

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aini, K., S. Suharyati, dan M. Hartono. 2013. Pengaruh jarak straw dengan nitrogen cair pada proses pre freezing terhadap kualitas semen beku sapi limousin. Skripsi.Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Lampung. Lampung
- Aisah, S., N. Isnaeni, dan S.Wahyuningsih. 2017. Kualitas semen segar dan recovery rate sapi bali pada musim yang berbeda. Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Peternakan 27 (1) : 63 – 79.
- Ali, B.H. dan Mustafa. 1986. Semen characteristics of Nubian Goat in the Sudan.
- Almquist, J. O. dan E. B. Hale. 1956. An approach to the measurement of sexual behavior and semen production in dairy bulls, III internat. Congr. On Anim. Reprod., Caambridge
- Almquist, J. O. dan E. B. Hale. 1956. An approach to the measurement of sexual behavior and semen production in dairy bulls, III internat. Congr. On Anim. Reprod., Caambridge Sci. 12 : 63-68
- Astiti, M. A. G. R., 2018. Sapi Bali dan Pemasarannya. Program Studi Peternakan Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Warmadewa. Denpasar
- Badan Standardisasi Nasional. 2017. Semen Beku Bagian 1: Sapi. SNI 4869-1-2017. Jakarta
- Bebas, W. dan D. N. D. I. Laksmi. 2015. Viabilitas spermatozoa ayam hutan hijau dalam pengencer posfat kuning telur ditambah laktosa pada penyimpanan 5°C. Fakultas kedokteran hewan universitas udayana.Bali. Jurnal veteriner maret 16(1):52-67
- Beconi, M.T., C.R. Francia, N.G. Mora dan M.A. Affranchino. 1993. Effect of natural antioxidants on frozen bovine semen preservation. Theriogenology 40: 841 – 851.
- Beconi, M.T., C.R. Francia, N.G. Mora dan M.A.Affranchino. 1993. Effect of natural antioxidants onfrozen bovine semen preservation. Theriogenology40: 841 – 851.
- Bintara, S. 2011. Rasio X:Y dan Kualitas Sperma pada Kambing Kacang dan Peranakan Ettawa. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Gadjah Mada. Yogyakarta. *Sains Peternakaan*, 9(2):65-71
- Bratton, R. W., S. D. Musgrave, H. O. Dunn, R. H. Foote dan C, R. Henderson,

1956. Semen production and fertility of young bulls raised on three different levels of feed intake, J. Anim, Sci., 15, 1926
- Butar, E. 2009. Efektifitas Frekuensi Exercise terhadap Peningkatan Kualitas Semen Sapi Simmental. <http://repository.usu.ac.id/bitstream/1098/00898.pdf>. Diakses pada 5 November 2020
- Cahyani. D. I. 2015. Pengaruh penambahan teh hijau terhadap aktivitas antioksidan dan kadar protein minuman fungsional susu kedelai dan madu. Skripsi.Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro. Semarang
- Daraji, H. J. Al. 2011. Effect of diluent supplementation with different levels of green tea on roosters' semen quality during *in vitro*.Department of Animal Resource, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad.Baghdad.,1(3):51-56
- Daryanto. 1988. Pengetahuan Dasar Tehnik Inseminasi Buatan. Jakarta : Bina Aksara
- Diartha, i. W. W., Ni W. S., dan Iriani, S. 2016. Pengaruh pemberian ekstrak tauge ditambah madu terhadap kualitas spermatozoa mencit jantan (*mus musculus* L.).Jurusan Biologi Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Universitas Udayana.Bali. Jurnal Simbiosis IV4(1):1-5
- Ditjennak Direktorat Jendral Peternakan. 2011. Buku Statistik Peternakan Dan Kesehatan Hewan. Jakarta. Departemen Pertanian
- Diwyanto, K. 2012. Optimalisasi Teknologi InseminasiBuatan untuk Mendukung Usaha Agribisnis SapiPerah dan Sapi Potong. Bunga Rampai. Puslitbangnak
- Evans, G. dan W.M.C . Maxwell. 1987. Salamon's Artificial Insemination of Sheep and Goats. Butterworths, London.
- Faramayuda, F., F. Alatas, dan Y. Desmiaty.2010. Formulasi sediaan losion antioksidan ekstrak air daun teh hijau (*Camellia Sinensis* L.). Jurusan Farmasi Universitas Jenderal Achmad Yani. Cimahi. Majalah Obat Herbal tradisional.15(3):105-111
- Feradis. 2009. Peranan antioksidan dalam pembekuan sperma. badan penelitian dan pengembangan provinsi Riau. Riau. Jurnal Peternakan.6(2): 67-70
- Feradis. 2010. Bioteknologi Reproduksi Pada Ternak. Alfabeta Bandung
- Garner, D.L. dan E.S.E. Hafez. 2000. Spermatozoa and Seminal Plasma. In : E.S.E, Hafez (Ed.). Reproduction in Farm Animals. 7th Ed. Lea and Febiger. Philadelphia.

- Gazali, M. dan S. N. Tambing. 2001. Kriopreservasi sel spermatozoa. Balai Pengkajian Pertanian Sulawesi Selatan. Makassar.,9(1):27-32
- Hafez, E. S. E. 2000. Semen Evaluation in Reproduction In Farm Animals. 7th edition. Lippincott Wiliams and Wilkins. Maryland
- Handayani, L., Dasrul, M. Akmal, C, N. Thasmi, Hamdan, dan M. Adam. 2015. Pengaruh metode pencucian spermatozoa sapi aceh terhadap motilitas, presentase hidup, dan integritas memberan plasma utuh spermatozoa. Fakultas kedokteran hewan universitas syiah kuala. Banda aceh. Jurnal medika veterinaria. 9(2):104-110
- Herawati, T., A. Anggraeni, L. Praharani, D. Utami, dan A. Argiris. 2012. Peran inseminator dalam keberhasilan inseminasi buatan pada sapi perah. Balai Penelitian Ternak. Bogor Informatika Pertanian, 21(2):81 – 88
- Hidayat, T. 2015. Pengaruh pemberian vitamin e terhadap jumlah spermatozoa mencit jantan *mus musculus* yang melakukan aktivitas fisik maksimal. Skripsi. Fakultas Ilmu Keolahragaan Universitas Negeri Semarang. Semarang
- Hikmawaty, A. Gunawan, R. R. Noor, dan Jakaria. 2014. Identifikasi ukuran tubuh dan bentuk sapi bali di beberapa pusat pembibitan melalui pendekatan analisis komponen utama. Departemen Ilmu Produksi dan Teknologi Peternakan Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. Jurnal Ilmu Produksi dan Teknologi Hasil Peternakan, 2(1):231-237
- Hikmawaty, A. gunawan, R. R. Noor, dan Jakaria. 2014. Identifikasi Ukuran Tubuh dan Bentuk Sapi Bali Di Beberapa Pusat Pembibitan Melalui Pendekatan Analisis Komponen Utama. Departemen Ilmu Produksi dan Teknologi Peternakan Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. Jurnal Ilmu Produksi Dan Teknologi Hasil Peternakan, 2(1):231-237
- Himawan, R. 2008. Pengaruh penambahan teh hijau terhadap aktivitas antioksidan dan kadar protein minuman fungsional susu kedelai dan madu. Skripsi. Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Sebelas Maret. Surakarta
- Inounu, I. 2014. Upaya meningkatkan keberhasilan inseminasi buatan pada ternak ruminansia kecil. Pusat penelitian dan pengembangan peternakan Bogor. Bogor. Wartazoa.24(4):201-209
- Insani, K., S. Rahayu, A. Pramana, dan A. Soewondo. 2014. Kadar MDA spermatozoa setelah proses pembekuan. Fakultas Matematika Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Universitas Brawijaya. Malang. Jurnal Biotropika.2(3):142-147
- Karina, A. 2008. Pemanfaatan jahe (*zingiber officinale* rosc.) dan teh hijau (*camellia sinensis*) dalam pembuatan selai rendah kalori dan sumber

- antioksidan. Skripsi. Fakultas Pertanian Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor
- Kendrick, J. W. 1954. Psychic impotence in bulls, Cor Vet., 44, 289
- Komariah, I. Arifiantini dan F. W. Nugraha. 2013. Kaji banding kualitaspermatozoa sapi simmental, limousin, dan friesian holstein terhadap proses pembekuan. Buletin Peternakan. 37(3):143-147.
- Reproduction An Infertility. 6(2): 35-40
- Lamid, A. 1995. Vitamin E sebagai antioksidan. Puslitbang Gizi. Bogor. Media litbangkes 5(1):14-16
- Mahmilia, F., F. A. Pamungkas dan M. Doloksaribu. 2007. Laju pertumbuhan prasapih dan sapih kambing boer, kacang dan boerka-1. Loka Penelitian Kambing Potong. Galang. Seminar Nasional Teknologi Peternakan dan Veteriner 2007
- Marlene, W. N. 2003. Kajian biologi reproduksi dan penerapan IB pada Rusa Timor. Disertasi. Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor
- Martinenaite M. Tavenier J. 2010. Cryonics.3rd semester project, Final report, RoskildeUniversity. Roskilde. International BasicStudies in Natural Sciences.
- Nyuwita, A., T. Susilawati dan N. Isnani. 2015. Kualitas semen segar dan prooduksi semen beku sapi simental pada umur yang berbeda. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Brawijaya. Malang. Jurnal Ternak Tropika 16(1):61-68
- Pratiwi W.C., L. Affandhy dan D. Pamungkas. 2006. Observasi Kualitas Spermatozoa Pejantan Simental dan Po Dalam Straw Dingin Setelah Penyimpanan 7 Hari Pada Suhu 5°C. Seminar Nasional Teknologi Peternakan dan Veteriner. Loka Penelitian Sapi Potong, Pasuruan.
- Purti, M. P. Dan Y. H. Setiawati. 2015. Analisis Kadar Vitamin C Pada Buah Nanas Segar (Ananas Comous (L.) Merr) Dan Buah Nanas Kaleng Dengan Metode Spektrofotometri UV-VIS. Program studi Kimia IIK Bhakti wiyyata Kediri. Kediri. Jurnal wiyyata 2(1):34-38
- Rhizal, M. dan Herdis. 2010. Peranan antioksidan dalam peningkatan kualitas semen beku. Jurusan Peternakan Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Pattimura. Ambon. Wartazoa 20(3):139-145
- Rocha-Frigoni Nas, Leao BCS, Dall' Acqua PC, Mingoti GZ. 2016. Improving the cytoplasmic maturation of bovine oocytes matured in vitro with intracellular and/or extracellular antioxidants is not associated with increased rates of embryo development. Theriogenology. 86:1897-1905

- Rohdianan, D. 2006. Pengembangan produk hilir untuk industri teh berkelanjutan. Prosiding “Pertemuan Teknis Industri Teh Berkelanjutan (*Sustainable Tea*). Pusat Penelitian Teh dan Kina Gambang. Bandung
- Sekoni, V.O., dan B.K. Gustafsson. 1987. Seasonal variations in the incidence of sperm morphological abnormalities in dairy bulls regularly used for ai. Br.Vet. Journal 143 : 312-317.
- Shophiani, 2006. Pengaruh Frekuensi Penampungan Terhadap Volume Semen dan Motilitas Spematozoa Ayam Kampung. Skripsi. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Diponegoro. Semarang
- Solihati, N., R. Idi, R. Setiawan, I.Y. Asmara, dan B. I. Sujana. 2006. Pengaruh Lama Penyimpanan Semen Cair Ayam Buras pada Suhu 5°C terhadap Periode Fertil dan Fertilitas Sperma. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Padjadjaran. Bandung. Jurnal Ilmu Ternak. 6(1):7 – 11
- Subekti, E. 2009. Ketahanan pakan ternak indonesia. Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Wahid Hasyim. Semarang. Mediagro 5(2):63-71
- Sugiarti, T. dan S. B. Siregar. 1999. Dampak pelaksanaan inseminasi buatan (IB) terhadap peningkatan pendapatan peternak sapi perah di daerah jawa barat. Balai Penelitian Ternak. Bogor. Jurnal Imu Ternak dan Veteriner. 4(1):1-6
- Sugiarti, T. dan S. B. Siregar. 1999. Dampak pelaksanaan inseminasi buatan (IB) terhadap peningkatan pendapatan peternak sapi perah di daerah jawa barat. Balai Penelitian Ternak. Bogor. Jurnal Imu Ternak dan Veteriner. 4(1):1-6
- Sujoko, H., Mohammad, A. S., Arief, B. 2009. Seleksi spermatozoa domba garut dengan metode sentrifugasi gradient densitas percoll. Program studi peternakan fakultas pertanian universitas palangka raya. Bogor. Jurnal ilmu ternak dan veteriner. 19(3):168-175
- Sukmawati, Arifiantini, dan Purwantara. 2014. Daya tahan spermatozoa terhadap proses pembekuan pada berbagai jenis sapi pejantan unggul. Pascasarjana Biologi Reproduksi Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor. Jurnal Ilmu Ternak dan Veteriner.19(3):168-175
- Sulistyo J, Nurdiana, dan H Elizar. 2003. Pengembangan Kerja Sama Riset, Teknologi Produksi, dan Pemasaran Produk Hilir Teh. Prosiding ”Simposium Teh Nasional 2003” Pusat Penelitian Teh Kina Gambung. Bandung
- Sumarsono, T. 1998. Peningkatan Kualitas Spermatozoa Kerbau Lumpur dengan Penambahan Asam Askorbat dalam Pengencer Semen Beku. Tesis.

Program Pascasarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor

- Sumarsono, T. 1998. Peningkatan Kualitas SpermatozoaKerbau Lumpur dengan Penambahan Asam Askorbatdalam Pengencer Semen Beku.Tesis. ProgramPascasarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor
- Susanti, R.M. 2016. Analisis aktivitas antioksidan teh hitam celup menggunakan metode superoksida dismutase (SOD). Skripsi. Program Studi Teknologi Pangan Fakultas Teknik Universitas Pasundan. Bandung
- Susilawati, T., Suyadi, Nuryadi, N. Isnaini, dan S. Wahyuningsih. 1993. Kualitas semen sapi fries holland dan sapi bali pada berbagai umur dan berat badan. Laporan Penelitian. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Brawijaya : Malang.
- Swari, W. R., Emy K. S., Wurlina, Suherni, S., Rochmah, K., dan Erma S. 2019. Pengaruh Penambahan Ekstrak Teh Hijau (*Camellia Sinensis*) Dalam Bahan Pengencer Susu Skim Kuning Telur Terhadap Kualitas Spermatozoa Domba Sapudi Yang Disimpan Pada Suhu Dingin. Fakultas Kedokteran hewan universitas airlangga. Surabaya. 8(2):122-126
- Tangkelangi, O. 2017. Pengaruh ekstrak daun bidara (*Zisipuz Mauritiana Lam*) terhadap kualitas semen sapi segar sapi bali. Skripsi. Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Hasanuddin. Makassar
- Toelihere, M. R. 2006. Pokok-pokok pikiran tentang perkembangan (bio) teknologi reproduksi di masa lalu, masa kini, dan masa yang akan datang dalam menunjang pembangunan peternakan di Indonesia. Fakultas Kedokteran Hewan Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor
- Toelihere, M.R. 1988. Inseminasi Buatan pada ternak. CV Angkasa. Bandung
- Toelihere, M.R. 1993. Inseminasi Buatan pada Ternak. Angkasa. Bandung
- Varasofiasi, L.N., E.T. Setiatin, dan Sutopo. 2013. Evaluasi Kualitas Semen Segar sapi Jawa Brebes Berdasarkan Lama Waktu Penyipahan. Fakultas Peternakan dan Pertanian Universitas Diponegoro. Semarang. *Animal Agriculture*, 2(1):201-208.
- Yousef, M.I., G.A. Abdallah dan K.I. Kamel. 2003. Effect of ascorbic acid and vitamin E supplementation on semen quality and biochemical parameters of male rabbits. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 76: 99 – 111.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1.Semen Segar Sapi Bali

| No | Hari/tgl | Vol | Warna | Konsentrasi | Motilitas | Viabilitas | Abnormalitas |
|----|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Senin/3 1-8- 2020 | 2,5 ml | Putih krem | 2.194 X 10^9 /ml | 98,7% | 184, mati: 23 = 207 (88,8%) | 23 (11,1%) |
| 2 | Kamis/ 10-9- 2020 | 2 ml | Putih Krem | 2.525X 10^9 /m l | 97,5% | 173, mati: 43 = 216 (80%) | 27 (12,5%) |
| 3 | Senin/ 14-9- 2020 | 3 ml | Putih krem | 2.263X 10^9 /m l | 81,3% | 165, mati: 65 = 230 (71,7%) | 45 (19,5%) |
| 4 | Senin/ 21-9- 2020 | 3 ml | Putih krem | 2.428X 10^9 /m l | 93% | 131, mati: 89 = 220 (59,5%) | 22 (10%) |

Lampiran 2. Motilitas Setelah Pengenceran

Descriptives

ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 90.1750 | 3.66640 | 1.83320 | 84.3409 | 96.0091 | 86.50 | 95.00 |
| p1 | 4 | 85.7000 | 6.88912 | 3.44456 | 74.7379 | 96.6621 | 77.20 | 92.50 |
| p2 | 4 | 87.6250 | 4.57994 | 2.28997 | 80.3373 | 94.9127 | 82.70 | 93.60 |
| p3 | 4 | 77.1000 | 13.64380 | 6.82190 | 55.3897 | 98.8103 | 59.80 | 92.90 |
| Total | 16 | 85.1500 | 8.90700 | 2.22675 | 80.4038 | 89.8962 | 59.80 | 95.00 |

ANOVA

| Motilitas setelah pengenceran | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 385.925 | 3 | 128.642 | 1.920 | .180 |
| Within Groups | 804.095 | 12 | 67.008 | | |
| Total | 1190.020 | 15 | | | |

Lampiran 3. Motilitas Setelah Equilibrasi

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 90.0500 | 4.10406 | 2.05203 | 83.5195 | 96.5805 | 86.10 | 94.30 |
| p1 | 4 | 86.6750 | 6.09556 | 3.04778 | 76.9756 | 96.3744 | 79.80 | 93.60 |
| p2 | 4 | 91.6500 | 3.87513 | 1.93757 | 85.4838 | 97.8162 | 87.60 | 96.30 |
| p3 | 4 | 90.4500 | 3.58748 | 1.79374 | 84.7415 | 96.1585 | 87.60 | 95.70 |
| Total | 16 | 89.7063 | 4.47370 | 1.11842 | 87.3224 | 92.0901 | 79.80 | 96.30 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F |
| Between Groups | | 54.552 | 3 | 18.184 | .888 |
| Within Groups | | 245.658 | 12 | 20.471 | |
| Total | | 300.209 | 15 | | |

Lampiran 4. Motilitas Pembekuan 24 Jam

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 83.8250 | 3.74822 | 1.87411 | 77.8607 | 89.7893 | 78.70 | 87.60 |
| p1 | 4 | 77.1000 | 23.76468 | 11.88234 | 39.2851 | 114.9149 | 42.80 | 93.60 |
| p2 | 4 | 73.3500 | 8.15455 | 4.07727 | 60.3743 | 86.3257 | 64.50 | 84.20 |
| p3 | 3 | 76.8333 | 8.73232 | 5.04160 | 55.1411 | 98.5256 | 66.90 | 83.30 |
| Total | 15 | 77.8400 | 12.86628 | 3.32206 | 70.7149 | 84.9651 | 42.80 | 93.60 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | |
|---------|--|----------------|----|-------------|---|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|----|---------|------|------|
| Between Groups | 229.152 | 3 | 76.384 | .402 | .754 |
| Within Groups | 2088.424 | 11 | 189.857 | | |
| Total | 2317.576 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 5. Motilitas Pembekuan 48 Jam

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 78.0500 | 9.79745 | 4.89872 | 62.4601 | 93.6399 | 64.10 | 86.70 |
| p1 | 4 | 74.8250 | 15.10417 | 7.55208 | 50.7909 | 98.8591 | 55.80 | 87.70 |
| p2 | 4 | 73.3250 | 14.08199 | 7.04100 | 50.9174 | 95.7326 | 53.70 | 85.20 |
| p3 | 3 | 66.9000 | 17.03262 | 9.83379 | 24.5886 | 109.2114 | 53.00 | 85.90 |
| Total | 15 | 73.7000 | 13.00423 | 3.35768 | 66.4985 | 80.9015 | 53.00 | 87.70 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | | 220.035 | 3 | 73.345 | .376 | .772 |
| Within Groups | | 2147.505 | 11 | 195.228 | | |
| Total | | 2367.540 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 6. Motilitas Pembekuan 72 Jam

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 74.3000 | 10.84958 | 5.42479 | 57.0359 | 91.5641 | 60.90 | 87.30 |
| p1 | 4 | 79.4750 | 11.11767 | 5.55883 | 61.7843 | 97.1657 | 72.70 | 96.10 |
| p2 | 4 | 67.5750 | 20.79461 | 10.39731 | 34.4861 | 100.6639 | 43.40 | 94.10 |
| p3 | 3 | 80.1667 | 14.35003 | 8.28499 | 44.5192 | 115.8141 | 63.70 | 90.00 |
| Total | 15 | 75.0600 | 14.18162 | 3.66168 | 67.2065 | 82.9135 | 43.40 | 96.10 |

| ANOVA | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| Ulangan | | | | | |
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 382.614 | 3 | 127.538 | .577 | .642 |
| Within Groups | 2433.042 | 11 | 221.186 | | |
| Total | 2815.656 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 7. Viabilitas Setelah Pengenceran

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 72.5250 | 11.18701 | 5.59350 | 54.7240 | 90.3260 | 59.00 | 84.90 |
| p1 | 4 | 72.3750 | 9.65483 | 4.82742 | 57.0120 | 87.7380 | 58.00 | 78.80 |
| p2 | 4 | 86.0750 | 3.66913 | 1.83456 | 80.2366 | 91.9134 | 82.80 | 89.40 |
| p3 | 4 | 79.3750 | 7.70514 | 3.85257 | 67.1144 | 91.6356 | 72.10 | 90.10 |
| Total | 16 | 77.5875 | 9.61151 | 2.40288 | 72.4659 | 82.7091 | 58.00 | 90.10 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | | 512.127 | 3 | 170.709 | 2.345 | .124 |
| Within Groups | | 873.590 | 12 | 72.799 | | |
| Total | | 1385.717 | 15 | | | |

Lampiran 8.Viabilitas Setelah Equilibrasi

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|----|---|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 77.2500 | 3.80657 | 1.90329 | 71.1929 | 83.3071 | 73.60 | 82.60 |
| p1 | 4 | 77.4250 | 13.84856 | 6.92428 | 55.3889 | 99.4611 | 58.00 | 89.70 |
| p2 | 4 | 87.5500 | 7.22242 | 3.61121 | 76.0575 | 99.0425 | 81.20 | 97.10 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| p3 | 4 | 76.5250 | 4.29603 | 2.14801 | 69.6891 | 83.3609 | 72.20 | 81.90 |
| Total | 16 | 79.6875 | 8.80226 | 2.20057 | 74.9971 | 84.3779 | 58.00 | 97.10 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | | 331.522 | 3 | 110.507 | 1.596 | .242 |
| Within Groups | | 830.675 | 12 | 69.223 | | |
| Total | | 1162.198 | 15 | | | |

Lampiran 9. Viabilitas Pembekuan 24 Jam

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 85.5750 | 7.12899 | 3.56450 | 74.2312 | 96.9188 | 75.50 | 92.30 |
| p1 | 4 | 81.6250 | 12.54256 | 6.27128 | 61.6670 | 101.5830 | 67.10 | 94.50 |
| p2 | 4 | 83.3250 | 7.05473 | 3.52736 | 72.0994 | 94.5506 | 75.80 | 92.20 |
| p3 | 3 | 80.7000 | 12.56543 | 7.25465 | 49.4857 | 111.9143 | 66.70 | 91.00 |
| Total | 15 | 82.9467 | 9.02313 | 2.32976 | 77.9498 | 87.9435 | 66.70 | 94.50 |

ANOVA

| ulangan | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | | 50.335 | 3 | 16.778 | .169 | .915 |
| Within Groups | | 1089.503 | 11 | 99.046 | | |
| Total | | 1139.837 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 10. Viabilitas Pembekuan 48 Jam

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 83.0250 | 8.22410 | 4.11205 | 69.9386 | 96.1114 | 71.50 | 90.10 |
| p1 | 4 | 89.5500 | 3.00389 | 1.50194 | 84.7701 | 94.3299 | 86.80 | 93.80 |
| p2 | 4 | 87.6250 | 5.67296 | 2.83648 | 78.5981 | 96.6519 | 80.70 | 92.70 |
| p3 | 3 | 83.9000 | 4.53982 | 2.62107 | 72.6225 | 95.1775 | 80.30 | 89.00 |
| Total | 15 | 86.1667 | 5.83667 | 1.50702 | 82.9344 | 89.3989 | 71.50 | 93.80 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|-------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F |
| Between Groups | | 109.188 | 3 | 36.396 | 1.089 |
| Within Groups | | 367.745 | 11 | 33.431 | |
| Total | | 476.933 | 14 | | |

Lampiran 11.viabilitas pembekuan 72 jam

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 81.9750 | 3.63077 | 1.81539 | 76.1976 | 87.7524 | 77.20 | 84.90 |
| p1 | 4 | 78.3250 | 3.85519 | 1.92760 | 72.1905 | 84.4595 | 73.80 | 83.10 |
| p2 | 4 | 74.5000 | 15.58054 | 7.79027 | 49.7079 | 99.2921 | 53.10 | 89.60 |
| p3 | 3 | 80.1667 | 8.70077 | 5.02339 | 58.5528 | 101.7806 | 71.40 | 88.80 |
| Total | 15 | 78.6467 | 8.80031 | 2.27223 | 73.7732 | 83.5201 | 53.10 | 89.60 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F |
| Between Groups | | 120.436 | 3 | 40.145 | .458 |
| | | | | | .717 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|----|--------|--|--|
| Within Groups | 963.802 | 11 | 87.618 | | |
| Total | 1084.237 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 12.abnormalitas setelah pengenceran

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 20.5250 | 8.78536 | 4.39268 | 6.5455 | 34.5045 | 7.40 | 26.00 |
| p1 | 4 | 17.5000 | 6.60656 | 3.30328 | 6.9875 | 28.0125 | 10.80 | 25.90 |
| p2 | 4 | 17.4500 | 6.71044 | 3.35522 | 6.7722 | 28.1278 | 8.60 | 23.50 |
| p3 | 4 | 15.3500 | 3.77492 | 1.88746 | 9.3433 | 21.3567 | 11.70 | 18.90 |
| Total | 16 | 17.7062 | 6.29682 | 1.57421 | 14.3509 | 21.0616 | 7.40 | 26.00 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 54.422 | 3 | 18.141 | .403 | .754 |
| Within Groups | 540.328 | 12 | 45.027 | | |
| Total | 594.749 | 15 | | | |

Lampiran 13. Abnormalitas Setelah Equilibrasi

Descriptives

Ulangan

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 20.9750 | 14.53074 | 7.26537 | -2.1467 | 44.0967 | 6.40 | 36.10 |
| p1 | 4 | 20.2750 | 12.97109 | 6.48554 | -.3649 | 40.9149 | 11.20 | 39.50 |
| p2 | 4 | 21.5750 | 21.52632 | 10.76316 | -12.6782 | 55.8282 | 9.50 | 53.80 |
| p3 | 3 | 16.6667 | 16.65003 | 9.61290 | -24.6943 | 58.0276 | 4.80 | 35.70 |
| Total | 15 | 20.0867 | 14.95235 | 3.86068 | 11.8063 | 28.3670 | 4.80 | 53.80 |

ANOVA

| Ulangan | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 47.248 | 3 | 15.749 | .056 | .982 |
| Within Groups | 3082.769 | 11 | 280.252 | | |
| Total | 3130.017 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 14.abnormalitas pembekuan 24 jam

Descriptives

VAR00001

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 19.8750 | 11.37904 | 5.68952 | 1.7684 | 37.9816 | 6.30 | 33.70 |
| p1 | 4 | 13.5000 | 8.13921 | 4.06960 | .5487 | 26.4513 | 7.80 | 25.30 |
| p2 | 4 | 20.6000 | 13.17498 | 6.58749 | -.3643 | 41.5643 | 9.10 | 39.40 |
| p3 | 4 | 16.8250 | 3.79155 | 1.89577 | 10.7918 | 22.8582 | 11.60 | 20.00 |
| Total | 16 | 17.7000 | 9.22764 | 2.30691 | 12.7829 | 22.6171 | 6.30 | 39.40 |

ANOVA

| VAR00001 | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|------|------|
| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 126.185 | 3 | 42.062 | .439 | .730 |
| Within Groups | 1151.055 | 12 | 95.921 | | |
| Total | 1277.240 | 15 | | | |

Lampiran 15. Abnormalitas Pembekuan 48 Jam

Descriptives

VAR00001

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|----|---|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 18.9500 | 12.65609 | 6.32805 | -1.1887 | 39.0887 | 6.50 | 36.30 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| p1 | 4 | 14.3250 | 5.49507 | 2.74754 | 5.5811 | 23.0689 | 7.90 | 20.00 |
| p2 | 4 | 16.1000 | 7.38467 | 3.69233 | 4.3493 | 27.8507 | 8.70 | 23.50 |
| p3 | 3 | 24.8667 | 2.92632 | 1.68951 | 17.5973 | 32.1360 | 21.50 | 26.80 |
| Total | 15 | 18.1400 | 8.30265 | 2.14374 | 13.5421 | 22.7379 | 6.50 | 36.30 |

ANOVA

| VAR00001 | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | | 213.232 | 3 | 71.077 | 1.040 | .413 |
| Within Groups | | 751.844 | 11 | 68.349 | | |
| Total | | 965.076 | 14 | | | |

Lampiran 16. Abnormalitas Pembekuan 72 Jam

Descriptives

VAR00002

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|---------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| p0 | 4 | 31.8750 | 6.16029 | 3.08014 | 22.0726 | 41.6774 | 23.50 | 38.10 |
| p1 | 4 | 22.0250 | 9.09519 | 4.54760 | 7.5525 | 36.4975 | 13.60 | 34.40 |
| p2 | 4 | 27.0750 | 10.97463 | 5.48731 | 9.6119 | 44.5381 | 17.10 | 40.50 |
| p3 | 4 | 25.6250 | 4.46570 | 2.23285 | 18.5191 | 32.7309 | 21.50 | 31.90 |
| Total | 16 | 26.6500 | 8.09469 | 2.02367 | 22.3366 | 30.9634 | 13.60 | 40.50 |

ANOVA

| VAR00002 | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | | 199.690 | 3 | 66.563 | 1.020 | .418 |
| Within Groups | | 783.170 | 12 | 65.264 | | |
| Total | | 982.860 | 15 | | | |

Lampiran 17. Dokumentasi Penelitian



Teh Hijau dan Tris Kuning Telur



Filtrasi Cairan Tris



Pemeriksaan Abnormalitas



Proses Filling sealing



Homeogenisasi Cairan Tris



Equilibrasi

BIODATA



Yayu Yunita lahir di Cikupa-Tangerang, pada hari Rabu tanggal 10 April 1996. Anak Pertama dari dua bersaudara dari pasangan bapak Abubakar dan Ma'ani. Pada tahun 2002 penulis pertama kali duduk sebagai siswi sekolah dasar di SD Negeri 2 Cikupa Namun Saat kenaikan Kelas 2 SD harus pindah sekolah karena ikut orang tua pindah ke Bima dan melanjutkan pendidikan sekolah dasar di SDN Inpress Nipa sampai tamat Tahun 2008, kemudian melanjutkan pendidikan di SMPN 1 Ambalawi hingga pada tahun 2011, kemudian penulis melanjutkan pendidikan di SMAN 1 Barru dan lulus pada tahun 2014. Penulis melanjutkan pendidikan ke Perguruan Tinggi Negeri dan menjadi salah satu mahasiswa di Fakultas Peternakan Universitas Hasanuddin, Makassar. Selama menjadi mahasiswa penulis aktif di organisasi internal di Fakultas Peternakan yaitu Himpunan Mahasiswa Produksi Ternak (HIMAPROTEK-UH), serta Organisasi Daerah yaitu Ikatan Mahasiswa Mbojo Universitas Hasanuddin (IWA MBOJO) dan menjadi asisten Ilmu Reproduksi Ternak.

