

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1]. P. Maghouli, S. H. Hosseini, M. O. Buygi, M. Shahidehpour. "A multi-objective framework for transmission expansion planning in deregulated environments." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 24,(2), pp.1051-61, 2009.
- [2]. M. B. Nappu, A. Arief, R. C. Bansal. "Transmission management for congested power system: A review of concepts, technical challenges and development of a new methodology." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 38, pp.572-80, 2014.
- [3]. G. Shrestha, P. Fonseka. "Congestion-driven transmission expansion in competitive power markets." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19,(3), pp.1658-65, 2004.
- [4]. Y. Huping, T. Chengyi, Y. Meng, "Load Forecasting of Central Urban Area Power Grid Based on Saturated Load Density Index," *In Proc IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*: IOP Publishing, 2018, pp. 012017.
- [5]. Y. Wei, Q. He, Y. Sun, Y. Sun, C. Ji. "Improved Power Flow Algorithm for VSC-HVDC System Based on High-Order Newton-Type Method." *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2013, pp.10, 2013, doi: 10.1155/2013/235316.
- [6]. S. Khan, S. Bhowmick. "A novel power-flow model of multi-terminal VSC-HVDC systems." *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 133, pp.219-27, 2016.
- [7]. J. Arrillaga, Y. H. Liu, N. R. Watson. *Flexible power transmission: the HVDC options*: John Wiley & Sons; 2007.
- [8]. V. K. Sood. *HVDC and FACTS controllers: applications of static converters in power systems*: Springer Science & Business Media; 2006.
- [9]. A. Raza, Z. Yousaf, M. Jamil, S. O. Gilani, G. Abbas, M. Uzair, et al. "Multi-Objective Optimization of VSC Stations in Multi-Terminal VSC-HVdc Grids, Based on PSO." *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp.62995-3004, 2018.
- [10]. K. Rouzbehi, W. Zhang, J. I. Candela, A. Luna, P. Rodriguez. "Unified reference controller for flexible primary control and inertia sharing in multi-terminal voltage source converter-HVDC grids." *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 11,(3), pp.750-8, 2017.
- [11]. K. Alshammari, H. A. Alsiraji, R. El Shatshat, "Optimal Power Flow in Multi-Terminal HVDC Systems," *In Proc 2018 IEEE Electrical Power and Energy Conference (EPEC)*: IEEE, 2018, pp. 1-6.
- [12]. H. K. A. Alsiraji, E. F. El-Saadany. "Cooperative autonomous control for active power sharing in multi-terminal VSC-HVDC." *International Journal of Process Systems Engineering*, vol. 2,(4), pp.303-19, 2014.

- [13]. D. Van Hertem, M. Ghandhari. "Multi-terminal VSC HVDC for the European supergrid: Obstacles." *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, vol. 14,(9), pp.3156-63, 2010.
- [14]. L. Xu, L. Yao, C. Sasse, "Power electronics options for large wind farm integration: VSC-based HVDC transmission," *In Proc 2006 IEEE PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition*: IEEE, 2006, pp. 760-7.
- [15]. W. Lu, B.-T. Ooi. "Optimal acquisition and aggregation of offshore wind power by multiterminal voltage-source HVDC." *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 18,(1), pp.201-6, 2003.
- [16]. J. Beerten, S. Cole, R. Belmans. "Generalized steady-state VSC MTDC model for sequential AC/DC power flow algorithms." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 27,(2), pp.821-9, 2012.
- [17]. N. Flourentzou, V. G. Agelidis, G. D. Demetriades. "VSC-based HVDC power transmission systems: An overview." *IEEE Transactions on power electronics*, vol. 24,(3), pp.592-602, 2009.
- [18]. T. M. Haileselassie, K. Uhlen. "Power system security in a meshed north sea HVDC grid." *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 101,(4), pp.978-90, 2013.
- [19]. J. Blau. "Europe plans a north sea grid." *IEEE Spectrum*, vol. 47,(3), pp.12-3, 2010.
- [20]. W. Feng, L. B. Tjernberg, A. Mannikoff, A. Bergman. "A new approach for benefit evaluation of multiterminal VSC–HVDC using a proposed mixed AC/DC optimal power flow." *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 29,(1), pp.432-43, 2013.
- [21]. E. Agbugba. Hybridization of particle swarm optimization with bat algorithm for optimal reactive power dispatch: MS thesis, Dept. Electr. Eng., University of South Africa, Pretoria, South ...; 2017.
- [22]. F. Yalcin, U. ARIFOĞLU. "Optimal reactive power flow solution in multiterminal AC-DC systems based on artificial bee colony algorithm." *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences*, vol. 22,(5), pp.1159-76, 2014.
- [23]. S. Duman, Y. Sonmez, U. Guvenc, N. Yorukeren, "Application of gravitational search algorithm for optimal reactive power dispatch problem," *In Proc 2011 International Symposium on Innovations in Intelligent Systems and Applications*: IEEE, 2011, pp. 519-23.
- [24]. N. Nwosu. "Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch using TLBO for Modelling and PowerWorld for Validation." 2019.
- [25]. N. Grudinin. "Reactive power optimization using successive quadratic programming method." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 13,(4), pp.1219-25, 1998.
- [26]. K. Iba. "Reactive power optimization by genetic algorithm." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 9,(2), pp.685-92, 1994.
- [27]. Y. Li, Y. Wang, B. Li. "A hybrid artificial bee colony assisted differential evolution algorithm for optimal reactive power flow." *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 52, pp.25-33, 2013.

- [28]. M. K. Mangoli, K. Y. Lee, Y. M. Park. "Optimal real and reactive power control using linear programming." *Electric power systems research*, vol. 26,(1), pp.1-10, 1993.
- [29]. Y.-C. Wu, A. S. Debs, R. E. Marsten, "A nonlinear programming approach based on an interior point method for optimal power flows," *In Proc Proceedings Joint International Power Conference Athens Power Tech: IEEE*, 1993, pp. 196-200.
- [30]. S. Cobanli, A. Ozturk, U. Guvenc, S. Tosun. "Active power loss minimization in electric power systems through artificial bee colony algorithm." *International Review of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 5,(5), pp.2217-23, 2010.
- [31]. U. Kılıç, K. Ayan, U. Arifoğlu. "Optimizing reactive power flow of HVDC systems using genetic algorithm." *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 55, pp.1-12, 2014.
- [32]. Y. Liu, L. Ma, J. Zhang. "Reactive power optimization by GA/SA/TS combined algorithms." *International journal of electrical power & energy systems*, vol. 24,(9), pp.765-9, 2002.
- [33]. R. Taghavi, A. Seifi. "Optimal reactive power control in hybrid power systems." *Electric Power Components and Systems*, vol. 40,(7), pp.741-58, 2012.
- [34]. F. Yalçın, U. Arifoğlu. "A new approach based on genetic algorithm for optimal reactive power flow solution in multi-terminal AC-DC systems." *Przeglad Elektrotechniczny*, vol. 89,(3a), pp.231-5, 2013.
- [35]. J. Kennedy, R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," *In Proc Proceedings of ICNN'95-International Conference on Neural Networks: IEEE*, 1995, pp. 1942-8.
- [36]. X.-S. Yang. "A new metaheuristic bat-inspired algorithm." *Nature inspired cooperative strategies for optimization (NICSO 2010)*: Springer; 2010. p. 65-74.
- [37]. T.-S. Pan, T.-K. Dao, S.-C. Chu. "Hybrid particle swarm optimization with bat algorithm." *Genetic and evolutionary computing*: Springer; 2015. p. 37-47.
- [38]. K. Meah, S. Ula, "Comparative evaluation of HVDC and HVAC transmission systems," *In Proc 2007 IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting: IEEE*, 2007, pp. 1-5.
- [39]. J. Renedo, A. A. Ibrahim, B. Kazemtabrizi, A. García-Cerrada, L. Rouco, Q. Zhao, et al. "A simplified algorithm to solve optimal power flows in hybrid VSC-based AC/DC systems." *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 110, pp.781-94, 2019.
- [40]. G. E. Rojas Dueñas. Optimal power flow analysis of an hybrid AC/DC system that connects large wind farms to the grid: Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya; 2018.
- [41]. S. Schilling, M. Kuschke, K. Strunz, "AC-DC optimal power flow implementation: Modeling and application to an HVDC overlay grid," *In Proc 2017 IEEE Manchester PowerTech: IEEE*, 2017, pp. 1-6.

- [42]. R. Wiget. Combined AC and multi-terminal HVDC grids—optimal power flow formulations and dynamic control: ETH Zurich; 2015.
- [43]. R. Wiget, G. Andersson, "Optimal power flow for combined AC and multi-terminal HVDC grids based on VSC converters," *In Proc 2012 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*: IEEE, 2012, pp. 1-8.
- [44]. C.-K. Kim, V. K. Sood, G.-S. Jang, S.-J. Lim, S.-J. Lee. *HVDC transmission: power conversion applications in power systems*: John Wiley & Sons; 2009.
- [45]. D. Van Hertem, M. Ghandhari, M. Delimar, "Technical limitations towards a SuperGrid—A European prospective," *In Proc 2010 IEEE International Energy Conference*: IEEE, 2010, pp. 302-9.
- [46]. T. W. May, Y. M. Yeap, A. Ukil, "Comparative evaluation of power loss in HVAC and HVDC transmission systems," *In Proc 2016 IEEE Region 10 Conference (TENCON)*: IEEE, 2016, pp. 637-41.
- [47]. K. Ayan, U. Kılıç. "Optimal power flow of two-terminal HVDC systems using backtracking search algorithm." *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 78, pp.326-35, 2016.
- [48]. Z. S. Majid. Studi Pemutus Arus Searah Berbasis Hybrid Pada Transmisi Arus Searah Mutiterminal Berbasis VSC. Jakarta: Sekolah Tinggi Teknik PLN; 2014.
- [49]. M. P. Bahrman, "Overview of HVDC transmission," *In Proc 2006 IEEE PES Power Systems Conference and Exposition*: IEEE, 2006, pp. 18-23.
- [50]. L. L. Grigsby. *Electric power generation, transmission, and distribution*: CRC press; 2007.
- [51]. CIGRE. *VSC Transmission 2005*.
- [52]. S. G. Johansson, G. Asplund, E. Jansson, R. Rudervall. "Power system stability benefits with VSC DC-transmission systems." *CIGRE session B4-204, Paris, France*, 2004.
- [53]. F. Wang, L. Bertling, T. Le, A. Mannikoff, A. Bergman, "An overview introduction of VSC-HVDC: State-of-art and potential applications in electric power systems," *In Proc Cigrè International Symposium, Bologna, Italy, Sept 2011*, 2011.
- [54]. A. Lotfjou, M. Shahidehpour, Y. Fu. "Hourly scheduling of DC transmission lines in SCUC with voltage source converters." *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 26,(2), pp.650-60, 2010.
- [55]. A. Rabiee, A. Soroudi, A. Keane. "Information gap decision theory based OPF with HVDC connected wind farms." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 30,(6), pp.3396-406, 2014.
- [56]. S. Bernal-Perez, S. Ano-Villalba, R. Blasco-Gimenez, J. Rodriguez-D'Derlee. "Efficiency and fault ride-through performance of a diode-rectifier-and VSC-inverter-based HVDC link for offshore wind farms." *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 60,(6), pp.2401-9, 2012.

- [57]. D. Kotur, P. Stefanov. "Optimal power flow control in the system with offshore wind power plants connected to the MTDC network." *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, vol. 105, pp.142-50, 2019.
- [58]. J. Beerten, S. Cole, R. Belmans. "Implementation aspects of a sequential AC/DC power flow computation algorithm for multi-terminal VSC HVDC systems." 2010.
- [59]. J. Beerten. "MATAACDC User's Manual." *Online] Available: <http://www.esat.kuleuven.be/electa/teaching/mataacdc/MatAC DCManual>*, 2012.
- [60]. R. Kouadri, L. Slimani, T. Bouktir, I. Musirin. "Optimal power flow solution for wind integrated power in presence of VSC-HVDC using ant lion optimization." *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 12,(2), pp.625, 2018.
- [61]. K. N. Narayanan, P. Mitra, "A comparative study of a sequential and simultaneous AC-DC power flow algorithms for a multi-terminal VSC-HVDC system," *In Proc 2013 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies-Asia (ISGT Asia)*: IEEE, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [62]. Y. Gao, S. Li, W. Dong, B. Lu. "Decoupled AC/DC Power Flow Strategy for Multiterminal HVDC Systems." *International Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems*, vol. 19,(1), 2018.
- [63]. X.-P. Zhang. "Fundamentals of electric power systems." *Restructured Electric Power Systems: Analysis of Electricity Markets with Equilibrium Models*, vol. 43, pp.1, 2010.
- [64]. K. Mamandur, R. Chenoweth. "Optimal control of reactive power flow for improvements in voltage profiles and for real power loss minimization." *IEEE transactions on power apparatus and systems*,(7), pp.3185-94, 1981.
- [65]. S. Sayah. "Modified differential evolution approach for practical optimal reactive power dispatch of hybrid AC-DC power systems." *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 73, pp.591-606, 2018.
- [66]. N. Mo, Z. Zou, K. Chan, T. Pong. "Transient stability constrained optimal power flow using particle swarm optimisation." *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 1,(3), pp.476-83, 2007.
- [67]. Z.-L. Gaing, X.-H. Liu, "New constriction particle swarm optimization for security-constrained optimal power flow solution," *In Proc 2007 International Conference on Intelligent Systems Applications to Power Systems*: IEEE, 2007, pp. 1-6.
- [68]. S. Biswal, A. Barisal, A. Behera, T. Prakash, "Optimal power dispatch using BAT algorithm," *In Proc 2013 International conference on energy efficient technologies for sustainability*: IEEE, 2013, pp. 1018-23.
- [69]. P. Sreejaya, S. R. Iyer, "Optimal reactive power flow control for voltage profile improvement in AC-DC power systems," *In Proc 2010 Joint International Conference on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems & 2010 Power India*: IEEE, 2010, pp. 1-6.

- [70]. A. M. Shaheen, R. A. El-Sehiemy, S. M. Farrag. "Integrated strategies of backtracking search optimizer for solving reactive power dispatch problem." *IEEE Systems Journal*, vol. 12,(1), pp.424-33, 2016.
- [71]. M. Ghasemi, M. Taghizadeh, S. Ghavidel, J. Aghaei, A. Abbasian. "Solving optimal reactive power dispatch problem using a novel teaching–learning-based optimization algorithm." *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 39, pp.100-8, 2015.
- [72]. U. Arifoglu. "Optimal power flow using sequential power flow approach for an ac-dc power system." *PhD, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*, 1993.
- [73]. S. Pandya, R. Roy, "Particle swarm optimization based optimal reactive power dispatch," *In Proc 2015 IEEE International Conference on Electrical, Computer and Communication Technologies (ICECCT)*: IEEE, 2015, pp. 1-5.
- [74]. A. S. Telang, P. Bedekar. "Application of PSAT to Load Flow Analysis with STATCOM under Load Increase Scenario and Line Contingencies." *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B*, vol. 99,(1), pp.17-23, 2018.
- [75]. W. Qin, P. Wang, X. Han, X. Du. "Reactive power aspects in reliability assessment of power systems." *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 26,(1), pp.85-92, 2010.
- [76]. G.Jang, S.Oh, B.M.Han and C.K.Kim "Novel reactive-power-compensation scheme for the Jeju-Haenam HVDC System." *IEE Proc.-Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, Vol. 152, No. 4, July 2005.

# **LAMPIRAN**

Hasil Simulasi untuk Skenario 1 IEEE 14 Bus (Sistem AC saja)  
Simlasi dilakukan sebanyak 100 kali percobaan dan berikut ini adalah hasil terbaik yang didapatkan

How many?		How much?	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)
Buses	14	Total Gen Capacity	772.4	-52.0 to 148.0
Generators	5	On-line Capacity	772.4	-52.0 to 148.0
Committed Gens	5	Generation (actual)	271.3	98.8
Loads	11	Load	259.0	73.5
Fixed	11	Fixed	259.0	73.5
Dispatchable	0	Dispatchable	-0.0 of -0.0	-0.0
Shunts	1	Shunt (inj)	-0.0	0.1
Branches	20	Losses ( $I^2 * Z$ )	12.29	51.83
Transformers	3	Branch Charging (inj)	-	26.5
Inter-ties	0	Total Inter-tie Flow	0.0	0.0
Areas	1			

	Minimum	Maximum
Voltage Magnitude	1.014 p.u. @ bus 14	1.103 p.u. @ bus 1
Voltage Angle	-15.49 deg @ bus 14	0.00 deg @ bus 1
P Losses ( $I^2*R$ )	-	3.88 MW @ line 1-2
Q Losses ( $I^2*X$ )	-	11.84 MVAr @ line 1-2

Bus Data						
Bus #	Voltage		Generation		Load	
	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)
1	1.103	0.000*	231.29	-10.77	-	-
2	1.085	-4.508	40.00	25.49	21.70	12.70
3	1.056	-11.703	0.00	28.26	94.20	19.00
4	1.061	-9.453	-	-	47.80	-3.90
5	1.067	-8.087	-	-	7.60	1.60
6	1.051	-13.769	0.00	27.89	11.20	7.50
7	1.055	-12.587	-	-	-	-
8	1.099	-12.587	0.00	27.91	-	-
9	1.034	-14.250	-	-	29.50	16.60
10	1.029	-14.461	-	-	9.00	5.80
11	1.036	-14.248	-	-	3.50	1.80
12	1.035	-14.639	-	-	6.10	1.60
13	1.030	-14.702	-	-	13.50	5.80
14	1.014	-15.488	-	-	14.90	5.00
Total:		271.29	98.77	259.00	73.50	

Branch Data								
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVAr)	To Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVAr)	Loss P (MW)	Loss Q (MVAr)
1	1	2	155.81	-12.97	-151.93	18.49	3.879	11.84
2	1	5	75.49	2.19	-72.95	2.50	2.541	10.49
3	2	3	73.17	0.51	-71.03	3.50	2.142	9.02
4	2	4	55.83	-3.23	-54.29	3.99	1.541	4.67
5	2	5	41.22	-2.97	-40.40	1.48	0.823	2.51
6	3	4	-23.17	5.75	23.52	-6.30	0.348	0.89
7	4	5	-62.26	5.63	62.73	-4.16	0.464	1.46
8	4	7	28.76	-4.99	-28.76	6.63	0.000	1.64
9	4	9	16.47	5.57	-16.47	-4.07	0.000	1.50
10	5	6	43.02	-1.42	-43.02	5.72	0.000	4.30
11	6	11	6.67	4.39	-6.62	-4.27	0.055	0.11
12	6	12	7.73	2.63	-7.65	-2.48	0.074	0.15
13	6	13	17.42	7.65	-17.20	-7.23	0.217	0.43
14	7	8	-0.00	-26.77	0.00	27.91	0.000	1.14
15	7	9	28.76	20.15	-28.76	-18.93	0.000	1.22
16	9	10	5.91	3.39	-5.89	-3.35	0.014	0.04
17	9	14	9.83	3.08	-9.70	-2.81	0.126	0.27
18	10	11	-3.11	-2.45	3.12	2.47	0.012	0.03
19	12	13	1.55	0.88	-1.54	-0.87	0.007	0.01
20	13	14	5.25	2.29	-5.20	-2.19	0.053	0.11
						Total:	12.294	51.83

Hasil Simulasi Skenario 2 untuk sistem IEEE 14 Bus (dengan VSC point-to-point)

Simulasi dilakukan dengan 100 kali percobaan dan hasil dibawah ini merupakan hasil terbaik yang didapatkan

---

System Summary	
----------------	--

---

How many?	How much?	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)
Buses	14	Total Gen Capacity	772.4
Generators	5	On-line Capacity	-52.0 to 148.0
Committed Gens	5	Generation (actual)	772.4
Loads	11	Load	161.0
Fixed	11	Fixed	34.5
Dispatchable	0	Dispatchable	-0.0 of -0.0
Shunts	5	Shunt (inj)	0.4
Branches	19	Losses ( $I^2 * Z$ )	18.68
Transformers	4	Branch Charging (inj)	23.8
Inter-ties	0	Total Inter-tie Flow	0.0
Areas	1		
<hr/>			
Minimum			
Voltage Magnitude	1.000 p.u. @ bus 3	1.045 p.u. @ bus 8	
Voltage Angle	-9.37 deg @ bus 14	0.00 deg @ bus 1	
P Losses ( $I^2 * R$ )	-	1.10 MW @ line 1-2	
Q Losses ( $I^2 * X$ )	-	3.74 MVAr @ line 1-5	

---



---

Bus Data	
----------	--

---

Bus #	Voltage		Generation		Load	
	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)
1	1.044	0.000*	120.98	4.23	-	-
2	1.027	-2.433	40.00	7.42	11.70	7.70
3	1.000	-7.056	0.00	7.12	53.20	8.00
4	1.000	-5.829	-	-	26.80	-3.90
5	1.015	-4.981	-	-	7.60	1.60
6	1.027	-8.756	0.00	26.37	10.20	3.50
7	1.025	-7.885	-	-	-	-
8	1.045	-7.885	0.00	11.52	-	-
9	1.015	-8.975	-	-	17.50	6.60
10	1.011	-9.297	-	-	9.00	2.80
11	1.012	-9.173	-	-	3.50	1.80
12	1.016	-9.298	-	-	6.10	1.60
13	1.018	-9.105	-	-	3.50	2.80
14	1.010	-9.366	-	-	4.90	2.00
<hr/>		Total:	160.98	56.65	154.00	34.50

Branch Data								
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVAr)	To Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVAr)	Loss P (MW)	(I^2 * Z) Q (MVAr)
1	1	2	78.55	2.02	-77.45	-4.31	1.102	3.36
2	1	5	42.43	2.21	-41.53	-3.69	0.905	3.74
3	2	3	43.19	3.46	-42.34	-4.40	0.845	3.56
4	2	4	36.18	3.27	-35.45	-4.53	0.735	2.23
5	2	5	26.38	-2.69	-26.00	0.23	0.376	1.15
6	3	4	-10.86	3.61	10.95	-4.66	0.091	0.23
7	4	7	17.99	-1.06	-17.99	1.71	0.000	0.65
8	4	9	10.22	1.27	-10.22	-0.71	0.000	0.57
9	5	6	26.63	-12.87	-26.63	15.11	0.000	2.24
10	6	11	4.73	1.98	-4.70	-1.93	0.024	0.05
11	6	12	4.88	2.12	-4.85	-2.05	0.033	0.07
12	6	13	6.82	3.82	-6.78	-3.74	0.038	0.08
13	7	8	0.00	-11.34	-0.00	11.55	0.000	0.22
14	7	9	17.99	9.63	-17.99	-9.19	0.000	0.44
15	9	10	7.82	2.65	-7.80	-2.60	0.021	0.06
16	9	14	2.90	0.66	-2.88	-0.64	0.011	0.02
17	10	11	-1.20	-0.13	1.20	0.13	0.001	0.00
18	12	13	-1.25	0.45	1.26	-0.44	0.004	0.00
19	13	14	2.03	1.38	-2.02	-1.36	0.010	0.02
							Total:	4.195 18.68

DC bus data			
Bus DC #	Bus AC #	Voltage Mag(pu)	Power P (MW)
1	5	1.001	-31.890
2	4	1.000	31.856

VSC Converter Data						
Bus DC#	Bus injection P (MW) Q (MVAr)		Converter Voltage Mag(pu) Ang(deg)		Total loss P (MW)	
1	-33.30	-14.73	0.996	-7.370		1.41
2	30.51	-12.88	0.937	1.034		1.34
						Total: 2.75

Bus DC#	Converter power P (MW) Q (MVAr)	Filter Q (MVAr)	Transfo loss P (MW) Q (MVAr)	Reactor loss P (MW) Q (MVAr)	Converter loss P (MW)	
1	-33.28	-21.90	-8.85	0.02	1.44	0.00 0.25
						1.39

2	30.53	-16.74	-8.63	0.02	1.23	0.00	3.54	1.32	
			Total:	0.04	2.67	0.00	3.79	2.72	
Bus Power	Grid power	Traf	Filt.	Power	Filter	Conv	Filt.	Pwr	Converter
DC#	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	Q (MVAr)	Q (MVAr)		P (MW)	Q
(MVAr)									
1	-33.30	-14.73	-33.28	-13.29	-8.85	-22.14		-33.28	-21.90
2	30.51	-12.88	30.53	-11.65	-8.63	-20.28		30.53	-16.74
===== DC branch data =====									
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	To Bus P (MW)	Loss P (MW)				
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1	1	2	31.89	-31.86	0.03				
				Total:	0.03				

## Hasil Simulasi Skenario 3 Sistem IEEE 14 Bus (VSC Multiterminal)

Simulasi dilakukan dengan 100 kali percobaan dan hasil dibawah ini merupakan hasil yang terbaik yang didapatkan

---

System Summary	
----------------	--

---

How many?	How much?	P (MW)	Q (MVar)
Buses	14	Total Gen Capacity	640.0
Generators	5	On-line Capacity	640.0
Committed Gens	5	Generation (actual)	279.6
Loads	11	Load	259.0
Fixed	11	Fixed	259.0
Dispatchable	0	Dispatchable	-0.0 of -0.0
Shunts	2	Shunt (inj)	-0.0
Branches	17	Losses ( $I^2 * Z$ )	4.91
Transformers	4	Branch Charging (inj)	-
Inter-ties	0	Total Inter-tie Flow	0.0
Areas	1		
<hr/>			
Minimum			
<hr/>			
Voltage Magnitude	1.000 p.u. @ bus 4	1.099 p.u. @ bus 8	
Voltage Angle	-6.27 deg @ bus 6	0.00 deg @ bus 1	
P Losses ( $I^2*R$ )	-	1.62 MW @ line 6-4	
Q Losses ( $I^2*X$ )	-	4.50 MVar @ line 2-6	

---



---

Bus Data	
----------	--

---

Bus #	Voltage		Generation		Load	
	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)
1	1.063	0.000*	96.03	-2.26	-	-
2	1.053	-1.430	113.98	-37.15	21.70	12.70
3	1.049	-3.036	69.63	24.55	11.20	7.50
4	1.000	-1.119	-	-	47.80	4.00
5	1.044	-5.495	-	-	7.60	1.60
6	1.010	-6.273	0.00	19.09	94.20	19.00
7	1.064	-3.639	-	-	-	-
8	1.099	-3.639	0.00	22.34	-	-
9	1.033	-5.027	-	-	29.50	16.60
10	1.028	-4.975	-	-	9.00	5.80
11	1.035	-4.149	-	-	3.50	1.80
12	1.034	-4.013	-	-	6.10	1.60
13	1.029	-4.185	-	-	13.50	5.80
14	1.013	-5.707	-	-	14.90	5.00
<hr/>		Total:	279.64	26.57	259.00	81.40

Branch Data									
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	To Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	Loss P (MW)	(I^2 * Z) Q (MVar)	
1	1	2	48.41	0.72	-48.00	-5.39	0.404	1.23	
2	1	5	47.62	-2.98	-46.54	1.99	1.084	4.47	
3	2	6	48.45	10.63	-47.38	-10.79	1.068	4.50	
4	3	11	11.66	2.20	-11.54	-1.95	0.121	0.25	
5	3	12	8.31	2.25	-8.23	-2.08	0.083	0.17	
6	3	13	19.96	6.59	-19.69	-6.06	0.265	0.52	
7	4	7	24.21	10.01	-24.21	-8.78	0.000	1.23	
8	4	9	13.10	-0.56	-13.10	1.46	0.000	0.90	
9	5	3	-18.50	-5.14	18.50	6.01	0.000	0.87	
10	6	4	-46.82	11.05	48.44	-10.32	1.622	4.14	
11	7	8	0.00	-21.18	-0.00	21.88	0.000	0.70	
12	7	9	24.21	29.97	-24.21	-28.53	0.000	1.44	
13	9	10	1.02	5.80	-1.01	-5.77	0.010	0.03	
14	9	14	6.78	4.67	-6.70	-4.49	0.081	0.17	
15	10	11	-7.99	-0.03	8.04	0.15	0.050	0.12	
16	12	13	2.13	0.48	-2.12	-0.47	0.010	0.01	
17	13	14	8.31	0.73	-8.20	-0.51	0.112	0.23	
								Total:	4.910      20.98

DC bus data				
Bus DC #	Bus AC #	Voltage Mag(pu)	Power P (MW)	
1	5	1.006	-55.029	
2	4	1.000	141.375	
3	2	1.015	-88.009	

VSC Converter Data									
Bus DC#	Bus injection P (MW) Q (MVar)		Converter Voltage Mag(pu) Ang(deg)		Total loss P (MW)				
1	-57.44	-1.55	1.021	-13.850		2.41			
2	133.55	3.13	1.097	18.505		7.83			
3	-91.83	55.08	1.192	-13.383		3.83			
								Total:	14.07

Bus DC#	Converter power P (MW) Q (MVar)	Filter Q (MVar)	Transfo loss P (MW) Q (MVar)	Reactor loss P (MW) Q (MVar)	Converter loss P (MW)			
1	-56.60	-2.75	-9.66	0.05	3.39	0.79	5.06	1.58
2	138.79	42.74	-9.17	0.27	20.00	4.98	28.77	2.58

3	-90.26	70.88	-11.02	0.16	11.60	1.42	15.22	2.25
				-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total:			0.47	35.00	7.19	49.05	6.41

Bus Power	Grid power		Traf		Filt.	Power	Filter	Conv	Filt.	Pwr	Converter
DC#	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	Q (MVar)	Q (MVar)	Q (MVar)	P (MW)	Q		
(MVar)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----		
1	-57.44	-1.55	-57.40	1.84	-9.66	-7.82	-56.60	-2.75			
2	133.55	3.13	133.81	23.13	-9.17	13.96	138.79	42.74			
3	-91.83	55.08	-91.68	66.68	-11.02	55.67	-90.26	70.88			

DC branch data					
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	To Bus P (MW)	Loss P (MW)
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1	1	2	88.71	-88.19	0.52
2	2	3	-53.19	54.01	0.82
3	1	3	-33.68	34.00	0.32
			Total:		1.66

## Hasil Simulasi Skenario 1 Sistem IEEE 30 Bus (Sistem AC saja)

Simulasi dilakukan dengan 100 kali percobaan dan hasil dibawah ini merupakan hasil yang terbaik yang didapatkan

System Summary				
How many?	How much?	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	
Buses	30	Total Gen Capacity	900.2	-102.0 to 188.0
Generators	6	On-line Capacity	900.2	-102.0 to 188.0
Committed Gens	6	Generation (actual)	299.5	153.9
Loads	21	Load	283.4	126.2
Fixed	21	Fixed	283.4	126.2
Dispatchable	0	Dispatchable	-0.0 of -0.0	-0.0
Shunts	2	Shunt (inj)	-0.0	0.3
Branches	41	Losses ( $I^2 * Z$ )	16.14	65.81
Transformers	4	Branch Charging (inj)	-	37.8
Inter-ties	0	Total Inter-tie Flow	0.0	0.0
Areas	1			
Minimum				
Voltage Magnitude	1.011 p.u. @ bus 26		1.102 p.u. @ bus 1	
Voltage Angle	-16.77 deg @ bus 30		0.00 deg @ bus 1	
P Losses ( $I^2*R$ )	-		4.76 MW @ line 1-2	
Q Losses ( $I^2*X$ )	-		14.26 MVar @ line 1-2	

Bus Data						
Bus	Voltage		Generation		Load	
#	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)
1	1.102	0.000*	259.54	-27.93	-	-
2	1.088	-4.944	40.00	48.59	21.70	12.70
3	1.073	-7.011	-	-	2.40	1.20
4	1.066	-8.627	-	-	7.60	1.60
5	1.053	-12.974	0.00	32.39	94.20	19.00
6	1.057	-10.187	-	-	-	-
7	1.048	-11.817	-	-	22.80	10.90
8	1.058	-10.907	0.00	43.24	30.00	30.00
9	1.066	-13.185	-	-	-	-
10	1.043	-14.823	-	-	5.80	2.00
11	1.099	-13.185	0.00	17.60	-	-
12	1.050	-14.322	-	-	11.20	7.50
13	1.101	-14.322	0.00	39.97	-	-
14	1.036	-15.176	-	-	6.20	1.60
15	1.032	-15.231	-	-	8.20	2.50
16	1.040	-14.809	-	-	3.50	1.80
17	1.037	-15.032	-	-	9.00	5.80
18	1.024	-15.788	-	-	3.20	0.90

19	1.022	-15.925	-	-	9.50	3.40
20	1.026	-15.707	-	-	2.20	0.70
21	1.030	-15.250	-	-	17.50	11.20
22	1.031	-15.230	-	-	-	-
23	1.022	-15.503	-	-	3.20	1.60
24	1.018	-15.516	-	-	8.70	6.70
25	1.029	-15.201	-	-	-	-
26	1.011	-15.612	-	-	3.50	2.30
27	1.043	-14.741	-	-	-	-
28	1.052	-10.775	-	-	-	-
29	1.024	-15.922	-	-	2.40	0.90
30	1.013	-16.770	-	-	10.60	1.90
-----				-----		
Total:		299.54	153.86	283.40	126.20	

Branch Data									
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	To Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	Loss (I^2 * Z) P (MW)	Loss (I^2 * Z) Q (MVar)	
1	1	2	171.99	-26.22	-167.23	34.15	4.761	14.26	
2	1	3	87.55	-1.71	-84.70	7.31	2.854	10.43	
3	2	4	43.21	-1.20	-42.31	-0.33	0.900	2.74	
4	3	4	82.30	-8.51	-81.51	9.80	0.784	2.25	
5	2	5	81.99	2.87	-79.29	3.64	2.692	11.31	
6	2	6	60.33	0.06	-58.54	1.07	1.789	5.43	
7	4	6	75.17	3.29	-74.57	-2.24	0.593	2.06	
8	5	7	-14.91	9.75	15.05	-11.64	0.141	0.36	
9	6	7	38.20	-1.55	-37.85	0.74	0.349	1.07	
10	6	8	29.92	-12.85	-29.80	12.24	0.113	0.39	
11	6	9	28.87	6.77	-28.87	-5.19	0.000	1.58	
12	6	10	16.29	6.73	-16.29	-5.23	0.000	1.50	
13	9	11	-0.00	-17.07	0.00	17.60	0.000	0.53	
14	9	10	28.87	22.26	-28.87	-20.97	0.000	1.29	
15	4	12	41.06	-14.36	-41.06	19.12	0.000	4.76	
16	12	13	-0.00	-38.12	0.00	39.97	0.000	1.84	
17	12	14	7.47	2.37	-7.41	-2.23	0.069	0.14	
18	12	15	16.44	6.43	-16.25	-6.06	0.187	0.37	
19	12	16	5.95	2.70	-5.91	-2.62	0.037	0.08	
20	14	15	1.21	0.63	-1.20	-0.63	0.004	0.00	
21	16	17	2.41	0.82	-2.41	-0.81	0.003	0.01	
22	15	18	5.34	1.30	-5.31	-1.23	0.030	0.06	
23	18	19	2.11	0.33	-2.10	-0.33	0.003	0.01	
24	19	20	-7.40	-3.07	7.42	3.11	0.021	0.04	
25	10	20	9.71	4.03	-9.62	-3.81	0.095	0.21	
26	10	17	6.61	5.04	-6.59	-4.99	0.021	0.05	
27	10	21	15.56	10.46	-15.45	-10.22	0.112	0.24	
28	10	22	7.47	4.90	-7.42	-4.79	0.053	0.11	
29	21	22	-2.05	-0.98	2.05	0.98	0.001	0.00	
30	15	23	3.91	2.89	-3.89	-2.84	0.022	0.04	
31	22	24	5.37	3.80	-5.32	-3.73	0.047	0.07	

32	23	24	0.69	1.24	-0.69	-1.24	0.003	0.01
33	24	25	-2.69	-1.64	2.70	1.67	0.018	0.03
34	25	26	3.54	2.37	-3.50	-2.30	0.044	0.07
35	25	27	-6.25	-4.03	6.30	4.14	0.057	0.11
36	28	27	19.58	9.05	-19.58	-7.45	0.000	1.60
37	27	29	6.18	1.66	-6.10	-1.50	0.083	0.16
38	27	30	7.09	1.65	-6.93	-1.36	0.156	0.29
39	29	30	3.70	0.60	-3.67	-0.54	0.032	0.06
40	8	28	-0.20	1.00	0.20	-5.75	0.007	0.02
41	6	28	19.84	2.08	-19.78	-3.30	0.061	0.22
							-----	-----
						Total:	16.139	65.81

## Hasil Simulasi Skenario 2 Sistem IEEE 30 Bus (VSC point-to-point)

Simulasi dilakukan dengan 100 kali percobaan dan hasil dibawah ini merupakan hasil yang terbaik yang didapatkan

---

System Summary
----------------

---

How many?	How much?	P (MW)	Q (MVar)
Buses	30	Total Gen Capacity	900.2
Generators	6	On-line Capacity	-102.0 to 188.0
Committed Gens	6	Generation (actual)	900.2
Loads	21	Load	234.4
Fixed	21	Fixed	126.2
Dispatchable	0	Dispatchable	-0.0 of -0.0
Shunts	2	Shunt (inj)	0.3
Branches	41	Losses ( $I^2 * Z$ )	12.58
Transformers	4	Branch Charging (inj)	46.91
Inter-ties	0	Total Inter-tie Flow	34.3
Areas	1		0.0
<hr/>			
Minimum			
<hr/>			
Voltage Magnitude	0.952 p.u. @ bus 26	1.065 p.u. @ bus 13	
Voltage Angle	-14.50 deg @ bus 30	0.00 deg @ bus 1	
P Losses ( $I^2 * R$ )	-	2.69 MW @ line 2-5	
Q Losses ( $I^2 * X$ )	-	11.28 MVar @ line 2-5	

---

Bus Data
----------

---

Bus #	Voltage		Generation		Load	
	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)
1	1.063	0.000*	170.33	18.16	-	-
2	1.038	-3.080	130.00	108.36	21.70	12.70
3	1.023	-5.241	-	-	2.40	1.20
4	1.013	-6.433	-	-	7.60	1.60
5	0.992	-11.376	0.00	24.65	94.20	19.00
6	1.005	-7.868	-	-	-	-
7	0.992	-9.846	-	-	22.80	10.90
8	1.005	-8.630	0.00	40.27	30.00	30.00
9	0.967	-9.572	-	-	-	-
10	0.965	-10.470	-	-	5.80	2.00
11	0.978	-9.572	0.00	5.29	-	-
12	1.015	-9.891	-	-	11.20	7.50
13	1.065	-9.891	0.00	37.73	-	-
14	0.995	-10.903	-	-	6.20	1.60
15	0.986	-10.944	-	-	8.20	2.50
16	1.000	-7.265	-	-	3.50	1.80
17	0.971	-9.738	-	-	9.00	5.80
18	0.966	-11.564	-	-	3.20	0.90

19	0.957	-11.720	-	-	9.50	3.40
20	0.958	-11.469	-	-	2.20	0.70
21	0.954	-11.033	-	-	17.50	11.20
22	0.955	-11.031	-	-	-	-
23	0.968	-11.441	-	-	3.20	1.60
24	0.953	-11.730	-	-	8.70	6.70
25	0.970	-12.244	-	-	-	-
26	0.952	-12.706	-	-	3.50	2.30
27	0.990	-12.240	-	-	-	-
28	0.998	-8.422	-	-	-	-
29	0.970	-13.555	-	-	2.40	0.90
30	0.958	-14.500	-	-	10.60	1.90

----- ----- ----- ----- ----- ----- -----

Total:	300.33	234.45	283.40	126.20
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Branch Data									
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	To Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	Loss P (MW)	(I^2 * Z) Q (MVar)	
1	1	2	107.21	9.43	-105.23	-9.33	1.981	5.93	
2	1	3	63.12	8.72	-61.47	-7.15	1.644	6.01	
3	2	4	36.68	1.90	-35.96	-3.57	0.720	2.19	
4	3	4	59.07	5.95	-58.63	-5.55	0.445	1.28	
5	2	5	77.54	8.64	-74.85	-1.67	2.686	11.28	
6	2	6	50.95	2.72	-49.54	-2.34	1.412	4.28	
7	4	6	62.43	2.34	-61.97	-1.68	0.453	1.58	
8	5	7	-19.35	7.32	19.56	-8.81	0.207	0.52	
9	6	7	42.84	1.90	-42.36	-2.09	0.487	1.50	
10	6	8	29.47	-9.24	-29.36	8.72	0.112	0.39	
11	6	9	13.29	-2.55	-13.29	2.97	0.000	0.41	
12	6	10	7.95	8.28	-7.95	-7.56	0.000	0.72	
13	9	11	-0.00	-5.23	0.00	5.29	0.000	0.06	
14	9	10	13.29	2.27	-13.29	-2.05	0.000	0.21	
15	4	12	24.56	5.18	-24.56	-3.65	0.000	1.53	
16	12	13	0.00	-35.97	-0.00	37.73	0.000	1.76	
17	12	14	8.77	3.73	-8.66	-3.51	0.108	0.23	
18	12	15	20.43	12.46	-20.07	-11.74	0.368	0.72	
19	12	16	-15.84	15.92	16.30	-14.95	0.462	0.97	
20	14	15	2.46	1.91	-2.44	-1.89	0.022	0.02	
21	16	17	24.21	8.90	-23.86	-7.62	0.349	1.28	
22	15	18	7.48	5.64	-7.39	-5.44	0.097	0.20	
23	18	19	4.19	4.54	-4.16	-4.49	0.026	0.05	
24	19	20	-5.34	1.09	5.35	-1.06	0.011	0.02	
25	10	20	7.61	-0.23	-7.55	0.36	0.058	0.13	
26	10	17	-14.79	-1.62	14.86	1.82	0.077	0.20	
27	10	21	15.32	6.98	-15.21	-6.75	0.106	0.23	
28	10	22	7.29	2.62	-7.25	-2.52	0.047	0.10	
29	21	22	-2.29	-4.45	2.29	4.45	0.003	0.01	
30	15	23	6.82	5.49	-6.74	-5.33	0.079	0.16	
31	22	24	4.96	-1.93	-4.92	1.99	0.036	0.06	
32	23	24	3.54	3.73	-3.51	-3.65	0.037	0.08	
33	24	25	-0.27	-4.88	0.32	4.97	0.050	0.09	

34	25	26	3.55	2.37	-3.50	-2.30	0.049	0.07
35	25	27	-3.87	-7.34	3.95	7.49	0.080	0.15
36	28	27	17.26	12.54	-17.26	-10.86	0.000	1.68
37	27	29	6.20	1.69	-6.11	-1.51	0.092	0.17
38	27	30	7.10	1.68	-6.93	-1.36	0.174	0.33
39	29	30	3.71	0.61	-3.67	-0.54	0.036	0.07
40	8	28	-0.64	1.55	0.65	-5.81	0.009	0.03
41	6	28	17.97	5.64	-17.91	-6.73	0.061	0.21
-----								
Total:								11.051      46.91

=====| DC bus data |=====

Bus DC #	Bus AC #	Voltage Mag(pu)	Power P (MW)
1	2	1.006	-45.718
2	16	1.000	45.441

=====| VSC Converter Data |=====

Bus DC#	Bus injection		Converter Voltage		Total loss
	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)
1	-48.36	-91.72	0.916	-7.004	2.64
2	44.02	-4.25	0.996	-4.035	1.43
Total:					4.06

Bus DC#	Converter power		Filter	Transfo loss		Reactor loss	Converter loss	
	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)
1	-48.20	-85.42	-7.83	0.15	11.19	0.01	2.95	2.48
2	44.05	-10.56	-8.82	0.03	2.19	0.00	0.32	1.39
Total:				0.18	13.38	0.01	3.26	3.87

Bus Power	Grid power		Traf	Filt.	Power	Filter	Conv	Filt.	Pwr	Converter
DC#	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	Q (MVAr)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)	P (MW)	Q (MVAr)
1	-48.36	-91.72	-48.21	-80.53	-7.83	-88.36	-48.20	-85.42		
2	44.02	-4.25	44.05	-2.06	-8.82	-10.88	44.05	-10.56		

=====| DC branch data |=====

Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	To Bus P (MW)	Loss P (MW)
1	1	2	45.72	-45.44	0.28

-----  
Total: 0.28

## Hasil Simulasi Skenario 3 Sistem IEEE 30 Bus (VSC Multiterminal)

Simulasi dilakukan dengan 100 kali percobaan dan hasil dibawah ini merupakan hasil yang terbaik yang didapatkan

System Summary				
How many?	How much?	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	
Buses	30	Total Gen Capacity	900.2	-102.0 to 188.0
Generators	6	On-line Capacity	900.2	-102.0 to 188.0
Committed Gens	6	Generation (actual)	299.4	55.4
Loads	21	Load	283.4	126.2
Fixed	21	Fixed	283.4	126.2
Dispatchable	0	Dispatchable	-0.0 of -0.0	-0.0
Shunts	2	Shunt (inj)	-0.0	0.4
Branches	41	Losses ( $I^2 * Z$ )	7.69	35.05
Transformers	4	Branch Charging (inj)	-	34.0
Inter-ties	0	Total Inter-tie Flow	0.0	0.0
Areas	1			
Minimum				
-----				
Voltage Magnitude	0.993 p.u. @ bus 7		1.099 p.u. @ bus 13	
Voltage Angle	-13.44 deg @ bus 30		0.00 deg @ bus 1	
P Losses ( $I^2 * R$ )	-		1.86 MW @ line 1-2	
Q Losses ( $I^2 * X$ )	-		5.56 MVar @ line 1-2	

Bus Data						
Bus	Voltage		Generation		Load	
#	Mag(pu)	Ang(deg)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)	P (MW)	Q (MVar)
1	1.050	0.000*	259.40	-37.02	-	-
2	1.031	-3.104	40.00	20.61	21.70	12.70
3	1.016	-4.994	-	-	2.40	1.20
4	1.008	-6.121	-	-	7.60	1.60
5	1.002	-7.965	0.00	10.48	94.20	19.00
6	1.000	-6.989	-	-	-	-
7	0.993	-7.929	-	-	22.80	10.90
8	0.997	-7.722	0.00	31.17	30.00	30.00
9	1.083	-9.942	-	-	-	-
10	1.063	-11.578	-	-	5.80	2.00
11	1.093	-9.942	0.00	5.19	-	-
12	1.067	-11.250	-	-	11.20	7.50
13	1.099	-11.250	0.00	24.97	-	-
14	1.053	-12.054	-	-	6.20	1.60
15	1.050	-12.091	-	-	8.20	2.50
16	1.058	-11.659	-	-	3.50	1.80
17	1.057	-11.807	-	-	9.00	5.80
18	1.043	-12.586	-	-	3.20	0.90

19	1.041	-12.693	-	-	9.50	3.40
20	1.046	-12.470	-	-	2.20	0.70
21	1.050	-11.995	-	-	17.50	11.20
22	1.051	-11.978	-	-	-	-
23	1.041	-12.315	-	-	3.20	1.60
24	1.037	-12.274	-	-	8.70	6.70
25	1.046	-11.937	-	-	-	-
26	1.029	-12.333	-	-	3.50	2.30
27	1.060	-11.472	-	-	-	-
28	0.994	-7.632	-	-	-	-
29	1.041	-12.617	-	-	2.40	0.90
30	1.030	-13.437	-	-	10.60	1.90
-----				-----		
Total:		299.40	55.39	283.40	126.20	

Branch Data									
Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	To Bus P (MW)	Injection Q (MVar)	Loss P (MW)	(I^2 * Z) Q (MVar)	
1	1	2	103.25	0.94	-101.39	-1.09	1.858	5.56	
2	1	3	58.43	5.74	-57.01	-4.89	1.425	5.21	
3	2	4	32.67	1.69	-32.09	-3.75	0.580	1.77	
4	3	4	54.61	3.69	-54.22	-3.45	0.383	1.10	
5	2	5	45.53	3.61	-44.60	-4.00	0.936	3.93	
6	2	6	41.48	3.69	-40.53	-4.64	0.959	2.91	
7	4	6	39.32	7.94	-39.14	-8.18	0.189	0.66	
8	5	7	2.36	6.25	-2.33	-8.21	0.027	0.07	
9	6	7	20.58	1.35	-20.47	-2.69	0.114	0.35	
10	6	8	29.85	-2.18	-29.74	1.65	0.107	0.38	
11	6	9	29.87	16.98	-29.87	-15.00	0.000	1.98	
12	6	10	16.97	9.98	-16.97	-8.23	0.000	1.75	
13	9	11	0.00	-5.14	-0.00	5.19	0.000	0.05	
14	9	10	29.87	20.14	-29.87	-18.92	0.000	1.22	
15	4	12	39.39	-2.33	-39.39	5.90	0.000	3.57	
16	12	13	0.00	-24.25	-0.00	24.97	0.000	0.72	
17	12	14	7.29	2.34	-7.23	-2.21	0.063	0.13	
18	12	15	15.75	6.19	-15.58	-5.86	0.167	0.33	
19	12	16	5.15	2.31	-5.13	-2.26	0.026	0.06	
20	14	15	1.03	0.61	-1.02	-0.61	0.003	0.00	
21	16	17	1.63	0.46	-1.63	-0.45	0.001	0.00	
22	15	18	4.88	1.12	-4.85	-1.07	0.024	0.05	
23	18	19	1.65	0.17	-1.65	-0.16	0.002	0.00	
24	19	20	-7.85	-3.24	7.87	3.28	0.023	0.05	
25	10	20	10.17	4.21	-10.07	-3.98	0.100	0.22	
26	10	17	7.40	5.41	-7.37	-5.35	0.024	0.06	
27	10	21	15.82	10.66	-15.71	-10.42	0.112	0.24	
28	10	22	7.65	5.03	-7.59	-4.92	0.054	0.11	
29	21	22	-1.79	-0.78	1.79	0.78	0.000	0.00	
30	15	23	3.53	2.85	-3.51	-2.82	0.019	0.04	
31	22	24	5.81	4.14	-5.75	-4.06	0.053	0.08	
32	23	24	0.31	1.22	-0.31	-1.21	0.002	0.00	
33	24	25	-2.64	-1.22	2.65	1.25	0.015	0.03	

34	25	26	3.54	2.36	-3.50	-2.30	0.042	0.06
35	25	27	-6.20	-3.61	6.25	3.71	0.051	0.10
36	28	27	19.51	8.52	-19.51	-7.00	0.000	1.51
37	27	29	6.18	1.65	-6.10	-1.50	0.080	0.15
38	27	30	7.08	1.64	-6.93	-1.36	0.151	0.28
39	29	30	3.70	0.60	-3.67	-0.54	0.031	0.06
40	8	28	-0.26	-0.49	0.26	-3.75	0.002	0.01
41	6	28	19.84	3.72	-19.77	-4.76	0.070	0.25
-----								
Total:								7.694      35.05

=====| DC bus data |=====

Bus DC #	Bus AC #	Voltage Mag(pu)	Power P (MW)
1	1	1.024	-95.278
2	6	1.000	38.809
3	5	0.986	53.454

=====| VSC Converter Data |=====

Bus DC#	Bus P (MW)	injection Q (MVar)	Converter Mag(pu)	Voltage Ang(deg)	Total loss P (MW)
1	-97.72	43.70	1.111	-6.647	2.44
2	37.45	17.04	1.022	-4.331	1.36
3	51.96	10.77	1.018	-3.885	1.49
-----					Total: 5.29

Bus DC#	Converter power P (MW)	Filter Q (MVar)	Transfo loss P (MW)	Reactor loss P (MW)	Converter loss P (MW)
1	-97.55	47.04	-10.74	0.16	11.64
2	37.48	9.92	-9.24	0.03	1.90
3	52.01	5.49	-9.17	0.04	3.14
-----					Total: 0.22    16.68    0.01    3.41    5.05

Bus Grid power                  Traf Filt. Power       Filter              Conv Filt. Pwr      Converter  
Power  
DC# P (MW) Q (MVar) P (MW) Q (MVar) Q (MVar) Q (MVar) P (MW) Q

1	-97.72	43.70	-97.56	55.34	-10.74	44.60	-97.55	47.04
2	37.45	17.04	37.48	18.94	-9.24	9.70	37.48	9.92
3	51.96	10.77	52.00	13.91	-9.17	4.74	52.01	5.49
-----								

=====| DC branch data |=====

Brnch #	From Bus	To Bus	From Bus P (MW)	To Bus P (MW)	Loss P (MW)
1	1	2	95.28	-93.03	2.25
2	2	3	54.22	-53.45	0.76
			Total:		3.01