

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] R. Saravanan, S. Subramanian, V. Dharmalingam, and S. Ganesan, "Economic Dispatch with Integrated Wind-Thermal using Particle Swarm Optimization," *Int. J. Adv. Res. Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 100–103, 2017.
- [2] Y. Peng, J. Zhang, M. Yu, and W. Wei, "Multi – objective Optimal Dispatch of Power Systems Integrated with Intermittent Renewable Energy Considering Demand Response," no. Icmic, 2017.
- [3] V. Suresh and S. Sreejith, "Economic dispatch and cost analysis on a power system network interconnected with solar farm," *Int. J. Renew. Energy Res.*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2015.
- [4] A. Suyuti, I. Kitta, and Y. S. Akil, "The Impact of The Operation Planning of Power Plants for Environmental Emissions in South Sulawesi," *ARPN J. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 3440–3444, 2017.
- [5] B. Mustadir Darusman, A. Suyuti, and I. C. Gunadin, "Small Signal Stability Analysis of Wind Turbine Penetration in Sulselrabar Interconnection System," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1090, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [6] H. Saadat, "Power System Analysis - Hadi Saadat.pdf." pp. 1–720, 1999.
- [7] Z. Jizhong, "Optimization of Power System Operation," in *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, vol. 53, no. 9, IEEE Press, 2013, pp. 1689–1699.
- [8] S. G. Gaurav Kumar Gupta, "Particle Swarm Intelligence based Dynamic Economic Dispatch with Daily Load Patterns Including Valve Point Effect," *IEEE*, pp. 83–87, 2017.
- [9] S. F. M. Manjusha, "Multi objective dynamic economic dispatch with cubic cost functions," *Int. Res. J. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 692–701, 2016.
- [10] P. Praveena, K. Vaisakh, and S. R. M. Rao, *2010 First International Conference on Integrated Intelligent Computing A Bacterial Foraging and PSO – DE Algorithm for Solving Dynamic Economic Dispatch Problem with valve-point Effects*. 2010.
- [11] J. Mei and J. Zhao, "An Enhanced Quantum-Behaved Particle Swarm Optimization for Security Constrained Economic Dispatch," *Proc. Int. Symp. Distrib. Comput. Appl. Bus. Eng. Sci.*, no. 1, pp. 221–224, 2018.
- [12] S. Ieng, Y. S. Akil, and I. C. Gunadin, "Hydrothermal Economic Dispatch Using Hybrid Big Bang-Big Crunch (HBB-BC) Algorithm," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1198, no. 5, pp. 7–13, 2019.
- [13] M. B. Nappu, A. Arief, S. W. Soalehe, and M. Rianty, "Economic Dispatch of Jenepono Thermal Power Plant for Primary Energy Efficiency," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1341, no. 5, 2019.

- [14] M. B. Nappu, A. Arief, and A. S. Duhri, "Economic Emission Dispatch for Thermal Power Plant in indonesia," *Int. J. Smart Grid Clean Energy*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 500–504, 2019.
- [15] C. Li, F. De Bosio, F. Chen, S. K. Chaudhary, J. C. Vasquez, and J. M. Guerrero, "Economic Dispatch for Operating Cost Minimization under Real-Time Pricing in Droop-Controlled DC Microgrid," *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Power Electron.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 587–595, 2017.
- [16] X. Jiang, J. Zhou, H. Wang, and Y. Zhang, "Dynamic Environmental Economic Dispatch using Multiobjective Differential Evolution Algorithm with Expanded Double Selection and Adaptive Random Restart," *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 399–407, 2013.
- [17] N. Sinha, R. Chakrabarti, and P. K. Chattopadhyay, "Evolutionary Programming Techniques For Economic Load Dispatch," *IEEE Trans. Evol. Comput.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 83–94, 2003.
- [18] C. Jiejun, M. Xiaoqian, L. Lixiang, and P. Haipeng, "Chaotic Particle Swarm Optimization for Economic Dispatch Considering the Generator Constraints," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 645–653, 2007.
- [19] N. Tyagi, H. M. Dubey, and M. Pandit, "Economic load dispatch of wind-solar-thermal system using backtracking search algorithm," *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 16–27, 2016.
- [20] Z. Zakaria, T. K. A. Rahman, and E. E. Hassan, "Economic Load Dispatch via an Improved Bacterial Foraging Optimization," *Int. Power Eng. Optim. Conf.*, pp. 380–385, 2014.
- [21] S. Farook and M. Manjusha, "Optimization of Multi-Objective Dynamic Economic Dispatch Problem Using Knee Point Driven Evolutionary Algorithm," *Int. Electr. Eng. J.*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 2396–2402, 2017.
- [22] A. K. Nurlita Gamayanti, Abdullah Alkaff, "Optimasi dynamic economic dispatch menggunakan algoritma artificial bee colony," *JAVA J. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2015.
- [23] C. Koodalsamy and S. P. Simon, "Fuzzified Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm for Nonsmooth and Nonconvex Multiobjective Economic Dispatch Problem," *Turkish J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 21, no. SUPPL. 1, pp. 1995–2014, 2013.
- [24] A. Aurasopon and W. Khamsen, "An Improved Local Search Involving Bee Colony Optimization Using Lambda Iteration Combined With A Golden Section Search Method To Solve An Economic Dispatch Problem," *Prz. Elektrotechniczny*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 202–208, 2019.
- [25] P. Nema and S. Gajbhiye, "Application of artificial intelligence technique to economic load dispatch of thermal power generation unit," *Int. J. Energy Power Eng.*, vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 15–20, 2014.
- [26] T. Apostolopoulos and A. Vlachos, "Application of the Firefly Algorithm

- for Solving the Economic Emissions Load Dispatch Problem,” *Int. J. Comb.*, vol. 2011, pp. 1–23, 2011.
- [27] A. A. Elsakaan, R. A. El-sehiemy, S. S. Kaddah, and M. I. Elsaid, “An Enhanced Moth-Flame Optimizer for Solving Nonsmooth Economic Dispatch Problems with Emissions,” *Energy*, pp. 1–24, 2018.
- [28] H. P. Singh, Y. S. Brar, and D. P. Kothari, “Reactive Power Based Fair Calculation Approach for Multiobjective Load Dispatch Problem,” *Arch. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 719–735, 2019.
- [29] N. Nwulu, “Emission Constrained Bid Based Dynamic Economic Dispatch Using Quadratic Programming,” *Int. Conf. Energy, Commun. Data Anal. Soft Comput. ICECDS*, pp. 213–216, 2018.
- [30] S. Sadoudi, M. Boudour, and N. E. Y. Kouba, “Gravitational Search Algorithm for Solving Equal Combined Dynamic Economic-Emission Dispatch Problems in Presence of Renewable Energy Sources,” *Proc. Int. Conf. Appl. Smart Syst. ICASS*, no. November, pp. 1–5, 2019.
- [31] G. Chen, C. Li, and Z. Dong, “Parallel and Distributed Computation for Dynamical Economic Dispatch,” *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1026–1027, 2017.
- [32] X. Zheng, L. Wang, and S. Wang, “An Enhanced Non-Dominated Sorting Based Fruit Fly Optimization Algorithm for Solving Environmental Economic Dispatch Problem,” *Proceeding Congr. Evol. Comput.*, pp. 626–633, 2014.
- [33] J. Liang, H. Zhang, K. Wang, and R. Jia, “Economic Dispatch of Power System Based on Improved Fruit Fly Optimization Algorithm,” *Proceeding Int. Conf. Ind. Electron. Appl.*, pp. 1360–1366, 2019.
- [34] H. A. Geruna *et al.*, “Fruit Fly Optimization (FFO) for Solving Economic Dispatch Problem in Power System,” *Proceeding Int. Conf. Syst. Eng. Technol.*, pp. 2–3, 2017.
- [35] C. Guang, X. Xiaolong, and Z. Mengzhou, “Optimal Siting and Parameter Selection for Fault Current Limiters Considering Optimal Economic Dispatch of Generators,” *IEEE Conf. Ind. Electron. Appl.*, pp. 2084–2088, 2018.
- [36] A. A. A. El-Ela, R. A. El-Sehiemy, R. M. Rizk-Allah, and D. A. Fatah, “Solving Multiobjective Economical Power Dispatch Problem using MO-FOA,” *Proceeding Int. Middle East Power Syst. Conf.*, no. 1, pp. 19–24, 2018.
- [37] M. Basu, “Economic Environmental Dispatch Using Multi-Objective Differential Evolution,” *Appl. Soft Comput. J.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 2845–2853, 2011.
- [38] A. L. Devi and O. V. Krishna, “Combined Economic and Emission Dispatch Using Evolutionary Algorithms-A Case Study,” *J. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 3, no.

- 6, pp. 28–35, 2008.
- [39] R. Subramanian, K. Thanushkodi, and A. Prakash, “An efficient meta heuristic algorithm to solve economic load dispatch problems,” *Iran. J. Electr. Electron. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 246–252, 2013.
- [40] S. Sivasubramani and K. S. Swarup, “Environmental/Economic Dispatch using Multi-Objective Harmony Search Algorithm,” *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 81, no. 9, pp. 1778–1785, 2011.
- [41] G. Xiong *et al.*, “A Novel Method for Economic Dispatch with Across Neighborhood Search: A Case Study in a Provincial Power Grid, China,” *Complexity*, vol. 2018, 2018.
- [42] I. Ziane, F. Benhamida, and A. Graa, “Simulated Annealing Algorithm for Combined Economic and Emission Power Dispatch using Max/Max Price Penalty Factor,” *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 28, pp. 197–205, 2017.
- [43] R. A. El-Sehiemy, R. M. Rizk-Allah, and A. F. Attia, “Assessment of Hurricane versus Sine-Cosine Optimization Algorithms for Economic/Ecological Emissions Load Dispatch Problem,” *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 1–14, 2019.
- [44] A. Y. Abdelaziz, E. S. Ali, and S. M. Abd Elazim, “Flower Pollination algorithm to Solve Combined Economic and Emission Dispatch Problems,” *Eng. Sci. Technol. an Int. J.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 980–990, 2016.
- [45] B. Bentouati, S. Chettih, and R. A. El-Sehiemy, “A Chaotic Krill Herd Algorithm for Optimal Solution of the Economic Dispatch Problem,” *Int. J. Eng. Res. Africa*, vol. 31, pp. 155–168, 2017.
- [46] M. S. P. Subathra, S. Easter Selvan, T. Aruldoss Albert Victoire, A. Hepzibah Christinal, and U. Amato, “A Hybrid with Cross-Entropy Method and Sequential Quadratic Programming to Solve Economic Load Dispatch Problem,” *IEEE Syst. J.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1031–1044, 2015.
- [47] J. P. Chiou, “Variable Scaling Hybrid Differential Evolution for Large-Scale Economic Dispatch Problems,” *Electr. Power Syst. Res.*, vol. 77, no. 3–4, pp. 212–218, 2007.
- [48] A. Nawaz, E. Mustafa, N. Saleem, M. I. Khattak, M. Shafi, and A. Malik, “Solving Convex and Non-Convex Static and Dynamic Economic Dispatch Problems using Hybrid Particle Multi-Swarm Optimization,” *Teh. Vjesn. - Tech. Gaz.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1095–1102, 2017.
- [49] R. K. Kaushal and T. Thakur, “Multiobjective Electrical Power Dispatch of Thermal Units with Convex and Non-Convex Fuel Cost Functions for 24 Hours Load Demands,” *Int. J. Eng. Adv. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1534–1542, 2020.
- [50] J. Chen and H. Imani Marrani, “An Efficient New Hybrid ICA-PSO Approach for Solving Large Scale Non-Convex Multi Area Economic Dispatch Problems,” *J. Electr. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1127–1145,

2020.

- [51] S.-K. Wang, J.-P. Chiou, and C.-W. Liu, "Non-Smooth/Non-Convex Economic Dispatch by a Novel Hybrid Differential Evolution Algorithm," *Gener. Transm. Distrib. IET*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 793–803, 2007.
- [52] M. Peter Musau, "Multi Objective Dynamic Economic Dispatch with Cubic Cost Functions," *Int. J. Energy Power Eng.*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 153, 2015.
- [53] V. A. K. Bharath kumar S, Arul Vineeth AD, Ashok kumar K, "Multi objective economic load dispatch using hybrid fuzzy, bacterial foraging-nelder mead algorithm," *Int. J. Electr. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 43–52, 2013.
- [54] M. P. Musau, N. A. Odero, and C. W. Wekesa, "Multi objective dynamic economic emission dispatch with renewable energy and emissions," *2016 IEEE PES PowerAfrica*, pp. 274–279, 2016.
- [55] S. K. Dash and S. Mohanty, "Multi-objective economic emission load dispatch with nonlinear fuel cost and noninferior emission level functions for IEEE-118 bus system," *2nd Int. Conf. Electron. Commun. Syst. ICECS 2015*, pp. 1371–1376, 2015.
- [56] J. Qiu, J. Zhao, and D. Wang, "Multi-objective generation dispatch considering the trade-off between economy and security," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, 2017.
- [57] L. Y. Vahid Sarfi, Hanif Livani, "A New Multi Objective Economic Emission Dispatch in Microgrids," *IEEE*, 2017.
- [58] M. P. Musau, N. A. Odero, and C. W. Wekesa, "Multi objective dynamic economic dispatch with renewable energy and HVDC transmission lines," *2016 IEEE PES PowerAfrica*, pp. 112–117, 2016.
- [59] S. Bhongade and A. Potfode, "Economic Load Dispatch of Thermal-Wind Integrated System Using Jaya Algorithm," *2018 3rd Int. Conf. Conver. Technol. I2CT 2018*, vol. November, pp. 1–6, 2018.
- [60] J. Tholath Jose, "Economic Load Dispatch including Wind Power Using Bat Algorithm," *Int. Conf. Adv. Electr. Eng. ICAEE 2014*, vol. June, 2014.
- [61] H. T. Jadhav, H. Bhandari, Y. Dalal, and R. Roy, "Economic Load Dispatch including Wind Power Using Plant Growth Simulation Algorithm," *2012 11th Int. Conf. Environ. Electr. Eng. IEEEIC 2012 - Conf. Proc.*, vol. June, no. 1, pp. 388–393, 2012.
- [62] M. Nath, S. Mishra, and D. Nath, "Gravitational Search Algorithm Based Economic Load Dispatch Problem Incorporating Wind Generating System," *1st IEEE Int. Conf. Power Electron. Intell. Control Energy Syst. ICPEICES 2016*, vol. February, pp. 1–4, 2017.
- [63] J. P. Zhan, Q. H. Wu, C. X. Guo, L. L. Zhang, and M. Bazargan, "Impacts of Wind Power Penetration on Risk Constrained Economic Dispatch," *IEEE*

- PES ISGT Eur. 2013*, vol. January, no. 4, pp. 1–5, 2014.
- [64] S. K. Damodaran and S. K. T.K., “Economic and Emission Generation Scheduling of Thermal Power Plant Incorporating Wind Energy,” *Proceeding 2017 IEEE Reg. 10 Annu. Int. Conf.*, vol. December, pp. 1487–1492, 2017.
- [65] D. C. Huynh and N. Nair, “Economic Dispatch integrating Wind Power Generation Farms Using Cuckoo Search Algorithm,” *Proc. 2015 IEEE Innov. Smart Grid Technol. - Asia, ISGT ASIA 2015*, vol. January, 2016.
- [66] Z. Hasan and M. E. El-Hawary, “Economic Dispatch Incorporating Wind Energy by BH, BBO and DE,” *Can. Conf. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. November, no. 4, 2016.
- [67] M. G. Dozein, J. Ansari, and M. Kalantar, “Economic Dispatch incorporating Wind Power Plant Using Particle Swarm Optimization,” *2012 2nd Iran. Conf. Renew. Energy Distrib. Gener. ICREDG 2012*, vol. April, pp. 178–182, 2012.
- [68] H. T. Jadhav, D. Chawla, and R. Roy, “Modified Teaching Learning Based Algorithm for Economic Load Dispatch Incorporating Wind Power,” *2012 11th Int. Conf. Environ. Electr. Eng. EEEIC 2012*, vol. June, pp. 397–402, 2012.
- [69] C. Tang, S. Member, J. Xu, Y. Sun, and S. Member, “Stochastic Dynamic Economic Dispatch With Multiple Wind Farms Based on Wind Power Conditional Distribution Models,” *IEEE*, 2017.
- [70] *Undang Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 30 tahun 2007*. 2007, p. 6.
- [71] *Undang Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 30 tahun 2009*. 2009, p. 3.
- [72] A. J. Wood, B. F. Wollenberg, and G. B. Sheble, *Power generation, operation, and control - Third edition*. 2014.
- [73] H. Saadat, *Power System Analysis*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Series in Electrical and Computer Engineering, 1999.
- [74] S. A.-H. Soliman and A.-A. H. Mantawy, *Modern Optimization Techniques with Applications in Electric Power Systems*. Springer, 2012.
- [75] J. Meng, G. Li, and Y. Du, “Economic dispatch for power systems with wind and solar energy integration considering reserve risk,” *2013 IEEE PES Asia-Pacific Power Energy Eng. Conf.*, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2013.
- [76] T. and M. A. P. K.Gosh, *Energy Resources and Systems*, vol. 2. 2011.
- [77] J. J. Grainger and J. William D Stevenson, *Power System Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Series in Electrical and Computer Engineering, 1994.
- [78] A. Soroudi, *Power System Optimization Modelling in GAMS*. Springer, 2017.
- [79] W. T. Pan, “A new Fruit Fly Optimization Algorithm: Taking the Financial

- Distress Model as an Example,” *Knowledge-Based Syst.*, vol. 26, pp. 69–74, 2012.
- [80] D. Karaboga and B. Basturk, “Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) Optimization Algorithm for Solving Constrained Optimization Problems,” *Found. Fuzzy Log. Soft Comput.*, pp. 789–798, 2007.
- [81] R. Gate, “Data Sheets for IEEE System, 136,” 2020. .
- [82] R. Arul, G. Ravi, and S. Velusami, “Non-convex economic dispatch with heuristic load patterns, valve point loading effect, prohibited operating zones, ramp-rate limits and spinning reserve constraints using harmony search algorithm,” *Electr. Eng.*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 53–61, 2013.
- [83] R. Gate, “Data Sheets for IEEE System, 127,” 2020. .
- [84] R. A. Rasyid, I. C. Gunadin, and Z. Muslimin, “Optimasi pembangkitan sistem Sulsebar 150 kV dengan integrasi PLTB Sidrap,” Universitas Hasanuddin, 2018.
- [85] S. Humena, S. Manjang, and I. C. Gunadin, “Optimization Economic Power Generation Using Modified Improved PSO Algorithm Methods,” *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 522–530, 2016.
- [86] Haripuddin, A. Suyuti, S. M. Said, and Y. S. Akil, “Multi-Objective Dynamic Economic Dispatch Using Fruit Fly Optimization Method,” *Arch. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 351–366, 2021.
- [87] Z. L. Gaing, “Particle Swarm Optimization to Solving the Economic Dispatch Considering the Generator Constraints,” *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 1187–1195, 2003.
- [88] Haripuddin, A. Suyuti, S. M. Said, and Y. S. Akil, “Dynamic Economic Dispatch for 150 kV Sulsebar Power Generation Systems Using Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm,” *Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Commun. Technol.*, pp. 817–822, 2019.

BIODATA

A. IDENTITAS DIRI

Nama Lengkap : Haripuddin
 Jenis Kelamin : Laki-Laki
 Jabatan Fungsional : Lektor Kepala
 NIP : 196805101997021001
 NIDN : 0010056807
 Tempat dan Tanggal Lahir : U.Pandang, 10 Mei 1968
 E-mail : haripuddin@unm.ac.id
 Nomor Telepon/HP : 081342742289
 Alamat Kantor : Kampus UNM Parangtambung, Jln. Dg.Tata
 Raya, Makassar.
 Telp./Faks. : (0411)869834, 869854, 864935; Faks.
 (0411)868794

B. RIWAYAT PENDIDIKAN

No	Tahun Lulus	Jenjang	Lokasi (Dlm/Luar Negeri)	Perguruan Tinggi	Program Studi
1	2008	Magister	Dalam Negeri	Universitas Hasanuddin	Teknik Elektro
2	1996	Sarjana	Dalam Negeri	Universitas Hasanuddin	Teknik Elektro

C. RIWAYAT PEKERJAAN

1. Site Electrical Engineering: PT. Semen Bosowa Maros, 1-05-1997 s/d 8-02-1998
2. Dosen tetap FT UNM: 2 Februari 1997 s/d Sekarang

DAFTAR PUBLIKASI ILMIAH**A. SEMINAR INTERNASIONAL**

1. Judul Publikasi : Dynamic Economic Dispatch for 150 kV Sulselbar Power Generation Systems Using Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm
Nama Konferensi : 2019 International Conference on Information and Communications Technology (ICOIACT)
DOI : 10.1109/ICOIACT46704.2019.8938474
ISBN : 978-1-7281-1654-9/CFP19L86-USB
Penerbit : IEEE Explorer
Tanggal : 24-25 July 2019, Yogyakarta

B. JURNAL INTERNASIONAL

1. Judul Publikasi : Multi-objective dynamic economic dispatch using Fruit Fly Optimization method
Nama Jurnal : Archives of Electrical Engineering
Website : aee.put.poznan.pl
DOI : 10.24425/aee.2021.136989
ISSN (Online) : 2300-2506
ISSN (Print) : 1427-4221
Volume : 70
Nomor : 2
Tahun : 2021
2. Judul Publikasi : Hybrid Optimization Method for Thermal-Wind Integration with Multi Objective Dynamic Economic Dispatch
Nama Jurnal : International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology
Website : <http://sersc.org/journals/index.php/ijast>
ISSN (Online) : 2207-6360
ISSN (Print) : 2005-4238
Volume : 29
Nomor : 3
Tahun : 2020

DAFTAR PUBLIKASI ILMIAH

3. Judul Publikasi : MFOA-ABC Hybrid Optimization Method for
Dynamic Economic Dispatch of the 150 kV
Sulselbar Electrical System
- Nama Jurnal : Przegląd Electrotechniczny
- Website : <http://pe.org.pl/index.php?lang=1>
- DOI : 10.15199/48.2021.08.13
- ISSN (Online) : 2449-9544
- ISSN (Print) : 0033-2097
- Volume : R 97
- Nomor : NR 8
- Tahun : 2021

Validasi Terbalik

1. Hasil simulasi rugi-rugi daya aktif sistem IEEE 30 bus, di mana data sistem IEEE 30 bus dijalankan dengan menggunakan metode Newton Raphson sebelum metode optimasi Hybrid diterapkan.

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method
 Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000949095
 No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Angle		-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
	Mag.	Degree	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	176,127	-16,681	0,000
2	1,038	-3,689	21,700	12,700	48,840	24,956	0,000
3	1,011	-10,475	94,200	19,000	21,510	27,282	0,000
4	1,019	-8,285	30,000	30,000	22,150	28,385	0,000
5	1,091	-8,732	0,000	0,000	12,140	14,197	0,000
6	1,091	-10,218	0,000	0,000	12,000	5,891	0,000
7	1,008	-9,564	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,021	-6,898	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,064	-9,978	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,062	-11,661	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,027	-5,742	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,083	-11,032	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,020	-8,038	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,072	-11,954	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,070	-12,182	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,069	-11,591	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,062	-11,895	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,059	-12,740	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,055	-12,892	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,059	-12,700	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,054	-12,194	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,055	-12,186	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,063	-12,716	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,050	-12,770	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,047	-12,526	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,029	-12,922	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,053	-12,129	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,018	-8,557	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,048	-13,691	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,031	-14,333	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total		283,400	126,200	292,767	84,029	45,000	

Validasi Terbalik

Line Flow and Losses

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
1	176,127	-16,681	176,915			
2	117,482	-16,299	118,608	2,435	1,537	
11	58,693	-0,369	58,694	1,414	1,394	
2	27,140	12,256	29,779			
1	-115,048	17,836	116,422	2,435	1,537	
8	34,179	-1,874	34,230	0,618	-2,016	
3	63,202	0,546	63,205	1,753	2,978	
13	44,806	-4,201	45,002	1,085	-0,666	
3	-72,690	8,282	73,160			
2	-61,449	2,433	61,497	1,753	2,978	
7	-11,241	5,867	12,680	0,078	-1,882	
4	-7,850	-1,615	8,014			
13	-10,194	1,086	10,252	0,012	-0,892	
28	2,344	-2,604	3,504	0,003	-4,430	
5	12,140	14,197	18,680			
9	12,140	14,241	18,713	0,000	0,612	
6	12,000	5,891	13,368			
12	12,000	5,983	13,409	0,000	0,211	
7	-22,800	-10,900	25,272			
3	11,319	-7,749	13,718	0,078	-1,882	
13	-34,119	-3,151	34,264	0,307	-0,805	
8	-7,600	-1,600	7,767			
2	-33,561	-0,142	33,561	0,618	-2,016	
11	-54,501	3,168	54,593	0,378	0,205	
13	47,048	-10,831	48,279	0,265	-0,014	
12	33,414	6,205	33,985	0,000	2,465	0,932
9	0,000	0,000	0,000			
13	-18,050	11,354	21,324	0,000	0,835	
5	-12,140	-13,629	18,252	0,000	0,612	
10	30,190	2,275	30,275	0,000	0,890	
10	-5,800	3,000	6,530			
13	-12,703	2,316	12,912	-0,000	0,822	

Validasi Terbalik

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
9	-30,190	-1,385	30,222	0,000	0,890	
20	8,791	-2,138	9,048	0,068	0,152	
17	4,909	-1,414	5,108	0,007	0,020	
21	15,803	4,091	16,324	0,082	0,177	
22	7,589	1,530	7,742	0,039	0,080	
11	-2,400	-1,200	2,683			
1	-57,279	1,762	57,306	1,414	1,394	
8	54,879	-2,962	54,959	0,378	0,205	
12	-11,200	-2,500	11,476			
8	-33,414	-3,740	33,623	0,000	2,465	
6	-12,000	-5,772	13,316	0,000	0,211	
14	7,824	1,083	7,899	0,065	0,136	
15	18,713	1,728	18,793	0,199	0,392	
16	7,676	4,200	8,750	0,062	0,130	
13	0,000	0,000	0,000			
2	-43,721	3,535	43,863	1,085	-0,666	
8	-46,783	10,817	48,017	0,265	-0,014	
7	34,426	2,346	34,506	0,307	-0,805	
4	10,207	-1,978	10,397	0,012	-0,892	
9	18,050	-10,519	20,891	0,000	0,835	0,978
10	12,703	-1,494	12,790	-0,000	0,822	0,969
28	15,118	-2,706	15,359	0,038	-1,216	
14	-6,200	-1,600	6,403			
12	-7,759	-0,947	7,817	0,065	0,136	
15	1,559	-0,653	1,690	0,005	0,005	
15	-8,200	2,500	8,573			
12	-18,514	-1,336	18,562	0,199	0,392	
14	-1,553	0,658	1,687	0,005	0,005	
18	6,239	2,416	6,690	0,042	0,085	
23	5,629	0,762	5,680	0,028	0,057	
16	-3,500	-1,800	3,936			
12	-7,615	-4,071	8,634	0,062	0,130	
17	4,115	2,271	4,700	0,016	0,037	
17	-9,000	-0,800	9,035			
16	-4,099	-2,233	4,668	0,016	0,037	
10	-4,901	1,433	5,106	0,007	0,020	

Validasi Terbalik

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
18	-3,200	-0,900	3,324			
15	-6,197	-2,331	6,621	0,042	0,085	
19	2,997	1,431	3,321	0,006	0,013	
19	-9,500	-3,400	10,090			
18	-2,991	-1,418	3,310	0,006	0,013	
20	-6,509	-1,982	6,804	0,014	0,028	
20	-2,200	4,300	4,830			
19	6,523	2,010	6,826	0,014	0,028	
10	-8,723	2,290	9,019	0,068	0,152	
21	-17,500	-6,200	18,566			
10	-15,721	-3,914	16,201	0,082	0,177	
22	-1,779	-2,286	2,896	0,001	0,002	
22	0,000	0,000	0,000			
10	-7,551	-1,450	7,689	0,039	0,080	
21	1,780	2,288	2,898	0,001	0,002	
24	5,771	-0,837	5,831	0,035	0,055	
23	-3,200	3,400	4,669			
15	-5,601	-0,705	5,645	0,028	0,057	
24	2,401	4,105	4,755	0,026	0,054	
24	-8,700	-1,700	8,865			
22	-5,736	0,892	5,805	0,035	0,055	
23	-2,374	-4,051	4,695	0,026	0,054	
25	-0,590	1,459	1,574	0,004	0,007	
25	0,000	0,000	0,000			
24	0,594	-1,451	1,568	0,004	0,007	
26	3,542	2,363	4,258	0,042	0,063	
27	-4,136	-0,912	4,236	0,018	0,034	
26	-3,500	-2,300	4,188			
25	-3,500	-2,300	4,188	0,042	0,063	
27	0,000	0,000	0,000			
25	4,154	0,946	4,261	0,018	0,034	
28	-17,421	0,751	17,438	0,000	1,086	
29	6,214	-1,939	6,510	0,084	0,159	
30	7,053	0,242	7,057	0,144	0,271	

Validasi Terbalik

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
28	0,000	0,000	0,000			
27	17,421	0,336	17,425	0,000	1,086	0,968
4	-2,341	-1,826	2,969	0,003	-4,430	
13	-15,081	1,490	15,154	0,038	-1,216	
29	-2,400	4,100	4,751			
27	-6,130	2,097	6,479	0,084	0,159	
30	3,730	2,003	4,234	0,039	0,074	
30	-10,600	-1,900	10,769			
27	-6,909	0,029	6,909	0,144	0,271	
29	-3,691	-1,929	4,164	0,039	0,074	

Total lossess **9,415**

Elapsed time is 0,237 seconds.

2. Hasil simulasi rugi-rugi daya aktif sistem IEEE 30 bus, di mana luaran dari metode optimasi Hybrid FOA-ABC dimasukkan kembali ke metode Newton Raphson

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 1,5153e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	175,985	-41,024	0,000
2	1,048	-3,830	21,700	12,700	49,188	25,896	0,000
3	1,041	-10,736	94,200	19,000	20,299	43,926	0,000
4	1,039	-8,402	30,000	30,000	22,822	32,022	0,000
5	1,081	-8,678	0,000	0,000	12,612	5,143	0,000
6	1,101	-10,232	0,000	0,000	12,000	4,762	0,000
7	1,032	-9,710	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,035	-6,992	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,071	-9,976	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,073	-11,623	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,038	-5,822	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,095	-11,031	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,038	-8,150	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,084	-11,932	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000

Validasi Terbalik

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
15	1,082	-12,153	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,080	-11,566	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,072	-11,856	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,070	-12,693	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,067	-12,837	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,070	-12,646	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,065	-12,148	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,066	-12,141	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,076	-12,679	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,063	-12,736	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,063	-12,538	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,046	-12,922	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,071	-12,175	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,039	-8,696	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,070	-13,699	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,058	-14,334	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total		283,400	126,200	292,906	70,724	45,000	

Line Flow and Losses

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
1		175,985	-41,024	180,703		
	2	117,393	-34,183	122,269	2,570	1,887
	11	58,592	-6,841	58,990	1,416	1,354
2		27,488	13,196	30,491		
	1	-114,823	36,070	120,355	2,570	1,887
	8	33,742	-4,148	33,996	0,593	-2,183
	3	64,332	-9,918	65,092	1,804	3,017
3	13	44,237	-8,809	45,105	1,059	-0,853
		-73,901	24,926	77,992		
	2	-62,528	12,934	63,852	1,804	3,017
4	7	-11,373	11,992	16,527	0,128	-1,869
		-7,178	2,022	7,457		
	13	-9,642	5,296	11,001	0,014	-0,921
	28	2,464	-3,274	4,098	0,004	-4,609

Validasi Terbalik

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
5	12,612	5,143	13,620			
9	12,612	5,143	13,620	0,000	0,330	
6	12,000	4,762	12,910			
12	12,000	4,762	12,910	0,000	0,192	
7	-22,800	-10,900	25,272			
3	11,500	-13,861	18,010	0,128	-1,869	
13	-34,300	2,961	34,428	0,299	-0,902	
8	-7,600	-1,600	7,767			
2	-33,149	1,965	33,207	0,593	-2,183	
11	-54,398	9,576	55,235	0,377	0,181	
13	46,504	-20,910	50,989	0,287	0,031	
12	33,443	7,769	34,334	0,000	2,448	0,932
9	0,000	0,000	0,000			
13	-17,416	5,556	18,281	0,000	0,606	
5	-12,612	-4,813	13,499	0,000	0,330	
10	30,028	-0,744	30,038	0,000	0,865	
10	-5,800	3,000	6,530			
13	-12,516	0,688	12,535	-0,000	0,759	
9	-30,028	1,608	30,071	0,000	0,865	
20	8,748	-2,363	9,061	0,067	0,149	
17	4,873	-1,727	5,170	0,008	0,020	
21	15,642	3,590	16,049	0,078	0,168	
22	7,482	1,204	7,578	0,036	0,075	
11	-2,400	-1,200	2,683			
1	-57,176	8,196	57,760	1,416	1,354	
8	54,776	-9,396	55,576	0,377	0,181	
12	-11,200	-2,500	11,476			
8	-33,443	-5,321	33,864	0,000	2,448	
6	-12,000	-4,569	12,840	0,000	0,192	
14	7,824	1,094	7,900	0,064	0,133	
15	18,704	1,777	18,788	0,195	0,384	
16	7,715	4,519	8,941	0,063	0,132	
13	0,000	0,000	0,000			

Validasi Terbalik

--Line-- from to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
	MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
2	-43,177	7,955	43,904	1,059	-0,853	
8	-46,217	20,941	50,740	0,287	0,031	
7	34,599	-3,863	34,814	0,299	-0,902	
4	9,657	-6,218	11,485	0,014	-0,921	
9	17,416	-4,951	18,106	0,000	0,606	0,978
10	12,516	0,071	12,517	-0,000	0,759	0,969
28	15,206	-13,937	20,627	0,044	-13,867	
14	-6,200	-1,600	6,403			
12	-7,760	-0,961	7,819	0,064	0,133	
15	1,560	-0,639	1,686	0,005	0,005	
15	-8,200	2,500	8,573			
12	-18,509	-1,393	18,562	0,195	0,384	
14	-1,555	0,644	1,683	0,005	0,005	
18	6,282	2,639	6,813	0,042	0,087	
23	5,583	0,610	5,616	0,027	0,054	
16	-3,500	-1,800	3,936			
12	-7,652	-4,387	8,820	0,063	0,132	
17	4,152	2,587	4,891	0,017	0,039	
17	-9,000	-0,800	9,035			
16	-4,135	-2,547	4,856	0,017	0,039	
10	-4,865	1,747	5,169	0,008	0,020	
18	-3,200	-0,900	3,324			
15	-6,239	-2,552	6,741	0,042	0,087	
19	3,039	1,652	3,459	0,007	0,013	
19	-9,500	-3,400	10,090			
18	-3,033	-1,639	3,447	0,007	0,013	
20	-6,467	-1,761	6,703	0,013	0,027	
20	-2,200	4,300	4,830			
19	6,481	1,788	6,723	0,013	0,027	
10	-8,681	2,512	9,037	0,067	0,149	
21	-17,500	-6,200	18,566			
10	-15,564	-3,422	15,936	0,078	0,168	
22	-1,936	-2,778	3,386	0,001	0,002	
22	0,000	0,000	0,000			

Validasi Terbalik

--Line-- from	to	Power at bus & line flow			--Line loss--		Transformer tap
		MW	Mvar	MVA	MW	Mvar	
	10	-7,445	-1,130	7,531	0,036	0,075	
	21	1,937	2,780	3,388	0,001	0,002	
	24	5,509	-1,651	5,751	0,033	0,052	
23		-3,200	3,400	4,669			
	15	-5,556	-0,556	5,583	0,027	0,054	
	24	2,356	3,956	4,604	0,024	0,049	
24		-8,700	-1,700	8,865			
	22	-5,475	1,703	5,734	0,033	0,052	
	23	-2,331	-3,906	4,549	0,024	0,049	
	25	-0,893	0,503	1,025	0,002	0,003	
25		0,000	0,000	0,000			
	24	0,895	-0,500	1,025	0,002	0,003	
	26	3,541	2,361	4,256	0,041	0,061	
	27	-4,436	-1,861	4,810	0,022	0,043	
26		-3,500	-2,300	4,188			
	25	-3,500	-2,300	4,188	0,041	0,061	
27		0,000	0,000	0,000			
	25	4,458	1,903	4,848	0,022	0,043	
	28	-17,623	-0,192	17,624	0,000	1,072	
	29	5,871	-2,774	6,493	0,081	0,153	
	30	7,294	1,063	7,371	0,057	0,285	
28		0,000	0,000	0,000			
	27	17,623	1,265	17,668	0,000	1,072	0,968
	4	-2,460	-1,335	2,799	0,004	-4,609	
	13	-15,163	0,070	15,163	0,044	-13,867	
29		-2,400	4,100	4,751			
	27	-5,790	2,927	6,488	0,081	0,153	
	30	3,390	1,173	3,587	0,027	0,051	
30		-10,600	-1,900	10,769			
	27	-7,237	-0,778	7,278	0,057	0,285	
	29	-3,363	-1,122	3,546	0,027	0,051	
Total lossess				9,506			
Elapsed time is 0,298 seconds.							

**VALIDASI METODE ABC DENGAN VARIABEL KUADRATIK DAN
INTEGRAL**

Persamaan Kuadrat: $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 5$

Elapsed time is 0,278 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=0$: $fmin=-5$

Elapsed time is 0,480 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=1$: $fmin=-2$

Elapsed Elapsed time is 0,357 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=2$: $fmin=3$

Elapsed time is 0,558 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=3$: $fmin=10$

Elapsed time is 0,347 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=4$: $fmin=19$

Elapsed time is 0,329 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=5$: $fmin=30$

VALIDASI METODE ABC DENGAN VARIABEL KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL

Persamaan Integral: $\int_a^b (x^2 + 2 \cdot x - 5) dx$

Elapsed time is 144,566 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$, from $x=1$ to $x=3$: $f_{min}=6,6667$

Elapsed time is 176,603 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$, from $x=0$ to $x=5$: $f_{min}=41,6667$

Elapsed time is 130,254 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$, from $x=2$ to $x=7$: $f_{min}=131,6667$

Elapsed time is 138,297 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$, from $x=3$ to $x=9$: $f_{min}=276$

VALIDASI METODE FOA DENGAN VARIABEL KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL

Persamaan Kuadrat: $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 5$

Elapsed time is 0,019 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of FOA with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=0$: $fmin=-5$

ans =

-5

Elapsed time is 0,016 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of FOA with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=1$: $fmin=-2$

ans =

-2

Elapsed time is 0,022 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of FOA with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=2$: $fmin=3$

ans =

3

Elapsed time is 0,019 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of FOA with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=3$: $fmin=10$

ans =

10

Elapsed time is 0,017 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of FOA with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=4$: $fmin=19$

ans =

19

Elapsed time is 0,029 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of FOA with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=5$: $fmin=30$

ans =

30

VALIDASI METODE FOA DENGAN VARIABEL KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL

Persamaan Integral: $\int_a^b (x^2 + 2 \cdot x - 5) dx$

Elapsed time is 83,518 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of FOA with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, from $x=1$ to $x=3$: $fmin=6,6667$

ans =

6,6667

Elapsed time is 85,794 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of FOA with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, from $x=0$ to $x=5$: $fmin=41,6667$

ans =

41,6667

Elapsed time is 80,903 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of FOA with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, from $x=2$ to $x=7$: $fmin=131,6667$

ans =

131,6667

Elapsed time is 98,963 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of FOA with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, from $x=3$ to $x=9$: $fmin=276$

ans =

276

**VALIDASI METODE HYBRID FOA-ABC DENGAN VARIABEL
KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL**

Persamaan Kuadrat: $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 5$

Elapsed time is 0,130 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* FOA-ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=0$: $f_{min}=-5$

ans =

-5

Elapsed time is 0,149 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* FOA-ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=1$: $f_{min}=-2$

ans =

-2

Elapsed time is 0,298 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* FOA-ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=2$: $f_{min}=3$

ans =

3

Elapsed time is 0,229 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* FOA-ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=3$: $f_{min}=10$

ans =

10

Elapsed time is 0,219 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* FOA-ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=4$: $f_{min}=19$

ans =

19

Elapsed time is 0,214 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* FOA-ABC with $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$, for $x=5$: $f_{min}=30$

ans =

30

**VALIDASI METODE HYBRID FOA-ABC DENGAN VARIABEL
KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL**

Persamaan Integral: $\int_a^b (x^2 + 2 \cdot x - 5) dx$

Elapsed time is 274,119 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid FOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$,
from $x=1$ to $x=3$: $fmin=6,6667$

ans =

6,6667

Elapsed time is 260,097 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid FOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$,
from $x=0$ to $x=5$: $fmin=41,6667$

ans =

41,6667

Elapsed time is 270,584 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid FOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$,
from $x=2$ to $x=7$: $fmin=131,6667$

ans =

131,6667

Elapsed time is 303,233 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid FOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2*x-5$,
from $x=3$ to $x=9$: $fmin=276$

ans =

276

**VALIDASI METODE HYBRID MFOA-ABC DENGAN VARIABEL
KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL**

Persamaan Kuadrat: $f(x) = x^2 + 2 \cdot x - 5$

Elapsed time is 0,197 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* MFOA-ABC with $f(x)=-5+x^2+2*x$, for $x=0$:
fmin=-5

ans =
-5

Elapsed time is 0,133 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* MFOA-ABC with $f(x)=-5+x^2+2*x$, for $x=1$:
fmin=-2

ans =
-2

Elapsed time is 0,313 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* MFOA-ABC with $f(x)=-5+x^2+2*x$, for $x=2$:
fmin=3

ans =
3

Elapsed time is 0,270 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* MFOA-ABC with $f(x)=-5+x^2+2*x$, for $x=3$:
fmin=10

ans =
10

Elapsed time is 0,230 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* MFOA-ABC with $f(x)=-5+x^2+2*x$, for $x=4$:
fmin=19

ans =
19

Elapsed time is 0,305 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Minimum Function of *Hybrid* MFOA-ABC with $f(x)=-5+x^2+2*x$, for $x=5$:
fmin=30

ans =
30

**VALIDASI METODE HYBRID MFOA-ABC DENGAN VARIABEL
KUADRATIK DAN INTEGRAL**

Persamaan Integral: $\int_a^b (x^2 + 2 \cdot x - 5) dx$

Elapsed time is 285,220 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid MFOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$,
from $x=1$ to $x=3$: $f_{min}=6,6667$

ans =

6,6667

Elapsed time is 307,786 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid MFOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$,
from $x=0$ to $x=5$: $f_{min}=41,6667$

ans =

41,6667

Elapsed time is 284,562 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid MFOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$,
from $x=7$ to $x=7$: $f_{min}=131,6667$

ans =

131,6667

Elapsed time is 261,027 seconds.

Number of evaluations: 100

Integral Function of Hybrid MFOA-ABC with integral $f(x) dx$ for $f(x)=x^2+2 \cdot x-5$,
from $x=3$ to $x=9$: $f_{min}=276$

ans =

276

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 14 BUS

1. Hasil simulasi IEEE 14 bus menggunakan metode FOA

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 7,10964e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,060	0,000	0,000	0,000	156,645	10,661	0,000
2	1,045	-2,369	21,700	12,700	64,920	15,144	0,000
3	1,030	-6,507	94,200	19,100	43,757	28,075	0,000
4	1,019	-6,093	47,80	-3,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,020	-5,190	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
6	1,049	-9,529	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,038	-8,611	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,038	-8,611	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,036	-9,942	29,500	16,600	0,000	0,000	19,000
10	1,033	-10,104	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,038	-9,923	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,037	-10,228	6,100	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,033	-10,285	13,800	5,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,020	-10,913	14,900	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			259,300	73,600	265,321	53,880	19,000
Total losses			6,022	-0,718			

B =

0,0165	0,0076	-0,0020
0,0076	0,0104	-0,0015
-0,0020	-0,0015	0,0154

B0 =

1,0e-03 *		
0,3365	0,8452	-0,8420

B00 =

9,5539e-04

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 4,276577 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0335 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 160,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 70,512 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 35,304 MW

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 14 BUS

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 265,816 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 625,000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 316,458 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 184,162 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 1125,620 \$/hour

Elapsed time is 40,941 seconds.

2. Hasil simulasi IEEE 14 bus menggunakan metode ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 1,7907e-05

No. of Iterations = 5

Bus No.	Voltage		-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
	Mag.	Angle Degree	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,060	0,000	0,000	0,000	165,494	-18,910	0,000
2	1,055	-3,080	21,700	12,700	69,396	42,814	0,000
3	1,040	-8,440	94,200	19,100	32,17	36,609	0,000
4	1,023	-7,412	47,800	-3,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,023	-6,298	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
6	1,048	-11,245	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,039	-10,275	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,039	-10,275	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,037	-11,794	29,500	16,600	0,000	0,000	19,000
10	1,032	-11,967	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,037	-11,730	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,035	-12,049	6,100	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,030	-12,123	13,800	5,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,017	-12,880	14,900	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			259,300	73,600	267,062	60,513	19,000
Total loss			7,765	5,917			

B =

0,0190	0,0076	-0,0009
0,0076	0,0152	-0,0025
-0,0009	-0,0025	0,0281

B0 =

-0,0003	0,0021	-0,0014
---------	--------	---------

B00 =

9,0099e-04

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 14 BUS

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 4,314748 \$/MWh
 Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0549 pu
 Daya Pembangkitan = 160,000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 71,024 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 35,903 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 266,927 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 625,000 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 318,615 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 186,708 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 1130,323 \$/hour
 Elapsed time is 51,273771 seconds.

3. Hasil simulasi IEEE 14 bus menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,15623e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,060	0,000	0,000	0,000	158,589	-7,713	0,000
2	1,055	-1,251	21,700	12,700	70,806	13,878	0,000
3	1,050	-3,570	94,200	19,100	33,174	34,094	0,000
4	1,044	-3,167	47,800	-3,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,043	-2,690	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
6	1,090	-4,845	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,072	-4,321	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,072	-4,321	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,074	-4,924	29,500	16,600	0,000	0,000	19,000
10	1,074	-5,015	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,081	-4,975	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,084	-5,169	6,100	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,081	-5,178	13,800	5,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,070	-5,415	14,900	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			259,300	73,600	262,569	40,260	19,000
Total loss			3,269	-14,340			

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 14 BUS

B =

0,0084	0,0037	-0,0008
0,0037	0,0051	-0,0007
-0,0008	-0,0007	0,0108

B0 =

1,0e-03 *		
0,0085	0,3803	-0,4431

B00 =

0,0015

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 4,240162 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0141 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 160,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 70,0466 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 32,6415 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 262,6880 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 625,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 314,4960 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 172,9027 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 1112,3987 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 3,26892 MW

Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -14,33958 Mvar

Elapsed time is 210,44 seconds.

4. Hasil simulasi IEEE 14 bus menggunakan metode Hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000712962

No. of Iterations = 9

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,060	0,000	0,000	0,000	152,533	-132,342	0,000
2	1,065	-0,406	21,700	12,700	64,450	169,194	0,000
3	1,060	-0,845	94,200	19,100	43,729	-4,922	0,000
4	1,061	-0,828	47,800	-3,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,059	-0,702	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
6	1,117	-1,334	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,094	-1,048	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 26 BUS

1. Hasil simulasi IEEE 26 Bus tanpa integrasi pembangkit listrik tenaga angin menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Rugi Daya = 5,3915 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 448,0574 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 172,0851 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 264,3444 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 126,0654 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 172,7174 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 85,1218 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1268,3915 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 4521,2889 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2082,2510 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2927,2361 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1635,5531 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2148,4450 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1196,8714 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 14511,6454 \$/hour

Elapsed time is 185,09 seconds.

2. Hasil simulasi IEEE 26 Bus terintegrasi dengan pembangkit listrik tenaga angin menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 428,7157 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 157,8367 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 249,3172 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 111,0211 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 155,8246 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 67,0959 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Wind = 98,5700 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1169,8111 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan (Thermal+wind)= 1268,3811 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 4281,0272 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1905,3000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2740,7741 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1448,7247 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1938,7475 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 972,8816 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Langsung (Cw) = 689,9900 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Penalti (Cp) = 164,3255 \$/hour

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 26 BUS

Biaya Pembangkitan Cadangan (Cr) = 215,0226 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 13287,4551 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Wind= 1069,3382 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan (Thermal+Wind)= 14356,7932 \$/hour

Rugi Daya = 5,3811 MW

Elapsed time is 2355,97 seconds.

3. Hasil simulasi IEEE 26 Bus tanpa integrasi pembangkit listrik tenaga angin menggunakan metode hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Rugi Daya = 4,8632 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 447,9555 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 172,0085 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 264,2656 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 125,9734 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 172,6361 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 85,0242 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1267,8632 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 4079,0451 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1878,2424 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2640,7683 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1474,9500 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1937,9264 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1079,0006 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 13089,9328 \$/hour

Elapsed time is 95,94 seconds.

4. Hasil simulasi IEEE 26 Bus terintegrasi dengan pembangkit listrik tenaga angin menggunakan metode hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 428,6150 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 157,7609 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 249,2393 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 110,9308 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 155,7423 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 66,9963 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Wind= 98,5700 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1169,2845 MW

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 26 BUS

Total Daya Pembangkitan (Thermal+wind)= 1267,8545 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 3862,2594 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1718,5821 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2472,5256 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1306,3877 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1748,6941 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 876,8636 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Langsung (Cw) = 689,9900 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Penalti (Cp) = 164,3255 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Cadangan (Cr) = 215,0226 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 11985,3124 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Wind= 1069,3382 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan (Thermal+Wind)= 13054,6506 \$/hour

Rugi Daya = 4,8545 MW

Elapsed time is 2734,54 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

1. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan dua variabel objektif menggunakan metode FOA

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 6,62926e-07

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load----- MW Mvar		---Generation--- MW Mvar		Injected Mvar
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	174,108	-10,578	0,000
2	1,038	-2,848	21,700	12,700	48,840	22,944	0,000
3	1,011	-8,111	94,200	19,000	21,510	20,523	0,000
4	1,019	-6,407	30,000	30,000	22,150	21,378	0,000
5	1,091	-6,778	0,000	0,000	12,140	16,319	0,000
6	1,091	-7,996	0,000	0,000	12,000	5,624	0,000
7	1,011	-7,427	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,023	-5,362	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,067	-7,763	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,066	-9,084	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,029	-4,470	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,086	-8,640	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,022	-6,244	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,076	-9,363	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,074	-9,530	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,073	-9,056	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,066	-9,276	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,065	-9,956	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,062	-10,066	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,064	-9,911	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,060	-9,504	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,060	-9,498	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,068	-9,937	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,057	-9,962	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,053	-9,754	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,039	-10,063	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,057	-9,434	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,021	-6,648	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,053	-10,659	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,040	-11,160	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	290,748	76,210	45,000
Total loss				7,348			

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

B =

0,0176	0,0081	0,0003	-0,0009	0,0001	0,0024
0,0081	0,0132	-0,0000	-0,0015	0,0001	0,0021
0,0003	-0,0000	0,0248	-0,0119	-0,0122	-0,0064
-0,0009	-0,0015	-0,0119	0,0219	0,0091	0,0036
0,0001	0,0001	-0,0122	0,0091	0,0223	-0,0000
0,0024	0,0021	-0,0064	0,0036	-0,0000	0,0222

B0 =

-0,0003	0,0014	-0,0020	0,0018	-0,0010	0,0045
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Daya Pembangkitan = 174,10818 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 290,74818 MW

Total Emission = 0,05959 ton/hour

Total ActivePowerLosses = 7,34828 MW

Elapsed time is 120,85 seconds.

2. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan dua variabel objektif menggunakan metode ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 1,42109e-14

No. of Iterations = 1

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	176,515	-43,501	0,000
2	1,048	-3,839	21,700	12,700	48,840	15,384	0,000
3	1,051	-10,775	94,200	19,000	21,510	51,424	0,000
4	1,049	-8,575	30,000	30,000	22,150	47,301	0,000
5	1,091	-8,839	0,000	0,000	12,140	6,452	0,000
6	1,111	-10,303	0,000	0,000	12,000	6,543	0,000
7	1,039	-9,769	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,039	-7,068	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,079	-10,068	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,080	-11,681	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
11	1,042	-5,885	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,103	-11,088	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,043	-8,236	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,092	-11,978	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,090	-12,195	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,088	-11,619	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,080	-11,909	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,078	-12,730	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,074	-12,874	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,077	-12,687	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,073	-12,198	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,073	-12,191	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,083	-12,714	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,070	-12,771	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,069	-12,565	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,052	-12,944	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,076	-12,199	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,043	-8,754	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,072	-13,693	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,055	-14,306	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	293,155	83,603	45,000

Total loss 9,755

B =

0,0235	0,0094	0,0043	-0,0025	0,0004	0,0021
0,0094	0,0147	0,0024	-0,0035	0,0003	0,0022
0,0043	0,0024	0,1032	-0,0433	-0,0091	-0,0097
-0,0025	-0,0035	-0,0433	0,0748	0,0096	0,0058
0,0004	0,0003	-0,0091	0,0096	0,0128	0,0000
0,0021	0,0022	-0,0097	0,0058	0,0000	0,0286

B0 =

-0,0012	0,0013	-0,0037	0,0037	-0,0000	0,0055
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0010

Daya Pembangkitan = 176,51497 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 293,15497 MW

Total Emisi = 0,06372 ton/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 9,75497 MW

Elapsed time is 156,39 seconds.

3. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan dua variabel objektif menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000889086

No. of Iterations = 2

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	173,480	-43,864	0,000
2	1,048	-2,718	21,700	12,700	48,840	8,559	0,000
3	1,051	-7,701	94,200	19,000	21,510	50,593	0,000
4	1,049	-6,108	30,000	30,000	22,150	43,849	0,000
5	1,091	-6,287	0,000	0,000	12,140	6,679	0,000
6	1,111	-7,395	0,000	0,000	12,000	6,286	0,000
7	1,043	-6,978	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,042	-5,042	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,082	-7,171	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,084	-8,316	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,045	-4,201	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,106	-7,960	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,046	-5,878	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,097	-8,595	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,095	-8,735	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,093	-8,309	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,085	-8,489	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,085	-9,098	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,082	-9,189	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,00	0,000
20	1,084	-9,050	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,079	-8,687	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,080	-8,683	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,089	-9,090	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,078	-9,110	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,076	-8,954	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,064	-9,223	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,081	-8,688	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,046	-6,247	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
29	1,078	-9,754	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,066	-10,188	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	290,120	72,102	45,000
Total loss			6,943	-8,648			

B =

0,0170	0,0072	0,0029	-0,0016	0,0004	0,0017
0,0072	0,0099	0,0019	-0,0023	0,0001	0,0015
0,0029	0,0019	0,0721	-0,0288	-0,0069	-0,0068
-0,0016	-0,0023	-0,0288	0,0478	0,0068	0,0040
0,0004	0,0001	-0,0069	0,0068	0,0094	-0,0000
0,0017	0,0015	-0,0068	0,0040	-0,0000	0,0202

B0 =

-0,0009	0,0005	-0,0028	0,0027	-0,0004	0,0046
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Daya Pembangkitan = 173,47984 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 290,11984 MW

Total Emission = 0,05852 ton/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 6,94306 MW

Elapsed time is 272,06 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

4. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan dua variabel objektif menggunakan metode Hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000407725

No. of Iterations = 9

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	Injected Mvar
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	168,802	-31,284	0,000
2	1,048	-0,677	21,700	12,700	48,840	-22,917	0,000
3	1,051	-2,014	94,200	19,000	21,510	56,181	0,000
4	1,049	-1,567	30,000	30,000	22,150	28,048	0,000
5	1,091	-1,582	0,000	0,000	12,140	13,344	0,000
6	1,111	-2,033	0,000	0,000	12,000	10,438	0,000
7	1,049	-1,817	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,047	-1,299	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,086	-1,816	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,091	-2,091	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,048	-1,085	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,109	-2,183	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,049	-1,523	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,105	-2,341	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,103	-2,335	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,100	-2,189	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,093	-2,164	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,097	-2,381	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,094	-2,376	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,094	-2,324	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,090	-2,195	17,500	1,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,090	-2,196	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,098	-2,389	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,091	-2,344	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,088	-2,280	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,085	-2,349	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,088	-2,200	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,050	-1,616	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,087	-2,478	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,084	-2,589	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	285,442	53,810	45,000
Total loss			2,090	-27,364			

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

B =

0,0044	0,0022	0,0007	-0,0003	0,0001	0,0005
0,0022	0,0031	0,0009	-0,0004	-0,0002	0,0001
0,0007	0,0009	0,0227	-0,0053	-0,0039	-0,0030
-0,0003	-0,0004	-0,0053	0,0067	0,0022	0,0012
0,0001	-0,0002	-0,0039	0,0022	0,0042	-0,0000
0,0005	0,0001	-0,0030	0,0012	-0,0000	0,0074

B0 =

-0,0002	-0,0003	-0,0008	0,0007	-0,0019	0,0051
---------	---------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0031

- Daya Pembangkitan = 168,80196 MW
- Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW
- Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW
- Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW
- Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW
- Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 285,44196 MW
 Total Emisi = 0,05074 ton/hour
 Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 2,09045 MW
 Elapsed time is 419,54 seconds.

5. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan tiga variabel objektif menggunakan metode FOA

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000422346

No. of Iterations = 2

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	175,073	-14,513	0,000
2	1,038	-3,291	21,700	12,700	48,840	23,975	0,000
3	1,011	-9,354	94,200	19,000	21,510	24,459	0,000
4	1,019	-7,394	30,000	30,000	22,150	25,471	0,000
5	1,091	-7,804	0,000	0,000	12,140	15,038	0,000
6	1,091	-9,163	0,000	0,000	12,000	5,770	0,000
7	1,010	-8,550	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,022	-6,170	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,066	-8,927	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
10	1,064	-10,438	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,028	-5,139	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,085	-9,896	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,021	-7,188	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,074	-10,724	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,072	-10,923	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,071	-10,387	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,064	-10,651	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,062	-11,418	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,059	-11,550	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,062	-11,375	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,057	-10,917	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,058	-10,910	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,066	-11,396	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,053	-11,437	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,050	-11,210	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,034	-11,564	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,055	-10,849	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,020	-7,652	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,051	-12,251	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,035	-12,825	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	291,713	80,200	45,000
Total loss			8,423	-0,775			

B =

0,0201	0,0090	0,0008	-0,0013	0,0001	0,0026
0,0090	0,0153	0,0002	-0,0019	0,0001	0,0025
0,0008	0,0002	0,0339	-0,0162	-0,0140	-0,0075
-0,0013	-0,0019	-0,0162	0,0300	0,0108	0,0043
0,0001	0,0001	-0,0140	0,0108	0,0230	-0,0000
0,0026	0,0025	-0,0075	0,0043	-0,0000	0,0255

B0 =

-0,0004	0,0017	-0,0024	0,0023	-0,0006	0,0049
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0010

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Daya Pembangkitan = 175,07258 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 291,71258 MW
 Total Emisi = 0,06123 ton/hour
 Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 8,42322 ton/hour
 Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -0,77531 ton/hour
 Elapsed time is 142,20 seconds.

6. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan tiga variabel objektif menggunakan metode ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 9,04824e-07

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	174,279	-68,944	0,000
2	1,058	-3,073	21,700	12,700	48,840	52,512	0,000
3	1,051	-8,202	94,200	19,000	21,510	42,331	0,000
4	1,049	-6,500	30,000	30,000	22,150	37,392	0,000
5	1,091.	-6,723	0,000	0,000	12,140	5,872	0,000
6	1,111	-7,896	0,000	0,000	12,000	5,201	0,000
7	1,043	-7,446	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,045	-5,405	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,083	-7,667	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,085	-8,893	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,046	-4,503	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,106	-8,500	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,047	-6,282	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,097	-9,177	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,095	-9,330	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,093	-8,879	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,086	-9,076	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,085	-9,722	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,082	-9,821	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,084	-9,674	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,079	-9,289	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,080	-9,284	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,089	-9,712	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
24	1,078	-9,737	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,077	-9,570	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,064	-9,857	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,082	-9,286	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,047	-6,670	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,078	-10,423	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,066	-10,887	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
Total			283,400	126,200	290,919	74,363	45,000
Total loss			7,519	-6,837			

B =

0,0198	0,0041	0,0031	-0,0016	0,0003	0,0016
0,0041	0,0218	-0,0001	-0,0024	0,0009	0,0027
0,0031	-0,0001	0,0575	-0,0235	-0,0064	-0,0060
-0,0016	-0,0024	-0,0235	0,0399	0,0063	0,0036
0,0003	0,0009	-0,0064	0,0063	0,0095	-0,0000
0,0016	0,0027	-0,0060	0,0036	-0,0000	0,0202

B0 =

-0,0015	0,0031	-0,0026	0,0025	-0,0002	0,0041
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Daya Pembangkitan = 174,27921 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 290,91921 MW

Total Emisi = 0,05988 ton/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 7,51936 MW

Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -6,83691 Mvar

Elapsed time is 196,35 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

7. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan tiga variabel objektif menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,28793e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	173,670	-43,861	0,000
2	1,048	-2,705	21,700	12,700	48,840	8,589	0,000
3	1,051	-7,664	94,200	19,000	21,510	50,639	0,000
4	1,049	-6,079	30,000	30,000	22,150	43,980	0,000
5	1,091	-6,256	0,000	0,000	12,140	6,725	0,000
6	1,111	-7,360	0,000	0,000	12,000	6,349	0,000
7	1,043	-6,944	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,042	-5,018	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,082	-7,136	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,084	-8,275	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,045	-4,181	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,106	-7,923	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,046	-5,849	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,097	-8,554	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,095	-8,693	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,093	-8,270	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,085	-8,448	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,085	-9,054	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,082	-9,145	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,084	-9,006	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,079	-8,645	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,080	-8,641	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,089	-9,046	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,078	-9,066	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,076	-8,911	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,065	-9,178	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,081	-8,646	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,046	-6,217	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,078	-9,707	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,066	-10,138	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	290,310	72,421	45,000
Total loss			6,910	-8,778			

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

B =

0,0169	0,0071	0,0029	-0,0016	0,0003	0,0017
0,0071	0,0099	0,0019	-0,0023	0,0001	0,0015
0,0029	0,0019	0,0719	-0,0288	-0,0069	-0,0068
-0,0016	-0,0023	-0,0288	0,0478	0,0068	0,0040
0,0003	0,0001	-0,0069	0,0068	0,0094	-0,0000
0,0017	0,0015	-0,0068	0,0040	-0,0000	0,0202

B0 =

-0,0009	0,0005	-0,0027	0,0027	-0,0004	0,0046
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Daya Pembangkitan = 173,66955 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 290,30955 MW

Total Emission = 0,05884 ton/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 6,90990 MW

Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -8,77822 Mvar

Elapsed time is 369,55 seconds.

8. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan tiga variabel objektif menggunakan metode Hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000668421

No. of Iterations = 8

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	168,290	-17,055	0,000
2	1,048	-0,390	21,700	12,700	48,840	-45,778	0,000
3	1,051	-1,206	94,200	19,000	21,510	63,552	0,000
4	1,049	-0,924	30,000	30,000	22,150	16,288	0,000
5	1,091	-0,915	0,000	0,000	12,140	20,088	0,000
6	1,111	-1,272	0,000	0,000	12,000	15,215	0,000
7	1,050	-1,083	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,047	-0,767	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
9	1,087	-1,054	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,092	-1,206	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,048	-0,641	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,109	-1,361	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,050	-0,905	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,106	-1,451	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,104	-1,425	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,101	-1,318	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,094	-1,264	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,099	-1,426	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,096	-1,406	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,095	-1,367	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,091	-1,271	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,091	-1,273	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,099	-1,436	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,093	-1,382	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,089	-1,331	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,088	-1,372	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,088	-1,277	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,050	-0,958	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,088	-1,443	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,086	-1,510	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000

Total 283,400 126,200 284,930 52,310 45,000

Total loss 1,609 -28,847

B =

0,0026	0,0013	0,0004	-0,0001	0,0000	0,0003
0,0013	0,0029	0,0008	-0,0002	-0,0002	-0,0002
0,0004	0,0008	0,0169	-0,0020	-0,0038	-0,0028
-0,0001	-0,0002	-0,0020	0,0024	0,0012	0,0007
0,0000	-0,0002	-0,0038	0,0012	0,0043	-0,0000
0,0003	-0,0002	-0,0028	0,0007	-0,0000	0,0066

B0 =

-0,0001	-0,0003	-0,0004	0,0003	-0,0031	0,0071
---------	---------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0051

Daya Pembangkitan = 168,28971 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,84000 MW

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Daya Pembangkitan = 21,51000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 22,15000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 12,14000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 284,92971 MW
 Total Emission = 0,04990 ton/hour
 Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 1,60887 MW
 Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -28,84748 Mvar
 Elapsed time is 392,19 seconds.

9. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan lima variabel objektif menggunakan metode FOA

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 6,62984e-07

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	174,342	-10,659	0,000
2	1,038	-2,855	21,700	12,700	48,636	23,029	0,000
3	1,011	-8,141	94,200	19,000	20,917	20,727	0,000
4	1,019	-6,400	30,000	30,000	22,339	21,236	0,000
5	1,091	-6,723	0,000	0,000	12,532	16,311	0,000
6	1,091	-7,987	0,000	0,000	12,000	5,621	0,000
7	1,011	-7,438	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,023	-5,361	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,067	-7,740	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,066	-9,067	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,029	-4,468	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,086	-8,631	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,022	-6,241	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,076	-9,353	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,074	-9,520	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,073	-9,044	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,066	-9,261	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,065	-9,943	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,062	-10,052	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,064	-9,897	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,060	-9,488	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,060	-9,482	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,068	-9,926	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,057	-9,949	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,053	-9,745	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
26	1,039	-10,054	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,057	-9,426	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,021	-6,644	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,053	-10,652	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,040	-11,153	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	290,766	76,266	45,000
Total loss			7,366	-4,934			

B =

0,0176	0,0081	0,0003	-0,0009	0,0001	0,0024
0,0081	0,0132	-0,0000	-0,0014	0,0001	0,0022
0,0003	-0,0000	0,0257	-0,0119	-0,0121	-0,0064
-0,0009	-0,0014	-0,0119	0,0216	0,0089	0,0036
0,0001	0,0001	-0,0121	0,0089	0,0214	-0,0000
0,0024	0,0022	-0,0064	0,0036	-0,0000	0,0222

B0 =

-0,0003	0,0014	-0,0020	0,0018	-0,0009	0,0045
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 3,592247 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0426 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 178,60486 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,27247 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 20,67506 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 19,90309 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 11,62614 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 291,08162 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 476,83357 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 125,25585 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 47,39118 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 67,98879 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 38,25761 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 39,60000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 795,32702 \$/hour

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Total Emission = 0,06835 ton/hour

Total F = 0,21125

Elapsed time is 368,57 seconds.

10. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan lima variabel objektif menggunakan metode ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 1,07611e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	173,791	-43,837	0,000
2	1,048	-2,881	21,700	12,700	49,484	9,632	0,000
3	1,051	-8,213	94,200	19,000	20,104	51,213	0,000
4	1,049	-6,454	30,000	30,000	22,629	44,291	0,000
5	1,091	-6,574	0,000	0,000	12,776	6,628	0,000
6	1,111	-7,807	0,000	0,000	12,000	6,347	0,000
7	1,042	-7,409	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,042	-5,333	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,082	-7,559	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,084	-8,784	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,044	-4,443	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,105	-8,405	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,046	-6,216	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,096	-9,077	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,094	-9,227	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,092	-8,777	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,085	-8,968	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,084	-9,613	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,081	-9,710	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,083	-9,562	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,078	-9,179	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,079	-9,174	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,088	-9,605	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,077	-9,629	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,075	-9,469	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,063	-9,755	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,081	-9,192	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,046	-6,606	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,077	-10,321	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,064	-10,782	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

Total	283,400	126,200	290,783	74,273	45,000
Total loss	7,383	-6,927			

B =

0,0180	0,0075	0,0035	-0,0017	0,0004	0,0017
0,0075	0,0106	0,0021	-0,0024	0,0002	0,0016
0,0035	0,0021	0,0875	-0,0318	-0,0070	-0,0074
-0,0017	-0,0024	-0,0318	0,0496	0,0069	0,0042
0,0004	0,0002	-0,0070	0,0069	0,0097	0,0000
0,0017	0,0016	-0,0074	0,0042	0,0000	0,0215

B0 =

-0,0009	0,0006	-0,0031	0,0028	-0,0003	0,0047
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 3,597275 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0491 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 178,69941 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,89622 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 19,86502 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 20,12247 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 11,69186 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,00000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 291,27497 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 477,14937 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 127,40807 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 44,52871 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 68,77499 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 38,49306 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 39,60000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 795,95420 \$/hour

Total Emission = 0,06867 ton/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 7,38326 MW

Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -6,92693 Mvar

Total F = 0,21125

Elapsed time is 254,38 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

11. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan lima variabel objektif menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,41871e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	173,218	-40,191	0,000
2	1,048	-2,694	21,700	12,700	49,188	26,479	0,000
3	1,041	-7,576	94,200	19,000	20,299	41,776	0,000
4	1,039	-5,898	30,000	30,000	22,822	33,592	0,000
5	1,081	-6,074	0,000	0,000	12,612	5,734	0,000
6	1,101	-7,253	0,000	0,000	12,000	4,764	0,000
7	1,034	-6,835	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,037	-4,910	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,073	-7,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,076	-8,163	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,040	-4,092	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,097	-7,823	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,038	-5,716	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,088	-8,460	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,086	-8,599	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,084	-8,167	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,077	-8,340	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,077	-8,960	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,073	-9,049	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,075	-8,907	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,071	-8,537	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,071	-8,533	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,081	-8,952	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,070	-8,967	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,068	-8,808	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,056	-9,078	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,073	-8,539	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,038	-6,078	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,070	-9,612	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,058	-10,049	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	290,138	72,153	45,000
Total loss			6,739	-9,046			

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

B =

0,0167	0,0064	0,0024	-0,0013	0,0005	0,0019
0,0064	0,0123	0,0009	-0,0019	0,0005	0,0019
0,0024	0,0009	0,0583	-0,0201	-0,0057	-0,0053
-0,0013	-0,0019	-0,0201	0,0311	0,0055	0,0031
0,0005	0,0005	-0,0057	0,0055	0,0088	-0,0000
0,0019	0,0019	-0,0053	0,0031	-0,0000	0,0186

B0 =

-0,0008	0,0014	-0,0025	0,0021	-0,0003	0,0037
---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 3,579589 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0597 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 179,1844 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 48,5765 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 20,0321 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 19,5279 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 11,3307 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 290,6517 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 478,7703 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 126,3031 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 45,1126 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 66,6460 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 37,2019 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 39,6000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 793,6340 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 6,739 MW

Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -9,046 Mvar

Total Emission = 0,06977 ton/hour

Total F = 0,21125

Elapsed time is 389,22 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

12. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan lima variabel objektif menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan generator ramp rate sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,77924e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage		-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
	Mag.	Angle Degree	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	170,805	-72,959	0,000
2	1,058	-2,752	21,700	12,700	49,313	39,757	0,000
3	1,061	-7,554	94,200	19,000	19,183	53,277	0,000
4	1,059	-5,880	30,000	30,000	24,000	53,654	0,000
5	1,081	-5,897	0,000	0,000	13,000	-0,340	0,000
6	1,101	-6,851	0,000	0,000	14,000	-1,832	0,000
7	1,052	-6,765	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,049	-4,830	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,082	-6,823	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,085	-7,929	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,050	-4,024	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,103	-7,498	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,054	-5,648	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,095	-8,123	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,093	-8,275	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,092	-7,874	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,085	-8,082	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,085	-8,648	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,082	-8,749	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,084	-8,621	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,080	-8,287	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,081	-8,283	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,089	-8,645	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,079	-8,697	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,080	-8,594	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,069	-8,852	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,087	-8,364	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,054	-6,001	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,084	-9,386	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,072	-9,802	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	290,301	71,557	45,000
Total loss				6,902		- 9,642	

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

B =

0,0183	0,0045	0,0042	-0,0018	0,0007	0,0024
0,0045	0,0151	0,0009	-0,0027	0,0007	0,0018
0,0042	0,0009	0,0918	-0,0347	0,0008	0,0016
-0,0018	-0,0027	-0,0347	0,0553	0,0026	0,0006
0,0007	0,0007	0,0008	0,0026	0,0071	-0,0000
0,0024	0,0018	0,0016	0,0006	-0,0000	0,0159

B0 =

-0,0014	0,0021	-0,0029	0,0028	0,0002	0,0001
---------	--------	---------	--------	--------	--------

B00 =

0,0011

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 3,530687 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,0214 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 172,9497 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 47,6590 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 19,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 24,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 13,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 14,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 290,6087 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 458,0678 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 123,1526 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 41,5625 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 82,8038 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 43,2250 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 46,9000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 795,3117 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 6,90177 MW

Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -9,64174 Mvar

Total Emission = 0,05696 ton/hour

Total F = 0,21125

Elapsed time is 442,16 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

13. Hasil simulasi IEEE 30 Bus dengan lima variabel objektif menggunakan metode hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000705997

No. of Iterations = 5

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load----- MW Mvar		---Generation--- MW Mvar		Injected Mvar
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	167,437	-27,107	0,000
2	1,048	-0,653	21,700	12,700	49,588	-16,911	0,000
3	1,051	-1,970	94,200	19,000	19,727	59,849	0,000
4	1,049	-1,504	30,000	30,000	23,840	42,759	0,000
5	1,081	-1,490	0,000	0,000	12,693	-0,018	0,000
6	1,101	-1,930	0,000	0,000	12,000	-5,055	0,000
7	1,048	-1,753	22,800	10,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,046	-1,229	7,600	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,081	-1,730	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
10	1,086	-2,002	5,800	2,000	0,000	0,000	5,000
11	1,047	-1,027	2,400	1,200	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,102	-2,078	11,200	7,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
13	1,048	-1,452	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,099	-2,233	6,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	0,000
15	1,097	-2,232	8,200	2,500	0,000	0,000	5,000
16	1,095	-2,090	3,500	1,800	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,088	-2,071	9,000	5,800	0,000	0,000	5,000
18	1,092	-2,281	3,200	0,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,089	-2,277	9,500	3,400	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,089	-2,227	2,200	0,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
21	1,085	-2,104	17,500	11,200	0,000	0,000	5,000
22	1,085	-2,105	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,093	-2,291	3,200	1,600	0,000	0,000	5,000
24	1,087	-2,253	8,700	6,700	0,000	0,000	5,000
25	1,085	-2,205	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
26	1,082	-2,272	3,500	2,300	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,085	-2,134	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,049	-1,544	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,085	-2,405	2,400	0,900	0,000	0,000	5,000
30	1,081	-2,514	10,600	1,900	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			283,400	126,200	285,286	53,517	45,000
Total loss			1,995	-27,594			

HASIL SIMULASI IEEE 30 BUS

B =

0,0042	0,0021	0,0008	-0,0004	0,0002	0,0007
0,0021	0,0028	0,0010	-0,0005	0,0000	0,0005
0,0008	0,0010	0,0288	-0,0081	0,0002	0,0016
-0,0004	-0,0005	-0,0081	0,0105	0,0008	-0,0001
0,0002	0,0000	0,0002	0,0008	0,0019	-0,0000
0,0007	0,0005	0,0016	-0,0001	-0,0000	0,0049

B0 =

-0,0001	-0,0002	-0,0008	0,0009	0,0000	-0,0022
---------	---------	---------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

0,0032

Incremental cost of delivered power (system lambda) = 3,447621 \$/MWh

Absolute value of the slack bus real power mismatch, dpslack = 0,1743 pu

Daya Pembangkitan = 184,8716 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 47,4842 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 19,2406 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,1349 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 10,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 12,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 285,7313 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 497,9089 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 122,5553 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 42,3782 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 40,6665 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 32,5000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 39,6000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 775,6090 \$/hour

Total Emission = 0,08275 ton/hour

Total F = 0,21125

Elapsed time is 712,83 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

1. Hasil simulasi IEEE 62 Bus Indian Utility menggunakan metode FOA

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000741069

No. of Iterations = 2

Bus No.	Voltage	Angle	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
	Mag.	Degree	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	253,693	-23,332	0,000
2	1,060	0,442	0,000	0,000	190,581	24,890	0,000
3	1,061	-0,404	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
4	1,065	-1,146	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,070	0,765	0,000	0,000	255,687	92,424	0,000
6	1,060	0,402	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,060	0,379	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,059	0,357	109,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,050	0,073	66,000	23,000	78,202	19,433	0,000
10	1,012	-5,063	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,001	-6,621	161,000	93,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,016	-7,892	155,000	79,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,044	-5,995	132,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,050	-2,356	0,000	0,000	171,083	104,083	0,000
15	1,071	-2,488	155,000	63,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
16	1,040	-3,267	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,050	-3,239	0,000	0,000	190,612	19,732	0,000
18	1,033	-2,752	121,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,060	-4,360	130,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	0,999	-12,191	80,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
21	1,049	-8,424	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
22	1,042	-12,159	64,000	50,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,050	-12,657	0,000	0,000	151,842	142,903	0,000
24	1,036	-13,923	58,000	34,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
25	1,050	-12,708	0,000	0,000	250,249	89,626	0,000
26	1,017	-15,337	116,000	52,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,023	-16,041	85,000	35,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,042	-14,436	63,000	8,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,022	-16,457	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
30	1,008	-17,947	77,000	41,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
31	1,016	-17,806	51,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
32	1,050	-15,276	0,000	0,000	106,624	131,459	0,000
33	1,050	-14,899	46,000	25,000	62,380	16,365	0,000
34	1,040	-15,152	100,000	70,000	134,508	18,444	0,000
35	1,049	-15,358	107,000	33,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
36	1,049	-15,214	20,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
37	1,040	-14,319	0,000	0,000	78,533	-7,674	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
38	1,028	-17,000	166,000	22,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
39	1,033	-14,849	30,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
40	1,010	-17,146	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
41	1,014	-16,047	92,000	91,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
42	1,016	-15,930	30,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
43	1,013	-16,549	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
44	1,028	-14,012	109,000	17,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
45	1,019	-15,729	20,000	4,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
46	1,039	-13,841	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
47	1,022	-8,744	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
48	1,044	-0,197	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
49	1,060	1,228	0,000	0,000	213,957	-62,352	0,000
50	1,080	0,070	0,000	0,000	92,784	-42,568	0,000
51	1,050	-4,067	0,000	0,000	82,957	53,055	0,000
52	1,040	-7,828	0,000	0,000	24,608	19,364	0,000
53	1,010	-9,604	248,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
54	1,050	-2,556	0,000	0,000	72,633	-8,764	0,000
55	1,049	-5,499	94,000	29,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
56	1,060	-4,193	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
57	1,060	-3,926	0,000	0,000	219,441	-44,484	0,000
58	1,060	-4,643	0,000	0,000	339,708	135,195	0,000
59	1,039	-10,395	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
60	1,052	-6,112	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
61	1,052	-6,665	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
62	1,040	-11,492	93,000	23,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			2908,000	1270,000	2970,082	677,797	0,000
Total loss			62,288	-593,689			

B =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0109	0,0104	0,0099	0,0106	0,0082	0,0061	-0,0027	-0,0031	-
0,0047	-0,0066	-0,0067	-0,0061					
0,0104	0,0117	0,0112	0,0108	0,0094	0,0063	-0,0034	-0,0034	-
0,0067	-0,0072	-0,0072	-0,0061					
0,0099	0,0112	0,0142	0,0111	0,0108	0,0066	-0,0041	-0,0037	-
0,0088	-0,0079	-0,0076	-0,0062					
0,0106	0,0108	0,0111	0,0136	0,0101	0,0065	-0,0038	-0,0035	-
0,0080	-0,0076	-0,0075	-0,0062					
0,0082	0,0094	0,0108	0,0101	0,0128	0,0070	-0,0046	-0,0037	-
0,0111	-0,0083	-0,0078	-0,0058					

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

B =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0061	0,0063	0,0066	0,0065	0,0070	0,0087	-0,0021	-0,0020	-
0,0055	-0,0057	-0,0056	-0,0045					
-0,0027	-0,0034	-0,0041	-0,0038	-0,0046	-0,0021	0,0091	0,0060	0,0013
0,0007	0,0007	0,0011						
-0,0031	-0,0034	-0,0037	-0,0035	-0,0037	-0,0020	0,0060	0,0058	0,0008
0,0005	0,0005	0,0011						
-0,0047	-0,0067	-0,0088	-0,0080	-0,0111	-0,0055	0,0013	0,0008	0,0290
0,0152	0,0136	0,0057						
-0,0066	-0,0072	-0,0079	-0,0076	-0,0083	-0,0057	0,0007	0,0005	0,0152
0,0208	0,0131	0,0065						
-0,0067	-0,0072	-0,0076	-0,0075	-0,0078	-0,0056	0,0007	0,0005	0,0136
0,0131	0,0141	0,0066						
-0,0061	-0,0061	-0,0062	-0,0062	-0,0058	-0,0045	0,0011	0,0011	0,0057
0,0065	0,0066	0,0085						
-0,0051	-0,0046	-0,0041	-0,0044	-0,0031	-0,0033	0,0000	0,0002	0,0002
0,0024	0,0027	0,0045						
-0,0051	-0,0044	-0,0038	-0,0041	-0,0026	-0,0031	-0,0001	0,0002	-
0,0007	0,0021	0,0025	0,0043					
-0,0036	-0,0041	-0,0046	-0,0044	-0,0048	-0,0030	-0,0003	-0,0001	0,0011
0,0010	0,0010	0,0021						
-0,0027	-0,0032	-0,0037	-0,0035	-0,0040	-0,0022	-0,0003	0,0000	-
0,0008	-0,0004	-0,0003	0,0009					
-0,0041	-0,0039	-0,0037	-0,0038	-0,0031	-0,0028	-0,0003	-0,0001	0,0002
0,0010	0,0011	0,0024						
-0,0017	-0,0016	-0,0014	-0,0015	-0,0010	-0,0008	-0,0003	-0,0001	-
0,0010	-0,0011	-0,0010	-0,0000					
-0,0018	-0,0019	-0,0020	-0,0019	-0,0018	-0,0010	-0,0001	0,0001	-
0,0014	-0,0010	-0,0009	0,0002					

Columns 13 through 19

-0,0051	-0,0051	-0,0036	-0,0027	-0,0041	-0,0017	-0,0018
-0,0046	-0,0044	-0,0041	-0,0032	-0,0039	-0,0016	-0,0019
-0,0041	-0,0038	-0,0046	-0,0037	-0,0037	-0,0014	-0,0020
-0,0044	-0,0041	-0,0044	-0,0035	-0,0038	-0,0015	-0,0019
-0,0031	-0,0026	-0,0048	-0,0040	-0,0031	-0,0010	-0,0018
-0,0033	-0,0031	-0,0030	-0,0022	-0,0028	-0,0008	-0,0010
0,0000	-0,0001	-0,0003	-0,0003	-0,0003	-0,0003	-0,0001
0,0002	0,0002	-0,0001	0,0000	-0,0001	-0,0001	0,0001
0,0002	-0,0007	0,0011	-0,0008	0,0002	-0,0010	-0,0014
0,0024	0,0021	0,0010	-0,0004	0,0010	-0,0011	-0,0010
0,0027	0,0025	0,0010	-0,0003	0,0011	-0,0010	-0,0009
0,0045	0,0043	0,0021	0,0009	0,0024	-0,0000	0,0002
0,0193	0,0180	0,0057	0,0024	0,0098	0,0021	0,0018

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Columns 13 through 19

0,0180	0,0195	0,0049	0,0019	0,0100	0,0022	0,0017
0,0057	0,0049	0,0157	0,0082	0,0093	0,0028	0,0040
0,0024	0,0019	0,0082	0,0180	0,0042	0,0028	0,0047
0,0098	0,0100	0,0093	0,0042	0,0130	0,0030	0,0028
0,0021	0,0022	0,0028	0,0028	0,0030	0,0064	0,0046
0,0018	0,0017	0,0040	0,0047	0,0028	0,0046	0,0059

B0 =

Columns 1 through 12

-0,0076	-0,0126	-0,0174	-0,0158	-0,0231	-0,0089	0,0110	0,0068	0,0407
0,0193	0,0160	0,0082						

Columns 13 through 19

-0,0077	-0,0117	0,0092	0,0060	-0,0020	-0,0027	0,0023
---------	---------	--------	--------	---------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0626

Daya Pembangkitan = 253,693 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,581 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 255,687 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 78,202 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 171,083 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,612 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 151,842 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 250,249 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 106,624 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 62,380 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 134,508 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 78,533 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 213,957 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 92,784 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 82,957 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 24,608 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 72,633 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 219,441 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 339,708 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 2970,082 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 2270,637 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 992,090 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 1427,315 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 91,761 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 982,598 \$/hour

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Biaya Pembangkitan = 1052,279 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 905,521 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 1766,929 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 791,378 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 96,973 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 361,629 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 160,172 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 689,011 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 272,194 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 514,630 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 127,176 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 84,927 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 592,800 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 2881,606 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 16061,626 \$/hour
 Elapsed time is 946,24 seconds.

2. Hasil simulasi IEEE 62 Bus Indian Utility menggunakan metode ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 1,43164e-05

No. of Iterations = 10

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	255,146	-438,287	0,000
2	1,070	0,165	0,000	0,000	190,581	253,269	0,000
3	1,072	-0,376	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
4	1,077	-0,850	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,070	0,467	0,000	0,000	255,687	10,614	0,000
6	1,065	0,192	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,065	0,179	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,064	0,168	109,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,050	0,048	66,000	23,000	78,202	19,430	0,000
10	1,064	-3,605	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,073	-4,701	161,000	93,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,181	-6,391	155,000	79,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,111	-4,375	132,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,070	-1,691	0,000	0,000	171,083	203,504	0,000
15	1,091	-1,736	155,000	63,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
16	1,056	-2,220	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,050	-2,045	0,000	0,000	190,612	-411,973	0,000
18	1,054	-1,940	121,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
19	1,092	-2,942	130,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,194	-8,541	80,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
21	1,148	-6,006	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
22	1,216	-8,461	64,000	50,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,240	-8,837	0,000	0,000	151,842	-63,142	0,000
24	1,242	-9,479	58,000	34,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
25	1,250	-8,943	0,000	0,000	250,249	308,441	0,000
26	1,233	-10,142	116,000	52,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,245	-10,501	85,000	35,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,246	-9,745	63,000	8,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,248	-10,703	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
30	1,251	-11,387	77,000	41,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
31	1,259	-11,342	51,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
32	1,280	-10,241	0,000	0,000	106,624	-15,512	0,000
33	1,280	-10,074	46,000	25,000	62,380	-4,718	0,000
34	1,280	-10,231	100,000	70,000	134,508	89,812	0,000
35	1,280	-10,280	107,000	33,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
36	1,281	-10,225	20,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
37	1,280	-9,871	0,000	0,000	78,533	-34,659	0,000
38	1,275	-11,030	166,000	22,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
39	1,273	-10,086	30,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
40	1,251	-11,033	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
41	1,251	-10,546	92,000	91,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
42	1,254	-10,505	30,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
43	1,253	-10,775	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
44	1,268	-9,703	109,000	17,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
45	1,250	-10,384	20,000	4,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
46	1,281	-9,675	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
47	1,289	-7,645	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
48	1,350	-4,388	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
49	1,370	-3,809	0,000	0,000	213,957	-131,568	0,000
50	1,400	-4,302	0,000	0,000	92,784	134,010	0,000
51	1,320	-5,694	0,000	0,000	82,957	77,861	0,000
52	1,280	-6,992	0,000	0,000	24,608	-7,718	0,000
53	1,283	-7,857	248,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
54	1,330	-5,150	0,000	0,000	72,633	7,467	0,000
55	1,289	-6,045	94,000	29,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
56	1,280	-5,333	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
57	1,280	-5,212	0,000	0,000	219,441	-46,718	0,000
58	1,280	-5,535	0,000	0,000	39,708	393,258	0,000
59	1,271	-8,102	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
60	1,267	-6,119	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
61	1,273	-6,411	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
62	1,257	-8,489	93,000	23,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			2908,000	1270,000	2971,535	343,371	0,000
Total loss			63,537	-929,379			

B =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0234	-0,0071	0,0052	0,0034	-0,0053	0,0150	-0,0029	0,0022	-
0,0035	-0,0033	0,0014	-0,0047					
-0,0071	0,0172	0,0060	0,0074	0,0119	-0,0052	-0,0009	-0,0047	-
0,0032	-0,0036	-0,0066	-0,0017					
0,0052	0,0060	0,0070	0,0055	0,0046	0,0033	-0,0017	-0,0017	-
0,0033	-0,0034	-0,0032	-0,0030					
0,0034	0,0074	0,0055	0,0076	0,0058	0,0019	-0,0016	-0,0023	-
0,0033	-0,0035	-0,0038	-0,0028					
-0,0053	0,0119	0,0046	0,0058	0,0117	-0,0049	-0,0008	-0,0040	-
0,0029	-0,0033	-0,0059	-0,0016					
0,0150	-0,0052	0,0033	0,0019	-0,0049	0,0278	-0,0019	0,0016	-
0,0029	-0,0027	0,0017	-0,0039					
-0,0029	-0,0009	-0,0017	-0,0016	-0,0008	-0,0019	0,0027	0,0011	0,0004
0,0003	0,0002	0,0008						
0,0022	-0,0047	-0,0017	-0,0023	-0,0040	0,0016	0,0011	0,0062	0,0004
0,0004	0,0007	0,0003						
-0,0035	-0,0032	-0,0033	-0,0033	-0,0029	-0,0029	0,0004	0,0004	0,0051
0,0050	0,0045	0,0031						
-0,0033	-0,0036	-0,0034	-0,0035	-0,0033	-0,0027	0,0003	0,0004	0,0050
0,0085	0,0052	0,0030						
0,0014	-0,0066	-0,0032	-0,0038	-0,0059	0,0017	0,0002	0,0007	0,0045
0,0052	0,0086	0,0020						
-0,0047	-0,0017	-0,0030	-0,0028	-0,0016	-0,0039	0,0008	0,0003	0,0031
0,0030	0,0020	0,0043						
-0,0049	-0,0004	-0,0023	-0,0020	-0,0004	-0,0040	0,0004	-0,0001	0,0016
0,0015	0,0006	0,0025						
0,0035	-0,0068	-0,0025	-0,0032	-0,0060	0,0033	0,0003	0,0009	0,0016
0,0017	0,0032	0,0012						
0,0013	-0,0042	-0,0019	-0,0023	-0,0036	0,0013	0,0002	0,0001	0,0006
0,0005	0,0008	0,0007						
-0,0023	-0,0010	-0,0016	-0,0015	-0,0009	-0,0017	0,0001	-0,0001	0,0000
-0,0001	-0,0001	0,0005						
-0,0016	-0,0023	-0,0020	-0,0021	-0,0020	-0,0011	0,0002	0,0001	0,0008
0,0007	0,0007	0,0012						
-0,0015	-0,0007	-0,0010	-0,0010	-0,0006	-0,0009	0,0002	-0,0000	-
0,0002	-0,0002	-0,0003	0,0002					

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

B =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0009	-0,0026	-0,0011	-0,0014	-0,0022	0,0007	0,0002	0,0004	-
0,0000	-0,0001	-0,0003	0,0002					

Columns 13 through 19

-0,0049	0,0035	0,0013	-0,0023	-0,0016	-0,0015	0,0009
-0,0004	-0,0068	-0,0042	-0,0010	-0,0023	-0,0007	-0,0026
-0,0023	-0,0025	-0,0019	-0,0016	-0,0020	-0,0010	-0,0011
-0,0020	-0,0032	-0,0023	-0,0015	-0,0021	-0,0010	-0,0014
-0,0004	-0,0060	-0,0036	-0,0009	-0,0020	-0,0006	-0,0022
-0,0040	0,0033	0,0013	-0,0017	-0,0011	-0,0009	0,0007
0,0004	0,0003	0,0002	0,0001	0,0002	0,0002	0,0002
-0,0001	0,0009	0,0001	-0,0001	0,0001	-0,0000	0,0004
0,0016	0,0016	0,0006	0,0000	0,0008	-0,0002	-0,0000
0,0015	0,0017	0,0005	-0,0001	0,0007	-0,0002	-0,0001
0,0006	0,0032	0,0008	-0,0001	0,0007	-0,0003	-0,0003
0,0025	0,0012	0,0007	0,0005	0,0012	0,0002	0,0002
0,0095	0,0006	0,0012	0,0019	0,0035	0,0011	0,0003
0,0006	0,0191	0,0073	0,0009	0,0044	0,0006	0,0025
0,0012	0,0073	0,0088	0,0018	0,0046	0,0011	0,0030
0,0019	0,0009	0,0018	0,0053	0,0021	0,0018	0,0011
0,0035	0,0044	0,0046	0,0021	0,0053	0,0013	0,0015
0,0011	0,0006	0,0011	0,0018	0,0013	0,0029	0,0017
0,0003	0,0025	0,0030	0,0011	0,0015	0,0017	0,0054

B0 =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0282	-0,0283	-0,0043	-0,0087	-0,0242	0,0197	-0,0003	0,0092	0,0023
0,0037	0,0155	-0,0028						

Columns 13 through 19

-0,0089	0,0253	0,0097	-0,0018	0,0020	-0,0011	0,0068
---------	--------	--------	---------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0753

Daya Pembangkitan = 255,146 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,581 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 255,687 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 78,202 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 171,083 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,612 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 151,842 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 250,249 MW

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Daya Pembangkitan = 106,624 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 62,380 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 134,508 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 78,533 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 213,957 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 92,784 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 82,957 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 24,608 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 72,633 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 219,441 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 339,708 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 2971,535 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 2285,689 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 992,090 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 1427,315 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 91,761 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 982,598 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 1052,279 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 905,521 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 1766,929 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 791,378 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 96,973 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 361,629 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 160,172 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 689,011 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 272,194 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 514,630 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 127,176 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 84,927 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 592,800 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 2881,606 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 16076,678 \$/hour
Elapsed time is 1242,58 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

3. Hasil simulasi IEEE 62 Bus Indian Utility menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = $2,62235e-13$

No. of Iterations = 1

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	252,837	-102,436	0,000
2	1,060	0,469	0,000	0,000	190,581	10,475	0,000
3	1,063	-0,440	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
4	1,069	-1,242	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,070	0,815	0,000	0,000	255,687	74,131	0,000
6	1,060	0,427	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,060	0,403	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,059	0,380	109,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,050	0,077	66,000	23,000	78,202	19,433	0,000
10	1,034	-5,456	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,031	-7,117	161,000	93,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,107	-8,909	155,000	79,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,078	-6,508	132,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,060	-2,556	0,000	0,000	171,083	162,087	0,000
15	1,078	-2,664	155,000	63,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
16	1,047	-3,452	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
17	1,050	-3,318	0,000	0,000	190,612	-140,640	0,000
18	1,043	-2,966	121,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,069	-4,628	130,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,108	-12,716	80,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
21	1,111	-8,953	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
22	1,150	-12,631	64,000	50,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,170	-13,138	0,000	0,000	151,842	190,321	0,000
24	1,162	-14,218	58,000	34,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
25	1,170	-13,175	0,000	0,000	250,249	55,215	0,000
26	1,140	-15,394	116,000	52,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,151	-15,993	85,000	35,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,163	-14,642	63,000	8,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,153	-16,343	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
30	1,145	-17,556	77,000	41,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
31	1,152	-17,419	51,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
32	1,180	-15,261	0,000	0,000	106,624	-21,615	0,000
33	1,190	-15,043	46,000	25,000	62,380	26,042	0,000
34	1,180	-15,244	100,000	70,000	134,508	87,666	0,000
35	1,179	-15,333	107,000	33,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
36	1,181	-15,226	20,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
37	1,180	-14,561	0,000	0,000	78,533	-29,944	0,000
38	1,170	-16,754	166,000	22,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
39	1,173	-15,001	30,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
40	1,148	-16,900	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
41	1,151	-15,997	92,000	91,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
42	1,153	-15,901	30,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
43	1,151	-16,410	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
44	1,167	-14,323	109,000	17,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
45	1,153	-15,734	20,000	4,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
46	1,181	-14,176	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
47	1,180	-10,172	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
48	1,228	-3,675	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
49	1,260	-2,712	0,000	0,000	213,957	62,068	0,000
50	1,270	-3,464	0,000	0,000	92,784	-128,466	0,000
51	1,210	-6,421	0,000	0,000	82,957	37,343	0,000
52	1,180	-9,222	0,000	0,000	24,608	9,501	0,000
53	1,164	-10,734	248,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
54	1,220	-5,334	0,000	0,000	72,633	19,302	0,000
55	1,190	-7,353	94,000	29,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
56	1,190	-6,157	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
57	1,190	-5,934	0,000	0,000	219,441	-45,543	0,000
58	1,190	-6,532	0,000	0,000	339,708	196,769	0,000
59	1,175	-11,334	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
60	1,178	-7,720	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
61	1,182	-8,211	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
62	1,167	-12,203	93,000	23,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			2908,000	1270,000	2969,226	481,711	0,000
Total loss			61,226	-790,806			

B =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0131	0,0107	0,0094	0,0101	0,0050	0,0084	-0,0014	-0,0030	-
0,0072	-0,0050	-0,0040	-0,0069					
0,0107	0,0120	0,0112	0,0110	0,0092	0,0065	-0,0033	-0,0034	-
0,0070	-0,0068	-0,0066	-0,0063					
0,0094	0,0112	0,0142	0,0113	0,0112	0,0056	-0,0043	-0,0036	-
0,0069	-0,0077	-0,0078	-0,0059					
0,0101	0,0110	0,0113	0,0141	0,0110	0,0057	-0,0042	-0,0036	-
0,0070	-0,0077	-0,0077	-0,0060					
0,0050	0,0092	0,0112	0,0110	0,0179	0,0024	-0,0066	-0,0038	-
0,0060	-0,0097	-0,0110	-0,0043					

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

0,0084 0,0065 0,0056 0,0057 0,0024 0,0136 -0,0005 -0,0018 -
 0,0057 -0,0033 -0,0022 -0,0056
 -0,0014 -0,0033 -0,0043 -0,0042 -0,0066 -0,0005 0,0111 0,0051
 0,0009 0,0013 0,0016 0,0008
 -0,0030 -0,0034 -0,0036 -0,0036 -0,0038 -0,0018 0,0051 0,0049
 0,0010 0,0008 0,0009 0,0012

B =

Columns 1 through 12

-0,0072 -0,0070 -0,0069 -0,0070 -0,0060 -0,0057 0,0009 0,0010
 0,0107 0,0094 0,0089 0,0068
 -0,0050 -0,0068 -0,0077 -0,0077 -0,0097 -0,0033 0,0013 0,0008
 0,0094 0,0196 0,0141 0,0051
 -0,0040 -0,0066 -0,0078 -0,0077 -0,0110 -0,0022 0,0016 0,0009
 0,0089 0,0141 0,0172 0,0044
 -0,0069 -0,0063 -0,0059 -0,0060 -0,0043 -0,0056 0,0008 0,0012
 0,0068 0,0051 0,0044 0,0086
 -0,0047 -0,0052 -0,0054 -0,0054 -0,0055 -0,0033 0,0007 0,0007
 0,0038 0,0036 0,0036 0,0044
 -0,0074 -0,0042 -0,0025 -0,0027 0,0028 -0,0068 -0,0011 0,0001
 0,0033 -0,0003 -0,0017 0,0055
 -0,0032 -0,0039 -0,0042 -0,0042 -0,0048 -0,0020 0,0000 0,0002
 0,0014 0,0012 0,0012 0,0019
 -0,0025 -0,0031 -0,0034 -0,0033 -0,0037 -0,0016 -0,0001 0,0002
 0,0004 -0,0000 -0,0001 0,0010
 -0,0037 -0,0042 -0,0044 -0,0044 -0,0045 -0,0026 0,0001 0,0003
 0,0019 0,0017 0,0016 0,0026
 -0,0021 -0,0019 -0,0017 -0,0017 -0,0010 -0,0014 -0,0002 0,0001 -
 0,0003 -0,0006 -0,0007 0,0004
 -0,0017 -0,0021 -0,0023 -0,0023 -0,0026 -0,0010 0,0002 0,0004 -
 0,0000 -0,0005 -0,0006 0,0006

Columns 13 through 19

-0,0047 -0,0074 -0,0032 -0,0025 -0,0037 -0,0021 -0,0017
 -0,0052 -0,0042 -0,0039 -0,0031 -0,0042 -0,0019 -0,0021
 -0,0054 -0,0025 -0,0042 -0,0034 -0,0044 -0,0017 -0,0023
 -0,0054 -0,0027 -0,0042 -0,0033 -0,0044 -0,0017 -0,0023
 -0,0055 0,0028 -0,0048 -0,0037 -0,0045 -0,0010 -0,0026
 -0,0033 -0,0068 -0,0020 -0,0016 -0,0026 -0,0014 -0,0010
 0,0007 -0,0011 0,0000 -0,0001 0,0001 -0,0002 0,0002
 0,0007 0,0001 0,0002 0,0002 0,0003 0,0001 0,0004
 0,0038 0,0033 0,0014 0,0004 0,0019 -0,0003 -0,0000
 0,0036 -0,0003 0,0012 -0,0000 0,0017 -0,0006 -0,0005
 0,0036 -0,0017 0,0012 -0,0001 0,0016 -0,0007 -0,0006
 0,0044 0,0055 0,0019 0,0010 0,0026 0,0004 0,0006
 0,0149 0,0077 0,0070 0,0035 0,0083 0,0017 0,0022

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Columns 13 through 19

0,0077	0,0371	0,0020	0,0012	0,0046	0,0025	0,0004
0,0070	0,0020	0,0110	0,0055	0,0094	0,0025	0,0035
0,0035	0,0012	0,0055	0,0107	0,0047	0,0028	0,0039
0,0083	0,0046	0,0094	0,0047	0,0111	0,0024	0,0030
0,0017	0,0025	0,0025	0,0028	0,0024	0,0055	0,0038
0,0022	0,0004	0,0035	0,0039	0,0030	0,0038	0,0058

B0 =

Columns 1 through 12

0,0014	-0,0124	-0,0191	-0,0190	-0,0381	0,0040	0,0169	0,0072
0,0080	0,0257	0,0313	0,0013				

Columns 13 through 19

0,0118	-0,0382	0,0090	0,0047	0,0074	-0,0022	0,0056
--------	---------	--------	--------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0727

Daya Pembangkitan = 252,8367 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,5810 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 255,6870 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 78,020 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 171,0830 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,6120 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 151,8420 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 250,2490 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 106,6240 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 62,3800 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 134,5080 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 78,5330 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 213,9570 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 92,7840 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 82,9570 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 24,6080 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 72,6330 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 219,4410 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 339,7080 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 2969,2257 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 2261,7743 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 992,0901 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 1427,3151 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 91,7606 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 982,5982 \$/hour

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Biaya Pembangkitan = 1052,2791 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 905,5214 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 1766,9292 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 791,3778 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 96,9725 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 361,6286 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 160,1716 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 689,0106 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 272,1943 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 514,6300 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 127,1762 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 84,9269 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 592,8002 \$/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 2881,6062 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 16052,3630 \$/hour
 Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 61,2257 MW
 Total Rugi Daya Reaktif = -790,8061 Mvar
 Elapsed time is 1525,79 seconds.

4. Hasil simulasi IEEE 62 Bus Indian Utility menggunakan metode hybrid MFOA-ABC

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,27374e-13

No. of Iterations = 1

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	1,050	0,000	0,000	0,000	251,236	-91,524	0,000
2	1,060	0,470	0,000	0,000	190,581	10,476	0,000
3	1,063	-0,437	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
4	1,069	-1,238	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
5	1,070	0,817	0,000	0,000	255,687	74,110	0,000
6	1,060	0,428	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
7	1,060	0,404	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
8	1,059	0,382	109,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
9	1,050	0,077	66,000	23,000	78,202	19,433	0,000
10	1,026	-5,388	40,000	10,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
11	1,020	-7,033	161,000	93,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
12	1,072	-8,640	155,000	79,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
13	1,066	-6,401	132,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
14	1,060	-2,548	0,000	0,000	171,083	187,003	0,000
15	1,078	-2,658	155,000	63,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
16	1,046	-3,425	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
17	1,050	-3,299	0,000	0,000	190,612	-89,211	0,000
18	1,043	-2,957	121,000	46,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
19	1,069	-4,619	130,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
20	1,063	-12,622	80,000	70,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
21	1,085	-8,773	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
22	1,105	-12,490	64,000	50,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
23	1,120	-12,987	0,000	0,000	151,842	134,716	0,000
24	1,114	-14,185	58,000	34,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
25	1,120	-13,029	0,000	0,000	250,249	55,410	0,000
26	1,088	-15,456	116,000	52,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
27	1,102	-16,092	85,000	35,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
28	1,113	-14,630	63,000	8,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
29	1,105	-16,471	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
30	1,103	-17,785	77,000	41,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
31	1,114	-17,598	51,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
32	1,150	-15,249	0,000	0,000	106,624	91,565	0,000
33	1,150	-14,869	46,000	25,000	62,380	13,307	0,000
34	1,140	-15,036	100,000	70,000	134,508	-74,210	0,000
35	1,149	-15,316	107,000	33,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
36	1,151	-15,244	20,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
37	1,150	-14,766	0,000	0,000	78,533	65,653	0,000
38	1,134	-16,870	166,000	22,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
39	1,140	-15,202	30,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
40	1,106	-17,112	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
41	1,109	-16,170	92,000	91,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
42	1,112	-16,077	30,000	25,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
43	1,110	-16,623	25,000	5,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
44	1,127	-14,406	109,000	17,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
45	1,110	-15,873	20,000	4,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
46	1,151	-14,338	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
47	1,160	-10,208	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
48	1,225	-3,660	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
49	1,250	-2,624	0,000	0,000	213,957	-21,644	0,000
50	1,270	-3,474	0,000	0,000	92,784	17,459	0,000
51	1,180	-6,130	0,000	0,000	82,957	15,334	0,000
52	1,140	-8,948	0,000	0,000	24,608	17,065	0,000
53	1,128	-10,627	248,000	78,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
54	1,200	-5,116	0,000	0,000	72,633	43,899	0,000
55	1,146	-6,908	94,000	29,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
56	1,140	-5,506	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
57	1,140	-5,264	0,000	0,000	219,441	-45,108	0,000
58	1,140	-5,916	0,000	0,000	339,708	106,823	0,000
59	1,131	-11,165	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
60	1,131	-7,233	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
61	1,135	-7,762	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
62	1,118	-12,030	93,000	23,000	0,000	0,000	0,000
Total			2908,000	1270,000	2967,625	530,556	0,000
Total loss			59,625	-741,899			

B =

Columns 1 through 14

0,0129	0,0108	0,0096	0,0103	0,0049	0,0076	-0,0021	-0,0031	-
0,0035	-0,0060	-0,0088	-0,0033	-0,0052	-0,0048			
0,0108	0,0121	0,0114	0,0111	0,0093	0,0066	-0,0034	-0,0035	-
0,0064	-0,0071	-0,0076	-0,0057	-0,0049	-0,0050			
0,0096	0,0114	0,0143	0,0114	0,0117	0,0060	-0,0041	-0,0037	-
0,0079	-0,0077	-0,0069	-0,0070	-0,0046	-0,0052			
0,0103	0,0111	0,0114	0,0142	0,0114	0,0061	-0,0040	-0,0037	-
0,0078	-0,0077	-0,0071	-0,0069	-0,0047	-0,0052			
0,0049	0,0093	0,0117	0,0114	0,0210	0,0036	-0,0061	-0,0040	-
0,0130	-0,0091	-0,0039	-0,0112	-0,0033	-0,0050			
0,0076	0,0066	0,0060	0,0061	0,0036	0,0109	-0,0014	-0,0019	-
0,0026	-0,0047	-0,0070	-0,0024	-0,0039	-0,0035			
-0,0021	-0,0034	-0,0041	-0,0040	-0,0061	-0,0014	0,0083	0,0052	0,0016
0,0010	0,0006	0,0023	0,0003	0,0006				
-0,0031	-0,0035	-0,0037	-0,0037	-0,0040	-0,0019	0,0052	0,0052	0,0010
0,0008	0,0008	0,0015	0,0005	0,0006				
-0,0035	-0,0064	-0,0079	-0,0078	-0,0130	-0,0026	0,0016	0,0010	0,0185
0,0126	0,0056	0,0112	0,0022	0,0034				
-0,0060	-0,0071	-0,0077	-0,0077	-0,0091	-0,0047	0,0010	0,0008	0,0126
0,0185	0,0103	0,0076	0,0030	0,0035				
-0,0088	-0,0076	-0,0069	-0,0071	-0,0039	-0,0070	0,0006	0,0008	0,0056
0,0103	0,0166	0,0037	0,0040	0,0037				
-0,0033	-0,0057	-0,0070	-0,0069	-0,0112	-0,0024	0,0023	0,0015	0,0112
0,0076	0,0037	0,0132	0,0028	0,0042				
-0,0052	-0,0049	-0,0046	-0,0047	-0,0033	-0,0039	0,0003	0,0005	0,0022
0,0030	0,0040	0,0028	0,0140	0,0121				
-0,0048	-0,0050	-0,0052	-0,0052	-0,0050	-0,0035	0,0006	0,0006	0,0034
0,0035	0,0037	0,0042	0,0121	0,0131				
-0,0036	-0,0038	-0,0039	-0,0039	-0,0039	-0,0026	-0,0001	0,0001	0,0009
0,0010	0,0012	0,0017	0,0062	0,0066				
-0,0023	-0,0032	-0,0036	-0,0036	-0,0049	-0,0015	0,0000	0,0002	0,0000
0,0001	0,0004	0,0011	0,0028	0,0036				

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

B =

Columns 1 through 14

-0,0033	-0,0043	-0,0048	-0,0048	-0,0060	-0,0024	0,0002	0,0003	0,0024						
0,0020	0,0018	0,0035	0,0072	0,0087										
-0,0021	-0,0018	-0,0017	-0,0017	-0,0008	-0,0012	-0,0001	0,0001	-						
0,0007	-0,0007	-0,0005	-0,0002	0,0019	0,0018									
-0,0019	-0,0020	-0,0021	-0,0021	-0,0020	-0,0011	0,0001	0,0003	-						
0,0007	-0,0006	-0,0002	0,0001	0,0018	0,0020									

Columns 15 through 19

-0,0036	-0,0023	-0,0033	-0,0021	-0,0019
-0,0038	-0,0032	-0,0043	-0,0018	-0,0020
-0,0039	-0,0036	-0,0048	-0,0017	-0,0021
-0,0039	-0,0036	-0,0048	-0,0017	-0,0021

Columns 15 through 19

-0,0039	-0,0049	-0,0060	-0,0008	-0,0020
-0,0026	-0,0015	-0,0024	-0,0012	-0,0011
-0,0001	0,0000	0,0002	-0,0001	0,0001
0,0001	0,0002	0,0003	0,0001	0,0003
0,0009	0,0000	0,0024	-0,0007	-0,0007
0,0010	0,0001	0,0020	-0,0007	-0,0006
0,0012	0,0004	0,0018	-0,0005	-0,0002
0,0017	0,0011	0,0035	-0,0002	0,0001
0,0062	0,0028	0,0072	0,0019	0,0018
0,0066	0,0036	0,0087	0,0018	0,0020
0,0099	0,0055	0,0098	0,0028	0,0031
0,0055	0,0147	0,0063	0,0027	0,0040
0,0098	0,0063	0,0145	0,0023	0,0032
0,0028	0,0027	0,0023	0,0059	0,0044
0,0031	0,0040	0,0032	0,0044	0,0052

B0 =

Columns 1 through 14

-0,0004	-0,0122	-0,0185	-0,0184	-0,0403	-0,0010	0,0129	0,0069	0,0362
0,0198	-0,0008	0,0324	-0,0001	0,0087				

Columns 15 through 19

0,0041	0,0075	0,0137	-0,0024	0,0026
--------	--------	--------	---------	--------

B00 =

0,0697

Daya Pembangkitan = 251,2358 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,5810 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 255,6870 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 78,2020 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 171,0830 MW

HASIL SIMULASI INDIAN UTILITY 62 BUS

Daya Pembangkitan = 190,6120 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 151,8420 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 250,2490 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 106,6240 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 62,3800 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 134,5080 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 78,5330 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 213,9570 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 92,7840 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 82,9570 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 24,6080 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 72,6330 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 219,4410 MW
Daya Pembangkitan = 339,7080 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 2967,6248 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 2245,2399 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 992,0901 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 1427,3151 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 91,7606 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 982,5982 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 1052,2791 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 905,5214 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 1766,9292 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 791,3778 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 96,9725 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 361,6286 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 160,1716 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 689,0106 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 272,1943 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 514,6300 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 127,1762 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 84,9269 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 592,8002 \$/hour
Biaya Pembangkitan = 2881,6062 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 16035,3630 \$/hour
Elapsed time is 1530,89 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

1. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV menggunakan metode FOA dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 4,40322e-07

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		----Generation----		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-12,9251	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	85,8798	0,0000
2	0,9434	-13,3991	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9069	-15,7206	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9431	-12,8479	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9364	-13,0682	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9410	-12,4783	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9544	-9,3004	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-7,6996	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-12,7741	0,0000
9	0,9460	-10,8471	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9365	-11,9999	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9687	-5,0484	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-3,9824	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-12,6712	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	248,1988	56,9570	0,0000
14	0,9794	-2,3789	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9711	-3,1901	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9647	-3,7291	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9617	-4,1085	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9639	-3,6421	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-5,5088	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	45,6699	0,0000
20	0,9727	-6,2087	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9717	-6,7222	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9726	-6,5510	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9843	-2,7965	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9913	-1,0268	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	2,7687	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	66,4059	0,0000
26	0,9404	-6,7747	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-7,7636	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-2,8547	0,0000
28	0,9564	-8,6122	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9515	-9,2362	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	585,8988	226,6126	0,0000
Total loss			20,749	56,873			

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B =

0,7980	0,0156	-0,0572	0,0003	-0,0388	-0,0077	0,0539
0,0156	0,0242	-0,0065	-0,0036	0,0072	0,0038	0,0017
-0,0572	-0,0065	0,0425	0,0073	0,0023	-0,0028	-0,0158
0,0003	-0,0036	0,0073	0,0191	-0,0051	-0,0032	-0,0078
-0,0388	0,0072	0,0023	-0,0051	0,0294	0,0062	0,0016
-0,0077	0,0038	-0,0028	-0,0032	0,0062	0,0188	0,0083
0,0539	0,0017	-0,0158	-0,0078	0,0016	0,0083	0,1513

B0 =

-0,0176	-0,0011	0,0005	0,0001	0,0032	0,0004	-0,0009
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

4,1365e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,9300 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 248,1988 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,1000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,6700 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 585,8988 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 107408041,2579 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,5938 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,0000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 364980079,8517 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 20,7489 MW

Elapsed time is 370,47 seconds.

2. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV menggunakan metode ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000247754

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-12,9925	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	86,1131	0,0000

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
2	0,9434	-13,4687	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9067	-15,8015	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9430	-12,9149	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9363	-13,1362	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9409	-12,5435	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9544	-9,3506	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-7,7423	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-12,6879	0,0000
9	0,9460	-10,9042	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9364	-12,0624	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9685	-5,0773	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-4,0058	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-12,1045	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	248,2684	56,4909	0,0000
14	0,9794	-2,3917	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9710	-3,2070	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9646	-3,7489	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9615	-4,1304	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9637	-3,6620	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-5,5410	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	45,7057	0,0000
20	0,9727	-6,2441	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9717	-6,7600	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9726	-6,5880	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9842	-2,8146	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9912	-1,0352	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	2,7776	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	66,2424	0,0000
26	0,9404	-6,8139	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-7,8091	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-2,7832	0,0000
28	0,9562	-8,6589	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9513	-9,2860	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	585,9684	226,9766	0,0000
Total loss			20,844	57,315			

B =

0,8061	0,0156	-0,0552	0,0002	-0,0391	-0,0077	0,0528
0,0156	0,0242	-0,0063	-0,0036	0,0073	0,0038	0,0017
-0,0552	-0,0063	0,0400	0,0074	0,0020	-0,0029	-0,0153
0,0002	-0,0036	0,0074	0,0192	-0,0051	-0,0032	-0,0079
-0,0391	0,0073	0,0020	-0,0051	0,0296	0,0063	0,0016
-0,0077	0,0038	-0,0029	-0,0032	0,0063	0,0189	0,0083
0,0528	0,0017	-0,0153	-0,0079	0,0016	0,0083	0,1501

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B0 =

-0,0177 -0,0011 0,0005 0,0001 0,0032 0,0004 -0,0009

B00 =

4,1572e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,930 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 248,268 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,100 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,670 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 585,968 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 107438150,093 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,594 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,000 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 365010188,687 IDR/hour

Elapsed time is 1201,32 seconds,

3. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint* untuk beban 339,74 MW

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 9,92563e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage		-----Load-----		-----Generation-----		Injected Mvar
	Mag.	Angle Degree	MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-0,9609	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	49,8961	0,0000
2	0,9453	-1,1329	17,3000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9280	-1,8936	33,7000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9457	-0,4144	9,1000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9420	-0,1615	15,3000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9451	0,2391	9,2500	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9562	3,2764	4,1000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		-----Generation-----		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
8	0,9630	4,7123	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-20,3729	0,0000
9	0,9502	-0,5584	12,7500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9442	-0,9841	24,8500	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9747	6,4383	11,5000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	0,2226	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-94,8910	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	13,5536	149,5591	0,0000
14	0,9809	0,5941	6,1500	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9758	1,2053	4,1000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9735	2,0644	11,2500	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9733	2,7084	6,2000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9734	3,8746	12,7500	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	6,7348	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	35,3863	0,0000
20	0,9759	6,4811	11,6000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9764	6,2667	0,9000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9766	6,3354	1,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9881	8,3299	15,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9961	8,4430	6,0500	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	12,2191	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	72,6163	0,0000
26	0,9419	5,8284	3,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	5,1378	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-7,7702	0,0000
28	0,9687	5,5660	4,2500	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9661	5,3375	5,3100	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000

Total 339,7400 169,7400 351,2536 184,4237 0,0000

Total loss 11,515 14,688

B =

0,2321	0,0107	-0,2044	0,1073	-0,0162	-0,0076	0,0783
0,0107	0,0251	-0,0339	0,0265	0,0043	0,0020	-0,0036
-0,2044	-0,0339	1,3826	-0,9999	0,0254	0,0037	-0,1633
0,1073	0,0265	-0,9999	1,7911	-0,0305	-0,0093	0,1200
-0,0162	0,0043	0,0254	-0,0305	0,0180	0,0041	-0,0024
-0,0076	0,0020	0,0037	-0,0093	0,0041	0,0140	0,0016
0,0783	-0,0036	-0,1633	0,1200	-0,0024	0,0016	0,2932

B0 =

-0,0075	-0,0018	0,0027	0,0043	0,0011	-0,0001	-0,0001
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	---------	---------

B00 =

2,8389e-04

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 22,930 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 13,554 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 51,100 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 242,670 MW
 Daya Pembangkitan = 5,000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 351,254 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 5865335,127 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,594 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,000 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 263437373,721 IDR/hour

Total Rugi Daya aktif = 11,515 MW
 Elapsed time is 460,67 seconds.

4. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint* untuk beban puncak 452.445 MW

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 1,65451e-06

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load----- MW	Mvar	-----Generation----- MW	Mvar	Injected Mvar
1	0,9480	-6,3495	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	65,8957	0,0000
2	0,9444	-6,6629	25,9500	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9181	-8,1417	50,5500	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9444	-5,9997	13,6500	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9392	-5,9530	22,9500	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9430	-5,4557	13,8750	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9553	-2,2686	6,1500	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-0,7176	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-16,0399	0,0000
9	0,9487	-5,1856	19,1250	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9409	-5,9496	37,2750	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9717	1,4772	17,2500	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-1,6586	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-56,5952	0,0000

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		-----Generation-----		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	128,0098	97,4623	0,0000
14	0,9805	-0,7237	9,2250	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9741	-0,7337	6,1500	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9699	-0,4754	16,8750	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9683	-0,2687	9,3000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9692	0,6188	19,1250	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	1,4398	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	40,1480	0,0000
20	0,9743	0,9773	17,4000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9743	0,6221	1,3500	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9748	0,7389	1,5000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9863	3,5868	22,5000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9940	4,4500	9,0750	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	8,3452	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	67,5179	0,0000
26	0,9413	0,3377	4,5000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-0,5151	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-4,9088	0,0000
28	0,9629	-0,6317	6,3750	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9593	-1,0432	7,9650	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			452,4450	169,7400	465,7098	193,4799	0,0000

Total loss 3,265 23,741

B =

0,4387	0,0134	-0,1741	0,0102	-0,0253	-0,0074	0,0694
0,0134	0,0245	-0,0193	-0,0019	0,0058	0,0030	0,0000
-0,1741	-0,0193	0,5634	-0,0361	0,0189	0,0004	-0,0666
0,0102	-0,0019	-0,0361	0,0262	-0,0066	-0,0034	-0,0034
-0,0253	0,0058	0,0189	-0,0066	0,0234	0,0052	-0,0004
-0,0074	0,0030	0,0004	-0,0034	0,0052	0,0166	0,0055
0,0694	0,0000	-0,0666	-0,0034	-0,0004	0,0055	0,1990

B0 =

-0,0121	-0,0016	0,0021	0,0004	0,0020	0,0001	-0,0011
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

3,5060e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,930 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 128,010 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,100 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,670 MW

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,000 MW
 Total Daya Pembangkitan = 465,710 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 55396220,178 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,000 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,594 IDR/hour
 Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,000 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 312968258,772 IDR/hour
 Total Rugi Daya aktif = 13,265 MW
 Elapsed time is 699,07 seconds.

5. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint* untuk beban puncak 565,15 MW

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,63696e-05

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		-----Generation-----		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-9,8741	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	72,2067	0,0000
2	0,9444	-10,2480	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9160	-12,0589	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9446	-9,8169	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9397	-9,9917	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9435	-9,5300	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9557	-7,0441	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-5,7881	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-17,5824	0,0000
9	0,9482	-8,2660	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9407	-9,1699	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9734	-3,7660	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-2,9271	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-45,0101	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	244,0306	84,5797	0,0000
14	0,9819	-1,8036	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9760	-2,4294	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9716	-2,8410	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9697	-3,1302	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9719	-2,7540	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-4,0820	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	43,6960	0,0000
20	0,9743	-4,6338	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		-----Generation-----		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
21	0,9737	-5,0383	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9743	-4,9035	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9871	-2,0112	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9951	-0,6772	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	2,3183	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	76,2975	0,0000
26	0,9412	-5,0266	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-5,7311	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-7,0372	0,0000
28	0,9618	-6,5197	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9580	-7,0066	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	581,7306	207,1501	0,0000

Total loss 16,583 37,417

B =

0,4456	0,0146	-0,1278	0,0028	-0,0249	-0,0081	0,0918
0,0146	0,0231	-0,0144	-0,0024	0,0040	0,0027	0,0019
-0,1278	-0,0144	0,3114	-0,0047	0,0139	0,0008	-0,0596
0,0028	-0,0024	-0,0047	0,0161	-0,0044	-0,0026	-0,0044
-0,0249	0,0040	0,0139	-0,0044	0,0222	0,0052	-0,0007
-0,0081	0,0027	0,0008	-0,0026	0,0052	0,0151	0,0051
0,0918	0,0019	-0,0596	-0,0044	-0,0007	0,0051	0,2677

B0 =

-0,0116	-0,0014	0,0012	0,0001	0,0023	0,0004	-0,0016
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

3,2037e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,9300 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 244,0306 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,1000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,6700 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 581,7306 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,0000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,0000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,0000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 105604256,0778 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,0000 IDR/hour

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,5938 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,0000 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 363176294,6716 IDR/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 16,5831 MW

Elapsed time is 1816,88 seconds,

6. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulsebar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint* terintegrasi dengan PLTB Tolo

Number of evaluations: 200

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 2,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 38,7300 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 5,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 222,3500 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 15,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 245,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 1,2500 MW

Daya Pembangkitan Wind= 50,3300 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan Thermal= 529,3300 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan (Thermal+wind)= 579,6600 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 4466282,6690 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 16495889,8676 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 9555800,0163 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 95888813,3186 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 28527486,1555 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 104443229,9534 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 3281474,0826 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Langsung (Cw) = 5070797,8300 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Penalti (Cp) = 71,8821 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan Cadangan (Cr) = 590826,8015 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Thermal= 262658976,0630 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan Wind= 5661696,5136 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan (Thermal+Wind)= 268320672,5766 IDR/hour

Rugi Daya = 14,7969 MW

Elapsed time is 2902,94 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

7. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid MFOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 3,58271e-08

No, of Iterations = 4

Bus No,	Voltage Mag,	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-3,8108	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	11,4623	0,0000
2	0,9464	-3,9769	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9342	-4,7642	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9477	-3,8019	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9463	-3,8888	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9484	-3,6917	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9580	-2,6532	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-2,1241	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-41,7676	0,0000
9	0,9517	-3,1405	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9484	-3,5381	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9816	-1,3800	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-0,8425	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-210,3048	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	238,1638	254,0930	0,0000
14	0,9866	-0,6720	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9852	-0,9441	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9846	-1,1265	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9848	-1,2558	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9871	-1,0842	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-1,4333	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	42,0481	0,0000
20	0,9775	-1,6782	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9774	-1,8576	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9776	-1,7978	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9916	-0,6562	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	1,0019	-0,1790	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	1,1257	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	152,1412	0,0000
26	0,9421	-1,7144	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-1,8407	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-30,2795	0,0000
28	0,9723	-2,5079	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9706	-2,7196	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	575,8638	177,3927	0,0000
Total loss			10,714	7,653			

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B =

0,0073	0,0019	-0,0410	0,0013	-0,0030	-0,0031	0,0269
0,0019	0,0277	-0,0609	0,0012	-0,0021	-0,0001	0,0046
-0,0410	-0,0609	2,9241	-0,0883	0,0308	0,0176	-0,4900
0,0013	0,0012	-0,0883	0,0136	-0,0028	-0,0017	0,0146
-0,0030	-0,0021	0,0308	-0,0028	0,0095	0,0029	-0,0048
-0,0031	-0,0001	0,0176	-0,0017	0,0029	0,0085	-0,0074
0,0269	0,0046	-0,4900	0,0146	-0,0048	-0,0074	1,4972

B0 =

-0,0009	-0,0016	0,0023	0,0001	0,0009	0,0005	-0,0029
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

1,3766e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,930 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 238,164 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,100 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,670 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 575,864 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103065402,587 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,594 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,000 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 360637441,180 IDR/hour

Elapsed time is 2687,74 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

8. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulsebar 150 kV menggunakan metode FOA dengan batas-batas daya generator dan generator ramp rate sebagai constraint

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 4,40322e-07

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-12,9251	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	85,8798	0,0000
2	0,9434	-13,3991	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9069	-15,7206	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9431	-12,8479	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9364	-13,0682	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9410	-12,4783	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9544	-9,3004	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-7,6996	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-12,7741	0,0000
9	0,9460	-10,8471	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9365	-11,9999	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9687	-5,0484	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-3,9824	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-12,6712	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	248,1988	56,9570	0,0000
14	0,9794	-2,3789	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9711	-3,1901	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9647	-3,7291	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9617	-4,1085	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9639	-3,6421	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-5,5088	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	45,6699	0,0000
20	0,9727	-6,2087	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9717	-6,7222	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9726	-6,5510	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9843	-2,7965	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9913	-1,0268	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	2,7687	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	66,4059	0,0000
26	0,9404	-6,7747	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-7,7636	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-2,8547	0,0000
28	0,9564	-8,6122	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9515	-9,2362	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	585,8988	226,6126	0,0000
Total loss			20,749	56,873			

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B =

0,7980	0,0156	-0,0572	0,0003	-0,0388	-0,0077	0,0539
0,0156	0,0242	-0,0065	-0,0036	0,0072	0,0038	0,0017
-0,0572	-0,0065	0,0425	0,0073	0,0023	-0,0028	-0,0158
0,0003	-0,0036	0,0073	0,0191	-0,0051	-0,0032	-0,0078
-0,0388	0,0072	0,0023	-0,0051	0,0294	0,0062	0,0016
-0,0077	0,0038	-0,0028	-0,0032	0,0062	0,0188	0,0083
0,0539	0,0017	-0,0158	-0,0078	0,0016	0,0083	0,1513

B0 =

-0,0176	-0,0011	0,0005	0,0001	0,0032	0,0004	-0,0009
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

4,1365e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,9300 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 248,1988 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,1000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,6700 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 585,8988 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 107408041,2579 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,5938 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,0000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 364980079,8517 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 20,7489 MW

Elapsed time is 370,47 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

9. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulsebar 150 kV menggunakan metode ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan generator ramp rate sebagai constraint

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 0,000256303

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load----- MW Mvar		---Generation--- MW Mvar		Injected Mvar
1	0,9480	-13,1445	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	86,6926	0,0000
2	0,9433	-13,6256	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9062	-15,9837	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9429	-13,0660	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9361	-13,2896	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9408	-12,6906	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9543	-9,4638	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-7,8387	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-12,4935	0,0000
9	0,9459	-11,0328	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9362	-12,2033	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9683	-5,1426	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-4,0585	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-10,7971	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	248,4831	55,4629	0,0000
14	0,9792	-2,4204	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9708	-3,2451	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9642	-3,7935	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9611	-4,1798	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9633	-3,7071	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-5,6138	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	45,8100	0,0000
20	0,9726	-6,3241	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9716	-6,8453	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9725	-6,6715	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9841	-2,8555	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9910	-1,0543	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	2,7974	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	65,9172	0,0000
26	0,9403	-6,9024	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-7,9116	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-2,6201	0,0000
28	0,9560	-8,7644	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9510	-9,3983	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	586,1831	227,9720	0,0000
Total losses			21,060	58,313			

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B =

0,8254	0,0156	-0,0506	0,0001	-0,0399	-0,0076	0,0503
0,0156	0,0243	-0,0061	-0,0037	0,0074	0,0039	0,0017
-0,0506	-0,0061	0,0347	0,0078	0,0013	-0,0030	-0,0144
0,0001	-0,0037	0,0078	0,0194	-0,0051	-0,0032	-0,0080
-0,0399	0,0074	0,0013	-0,0051	0,0300	0,0063	0,0017
-0,0076	0,0039	-0,0030	-0,0032	0,0063	0,0191	0,0085
0,0503	0,0017	-0,0144	-0,0080	0,0017	0,0085	0,1476

B0 =

-0,0180	-0,0011	0,0004	0,0001	0,0033	0,0004	-0,0008
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

4,2040e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,930 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 248,483 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,100 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,670 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 586,183 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 107531044,382 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,000 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,594 IDR/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,000 IDR/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 365103082,976 IDR/hour

Elapsed time is 352,67 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

10. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulsebar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan generator ramp rate sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 2,19267e-05

No. of Iterations = 3

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected Mvar
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	
1	0,9480	-10,0748	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	73,2531	0,0000
2	0,9443	-10,4554	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9154	-12,2999	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9445	-10,0162	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9395	-10,1940	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9433	-9,7237	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9556	-7,1915	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-5,9125	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-17,2010	0,0000
9	0,9481	-8,4357	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9404	-9,3562	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9731	-3,8488	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-2,9964	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-42,4415	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	244,2938	82,2577	0,0000
14	0,9818	-1,8414	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9757	-2,4791	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9711	-2,8989	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9691	-3,1938	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9713	-2,8115	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-4,1740	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	43,8164	0,0000
20	0,9742	-4,7357	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9735	-5,1474	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9742	-5,0101	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9869	-2,0608	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	0,9949	-0,6983	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	2,3509	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	75,4019	0,0000
26	0,9411	-5,1400	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-5,8633	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-6,6964	0,0000
28	0,9614	-6,6559	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9575	-7,1518	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	581,9938	208,3902	0,0000
Total loss			16,846	38,656			

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B =

0,4667 0,0148 -0,1246 0,0027 -0,0258 -0,0081 0,0901

B =

0,0148 0,0231 -0,0137 -0,0025 0,0042 0,0028 0,0019
 -0,1246 -0,0137 0,2829 -0,0037 0,0132 0,0006 -0,0550
 0,0027 -0,0025 -0,0037 0,0163 -0,0044 -0,0026 -0,0047
 -0,0258 0,0042 0,0132 -0,0044 0,0227 0,0052 -0,0006
 -0,0081 0,0028 0,0006 -0,0026 0,0052 0,0154 0,0053
 0,0901 0,0019 -0,0550 -0,0047 -0,0006 0,0053 0,2554

B0 =

-0,0120 -0,0013 0,0012 0,0001 0,0024 0,0004 -0,0016

B00 =

3,2647e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,9300 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 244,2938 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,1000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,6700 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 581,9938 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 105718137,7515 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,5938 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,0000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 363290176,3453 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 16,8458 MW

Elapsed time is 1377,86 seconds.

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

11. Hasil simulasi sistem kelistrikan Sulsebar 150 kV menggunakan metode Hybrid MFOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan generator ramp rate sebagai *constraint*

Number of evaluations: 200

Power Flow Solution by Newton-Raphson Method

Maximum Power Mismatch = 3,58208e-08

No. of Iterations = 4

Bus No.	Voltage Mag.	Angle Degree	-----Load-----		---Generation---		Injected
			MW	Mvar	MW	Mvar	Mvar
1	0,9480	-3,8110	34,8000	4,9000	8,0000	11,4663	0,0000
2	0,9464	-3,9771	34,6000	9,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
3	0,9342	-4,7644	67,4000	14,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
4	0,9477	-3,8021	18,2000	5,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
5	0,9463	-3,8890	30,6000	11,8500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
6	0,9484	-3,6919	18,5000	6,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
7	0,9580	-2,6534	8,2000	1,5700	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
8	0,9630	-2,1242	2,4000	0,5700	22,9300	-41,7659	0,0000
9	0,9517	-3,1407	25,5000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
10	0,9484	-3,5383	49,7000	15,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
11	0,9816	-1,3800	23,0000	9,8000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
12	0,9620	-0,8426	16,0000	6,3000	8,0000	-210,2934	0,0000
13	1,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	238,1639	254,0806	0,0000
14	0,9866	-0,6720	12,3000	4,6000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
15	0,9852	-0,9441	8,2000	2,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
16	0,9846	-1,1266	22,5000	7,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
17	0,9848	-1,2559	12,4000	4,1000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
18	0,9871	-1,0842	25,5000	10,7000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
19	0,9800	-1,4333	18,7000	5,7300	51,1000	42,0480	0,0000
20	0,9775	-1,6783	23,2000	10,3000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
21	0,9774	-1,8577	1,8000	0,7300	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
22	0,9776	-1,7979	2,0000	0,2000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
23	0,9916	-0,6562	30,0000	12,9000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
24	1,0019	-0,1790	12,1000	4,6200	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
25	1,0200	1,1257	23,0000	8,5500	242,6700	152,1353	0,0000
26	0,9421	-1,7145	6,0000	0,4000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
27	0,9230	-1,8408	19,4300	6,1200	5,0000	-30,2779	0,0000
28	0,9723	-2,5080	8,5000	2,5500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
29	0,9706	-2,7197	10,6200	2,6500	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Total			565,1500	169,7400	575,8639	177,3931	0,0000
Total loss			10,714	7,653			

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

B =

0,0073	0,0019	-0,0410	0,0013	-0,0030	-0,0031	0,0269
0,0019	0,0277	-0,0609	0,0012	-0,0021	-0,0001	0,0046
-0,0410	-0,0609	2,9239	-0,0883	0,0308	0,0176	-0,4899
0,0013	0,0012	-0,0883	0,0136	-0,0028	-0,0017	0,0146
-0,0030	-0,0021	0,0308	-0,0028	0,0095	0,0029	-0,0048
-0,0031	-0,0001	0,0176	-0,0017	0,0029	0,0085	-0,0074
0,0269	0,0046	-0,4899	0,0146	-0,0048	-0,0074	1,4971

B0 =

-0,0009	-0,0016	0,0023	0,0001	0,0009	0,0005	-0,0029
---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---------

B00 =

1,3766e-04

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 22,9300 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 8,0000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 238,1639 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 51,1000 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 242,6700 MW

Daya Pembangkitan = 5,0000 MW

Total Daya Pembangkitan = 575,8639 MW

Biaya Pembangkitan = 17927200,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 9800282,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 15342400,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103065419,3463 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 97521284,0000 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 103809372,5938 \$/hour

Biaya Pembangkitan = 13171500,0000 \$/hour

Total Biaya Pembangkitan = 360637457,9401 \$/hour

Total Rugi Daya Aktif = 10,7139 MW

Elapsed time is 1514,01 seconds.

12. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV dengan indeks L menggunakan metode FOA dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====

no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0049	0,9434
3	0,0454	0,9069

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
4	0,0081	0,9431
5	0,0172	0,9364
6	0,0129	0,9410
7	0,0061	0,9544
9	0,0086	0,9460
10	0,0189	0,9365
11	0,0213	0,9687
14	0,0136	0,9794
15	0,0259	0,9711
16	0,0369	0,9647
17	0,0435	0,9617
18	0,0425	0,9639
20	0,0092	0,9727
21	0,0138	0,9717
22	0,0120	0,9726
23	0,0116	0,9843
24	0,0185	0,9913
26	0,0048	0,9404
28	0,0342	0,9564
29	0,0406	0,9515

$\sigma L^2=0,0132$

nilai L3(maks)=0,0454

13. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulsebar 150 kV dengan indeks L menggunakan metode ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0049	0,9434
3	0,0456	0,9067
4	0,0081	0,9430
5	0,0173	0,9363
6	0,0130	0,9409
7	0,0061	0,9544
9	0,0086	0,9460
10	0,0190	0,9364
11	0,0215	0,9685
14	0,0137	0,9794
15	0,0260	0,9710
16	0,0371	0,9646

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
17	0,0437	0,9615
18	0,0428	0,9637
20	0,0092	0,9727
21	0,0138	0,9717
22	0,0121	0,9726
23	0,0117	0,9842
24	0,0186	0,9912
26	0,0048	0,9404
28	0,0344	0,9562
29	0,0408	0,9513

$\sigma L^2=0,0133$

nilai L3(maks)=0,0456

14. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV dengan indeks L menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0039	0,9444
3	0,0349	0,9160
4	0,0062	0,9446
5	0,0133	0,9397
6	0,0100	0,9435
7	0,0046	0,9557
9	0,0062	0,9482
10	0,0142	0,9407
11	0,0157	0,9734
14	0,0105	0,9819
15	0,0199	0,9760
16	0,0283	0,9716
17	0,0333	0,9697
18	0,0325	0,9719
20	0,0072	0,9743
21	0,0106	0,9737
22	0,0094	0,9743
23	0,0085	0,9871
24	0,0139	0,9951
26	0,0037	0,9412
28	0,0264	0,9618
29	0,0313	0,9580

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

$\sigma L^2=0,0077$
 nilai L3(maks)=0,0349

15. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV dengan indeks L menggunakan metode hybrid MFOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0017	0,9464
3	0,0148	0,9342
4	0,0027	0,9477
5	0,0057	0,9463
6	0,0042	0,9484
7	0,0019	0,9580
9	0,0022	0,9517
10	0,0057	0,9484
11	0,0061	0,9816
14	0,0045	0,9866
15	0,0085	0,9852
16	0,0120	0,9846
17	0,0141	0,9848
18	0,0137	0,9871
20	0,0031	0,9775
21	0,0045	0,9774
22	0,0040	0,9776
23	0,0033	0,9916
24	0,0057	1,0019
26	0,0023	0,9421
28	0,0112	0,9723
29	0,0133	0,9706

$\sigma L^2=0,0014$
 nilai L3(maks)=0,0148

16. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV saat pembebanan pada bus 3 (bus Panakkukang) sebesar 289 MW dengan indeks L menggunakan metode FOA dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0048	0,9435

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
3	0,3709	0,6915
4	0,0087	0,9425
5	0,0180	0,9356
6	0,0141	0,9399
7	0,0074	0,9531
9	0,0214	0,9341
10	0,0319	0,9247
11	0,0261	0,9641
14	0,0148	0,9782
15	0,0277	0,9694
16	0,0402	0,9615
17	0,0480	0,9574
18	0,0445	0,9619
20	0,0090	0,9729
21	0,0134	0,9720
22	0,0117	0,9728
23	0,0137	0,9822
24	0,0205	0,9892
26	0,0053	0,9398
28	0,0334	0,9569
29	0,0396	0,9522

$\sigma L^2=0,1510$

nilai L3(maks)=0,3709

17. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV saat pembebanan pada bus 3 (bus Panakkukang) sebesar 289 MW dengan indeks L menggunakan metode ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0049	0,9434
3	0,3999	0,6772
4	0,0090	0,9422
5	0,0186	0,9351
6	0,0146	0,9394
7	0,0078	0,9528
9	0,0230	0,9327
10	0,0338	0,9230
11	0,0274	0,9630
14	0,0156	0,9776

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
15	0,0289	0,9683
16	0,0420	0,9601
17	0,0500	0,9557
18	0,0464	0,9604
20	0,0092	0,9727
21	0,0138	0,9717
22	0,0121	0,9726
23	0,0144	0,9816
24	0,0215	0,9884
26	0,0055	0,9397
28	0,0344	0,9562
29	0,0408	0,9513

$\sigma L^2=0,1745$

nilai L3(maks)=0,3999

18. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV saat pembebanan pada bus 3 (bus Panakkukang) sebesar 289 MW dengan indeks L menggunakan metode hybrid FOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====		
no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0039	0,9443
3	0,2388	0,7653
4	0,0068	0,9441
5	0,0142	0,9389
6	0,0109	0,9426
7	0,0056	0,9548
9	0,0136	0,9413
10	0,0219	0,9337
11	0,0189	0,9705
14	0,0107	0,9817
15	0,0207	0,9753
16	0,0303	0,9697
17	0,0364	0,9668
18	0,0339	0,9706
20	0,0072	0,9743
21	0,0107	0,9736
22	0,0094	0,9742
23	0,0099	0,9857

HASIL SIMULASI SISTEM KELISTRIKAN SULSELBAR 150 kV

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====

no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
24	0,0154	0,9937
26	0,0040	0,9408
28	0,0267	0,9616
29	0,0316	0,9577

$\sigma L^2=0,0647$

nilai L3(maks)=0,2388

19. Hasil simulasi stabilitas tegangan sistem kelistrikan Sulselbar 150 kV saat pembebanan pada bus 3 (bus Panakkukang) sebesar 289 MW dengan indeks L menggunakan metode hybrid MFOA-ABC dengan batas-batas daya generator dan keseimbangan daya sebagai *constraints*

===== Indeks L Stabilitas Tegangan =====

no.bus beban	Lj	Tegangan
2	0,0012	0,9469
3	0,0491	0,9037
4	0,0019	0,9484
5	0,0040	0,9478
6	0,0030	0,9495
7	0,0013	0,9584
9	0,0020	0,9519
10	0,0044	0,9496
11	0,0043	0,9831
14	0,0027	0,9880
15	0,0055	0,9877
16	0,0082	0,9878
17	0,0099	0,9882
18	0,0092	0,9907
20	0,0022	0,9783
21	0,0031	0,9782
22	0,0028	0,9783
23	0,0023	0,9924
24	0,0042	1,0032
26	0,0021	0,9422
28	0,0077	0,9747
29	0,0092	0,9735

$\sigma L^2=0,0030$

nilai L3(maks)=0,0491