Profile of mRNA Expression of Ki-67 in Breast Cancer Patients Pre- and Post- Chemotherapy
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Abstract: Background: Proliferation is a distinct hallmark of cancer. Ki-67 designated as a marker of proliferation in solid tumors. The proliferative activity of tumor demonstrated by expression of Ki-67 in breast cancer has been associated with a poor prognosis. Changes in the relative proportions of Ki-67 can be observed during chemotherapy and may correlated with clinical response in breast cancer. Purpose: Evaluate changes in mRNA expression of proliferation marker Ki-67 in breast cancer patients pre- and post-chemotherapy in relation with clinical response to chemotherapy. Method: This is a longitudinal study, 30 subjects breast cancer tissue samples pre- and post-chemotherapy using cyclophosphamide, adriamycin, 5FU regimen. We using qRT-PCR techniques to detect mRNA expression of Ki-67. Chemotherapy response is calculated using RECIST criteria. Results: Mean value of Ki-67 mRNA expression on breast cancer patients pre-chemotherapy was 11.837±0.360. Mean value of Ki-67 mRNA expression on breast cancer patients post-chemotherapy was 11.241±1.971. There was no significant correlation between expression of Ki-67 mRNA prechemotherapy with clinical response to chemotherapy, \( p = 0.862 \) (\( p \geq 0.05 \)). There is a positive correlation between velocity of Ki-67 mRNA expression with clinical response with \( r = 0.378 \), this correlation was significant with \( p = 0.020 \) (\( p<0.05 \)). Conclusion: Chemotherapy cause decrease in mRNA expression of Ki-67. There is insignificant correlation between expression of mRNA Ki-67 baseline with chemotherapy response. Velocity of Ki-67 mRNA expression has significant correlation with clinical response to chemotherapy.
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1. Introduction

Proliferation has been recognized as a distinct hallmark of cancer [1]. Ki-67 is a core protein that is expressed on the cell being proliferated and the level of expression changes throughout the cell cycle [2]. Ki-67 is expressed below 3% in healthy breast tissue. Ki-67 proliferation index has prognostic and predictive benefit in breast cancer [3]. Several studies have found changes in the expression of Ki-67 pre- and post-chemotherapy become a strong and independent predictor of the disease-free period and survival. This is the reason that the tumor response in many neoadjuvant chemotherapy trials are now evaluated by examination of Ki-67 in immunohistochemistry [4]. The problem of using immunohistochemistry for Ki-67 is the lack of consensus on the cut-off value of Ki-67 for the administration of chemotherapy and there seems to be a gray zone [5]. Some Studies reveal that mRNA expression of Ki-67 seems to be more robust and meaningful than the determination based on the Ki-67 protein by immunohistochemistry, either by visual scoring or quantitative image analysis [6]. The aim of this study was to evaluate mRNA expression of Ki-67 pre- and
post-chemotherapy in associations with breast cancer chemotherapy response.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Collection of Samples

Study was conducted within a population of breast cancer patients who had been diagnosed through clinical and histopathology examination, which entered the Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital in Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. Inclusion criteria were female patients with locally advanced breast cancer and histopathological type of invasive ductal carcinoma. Exclusion criteria was inadequate tissue samples and patient had been undergoing chemotherapy, targeting therapy or hormonal therapy.

All samples who fulfilled inclusion and exclusion criteria and willing to participate in the study and signing informed consent. The samples consisted of 30 patients with breast cancer who have undergone combinations chemotherapy of cyclophosphamide, adriamycin and 5FU manufactured by Kalbe Farma Indonesia. Chemotherapy was prescribed by official doctors.

2.2. Immunohistochemistry Examination

Evaluation of estrogen and progesterone receptors (ER, PR) and HER2 expression by immunohistochemistry are routinely performed in breast carcinomas in our hospitals. Immunohistochemistry was performed, following epitope retrieval, with a polymer based detection system (Envision plus, Dako, Carpinteria, CA) using mouse monoclonal antibodies for ER and PR (Dako, Carpinteria, CA), ER (1D5; 1:50), PR (PgR636; 1:400), and Herceptin kit (HercepTest, Dako, Carpinteria, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions [7].

2.3. Nucleic Acid Isolation

Nucleic acid was extracted from breast cancer tissue according to the diatom guanidinium isothiocyanate (GuSCN) method described by Boom et al. 1990. Breast cancer tissue was mixed with 500µl of lysis buffer L6 (50mMTris-HCl, 5.25M GuSCN, 20mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X100), vortexes vigorously, and centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5min. To obtain the nucleic acid, samples were lysed by incubation for 15 minutes at 18°C and 20µl of diatom suspension was added. The diatom containing the bound nucleic acid was centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 seconds to obtain diatom pellet. The diatom pellet was then washed with washing buffer L2 (5.25M GuSCN in 0.1M Tris-HCl, pH6.4), rinsed with 70% ethanol and acetone, and dried by incubation at 56°C for 10 minutes. After sedimentation of the diatom by centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and stored at -20°C until Real-Time PCR was performed [8].

2.4. Expression mRNA Ki-67 Genes by Real Time PCR

Detection of mRNA expression of Ki-67 was done according to Real time PCR method previously describe by Mitas, 2001 and Potemski, 2006. Specific primers for mRNA Ki-67 were used: Ki-67 forward: TCTTTTGTGGGGCA CCTAAGACCTG and Ki-67 reverse: TGATGGTTGAG GTCGTTCCCTTGTAG. Cycle RT PCR for Ki-67 was 94°C for 3 minute; 94°C for 30 second 38 cycles and next step is PCR: 51°C for 30 second. Also, specific primers of housekeeping genes were used GAPDH forward: TGAGT GCTGTCTCCATGTTGA and GAPDH reverse: TCTGC TCCCCACCTTAAAGTTG. [9, 10]

2.5. Data Analysis

Data analysis using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 22. Normality of the samples were analyzed using shapiro wilk’s test. Analysis of patient’s characteristics and clinical response using chi square. Analysis of mean difference of Ki-67 mRNA expression between responsive and nonresponsive groups used wilcoxon test, to see the correlation using the pearson and spearman test.

2.6. Ethical Clearance

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia.

3. Results

During the period from July 2015 to August 2016 acquired research subjects were 30 patients with breast cancer which meet the inclusion criteria. Minimum age of subject was 28 years and maximum was 64 years old, the mean age of subjects in this research was 50.3 years. Analysis of the age factor to clinical response to chemotherapy between age ≤50 years and >50 years, statistically no significant with \( p > 0.05 \). Histopathologic grading obtained Low grade 2 cases (6.7%), Moderate grade 19 cases (63.3%) and High grade 9 (30%). Analysis of the grade factor to clinical response to chemotherapy between low, moderate and high grade, no significant statistically with \( p = 0.040 \) (\( p < 0.05 \)). Immunohistochemistry examination panel obtained subtypes luminal 16/30 (56.6%), Her2 8/30 (26.6%) and triple negative 6/30 (20%). Analysis of the Immunohistochemistry profile with clinical response to chemotherapy, no significant statistically with \( p = 0.060 \) (\( p > 0.05 \), PR \( p = 0.515 \) (\( p > 0.05 \), Her2 \( p = 0.340 \) (\( p > 0.05 \). The clinical response to the neoadjuvant chemotherapy; responsive as much as 23/30 (76.7%) and nonresponsive 7/30 (23.3%).

Table 1. Patients characteristic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean value of Ki-67 mRNA on breast cancer patients prechemotherapy which responsive to chemotherapy was 11.830±0.334 whereas on nonresponsive was 11.858±0.466. Mean value of Ki-67 mRNA on breast cancer patients post chemotherapy which responsive to chemotherapy was 10.862±1.965, whereas on nonresponsive was 12.487±1.501. In the responsive group expression of Ki-67 mRNA tend to decreased by 0.97. In the group nonresponsive expression of Ki-67 mRNA likely to increase by 0.63. There was no significant correlation between expression of Ki-67 mRNA prechemotherapy with clinical response to chemotherapy, \( p = 0.862 (p \geq 0.05) \). There was no significant correlation between expression of Ki-67 mRNA postchemotherapy with clinical response to chemotherapy, \( p = 0.054 (p \geq 0.05) \).

### Table 2. Comparison expression of mRNA Ki-67 pre- and post-chemotherapy with clinical response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Grade</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Grade</td>
<td>19 (63.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Grade</td>
<td>9 (30 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>11 (36.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>17 (56.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsive</td>
<td>23 (76.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresponsive</td>
<td>8 (23.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a positive correlation between mRNA expression of Ki-67 prechemotherapy with clinical response with value of \( r = 0.378 \), this correlation was significant with \( p = 0.046 (p \leq 0.05) \). There is a positive correlation between velocity of Ki-67 mRNA expression with clinical response with value of \( r =-0.368 \), this correlation was significant with \( p = 0.885 \). There was no significant correlation between mRNA expression of Ki-67 postchemotherapy with clinical response to chemotherapy, \( p = 0.028 (p \geq 0.05) \). There was no significant correlation between mRNA expression of Ki-67 mRNA postchemotherapy with clinical response to chemotherapy, \( p = 0.862 (p \geq 0.05) \).

### Table 3. Correlations expression of mRNA Ki-67 pre- and post-chemotherapy, velocity of mRNA Ki-67 and chemotherapy response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mRNA Expression (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Correlation with Chemotherapy response (r)</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67 (Prechemotherapy)</td>
<td>11.83±0.360</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ki-67 (Postchemotherapy)</td>
<td>11.24±1.971</td>
<td>-0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity mRNA Ki-67</td>
<td>5.02±16.438</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a positive correlation between mRNA expression of Ki-67 prechemotherapy with clinical response with value of \( r = 0.028 \), this correlation was insignificant with \( p = 0.885 (p \geq 0.05) \). There is a negative correlation between mRNA expression of Ki-67 postchemotherapy with clinical response with value of \( r =-0.368 \), this correlation was significant with \( p = 0.046 (p < 0.05) \). There is a positive correlation between velocity of Ki-67 mRNA expression with clinical response with value of \( r =-0.97 \), this correlation was significant with \( p = 0.054 (p < 0.05) \).

### 4. Discussion

In this research, the analysis of the age, grade and immunohistochemistry profiles with clinical response, found no significant relationship. Previous research found no relationship between age and pathological complete response [11, 12]. Some studies found grade does not relate to the numbers of pathological complete response, but significantly associated with disease free survival and overall survival of breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy [11, 13]. Studies found poor response of anthracycline chemotherapy in immunohistochemistry of ER and PR positive [14, 15]. Previous studies found Her2 positive as predictor of anthracycline chemotherapy response [16, 17].

Protein Ki-67 is a convenient and reproducible marker for solid cancer proliferation [1, 18]. Some literature mentions that the expression levels of Ki-67 were associated with poor prognosis [19]. St Gallen Consensus has recommended the use of the proliferation marker Ki-67, in determining optimal treatment strategies for early stage breast cancer [20]. Research has shown that the Ki-67 overexpression correlated with disease free survival and overall survival [21]. Tumors that have high levels of proliferation is have a better response to chemotherapy. However, in the multivariable analysis, not all studies show that baseline Ki-67 can be a predictor of pathological complete response. [22] Ki-67 themselves are not shown to predict the benefit of chemotherapy adjuvant cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and fluorouracil [23]. Baseline Ki-67 reported trend incremental benefits of taxane to anthracycline-based chemotherapy in high Ki-67 compared lower Ki-67 cancer that warrants further investigation [24].

Tumors with Ki-67 mRNA expression were examined by qRT-PCR is associated with disease free survival and overall survival of patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy regimen. The results showed that the tumor with Ki-67 mRNA expression may be valuable for election patients for adjuvant therapy containing docetaxel [25].

Several studies have found changes in the expression of Ki-67 pre- and post-chemotherapy become a strong and independent predictor of the disease-free period and survival. This is the reason that the tumor response in many neoadjuvant chemotherapy trials are now evaluated by examination of Ki-67 in immunohistochemistry [4].

Studies found that the mRNA expression of Ki-67 was associated with a higher high pathological complete response rate of 36.4% compared with 5.8% in tumors with Ki-67 mRNA levels are low. mRNA expression of Ki-67 were measured by qRT-PCR is predictive for the achievement of...
pathological complete response for neoadjuvant chemotherapy and is superior to the Ki-67 expression was determined with immunohistochemistry [6]. qRT-PCR-based measurement of Ki-67 mRNA ensure an objective and highly reproducible quantification of proliferation activity of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue biopsy core of routine cut. Because it seems to be more robust and meaningful than the determination based on the Ki-67 protein by immunohistochemistry, either by visual scoring or quantitative image analysis. [6]

5. Conclusion

Chemotherapy cause decrease in mRNA expression of Ki-67. There is insignificant correlation between expression of mRNA Ki-67 baseline with chemotherapy response. There is significant correlation between expression of mRNA Ki-67 postchemotherapy with chemotherapy response. Consistently shown that the Ki-67 mRNA expression in the responsive group tended to decrease, whereas the mRNA expression of Ki-67 in the group that nonresponsive tends to increase. Velocity of Ki-67 mRNA expression has significant correlation with clinical response to chemotherapy.
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