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ABSTRACT

The occurrence and distribution of family trochidae at Badi Island, Spermonde Archipelago off SW-Sulawesi has been studied. The line transect with combination of quadrant method was used during sampling. A transect covering 400 m² of sampling area was applied at 1, 3, 5, and 7 m depth, respectively. Parameters such as shell length and size-class distribution were observed. Ecological parameters were also recorded during investigation. We found the presence of 11 species of trochidae at the study area. It was evident that some trochidae were common while top shell Trochus niloticus seems to be rare. Vertical distribution among this group was uneven. The number of species decreases with increasing depth. Furthermore, high density of smaller trochae occurred at shallow water followed by a decline with changes in depth.
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INTRODUCTION

Family Trochidae including the economic species the top shell Trochus niloticus belongs to molluscan class gastropoda, is widely distributed in the western Indo-Pacific regions. Top shell and others trochidae are important for business, due to their naacreous shell and edible meat (Nash, 1993). Recently, this group rapidly decrease in number elsewhere (Amos and Purcell 2003; Purcel et al., 2004) not excluding in Indonesia (Dwiono et al., 1997). Among trochidae, Trochus niloticus the top shell known as “Lola” or “lola merah” or “susu bundar”, is one of endangered species in Indonesia (Noerdjito and Maryanto 2001).

Previous investigations on molluscan trochidae particularly in Indonesia were on aspects such as seed production (Dwiono et al., 1997; Crowe et al., 2002), distribution of individual top shell (Nessa & Rahman 1994; Paonganan et al. 2001), growth (Soekendarisi et al., 2001). The Spermonde water of SW-Sulawesi is known as one of marine areas that posses quite high marine biodiversity. This is probably due to the fact that the ones that is geologically stable while ecologically is very diverse. Badi Island is amongst some populated island in Spermonde with majority of its inhabitant are fishermen. The existence of Trochidae in nature is important since they are part of tropical coral reef formation. Like other reef species, their presence are influenced by the others reef species as well as the surrounding environment. Therefore, understanding the condition of occurrence of trochidae and its vertical distribution would provide additional information about its status in nature that can be of use for further study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling was conducted at Badi waters in 1996 (119°17′E; 04°57′S), an area located, approximately 12 miles SW of Makassar. Local port was situated at eastern side of the island quite highly damaged area was noticed at northern side. Sampling was carried out at western and southern part of the island. On these places, a hundred meter of line transect was made parallel to reef margin. The transect was marked at every 10 m where the square of 2 x 2 m² was straddling of the marks
along the line. In total, 400 m² sampling area was counted for each transect. To determine vertical distribution of trochoidea, a similar method was applied at different depth of 1, 3, 5, 7 meters depth, respectively. SQUABA was used in this study. All member of trochoidea inside the square was identified, number counted, shell measured, and representative samples were collected for further investigation. Ecological parameters such as salinity, water temperature and dissolved oxygen were observed and recorded during sampling. Observation of samples included number of species and organism and shell length. Size measurements were made using a Caliper having accuracy of 0.1 mm. Species identification was based on shell characteristics, as already applied by Dharma (1988).

RESULTS

Occurrence and Density

In totally, there are eleven species of trochoidea were found at Badia waters and their occurrence and size ranges is shown in Table 1. The smallest trocha was C. artopurpureus (6.9 mm), while the biggest was T. niloticus (79.85 mm).

As seen from Table 1, distribution of trochoidea seems to be related to depth. All eleven species occurred at shallowest water (1 m). With increasing of depth, the number of species decreases. Six species (C. conus, T. fenestratus, T. maculatus, T. niloticus, T. radiatus, and T. sacellum) existed at 3-meter depth, while C. conus, T. niloticus and T. sacellum were found at 5-meter depth. Both T. niloticus and T. sacellum are presented at all depths. It also appears, shallow water would be a convenient place to live for C. paradoxum; C. artopurpureus, J. exasperatus and T. pyramis.

Habitat at 1 m depth is dominated by sand, rubble and scattered live coral. There are also some algae lived in this area, which is probably part of trochoidea’s food. Most of trocha at this depth settle inside holes, under stones or was hidden between death corals. Habitats at three and five meter depths are coral reef, mostly branching coral such as Acropora spp and soft corals. In these areas, branching coral provides a good shelter for trochoidea since it is common to find trochoidea closed each other at the lower part of corals. This is probably part of feeding strategy of the animals. Trochoidea is herbivorous which is having radula that helps them to scratch food at substrate. For example, young top shell prefers diatome and coralline algae for their food (Castell et al., 1996), while, adult ones prefer macro algae such as brown and red algae (Soekendarsh et al., 2001). Therefore, decreasing number of trochoidea with depth relates to availability of food. However, some species have a wide vertical distribution, for example, T. niloticus and T. sacellum. For other species, it is found unusual that T. pyramis only occurred at shallow water of 1 meter while as Dharma (1988) reported that this species could be found living at several depths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Size ranges (mm)</th>
<th>Class size distribution (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1  3  5  7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cardinalis conus</td>
<td>√  √  √  0</td>
<td>43.2 – 74</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chrysostoma padocum Born</td>
<td>√  o  o  o</td>
<td>9.1 – 8.5</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clanculus artopurpureus Gould, 1948</td>
<td>√  o  o  o</td>
<td>6.9 – 8.5</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Juvinus exasperatus</td>
<td>√  o  o  o</td>
<td>22 – 31.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tectus fenestratus Gmelin, 1791</td>
<td>√  √  o  o</td>
<td>7.5 – 26.1</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tectus pyramis Born, 1758</td>
<td>√  o  o  o</td>
<td>8.3 – 9.1</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tectus maculatus Linne, 1758</td>
<td>√  √  o  o</td>
<td>7.5 – 33.9</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tectus niloticus Linne, 1767</td>
<td>√  √  √  √</td>
<td>10.4 – 79.8</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tectus radiatus Gmelin, 1791</td>
<td>√  √  o  o</td>
<td>21.5 – 32.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tectus sacellum Philippi</td>
<td>√  √  √  √</td>
<td>9.5 – 29.2</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tectus tuberiferus Keinir</td>
<td>√  o  o  o</td>
<td>7.35 – 23.2</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of species: 11 6 3 2
Fig 1. Distribution of shell length (mean ± sd) of family trochidae at different depth.

Fig 2. Class-size distribution of *T. sacellum* and *T. niloticus*.
Size-class distribution

Mean shell length of trochidae at different depth is shown in Fig 1. As seen from the figure, there is increase of animal's size with increasing of depth. Two species namely *T. sacellum* and *T. niloticus* present at all depth (Table 1 and Fig 1) and their density and size-class distribution is described in Fig 2.

Of bigger trochas, size of top shell *T. niloticus* and *C. conus* increase with increasing depth (Fig 1). However, density of the former species decreases with increasing depth (Fig 2). Both species have a relatively bigger body size; however their population numbers are relatively small.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies indicated that juveniles of *T. niloticus* lived among the coral rubble on the intertidal reef flat where small wild juveniles (<30 mm) were difficult to find (Helsinga *et al.*, 1984; Smith, 1987; Kubo, 1991). They are cryptic because of their small size, as the colours of the shell are similar to those of coralline algae of the rubble on which they live (Castell *et al.*, 1996).

Study on other cryptic animals, for example, small abalones indicate that up to 70% of the population could be missed during census (Shepherd 1990; Tanaka *et al.*, 1991).

An opposite trend is noticed for small trochidae, for instance *T. sacellum*, *T. maculatus* and *T. radiatus*. Due to their sizes, these groups might be ignored by poachers. This may be the explanation why bigger population size of smaller trocha is found at shallow water.

In the present study, bigger trocha with shell length of 42.85 mm and more exists at five-meter depth, and towards reef margin. Habitat preference, growth rate and ecology of trochos vary regionally (Nash, 1993). Juvenile tend to prefer hard substrata of reef flat areas (Castell, 1997) whereas adults can be found at reef crest, reef slope, but appear not to migrate far after settlement (Crowe *et al.*, 2002). The planktonic larvae apparently settle on the outer reef flats, and juvenile may migrate to the reef margin and deeper water as they grow. This can explain the increase in size of animal with increasing of depth as shown by top shell. With rare exception, individuals of this species, of smaller than 50 mm are not found in water deeper than three meters (Stojkovich and Smith 1978; Smith, 1987).

The present study indicates that the occurrence and distribution pattern of trochidae in Badi waters differs among species. Of the 11 species studied, five are occurring in only 1 m depth, three up to meter, one up to 5 meter, and two species occurred at all depths (See Table 1).

In this study, ecological parameters are: salinity 34 - 35‰, temperature 28 – 28.5°C, and dissolved oxygen 4 – 5 ppm. These values are still favorable for trochas. The latest finding indicates that the top shell was not found in Badi waters (CCRS, 2002). It seems that over fishing may be a major cause for depletion of bigger trochas especially the top shell. Therefore, the existence of bigger trocha especially the economic important ones in this area tends to be determined by human activities.

Trochos fisheries are typically artisanal or community-based, where harvesting is normally by reef walking. This trend has brought the situation that rapid depletion in wild stock occurs in many areas. In addition, the dramatic rise in global trochos demand for priced trochos shell during the last few decades are concomitant with the rise in fishing activity. Nowadays restocking and enhancement programs are in global trend in order to overcome depletion in top shell wild stock. Purcell (2004) suggested that successful restocking is depended on several factors, i.e. methods used: tiny hatchery-produced juvenile in mass numbers; larger juveniles after a period of culture in the hatchery or sea cages or adult broodstock, collected from other reefs. A Hatchery owned by Hasanuddin University which located at Barrang Lempo Island nearby Badi Island has opportunity in developing top shell aquaculture. The available facilities could be utilized to assist government with conservation program by producing mass juvenile for restocking and enhancement of top shell, other endangered species as also other important economic ones. Since operational cost for land-based hatchery is not cheap, successful long run hatchery can only be achieved through a better management program amongst stakeholders; government, hatchery owner, industry and islanders.
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