

8. Jumlah sel osteoklas antara kelompok yang diberikan bahan kombinasi bone graft  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP) 44% dan Kalsium Sulfat 56% tanpa penambahan Cannabidiol (CBD) memiliki jumlah sel yang lebih rendah dibandingkan dengan kelompok kontrol (tanpa perlakuan). Ini menunjukkan bahwa kombinasi bone graft tersebut dapat menekan jumlah sel osteoklas atau menghambat terbentuknya osteoklas.
9. Jumlah sel osteoklas pada kelompok perlakuan kombinasi bone graft  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP) 44% dan Kalsium Sulfat 56% dengan penambahan Cannabidiol (CBD) terhadap kelompok perlakuan kombinasi bone graft  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP) 44% dan Kalsium Sulfat 56% tanpa penambahan Cannabidiol (CBD) tidak menunjukkan perbandingan yang signifikan. Hal ini menandakan bahwa kedua jenis bone graft tersebut mampu menekan terbentuknya sel osteoklas atau menghambat terbentuknya osteoklas dengan jumlah yang sama pada saat proses remodeling tulang.

## 7.2. SARAN

1. Diharapkan penelitian lebih lanjut mengenai proses remodeling tulang menggunakan bahan kombinasi bone graft  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ TCP) dan Kalsium Sulfat dengan atau tanpa Cannabidiol (CBD) menggunakan konsentrasi yang berbeda.
2. Diharapkan penelitian lebih lanjut mengenai proses remodeling tulang menggunakan bahan kombinasi bone graft  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP) dan Kalsium Sulfat dengan atau tanpa Cannabidiol (CBD) menggunakan analisis dan pemeriksaan berbagai marker pembentukan osteoblas dan osteoklas yang berbeda.
3. Diharapkan penelitian lebih lanjut mengenai proses remodeling tulang menggunakan bahan kombinasi bone graft  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ TCP) dan Kalsium Sulfat dengan atau tanpa Cannabidiol (CBD) menggunakan variasi time series yang berbeda.

## **DAFTAR PUSTAKA**

1. Costa, F.W.G. et al. Histomorphometric assessment of bone necrosis produced by two cryosurgery protocols using liquid nitrogen: an experimental study on rat femurs. *Journal of applied oral science": revista FOB*, 19(6), pp.604–9. 2011
2. A.L. Dumitrescu, Bone Grafts and Bone Graft Substitutesin Periodontal Therapy, Chemicals in Surgical Periodontal Therapy, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011
3. Yashavantha Kumar, et al. Kalsium Sulfat as Bone Graft Substitute in the Treatment of Osseous Bone Defects, A Prospective Study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2013 Dec, Vol-7(12): 2926-2928
4. Leventis D Minas, DDS, MS, PhD, et al. Biological Response to  $\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate/Kalsium Sulfat Synthetic Graft Material: An Experimental Study. *Journal of Implant Dentistry*, Volume 23, Number 1, 2014.
5. Natalya M Kogan, et al. Cannabidiol. A Major Non-Psychotropic Cannabis Constituent Enhances Fracture Healing and Stimulates Lysyl Hydroxylase Activity in Osteoblasts. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, Vol. 30, No. 10, October 2015, pp 1905–1913 DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.2513
6. Sihombing. I, dkk. Peran Estrogen Pada Remodeling Tulang. *J Biomedik*. 2012 ; 4(3) : S18-28.
7. Hengky A. Peran Hidroksiapatit Sebagai Bone Graft Dalam Proses Penyembuhan Tulang. *Stomatognatik J Kedokt Gigi*. 2011;8(2):6-9.
8. Ahmudati. Kajian biologi molekuler peran esttogen/fitoestrogen pada metabolism tulang usia menopause. Dalam seminar nasional VIII Pendidikan biologi.

9. Rucci N. Molecular Biology of Bone Remodeling. Clinical Cases In Mineral and Bone Metabolism 2008;5(1):49-56.
10. Ghiasi MS, Jason C, Ashkan V, Edward K, Ara N. Bone fracture healing in mechanobiological modeling: A review of principles and methods. J Elsevier Bone Reports (6): 87-100, June 2017.
11. Claes L., Recknagel S., Ignatius A. Fracture healing under healthy and inflammatory conditions. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. 2012;8(3):133–143. [[PubMed](#)]
12. Schindeler A., McDonald M.M., Bokko P., Little D.G. Bone remodeling during fracture repair: the cellular picture. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2008;19(5):459–466. [[PubMed](#)]
13. Tortora, Gerard J and Derrickson, Bryan H. *Principles of Anatomy and Physiology*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, inc. 2009
14. Koyanagi, T., et al., Analysis of microbiota associated with peri-implantitis using 16S rRNA gene clone library. J Oral Microbiol, 2010. 2. General introduction 22 42.
15. Steflik DE, Sisk AL, Parr GR, et al. Osteogenesis at the dental implant interface: high-voltage electron microscopic and conventional transmission electron microscopic observations. J Biomed Mater Res. 1993; 27(6):791–80
16. Alba Córdoba, María Satué, Manuel Gómez-Florit, Marta Monjo, Joana M. Ramis. Flavonoid coated Titanium surfaces for Bioactive Bone implants. Stem Cell & Translational Investigation 2015.
17. Crockett JC, Rogers JM, Coxon FP, Hocking LJ, Helfrich MP. 2011. Bone remodeling at a glance. Journal of Cell science 124(7):992
18. Caetano-Lopes J, Canhão H, Fonseca JE. Osteoblasts and bone formation. Acta Reumatol Port. 2007 Apr-Jun;32(2):103-10

19. Sura SM, Ban AG. Effect of Topical Application of Flavanoid Extract of Hibiscus Sabdariffa on Experimentally Induced Bone Defect. J Bagh College Dent Vol. 30(1), March 2018.
20. Bartold, P.M., Cantley, M.D., Haynes, D.R. 2010. Mechanism and Control of Pathologic Bone Loss in Periodontitis. *Periodontology*. 2000; 53 : 55 - 69.
21. Brunsvold M.A, Mellonig J.T. Bone graft and periodontal regeneration. *Periodontology* 2000;1993;1:80-91
22. Rodent Jr R.D. Principles of Bone Grafting. *Oral Maxillofacial Surg Clin N Am* 22 ; 2010 : 295–300
23. Dragos Apostu, et al. Cannabidiols and Bone Regeneration, *Journal Drug Metabolism Reviews*, vol. 51, 2019
24. Sánchez F, Velasco C. Morphology Of The Dental Arcade In Adult Pigs ( *Sus scrofa domesticus*). *Clinical Veterineria Rio Duero*.
25. Dental Anatomia of Pig Vivo Pathophysiology.  
<http://www.vivo.colostate.edu/hbooks/pathphys/digestion/pregastric/pigpage.html>  
ml.rbowen@colostate.edu. Diakses pada tanggal 6 November 1991
26. Hofer M. CT teaching manual. Institute for diagnostic radiology. New York: Thieme; 2000. p. 1-15.
27. Pudjonirmolo. Pengenalan dasar ilmu kedokteran gigi implan. *Majalah Kesehatan Gigi Indonesia* 1995; 1 (5): 21-2.
28. Sogo, Motofumi, et al. Assessment of Bone Density in the Posterior Maxilla Based on Hounsfield Units to Enhance the Initial Stability of Implants. *Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research*, Volume 1, Supplement I, 2012

29. Al-Zahrani MS, Elfirt EY, Al-Ahmari MM, Yamany IA, Alabdulkarim MA, Zawawi KH. Comparison of Cone Beam Computed Tomography-Derived Alveolar Bone Density Between Subjects with and without Aggressive Periodontitis. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research : JCDR*. 2017; 11(1): 118 – 121.
30. Unnanuntana A, Rebolledo BJ, Michael Khair M, DiCarlo EF, Lane JM. Diseases Affecting Bone Quality: Beyond Osteoporosis. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 2011; 469(8): 2194 – 2206.
31. Azhari. A, Gracea Rellyca Sola, Astika I Made Agus, Thomas Ali. Gambaran korelasi nilai pixel values CBCT dan nilai Hounsfield Unit MDCT pada gigi dan tulang kortikal rahang mandibula. *Majalah Kedokteran Gigi Indonesia* Vol 4 No 1 – April 2018.
32. Seriwatanachai D. Reference and Techniques used in Alveolar Bone Classification. *JBR J Interdiscip Med Dent Sci*. 2015; 3(2): 1 – 5.
33. Hofer M. CT teaching manual. Institute for diagnostic radiology. New York: Thieme; 2000. p. 1-15.
34. Tyman P. Loveless, et al. Hounsfield unit comparison of grafted versus non-grafted extraction sockets. *Journal of Oral Science*, Vol. 57, No. 3, 195-200, 2015
35. Shapurian T, Damoulis PD, Reiser GM, Griffin TJ, Rand WM. Quantitative evaluation of bone density using the hounsfield index. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2006;21(2):290–297.
36. Loveless T. et.al., Hounsfield unit comparison of grafted versus non-grafted extraction sockets. *Journal of Oral Science*, Vol. 57, No. 3, 195-200, 2015.
37. Horváth A, Mardas N, Mezzomo LA, Needleman IG, Donos N (2013). Alveolar ridge preservation. A systematic review. *Clin Oral Investig* 17, 341- 363.

38. Minas D. Leventis, DDS, MS, PhD, Peter Fairbairn, BDS, Ismene Dontas, DVM, PhD, et all. Biological Response to b-Tricalcium Phosphate/Kalsium Sulfat Synthetic Graft Material: An Experimental Study. *Implan Dentistry* / Volume 23, Number 1 2014.
39. H. L. Yang, X. S. Zhu, L. Chen, C. M. Chen, D. C. Mangham, L. A. Coulton, S. S. Aiken. Bone healing response to a synthetic Kalsium Sulfat/b-tricalcium phosphate graft material in a sheep vertebral body defect model. *Journal Of Biomedical Materials Research B: Applied Biomaterials* | Month 2012 Vol 008,
40. Alan, et al. The histological study of osseous regeneration following implanation of various bone graft biomaterials. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*. 2016. 19: 4.
41. Kawasaki T, Takahashi S, Ideda K. Hydroxyapatite high-performance liquid chromatography: column performance for proteins. *European Journal of Biochemistry*. 1985; 152 (2): 361–71.
42. Schenk R, Buser D, Hardwick WR, Dahlin C. Healing pattern of bone regeneration in membrane-protected defects: a histologic study in the canine mandible. *Intern J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1994; 9 (1): 13.
43. Allison DC, Lindberg WA, Samimi B, MD, Mirzayan R, Menendez LR. A comparison of mineral bone graft substitutes for bone defects. *US Oncology & Hematology*. 2011;7(1):38–49.
44. Kamali A. et.al., Cannabidiol-loaded microspheres incorporated into osteoconductive scaffold enhance mesenchymal stem cell recruitment and regeneration of critical-sized bone defects. *Materials Science and Engineering C* 101 (2019): 64-75.