

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aiman, U., Sriwijaya, B., & Asmara, F. (n.d.). Uji mikroba rhizosfer tumbuhan pantai sebagai pemacu pertumbuhan kacang tunggak. *Agroindustri*, 1–10.
- Anees, M., Abid, M., Ur Rehman, S., Ahmed, N., Ashraf, M., Zhang, L., & Kim, K. Y. (2019). Antifungal activity of various chitinolytic bacteria against *colletotrichum* in pepper. *Plant Protection Science*, 55(2), 109–115. <https://doi.org/10.17221/72/2018-PPS>
- Basha, S., & Ulaganathan, K. (2002). Antagonism of *Bacillus* species (strain BC121) towards *Curvularia lunata*. *Current Science*, 82(12), 1457–1463.
- Beier, S., & Bertilsson, S. (2013). *Bacterial chitin degradation — mechanisms and ecophysiological strategies*. (June). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00149>
- Bhattacharya, D., & Nagpure, A. (2007). *Bacterial Chitinases : Properties and Potential*. 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07388550601168223>
- Burhan. (2014). *Penilaian Kondisi Ekologi Vegetasi Pantai (Pes-caprae & Barringtonia) pada Daerah Sempadan Pantai di Desa Mattiro Tasi Kabupaten Pinrang*. Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Bustaman, H. (2006). Seleksi Mikroba Antagonis *Ralstolnia solanacearum* Penyebab Penyakit Layu Bakteri pada Tanaman Jahe di Lahan Tertandas. *Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia*, 8(1), 12–18.
- Chaithra, M., Vanitha, S., Ramanathan, A., Jegadeeshwari, V., Rajesh, V., Hegde, V., & Apshara, E. (2020). Morphological and Molecular Characterization of Endophytic Fungi Associated with Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) in India. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 38(November 2019), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2019/v38i630447>
- Chasanah, E., Ilmi, M., & Mangunwardoyo, W. (2009). Penapisan Bakteri Kitinolitik Dari Limbah Pengolahan Udang. *Jurnal Pascapanen Dan Bioteknologi Kelautan Dan Perikanan*, Vol. 4, p. 59. <https://doi.org/10.15578/jpbkp.v4i1.437>
- Chen, C. Y., Wang, Y. H., & Huang, C. J. (2004). Enhancement of the antifungal activity of *Bacillus subtilis* F29-3 by the chitinase encoded by *Bacillus circulans* chiA gene. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 50(6), 451–454. <https://doi.org/10.1139/w04-027>
- Chen, S. F., Li, G. Q., Liu, Q. L., Li, J. Q., & Liu, F. F. (2016). Characteristics of *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* from *Rosa rugosa* in South China. *Crop Protection*, 79, 51–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.10.011>
- Chary, B., Nagpure, A., & Gupta, R. K. (2014). Fungal cell-wall lytic enzymes, antifungal metabolite(s) production, and characterization from *Aspergillus exfoliatus* MT9 for controlling fruit-rotting fungi. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 54(12), 1295–1309.



<https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201400380>

- Das, S. N., Dutta, S., Kondreddy, A., Chilukoti, N., Pullabhotla, S. V. S. R. N., Vadlamudi, S., & Podile, A. R. (2010). Plant Growth-Promoting Chitinolytic Paenibacillus elgii Responds Positively to Tobacco Root Exudates. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 29(4), 409–418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-010-9152-1>
- de Silva, N. I., Phillips, A. J. L., Liu, J. K., Lumyong, S., & Hyde, K. D. (2019). Phylogeny and morphology of Lasiodiplodia species associated with Magnolia forest plants. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50804-x>
- Dissanayake, A. J., Zhang, W., Mei, L., Chukeatirote, E., Yan, J. Y., Li, X. H., & Hyde, K. D. (2015). Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae causes pedicel and peduncle discolouration of grapes in China. *Australasian Plant Disease Notes*, 10(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13314-015-0170-5>
- Downing, K. J., & Thomson, J. A. (2000). Introduction of the *Serratia marcescens* chiA gene into an endophytic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for the biocontrol of phytopathogenic fungi. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 46(4), 363–369. <https://doi.org/10.1139/w99-147>
- Eifendy, M. (2017). *Mikrobiologi* (1st ed.). Depok: Kencana.
- Ferniah, R. S., Pujiyanto, S., Purwantisari, S., & Supriyadi, S. (2012). Interaksi Kapang Patogen Fusarium oxysporum dengan Bakteri Kitinolitik Rizosfer Tanaman Jahe dan Pisang. *Jurnal Natur Indonesia*, 14(1), 56. <https://doi.org/10.31258/jnat.14.1.56-60>
- Gadelhak, G. G., El-Tarably, K. A., & Al-Kaabi, F. K. (2005). Insect Control Using Chitinolytic Soil Actinomycetes as Bio-control Agents. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 8530(November 2004), 7–4. Retrieved from <http://www.ijab.org>
- Gkarmiri, K., Finlay, R. D., Alström, S., Thomas, E., Cubeta, M. A., & Höglberg, N. (2015). Transcriptomic changes in the plant pathogenic fungus *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 in response to the antagonistic bacteria *Serratia proteamaculans* and *Serratia plymuthica*. *BMC Genomics*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1758-z>
- Hakim, B. A., & Krisna, W. (2012). Efektifitas Penanggulangan Abrasi Menggunakan Bangunan Pantai di Pesisir kota Semarang. (September), 122–128.
- Haliza, W., & Suhartono, M. T. (2012). Karakteristik Kitinase Dari Mikrobia. *Buletin Teknologi Pasca Panen*, 8(1), 1–14.



- Herdyastuti, N., Raharjo, T. J., Mudasir, M., & Matsjeh, S. (2010). Chitinase and Chitinolytic Microorganism: Isolation, Characterization and Potential. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry*, 9(1), 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.22146/ijc.21580>
- Khaeruni, A., & Rahman, A. (2012). Penggunaan Bakteri Kitinolitik sebagai Agens Biokontrol Penyakit Busuk Batang oleh Rhizoctonia solani pada Tanaman Kedelai Utilization of Chitinolitic Bacteria as Biocontrol Agent of Stem Rot Disease by Rhizoctonia solani on Soybean. *J. Fitopatologi*, 8(2), 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30433-0>
- Kishore, G. K., Pande, S., & Podile, A. R. (2005). Biological control of late leaf spot of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) with chitinolytic bacteria. *Phytopathology*, 95(10), 1157–1165. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-95-1157>
- Kloepper, J. W., Lifshitz, R., & Zablotovicz, R. M. (1989). *Free-living bacteria inocula for enhancing crop productivity*. *Trends Biotechnol* 7: 39-44. 7799(February 1989), 39–44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7799\(89\)90057-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7799(89)90057-7)
- Laleye, S. A., Tedela, P. O., Adesua, B., & Famurewa, O. (2007). Growth of Some Microorganisms on Media Formulated from Local Raw Materials. *Research Journals of Microbiology*, 2(6), 545–549. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446220153>
- Lopes, M. A., Gomes, D. S., Bello Koblitz, M. G., Pirovani, C. P., Cézar de Mattos Cascardo, J., Góes-Neto, A., & Micheli, F. (2008). Use of response surface methodology to examine chitinase regulation in the basidiomycete Moniliophthora perniciosa. *Mycological Research*, 112(3), 399–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mycres.2007.10.017>
- Malinda, N., Poernomo, B., Soekarno, W., & Yuliani, T. S. (2015). *Penghambatan Fusarium oxysporum oleh Kultur Filtrat Bakteri Endofit dari Tanaman Kedelai secara in Vitro In Vitro Inhibition of Fusarium oxysporum by The Filtrate Culture of Endophytic Bacteria from Soybean*. 11. <https://doi.org/10.14692/jfi.11.6.196>
- Margaret S. Devall. (1992). The Biological Flora of Coastal Dunes and Wetlands. 2. *Ipomea Pes-caprae* (L.) Roth. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 8(2), 442–456.
- Membalik, V., Bahar, A. K. F., Andriani, S., Hasbi, H. N., & Ferdy. (2020). Uji Fitokimia Ekstrak Tapak Kuda (*Ipomea pes-caprae*) Terhadap Penyakit Busuk Buah Pada Kakao (*Phytophtora palmivora* Butler.). *Jurnal ABDI*, 2(1), 59–67.
- Mitchell, R., & Alexander, M. (1963). Lysis of Soil Fungi By Bacteria. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 9(2), 169–177. <https://doi.org/10.1139/m63-023>



G., Foster, J. W., & Spector, M. P. (2003). Metabolism of Substrates Other Than Glucose. *Microbial Physiology*, 394–411. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471223867.ch10>

.., Djatnika, I., & Widodo, W. (2007). Karakter Fisiologis Dan Peranan

- Antibiosis Bakteri Perakaran Graminae Terhadap Fusarium Dan Pemacu Pertumbuhan Tanaman Pisang. *Jurnal Hortikultura*, 17(2), 84824. <https://doi.org/10.21082/jhort.v17n2.2007.p>
- Nawangsih, A. A., Widjayanti, T., & Anisa, Y. (2015). Kelimpahan Bakteri Rizosfer pada Sistem Pht-Biointensif serta Kemampuan Antagonismenya terhadap Sclerotium rolfsii pada Kedelai. *Jurnal Hama Dan Penyakit Tumbuhan Tropika*, 14(2), 110–120. <https://doi.org/10.23960/j.hptt.214110-120>
- Netto, M. S. B., Assunção, I. P., Lima, G. S. A., Marques, M. W., Lima, W. G., Monteiro, J. H. A., ... Câmara, M. P. S. (2014). Species of Lasiodiplodia associated with papaya stem-end rot in Brazil. *Fungal Diversity*, 67(1), 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-014-0279-4>
- Petatán-sagahón, I., Anducho-reyes, M. A., & Silva-rojas, H. V. (2011). Isolation of Bacteria with Antifungal Activity against the Phytopathogenic Fungi Stenocarpella maydis and Stenocarpella macrospora. *Molecular Sciences*, 5522–5537. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12095522>
- Philips, A. J. L., Aves, A., & Crous, P. W. (2008). Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae. Retrieved from Fungal Diversity website: mycobank.org
- Pipattanapuckdee, A., Boonyakait, D., Tiyayon, C., Seehanam, P., & Ruangwong, O. U. (2019). Lasiodiplodia pseudotheobromae causes postharvest fruit rot of longan in Thailand. *Australasian Plant Disease Notes*, 14(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13314-019-0350-9>
- Pujiyanto, S., Kusdiyantini, E., & Hadi, M. (2008). Isolasi dan Seleksi Bakteri Kitinolitik Isolat Lokal yang Berpotensi untuk Mengendalikan Larva Nyamuk Aedes aegypti L. *Biodiversitas, Journal of Biological Diversity*, 9(1), 5–8. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d090102>
- Rosmana, A., Taufik, M., Asman, A., Jayanti, N. J., & Hakkar, A. A. (2019). Dynamic of vascular streak dieback disease incidence on susceptible cacao treated with composted plant residues and trichoderma asperellum in field. *Agronomy*, 9(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9100650>
- Sahur, A., Ala, A., Patanjengi, B., & Syam, E. (2017). *Isolation and Characterization of Indigenous Rhizosphere Bacteria Producing Gibberellic Acid and Indole Acetic Acid from Local Soybeans in South Sulawesi*. 4(1), 7–15.
- Saima, Kuddus, M., Roohi, & Ahmad, I. Z. (2013). Isolation of novel chitinolytic bacteria and production optimization of extracellular chitinase. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 11(1), 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2013.03.001>



N. W., Jones, J. B., & Chun, W. (2001). Laboratory Guide for identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria Third Edition. In N. W. Schaad, J. Jones, & W. Chun (Eds.), *APS Press*. Minnesota: The American Phytopathological Society.


- Setia, I. N., & Suharjono. (2015). Chitinolytic Assay and Identification of Bacteria Isolated from Shrimp Waste Based on 16S rDNA Sequences. *Advances in Microbiology*, 05(07), 541–548. <https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2015.57056>
- Singh, P. P., Shin, Y. C., Park, C. S., & Chung, Y. R. (1999). Biological control of Fusarium wilt of cucumber by chitinolytic bacteria. *Phytopathology*, 89(1), 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1999.89.1.92>
- Sitanggang, E. P. (2007). *P eranan Vegetasi Batata Pantai (Ipomoea pes - pes - caprae) Dalam D alam Mereduksi Erosi Gisik Di Sepanjang Pantai Teluk Amurang , Sulawesi Utara.* 12(2), 104–110.
- Slippers, B., & Wingfield, M. J. (2007). Botryosphaeriaceae as endophytes and latent pathogens of woody plants: diversity, ecology and impact. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 21(2–3), 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2007.06.002>
- Someya, N., Numata, S., Nakajima, M., Hasebe, A., & Akutsu, K. (2004). Influence of rice-isolated bacteria on chitinase production by the biocontrol bacterium *Serratia marcescens* strain B2 and the genetically modified rice epiphytic bacterium. *Journal of General Plant Pathology*, 70(6), 371–375. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10327-004-0141-8>
- Suwanda. (2008). Pedoman Diagnosis OPTK Golongan Bakteri. In *Badan Karantina Pertanian* (Vol. 53). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Syahfitri, D., Mubarik, N. R., & Manaf, L. A. (2018). Penggunaan Bakteri Kitinolitik sebagai Pengendali Hayati *Colletotrichum capsici* pada Tanaman Cabai. *Jurnal Fitopatologi Indonesia*, 14(4), 120. <https://doi.org/10.14692/jfi.14.4.120>
- Trivedi, P., Å, A. P., & Palni, L. M. S. (2008). *In vitro evaluation of antagonistic properties of Pseudomonas corrugata.* 163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2006.06.007>
- Wibowo, R. H., Mubarik, N. R., Rusmana, I., & Thenawidjaya, M. (2017). Penapisan dan Identifikasi Bakteri Kitinolitik Penghambat Pertumbuhan *Ganoderma boninense* in Vitro. *Jurnal Fitopatologi Indonesia*, 13(3), 105–111. <https://doi.org/10.14692/jfi.13.3.105>
- Widhyastuti, N. (2007). Produksi Kitinase Ekstraseluler *Aspergillus rugulosus* 501 Secara Optimal pada Media Cair. *Berita Biologi*, 8(6), 547–553.
- Yaryura, P. M., Montecchia, M. S., Hern, A. I., Le, M., Correa, O. S., Pucheu, N. L., ... Garc, A. F. (2009). Antifungal Activity of Selected Indigenous *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* from the Soybean Rhizosphere. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/572049>




a, Y., Margino, S., & Sembiring, L. (2012). Kemampuan Kitinase *Aspergillus RKt5* sebagai Antijamur terhadap Patogen *Fusarium sp.* *Jurnal Natur Indonesia*, 14(1), 42. <https://doi.org/10.31258/jnat.14.1.42-46>

LAMPIRAN


Lampiran 1. Pembuatan koloidal kitin




Lampiran 2. Pembuatan media CCA dan CCB




Lampiran 3. Pembuatan media NA dan NB







Lampiran 4. Pembuatan media PDA




Lampiran 5. Aktivitas kitinolitik bakteri pada CCA medium



Lampiran 6. Pengamatan antagonisme bakteri dengan metode *dual culture*


Pengamatan hari ke-1					
Jenis Media	Perlakuan	Ulangan 1	Ulangan 2	Ulangan 3	
NA	Kontrol				
	IPpA3				
	IPpA2				
	IPaR4				



		IPaR1			
	Kontrol				
PDA	IPaR1				
	IPaR4				
	IPpA2				



						
	IPpA3					
						

Pengamatan hari ke-2						
Jenis Media	Perlakuan	Ulangan 1	Ulangan 2	Ulangan 3		
NA	Kontrol					
	IPaR1					
	IPaR4					



	IPpA2			
	IPpA3			
PDA	Kontrol			
	IPaR1			



	IPaR4			
	IPpA2			
	IPpA3			

Pengamatan hari ke-3				
Jenis Media	Perlakuan	Ulangan 1	Ulangan 2	Ulangan 3
NA	Kontrol			



	IPaR4			
	IPpA3			
	IPaR1			
	IPpA2			




Pengamatan hari ke-4

Perlakuan	Ulangan 1	Ulangan 2	Ulangan 3
-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------


	Kontrol			
NA	IPaR1			
	IPaR4			
	IPpA2			
	IPpA3			





Lampiran 7. Biomassa cendawan

Pertumbuhan Cendawan pada Media PDB



Penimbangan Biomassa Cendawan

Perlakuan	Ulangan	Berat Basah	Berat Kering
-----------	---------	-------------	--------------

	Control	U1		
--	---------	----	--	--

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

	U2		
	U3		
	U1		
IPaR1	U2		
	U3		
	U1		
IPaR4	U2		
	U3		
	U1		
	PA2		

	U2		
	U3		
	U1		
IPpA3	U2		
	U3		

Lampiran 8. Pengamatan uji daya hambat filtrat bakteri kitinolitik

No.	Kode Isolat	Gambar
1.	Kontrol	
2.	IPaR4 (5%)	
	IPaR4 (5%)	



4.	IPaR4 (5%)		
5.	IPaR1 (10%)		
6.	IPaR1 (10%)		
7.	IPaR1 (10%)		
8.	IPaR1 (5%)		
9.	IPaR1 (5%)		
10.	IPaR1 (5%)		
11.	IPpA2 (15%)		
	IPpA2 (15%)		



13.	IPpA2 (15%)		
14.	IPpA3 (15%)		
15.	IPpA3 (15%)		
16.	IPpA2 (5%)		
17.	IPpA2 (5%)		
18.	IPpA2 (5%)		
19.	IPaR4 (15%)		
20.	IPaR4 (15%)		
	IPaR4 (15%)		




22.	IPaR4 (10%)		
23.	IPaR4 (10%)		
24.	IPaR4 (10%)		
25.	IPpA2 (10%)		
26.	IPpA2 (10%)		
27.	IPpA3 (10%)		
28.	IPpA3 (10%)		
29.	IPpA3 (10%)		
	IPpA3 (5%)		



31.	IPpA3 (5%)		
32.	IPpA3 (5%)		
33.	IPaR1 (15%)		
34.	IPaR1 (15%)		
35.	IPaR1 (15%)		

Lampiran 9. Uji gram dan uji katalase


Uji gram



Uji Katalase



Lampiran 10. Pengamatan secara mikroskopis hifa cendawan setelah uji daya filtrat bakteri

No.	Kode Isolat	Gambar
1.	Kontrol	
2.	IPaR1	
3.	IPaR1	
4.	IPaR1	
5.	IPaR4	



6.	IPaR4	
7.	IPaR4	
8.	IPpA2	
9.	IPpA2	
10.	IPpA2	



11.	IPpA3	
12.	IPpA3	
13.	IPpA3	

Lampiran 11. Indeks aktivitas bakteri kitinolitik

Perlakuan	Ulangan				Rata-rata
	1	2	3	Total	
IPaR1	0.053	0.125	0.11	0.29	0.10
IPaR4	0.055	0.1	0.12	0.26	0.09
IPpA2	0.455	0.875	0.78	2.11	0.70
IPpA3	0.1	0.143	0.095	0.34	0.11
Total	0.66	1.24	1.09	2.99	1.00

ANOVA

Indeks

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.822	3	.274	21.364	.000
Within Groups	.103	8	.013		
Total	.925	11			



Lampiran 12. Analisis data antagonisme bakteri kitinolitik terhadap cendawan *L. pseudotheobromae* pada media Nutrient Agar (NA)

a. Hari ke-1

Kode Isolat	U1	U2	U3	Rerata
R1	28.57143	0	12.5	13.69048
R4	28.57143	20	62.5	37.02381
P2	42.85714	60	50	50.95238
P3	42.85714	20	50	37.61905
Kontrol	0	0	0	0

ANOVA

IH

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5068.282	4	1267.071	6.151	.009
Within Groups	2060.034	10	206.003		
Total	7128.316	14			

b. Hari ke-2

Kode Isolat	U1	U2	U3	Rerata
R1	0	0	9.09	3.03
R4	43.75	40	63.64	49.13
P2	68.75	66.67	77.27	70.9
P3	56.25	60	68.18	61.48
Kontrol	0	0	0	0

ANOVA

IH

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	13254.334	4	3313.584	64.286	.000
Within Groups	515.445	10	51.545		
Total	13769.779	14			

c. Hari ke-3

Kode Isolat	U1	U2	U3	Rerata
R1	5.00	4.76	6.90	5.55
R4	60.00	52.38	75.86	62.75
P2	60.00	66.67	82.76	69.81
P3	85.00	66.67	75.86	75.84
Kontrol	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ANOVA

IH

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	16315.173	4	4078.793	55.753	.000
Within Groups	731.582	10	73.158		
Total	17046.755	14			

d. Hari ke-4

Kode Isolat	U1	U2	U3	Rerata
R1	0	0	26.47059	8.82
R4	61.53846	62.96296	73.52941	66.01
P2	61.53846	70.37037	85.29412	72.40
P3	88.46154	74.07407	76.47059	79.67
Kontrol	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

NA H4

ANOVA

IH

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	17181.537	4	4295.384	44.737	.000
Within Groups	960.141	10	96.014		
Total	18141.678	14			

Lampiran 13. Analisis data biomassa cendawan

a. Berat Basah

Berat Basah

Perlakuan	Ulangan	Berat Basah	Persentase
Kontrol	u1	1.80	0
Kontrol	u2	2.18	0
Kontrol	u3	2.08	0
IPaR1	u1	2.51	0.00
IPaR1	u2	1.90	12.84
IPaR1	u3	1.62	22.12
IPaR4	u1	3.10	0.00
IPaR4	u2	1.42	34.86
IPaR4	u3	0.26	87.50
IPpA2	u1	2.18	0.00



IPpA2	u2	0.29	86.70
IPpA2	u3	2.21	0.00
IPpA3	u1	0.40	77.78
IPpA3	u2	0.30	86.24
IPpA3	u3	1.40	32.69

ANOVA

Percentase

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	7852.433	4	1963.108	1.818	.202
Within Groups	10796.260	10	1079.626		
Total	18648.693	14			

b. Berat Kering

Berat Kering

Perlakuan	Ulangan	Berat Kering	Percentase
Kontrol	u1	0.19	0
Kontrol	u2	0.33	0
Kontrol	u3	0.18	0
IPaR1	u1	0.47	0.00
IPaR1	u2	0.19	42.42
IPaR1	u3	0.13	27.78
IPaR4	u1	0.60	0.00
IPaR4	u2	0.12	63.64
IPaR4	u3	0.00	100.00
IPpA2	u1	0.22	0.00
IPpA2	u2	0.00	100.00
IPpA2	u3	0.29	0.00
IPpA3	u1	0.00	0.00
IPpA3	u2	0.00	100.00
IPpA3	u3	0.12	33.33

ANOVA

Percentase

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5277.806	4	1319.451	.737	.588
Within Groups	17904.464	10	1790.446		
	23182.269	14			



Lampiran 14. Analisis data antagonisme bakteri kitinolitik terhadap cendawan *L. pseudotheobromae* pada media PDA

a. Hari ke-1

Kode Isolat	U1	U2	U3	Rerata
R1	0	0	21.05	7.02
R4	11.11	5.56	26.32	14.33
P2	22.22	5.56	31.58	19.79
P3	27.78	11.11	21.05	19.98
Kontrol	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

ANOVA

IH

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	894.896	4	223.724	2.205	.142
Within Groups	1014.614	10	101.461		
Total	1909.511	14			

b. Hari ke-2

Kode Isolat	U1	U2	U3	Rerata
R1	26.53	0	0	8.84
R4	53.06	11.90	2.70	22.56
P2	30.61	21.43	43.24	31.76
P3	55.10	19.05	18.92	31.02
Kontrol	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

ANOVA

IH

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2352.206	4	588.051	1.949	.179
Within Groups	3017.059	10	301.706		
Total	5369.265	14			

Lampiran 15. Persentase daya hambat filtrat bakteri terhadap *L. pseudotheobromae* menggunakan (pengamatan 12 jam)

Pembentukan Filtrat	Pembentukan Filtrat	Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
		U1	U2	U3		
5%	0.41	0.46	0.46	1.33	0.44	

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

	10%	0.46	0.44	0.46	1.37	0.46
	15%	0.49	0.44	0.46	1.39	0.46
R4	5%	0.27	0.39	0.37	1.02	0.34
	10%	0.29	0.39	0.41	1.10	0.37
	15%	0.46	0.46	0.51	1.44	0.48
P2	5%	0.22	0.20	0.27	0.68	0.23
	10%	0.41	0.24	0.32	0.97	0.32
	15%	0.56	0.66	0.68	1.90	0.63
P3	5%	0.20	0.29	0.27	0.76	0.25
	10%	0.34	0.37	0.41	1.12	0.37
	15%	0.51	0.44	0.41	1.37	0.46

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	.085	3	.028	13.306	.002
	Within Groups	.017	8	.002		
	Total	.102	11			
K10	Between Groups	.027	3	.009	2.796	.109
	Within Groups	.025	8	.003		
	Total	.052	11			
K15	Between Groups	.065	3	.022	10.526	.004
	Within Groups	.016	8	.002		
	Total	.081	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
IPaR1	Between Groups	.004	2	.002	2.264	.185
	Within Groups	.005	6	.001		
	Total	.008	8			
	Between Groups	.031	2	.016	5.114	.051



	Within Groups	.018	6	.003		
	Total	.049	8			
IPpA2	Between Groups	.267	2	.134	31.676	.001
	Within Groups	.025	6	.004		
	Total	.293	8			
IPpA3	Between Groups	.061	2	.030	14.951	.005
	Within Groups	.012	6	.002		
	Total	.073	8			

Lampiran 16. Diameter daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 12 jam)

Perlakuan		Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
		U1	U2	U3		
R1	5%	1.3	1.15	1.15	3.60	1.20
	10%	1.1	1.15	1.1	3.35	1.12
	15%	1.05	1.15	1.1	3.30	1.10
R4	5%	1.5	1.25	1.3	4.05	1.35
	10%	1.45	1.25	1.2	3.90	1.30
	15%	1.1	1.10	1.00	3.20	1.07
P2	5%	1.6	1.65	1.50	4.75	1.58
	10%	1.21	1.55	1.4	4.16	1.39
	15%	0.9	0.7	0.65	2.25	0.75
P3	5%	1.65	1.45	1.5	4.60	1.53
	10%	1.35	1.3	1.2	3.85	1.28
	15%	1	1.15	1.20	3.35	1.12

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	.278	3	.093	8.907	.006
	Within Groups	.083	8	.010		
	Total	.362	11			
	Between Groups	.115	3	.038	2.871	.104
	Within Groups	.106	8	.013		



	Total	.221	11			
K15	Between Groups	.271	3	.090	10.569	.004
	Within Groups	.068	8	.009		
	Total	.339	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
IPaR1	Between Groups	.017	2	.009	2.385	.173
	Within Groups	.022	6	.004		
	Total	.039	8			
IPaR4	Between Groups	.137	2	.069	5.370	.046
	Within Groups	.077	6	.013		
	Total	.214	8			
IPpA2	Between Groups	1.138	2	.569	32.610	.001
	Within Groups	.105	6	.017		
	Total	1.243	8			
IPpA3	Between Groups	.264	2	.132	14.394	.005
	Within Groups	.055	6	.009		
	Total	.319	8			

Lampiran 17. Persentase daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 24 jam)

Konsentrasi	Ulangan	Kode Isolat				Total	Rata-rata
		R1	R4	P2	P3		
5%	1	0.51	0.25	0.20	0.32	1.27	0.32
	2	0.39	0.45	0.20	0.35	1.38	0.35
	3	0.39	0.45	0.33	0.24	1.40	0.35
10%	1	0.50	0.53	0.20	0.30	1.53	0.38
	2	0.39	0.52	0.20	0.38	1.49	0.37
	3	0.48	0.38	0.33	0.59	1.77	0.44
	6	0.48	0.38	0.55	0.54	1.96	0.49
	2	0.49	0.54	0.60	0.45	2.08	0.52



	3	0.41	0.57	0.66	0.34	1.98	0.49
--	---	------	------	------	------	------	------

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	.062	3	.021	3.062	.091
	Within Groups	.054	8	.007		
	Total	.116	11			
K10	Between Groups	.103	3	.034	3.548	.068
	Within Groups	.077	8	.010		
	Total	.180	11			
K15	Between Groups	.046	3	.015	2.441	.139
	Within Groups	.051	8	.006		
	Total	.097	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
IPaR1	Between Groups	.002	2	.001	.240	.794
	Within Groups	.020	6	.003		
	Total	.022	8			
IPaR4	Between Groups	.022	2	.011	1.069	.401
	Within Groups	.062	6	.010		
	Total	.084	8			
IPpA2	Between Groups	.259	2	.130	27.189	.001
	Within Groups	.029	6	.005		
	Total	.288	8			
IPpA3	Between Groups	.034	2	.017	1.445	.307
	Within Groups	.071	6	.012		
	Total	.106	8			



n 18. Diameter daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 24 jam)

Perlakuan		Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
		U1	U2	U3		
R1	5%	2.25	2.80	2.80	7.85	2.62
	10%	2.30	2.80	2.40	7.50	2.50
	15%	2.40	2.35	2.70	7.45	2.48
R4	5%	3.45	2.55	2.55	8.55	2.85
	10%	2.15	2.20	2.85	7.20	2.40
	15%	5.15	2.85	2.10	10.10	3.37
P2	5%	4.00	3.55	3.35	10.90	3.50
	10%	3.70	3.70	3.10	10.50	1.82
	15%	2.05	1.85	1.55	5.45	3.63
P3	5%	3.15	3.00	3.50	9.65	3.22
	10%	3.20	2.85	1.90	7.95	2.65
	15%	2.10	2.55	3.05	7.70	2.57

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	1.777	3	.592	4.328	.043
	Within Groups	1.095	8	.137		
	Total	2.872	11			
K10	Between Groups	2.271	3	.757	3.808	.058
	Within Groups	1.590	8	.199		
	Total	3.861	11			
K15	Between Groups	1.492	3	.497	2.873	.103
	Within Groups	1.385	8	.173		
	Total	2.877	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
IPaR1	Between Groups	.032	2	.016	.230	.801
	Within Groups	.413	6	.069		
	Total	.445	8			
	Between Groups	.335	2	.168	.636	.562
	Within Groups	1.580	6	.263		



	Total	1.915	8			
IPpA2	Between Groups	6.152	2	3.076	31.368	.001
	Within Groups	.588	6	.098		
	Total	6.740	8			
IPpA3	Between Groups	.751	2	.375	1.513	.294
	Within Groups	1.488	6	.248		
	Total	2.239	8			

Lampiran 19. Persentase daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 36 jam)

Perlakuan		Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
		U1	U2	U3		
R1	5%	0.49	0.33	0.34	1.16	0.39
	10%	0.51	0.45	0.42	1.38	0.46
	15%	0.48	0.50	0.41	1.39	0.46
R4	5%	0.29	0.41	0.41	1.11	0.37
	10%	0.44	0.53	0.52	1.49	0.50
	15%	0.45	0.55	0.57	1.57	0.52
P2	5%	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.57	0.19
	10%	0.17	0.14	0.31	0.62	0.21
	15%	0.56	0.627	0.667	1.85	0.62
P3	5%	0.36	0.41	0.39	1.15	0.38
	10%	0.41	0.44	0.59	1.44	0.48
	15%	0.66	0.59	0.47	1.71	0.57

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	.221	3	.074	13.285	.002
	Within Groups	.044	8	.006		
	Total	.265	11			
K10	Between Groups	.169	3	.056	10.197	.004
	Within Groups	.044	8	.006		
	Total	.213	11			
	Between Groups	.041	3	.014	2.902	.101



Within Groups	.037	8	.005		
Total	.078	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
R1	Between Groups	.011	2	.006	1.367	.324
	Within Groups	.025	6	.004		
	Total	.036	8			
R4	Between Groups	.040	2	.020	5.314	.047
	Within Groups	.023	6	.004		
	Total	.063	8			
P2	Between Groups	.356	2	.178	46.708	.000
	Within Groups	.023	6	.004		
	Total	.379	8			
P3	Between Groups	.052	2	.026	4.090	.076
	Within Groups	.038	6	.006		
	Total	.091	8			

Lampiran 20. Diameter daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 36 jam)

Perlakuan	Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
	U1	U2	U3		
R1	5%	3.80	5.05	4.95	13.80
	10%	3.70	4.10	4.35	12.15
	15%	3.90	3.75	4.45	12.10
R4	5%	5.35	4.40	4.45	14.20
	10%	4.20	3.55	3.60	11.35
	15%	4.15	3.35	3.20	10.70
P2	5%	6.10	6.15	6.00	18.25
	10%	6.20	6.45	5.20	17.85
	15%	3.30	2.80	2.50	8.60
	5%	4.80	4.45	4.60	13.85
	10%	4.40	4.20	3.10	11.70



	15%	2.55	3.10	4.00	9.65	3.22
--	-----	------	------	------	------	------

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	4.654	3	1.551	7.709	.010
	Within Groups	1.610	8	.201		
	Total	6.264	11			
K10	Between Groups	9.461	3	3.154	10.820	.003
	Within Groups	2.332	8	.291		
	Total	11.792	11			
K15	Between Groups	2.236	3	.745	2.720	.115
	Within Groups	2.192	8	.274		
	Total	4.427	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
R1	Between Groups	.624	2	.312	1.289	.342
	Within Groups	1.452	6	.242		
	Total	2.076	8			
R4	Between Groups	2.311	2	1.155	5.116	.051
	Within Groups	1.355	6	.226		
	Total	3.666	8			
P2	Between Groups	19.872	2	9.936	49.133	.000
	Within Groups	1.213	6	.202		
	Total	21.085	8			
P3	Between Groups	2.941	2	1.470	4.174	.073
	Within Groups	2.113	6	.352		
	Total	5.054	8			



Lampiran 21. Persentase daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 48 jam)

Perlakuan		Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
		U1	U2	U3		
R1	5%	0.42	0.43	0.37	1.22	0.41
	10%	0.43	0.40	0.37	1.20	0.40
	15%	0.48	0.30	0.27	1.05	0.35
R4	5%	0.26	0.32	0.34	0.92	0.31
	10%	0.40	0.50	0.47	1.37	0.46
	15%	0.35	0.49	0.51	1.35	0.45
P2	5%	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.59	0.20
	10%	0.18	0.15	0.27	0.60	0.20
	15%	0.51	0.66	0.57	1.74	0.58
P3	5%	0.30	0.34	0.37	1.02	0.34
	10%	0.39	0.38	0.55	1.32	0.44
	15%	0.37	0.62	0.61	1.59	0.53

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	.069	3	.023	21.638	.000
	Within Groups	.008	8	.001		
	Total	.077	11			
K10	Between Groups	.126	3	.042	10.196	.004
	Within Groups	.033	8	.004		
	Total	.159	11			
K15	Between Groups	.092	3	.031	2.650	.120
	Within Groups	.092	8	.012		
	Total	.184	11			

ANOVA

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.006	2	.003	.582	.587
Within Groups	.030	6	.005		

	Total	.035	8			
R4	Between Groups	.043	2	.022	5.401	.046
	Within Groups	.024	6	.004		
	Total	.067	8			
P2	Between Groups	.291	2	.146	44.444	.000
	Within Groups	.020	6	.003		
	Total	.311	8			
P3	Between Groups	.058	2	.029	2.868	.134
	Within Groups	.061	6	.010		
	Total	.119	8			

Lampiran 22. Diameter daya hambat filtrat bakteri (pengamatan 48 jam)

Perlakuan		Ulangan			Total	Rata-rata
		U1	U2	U3		
R1	5%	4.75	4.70	5.15	14.60	4.87
	10%	4.65	4.95	5.15	14.75	4.92
	15%	4.30	5.75	5.95	16.00	5.33
R4	5%	6.05	5.55	5.45	17.05	5.68
	10%	4.90	4.10	4.35	13.35	4.45
	15%	5.30	4.15	4.05	13.50	4.50
P2	5%	6.75	6.60	6.45	19.80	6.60
	10%	6.70	7.00	6.00	19.70	6.57
	15%	4.05	2.80	3.50	10.35	3.45
P3	5%	5.70	5.40	5.15	16.25	5.42
	10%	5.00	5.10	3.70	13.80	4.60
	15%	5.20	3.15	3.20	11.55	3.85

ANOVA

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
K5	Between Groups	4.714	3	1.571	23.945
	Within Groups	.525	8	.066	
	Total	5.239	11		
	Between Groups	8.558	3	2.853	10.335
					.004



	Within Groups	2.208	8	.276		
	Total	10.767	11			
K15	Between Groups	6.095	3	2.032	2.662	.119
	Within Groups	6.107	8	.763		
	Total	12.202	11			

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
R1	Between Groups	.394	2	.197	.632	.564
	Within Groups	1.870	6	.312		
	Total	2.264	8			
R4	Between Groups	2.924	2	1.462	5.822	.039
	Within Groups	1.507	6	.251		
	Total	4.431	8			
P2	Between Groups	19.637	2	9.819	43.424	.000
	Within Groups	1.357	6	.226		
	Total	20.994	8			
P3	Between Groups	3.684	2	1.842	2.691	.146
	Within Groups	4.107	6	.684		
	Total	7.791	8			

