ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Engagement

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.249 ^a	.062	.057	2.212

a. Predictors: (Constant), Organizational Based Sel Esteem

b. Dependent Variable: Employee Engagement

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	64.794	1	64.794	13.246	.000 ^b
	Residual	978.315	200	4.892		
	Total	1043.109	201			

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Engagement

b. Predictors: (Constant), Organizational Based Sel Esteem

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	22.031	4.023		5.476	.000
	Organizational Based Sel Esteem	.383	.105	.249	3.640	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Engagement

Residuals Statistics^a

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Predicted Value	35.44	38.50	36.66	.568	202
Residual	-5.739	5.644	.000	2.206	202
Std. Predicted Value	-2.155	3.244	.000	1.000	202
Std. Residual	-2.595	2.552	.000	.998	202

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Engagement