

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ayers, BJ, Hollinshead, J., Saville, AW, Nakagawa, S., Adachi, I., Kato, A., dkk. (2014). Iteamine, alkaloid pertama yang diisolasi dari bunga Itea virginica L. *Fitokimia*, 100, 126e131. doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.01.012
- Blanco-Calvo, M., Concha, Á., Figueroa, A., Garrido, F., & Valladares-Ayerbes, M. (2015). *Colorectal Cancer Classification and Cell Heterogeneity: A Systems Oncology Approach. International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(12), 13610–13632. doi:10.3390/ijms160613610
- Chen, H., et al. (2022). Epigenetic regulation of glucose metabolism in colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget*, 13(17), 19290-19303.
- Chen Z, Gao XD, Li Z. Recent Advances Regarding the Physiological Functions and Biosynthesis of D-Allulose. *Front Microbiol.* 2022 Apr 14;13:881037. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.881037
- Dougherty MW and Jobin C (2023). Intestinal bacteria and colorectal cancer: etiology and treatment : GUT MICROBES 2023, VOL. 15, NO. 1, 2185028 doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2185028
- Fong, Y. Y., & Kim, Y. (2022). Metabolic alterations in colorectal cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 22(1), 19-33.
- Gao X, Xu H, Zhou X, et al. Rare sugar L-sorbose exerts antitumor activity by impairing glucose metabolism. *Research Square*; 2022. DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-1694501/v1.
- George, Sajjan, & Heidi Abrahamse. Redox Potential of Antioxidants in Cancer Progression and Prevention. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 1156; doi:10.3390/antiox9111156
- Gupta, S., & O'Shea, R. (2021). Metabolic reprogramming in colorectal cancer. *Cell Metabolism*, 34(2), 244-260.
- Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. (2009). Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science* 324(5930), 1029 -33.
- Hileman, Elizabeth A, Geetha Achanta and Peng Huang. Superoxide dismutase: an emerging target for cancer therapeutics. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* (2001) 5(6).
- Hishiike T, Ogawa M, Hayakawa S, Nakajima D, O'Charoen S, Ooshima H, Sun Y. Transepithelial transports of rare sugar D-psicose in human intestine. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2013 Jul 31;61(30):7381-6. doi: 10.1021/jf401449m.
- Hockenberry DM, Tom M, Abikoff C, and Margineantu D. (2013). The Warburg and beyond: metabolic dependencies for cancer cells. In: Johnson D, editor. *Cell death signaling in cancer biology and treatment*. New York: Humana Press, 35 -51. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-5847-0.
- Ikova AB, Soltys JI, Mojzis AJ. (2023). Oxidative Stress, Inflammation and Colorectal Cancer: An Overview : *Antioxidants* 2023, 12, 901. doi:10.3390/antiox12040901
- Iskender, S. (2018). Metabolisme glukosa dan proliferasi sel pada kanker prostat. *J.Nukl.* 7, 25S–29S.
- Jiang, Y. D-psicose induces apoptosis in human breast cancer cells by I3K/Akt pathway. *Oncol Rep*, 44(2), 1330-1338.
- Kawaguchi, T., Hossain A, Noguchi C, Katagi A, Kadowaki N, Tokuda M. In vitro effect of D-allose in combination with cisplatin in non-small



- cell lung cancer cells. *Oncol Rep.* 2018 Mar;39(3):1292-1298. doi: 10.3892/or.2018.6192.
- Kim,S.-H.;Baek,K.-H. (2021). Regulation of Cancer Metabolism by Deubiquitinating Enzymes: The Warburg Effect. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, 22,6173. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22126173>
- Kumar M, Sharma V, Sehgal A, Jain M. Efek perlindungan teh hijau dan putih terhadap benzo(a)pyrene menginduksi stres oksidatif dan kerusakan DNA pada model murine. *Kanker Nutrisi.* 2012; 64 :300–6.
- Lai, L., & Zhang, J. (2022). Targeting metabolic alterations in colorectal cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 22(1), 34-49.
- Martinotti S, Ranzato E. Scratch Wound Healing Assay. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2020;2109:225-229. doi: 10.1007/7651_2019_259.
- Menon, Shreya , Chunxia Lu, Rajasree Menon, Jessica Schwartz, and Yuanfang Guan. Effects of Antioxidants in Human Cancers: Differential Effects on Non-Coding Intronic RNA Expression. *Antioxidants* 2016, 5, 1; doi:10.3390/antiox5010001.
- Nakagawa T, Lanaspas MA, Millan IS, Fini M, Rivard CJ, Sanchez-Lozada LG, Andres- Hernando A, Tolan DR, Johnson RJ. Fructose contributes to the Warburg effect for cancer growth. *Cancer Metab.* 2020 Jul 10;8:16. doi: 10.1186/s40170-020-00222-9.
- Pavlova NN and Thompson CB. (2016). The emerging hallmarks of cancer metabolism. *Cell Metab* 23(1), 27-47
- Pizzino, G., Irrera, N., Cucinotta, M., Pallio, G., Mannino, F., Arcoraci, V., ... Bitto, A. (2017). Oxidative Stress: Harms and Benefits for Human Health. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 2017, 1–13. doi:10.1155/2017/8416763
- Poff A, Koutnik AP, Egan KM, Sahebjam S, D'Agostino D, Kumar NB. Targeting the Warburg effect for cancer treatment: Ketogenic diets for management of glioma. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2019 Jun;56:135-148. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.12.011
- Sa S, Seol Y, Lee AW, Heo Y, Kim HJ, Park CJ. Teratogenicity of D-allulose. *Toxicol Rep.* 2022.03.028
- Saucedo, L (2002). "Mengapa ukuran penting: mengubah ukuran sel". *Opini Terkini dalam Genetika & Perkembangan* . **12** (5): 565–571. doi : 10.1016/S0959-437X(02)00341-6
- Stine ZE, Schug ZT, Salvino JM, Dang CV. Targeting cancer metabolism in the era of precision oncology. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2022 Feb;21(2):141-162. doi: 10.1038/s41573-021-00339-6
- Thompson BJ. "Kontrol perkembangan pertumbuhan dan pembelahan sel di *Drosophila*". *Opini Terkini dalam Biologi Sel.* 2010 **22**(6): 788–794. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2010.08.018.
- Tohi Y, Taoka R, Zhang X, Matsuoka Y, Yoshihara A, Ibuki E, Haba R, Akimitsu K, Izumori K, Kakehi Y, Sugimoto M. Antitumor Effects of Orally Administered D-Allose in Bladder Cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022 Jun .. doi: 10.3390/ijms23126771
- ; Cantley, LC; Thompson, CB Memahami efek Warburg: etabolisme proliferasi sel. *Sains* 2009, 324, 1029–1033
- aert C, Van Camp J. Rare mono- and disaccharides as healthy traditional sugars and sweeteners? *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 1-741. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2020.1743966



- Wang, X., et al. (2022). Epigenetic modulation of glucose metabolism in colorectal cancer. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1864(2), 126853
- Wei ZJ, Sun L, Li YL, Muhammad JS, Wang Y, Feng QW, Zhang YZ, Inadera H, Cui ZG, Wu CA. Low-calorie sweetener D-psicose promotes hydrogen peroxide-mediated apoptosis in C2C12 myogenic cells favoring skeletal muscle cell injury. *Mol Med Rep*. 2021 Jul;24(1):536. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2021.12175.
- Wieczorek E, Jablonowski Z, Tomasik B, Gromadzinska J, Jablonska E, Konecki T, Fendler W, Sosnowski M, Wasowicz W, Reszka E. Different Gene Expression and Activity Pattern of Antioxidant Enzymes in Bladder Cancer. *Anticancer Res*. 2017 Feb;37(2):841-848. doi: 10.21873/anticancer.11387
- Zafari, N., Velayati, M., Damavandi, S., Pourali, G., Mobarhan, M.G., Nassiri, M., Hassanian, S.M., Khazaei, M., Ferns, G.A., Avan, A. (2022). Metabolic Pathways Regulating Colorectal Cancer: A Potential Therapeutic Approach. DOI: 10.2174/1381612828666220922111342
- Zhang, W., Yu, S., Zhang, T., Jiang, B., & Mu, W. (2016). Recent advances in d-allulose: Physiological functionalities, applications, and biological production. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 54, 127–137. doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.06.004
- Zwarts I, van Zutphen T, Kruit JK, Liu W, Oosterveer MH, Verkade HJ, Uhlenhaut NH, Jonker JW. (2019). Identification of the fructose transporter GLUT5 (SLC2A5) as a novel target of nuclear receptor LXR. *Sci Rep*. 2019 Jun 26;9(1):9299. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45803-x.



Lampiran 1. Surat Izin Etik Penelitian



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN
KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR
Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu
JL. PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245.
Contact Person: dr. Agus Salim Bukhari, MMed, PhD, SpGK TELP. 081241850658, 0411 5780103, Fax : 0411-581431



REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK

Nomor : 479/UN4.6.4.5.31/ PP36/ 2024

Tanggal: 26 Juni 2024

Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan Dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :

No Protokol	UH24060422		No Sponsor	
Peneliti Utama	Indah Desti Pratiwi, S.ST		Sponsor	
Judul Peneliti	Efek D-psicose terhadap status oksidatif, proliferasi, dan migrasi sel Adenokarsinoma Kolokrektal WiDr			
No Versi Protokol	1	Tanggal Versi	13 Juni 2024	
No Versi PSP		Tanggal Versi		
Tempat Penelitian	RS Universitas Hasanuddin Lab HUM-RC Makassar			
Jenis Review	☐ Exempted ☒ Expedited ☐ Fullboard Tanggal		Masa Berlaku 26 Juni 2024 sampai 26 Juni 2025	Frekuensi review lanjutan
Ketua KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	Prof. dr. Muh Nasrum Massi, PhD, SpMK, Subsp. Bakt(K)		Tanda tangan	
Sekretaris KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	dr. Firdaus Hamid, PhD, SpMK(K)		Tanda tangan	

Kewajiban Peneliti Utama:

- Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan
- Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Laporan SUSAR dalam 72 jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan
- Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah
- Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir
- Melaporkan penyimpangan dari protokol yang disetujui (protocol deviation / violation)
- Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan



Lampiran 2. Surat Izin Penelitian



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN KEBUDAYAAN,
RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
SEKOLAH PASCASARJANA
JL. PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KM. 10, MAKASSAR 90245
TELEPON (0411) 586200, (6 SALURAN), 584200, FAX (0411) 585188
Laman: www.unhas.ac.id

Nomor : 01380/UN4.20.1/PT.01.04/2024
Hal : Pemohonan Izin Etik Penelitian

7 Februari 2024

Yth. Ketua Komisi Etik Penelitian Fakultas Kedokteran
Universitas Hasanuddin
Makassar

Dengan hormat disampaikan bahwa mahasiswa Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Hasanuddin yang tersebut dibawah ini :

Nama : Indah Desti Pratiwi
Nomor Pokok : P062221002
Program Pendidikan : Magister (S2)
Program Studi : Ilmu Biomedik

Bermaksud melakukan penelitian dalam rangka persiapan penulisan tesis terkait dengan judul "Efek D-Psicose terhadap Status Oksidatif Proliferasi dan Migrasi Sel Adenikarsinoma Kolorektal WiDr".

Sehubungan dengan hal tersebut, mohon kiranya yang bersangkutan diberikan izin surat persetujuan etik penelitian dengan menggunakan subyek sel kanker.

Atas perkenan dan kerjasamanya disampaikan terima kasih.

an. Dekan,
Wakil Dekan Bidang Akademik dan
Kemahasiswaan



Prof. Baharuddin Hamzah, ST., M.Arch., Ph.D.
NIP. 196903081995121001

Tembusan:

1. Dekan SPs. Unhas "sebagai laporan";
2. Mahasiswa yang bersangkutan;
3. Pertinggal.



Lampiran 3. Analisis Data

HG

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
0 jam	0,325	3		0,875	3	0,309
24 jam	0,356	3		0,816	3	0,154
48 jam	0,364	3		0,799	3	0,113
72 jam	0,323	3		0,879	3	0,320

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	0 jam	0,041633	3	0,0015503	0,0008950
	24 jam	0,081300	3	0,0074719	0,0043139
Pair 2	24 jam	0,081300	3	0,0074719	0,0043139
	48 jam	0,085067	3	0,0282677	0,0163203
Pair 3	48 jam	0,085067	3	0,0282677	0,0163203
	72 jam	0,088833	3	0,0604894	0,0349236

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences							
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
		Mean	SD	SE	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	0 jam - 24 jam	-0,0396667	0,0086194	0,0049764	-0,0610783	-0,0182550	-7,971	2	0,015
Pair 2	24 jam - 48 jam	0,0000000	0,0325322	0,0187824	-0,0845810	0,0770477	-0,201	2	0,860
Pair 3	48 jam - 72 jam	0,0000000	0,0325322	0,0187824	-0,0845810	0,0770477	-0,201	2	0,860



LG

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
0 jam	0,280	3		0,938	3	0,520
24 jam	0,348	3		0,834	3	0,198
48 jam	0,318	3		0,886	3	0,342
72 jam	0,229	3		0,981	3	0,739

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	0 jam	0,041133	3	0,0009292	0,0005364
	24 jam	0,054600	3	0,0140182	0,0080934
Pair 2	24 jam	0,054600	3	0,0140182	0,0080934
	48 jam	0,048667	3	0,0070117	0,0040482
Pair 3	48 jam	0,048667	3	0,0070117	0,0040482
	72 jam	0,042733	3	0,0010599	0,0006119

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences							
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	0 jam - 24 jam	-0,0134667	0,0147571	0,0085200	-0,0501254	0,0231921	-1,581	2	0,255
Pair 2	24 jam - 48 jam	0,0059333	0,0070465	0,0040683	-0,0115712	0,0234378	1,458	2	0,282
Pair 3	48 jam - 72 jam	0,0070465	0,0070465	0,0040683	-0,0115712	0,0234378	1,458	2	0,282



Optimized using
trial version
www.balesio.com

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
0 jam	0,238	3		0,976	3	0,702
24 jam	0,301	3		0,912	3	0,424
48 jam	0,246	3		0,970	3	0,668
72 jam	0,235	3		0,978	3	0,716

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test**Paired Samples Statistics**

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	0 jam	0,042200	3	0,0005568	0,0003215
	24 jam	0,042533	3	0,0013614	0,0007860
Pair 2	24 jam	0,042533	3	0,0013614	0,0007860
	48 jam	0,048633	3	0,0040867	0,0023594
Pair 3	48 jam	0,048633	3	0,0040867	0,0023594
	72 jam	0,054733	3	0,0068252	0,0039405

Paired Samples Test

Paired Differences							t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
Mean			Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	0 jam - 24 jam	-0,0003333	0,0008145	0,0004702	-0,0023565	0,0016899	-0,709	2	0,552
Pair 2	24 jam - 48 jam	-0,0061000	0,0027418	0,0015830	-0,0129110	0,0007110	-3,853	2	0,061
			0,0027418	0,0015830	-0,0129110	0,0007110	-3,853	2	0,061



Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
0 jam	0,253	3		0,964	3	0,637
24 jam	0,342	3		0,845	3	0,228
48 jam	0,188	3		0,998	3	0,911
72 jam	0,288	3		0,928	3	0,482

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test**Paired Samples Statistics**

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	0 jam	0,042400	3	0,0013748	0,0007937
	24 jam	0,044933	3	0,0029366	0,0016954
Pair 2	24 jam	0,044933	3	0,0029366	0,0016954
	48 jam	0,044333	3	0,0021773	0,0012571
Pair 3	48 jam	0,044333	3	0,0021773	0,0012571
	72 jam	0,043733	3	0,0028024	0,0016180

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences				t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference			
					Lower	Upper		
Pair 1	0 jam - 24 jam	-0,0025333	0,0016197	0,0009351	-0,0065568	0,0014902	-2,709	0,114
Pair 2	24 jam - 48 jam	0,0006000	0,0018702	0,0010797	-0,0040457	0,0052457	0,556	0,634
Pair 3	48 jam - 72 jam	0,0006000	0,0018702	0,0010797	-0,0040457	0,0052457	0,556	0,634



Psi 12,5

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
0 jam	0,175	3		1,000	3	1,000
24 jam	0,259	3		0,959	3	0,610
48 jam	0,377	3		0,770	3	0,046
72 jam	0,245	3		0,970	3	0,670

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	0 jam	0,044300	3	0,0003000	0,0001732
	24 jam	0,059000	3	0,0132254	0,0076357
Pair 2	24 jam	0,059000	3	0,0132254	0,0076357
	48 jam	0,057467	3	0,0041870	0,0024174
Pair 3	48 jam	0,057467	3	0,0041870	0,0024174
	72 jam	0,055933	3	0,0087300	0,0050403

Paired Samples Test

Paired Differences							t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Mean		Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference					
				Lower	Upper				
Pair 1	0 jam - 24 jam	-0,0147000	0,0129317	0,0074661	-0,0468242	0,0174242	-1,969	2	0,188
	24 jam - 48 jam	0,0015333	0,0103938	0,0060009	-0,0242863	0,0273529	0,256	2	0,822
Pair 2	24 jam - 48 jam		0,0103938	0,0060009	-0,0242863	0,0273529	0,256	2	0,822
	48 jam - 72 jam								



Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
0 jam	0,330	3		0,866	3	0,286
24 jam	0,331	3		0,865	3	0,281
48 jam	0,234	3		0,978	3	0,719
72 jam	0,212	3		0,990	3	0,813

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

T-Test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	0 jam	0,041667	3	0,0026858	0,0015506
	24 jam	0,046700	3	0,0030643	0,0017692
Pair 2	24 jam	0,046700	3	0,0030643	0,0017692
	48 jam	0,044350	3	0,0017692	0,0010214
Pair 3	48 jam	0,044350	3	0,0017692	0,0010214
	72 jam	0,042000	3	0,0026627	0,0015373

Paired Samples Test

							t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
			Paired Differences						
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
Mean			Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	0 jam - 24 jam	- 0,0050333	0,0049803	0,0028754	- 0,0174051	0,0073384	- 1,750	2	0,222
	24 jam - 48 jam								
Pair 2	24 jam - 48 jam	0,0023500	0,0022605	0,0013051	- 0,0032655	0,0079655	1,801	2	0,214
			0,0022605	0,0013051	- 0,0032655	0,0079655	1,801	2	0,214





Uji Viabilitas

Descriptives

Jumlah Sel

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
HG	2	0,2603750	0,02315775	0,01637500	0,0523109	0,4684391	0,24400	0,27675
LG	2	0,1762500	0,01414214	0,01000000	0,0491880	0,3033120	0,16625	0,18625
Fruk 12,5	2	0,2072500	0,02757716	0,01950000	-0,0405210	0,4550210	0,18775	0,22675
Fruk 25	2	0,2182500	0,00388909	0,00275000	0,1833079	0,2531921	0,21550	0,22100
Psi 12,5	2	0,1693750	0,00689429	0,00487500	0,1074323	0,2313177	0,16450	0,17425
Psi 25	2	0,2180000	0,01414214	0,01000000	0,0909380	0,3450620	0,20800	0,22800
Total	12	0,2082500	0,03391986	0,00979182	0,1866983	0,2298017	0,16450	0,27675

NPar Tests

Kruskal-Wallis Test

Ranks

Sampel	N	Mean Rank
Jumlah Sel		
HG	2	11,50
LG	2	3,00
Fruk 12,5	2	7,00
Fruk 25	2	7,50
Psi 12,5	2	2,00
Psi 25	2	8,00
Total	12	

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	Jumlah Sel
Chi-Square	9,385
df	5
	0,047



pel

Optimized using
trial version
www.balesio.com

One
way

Descriptives

							95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Mini mum	Maxim um
				Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
N			Mean							
SOD	HG	2	1,0000	0,02828	0,02000	0,7459	1,2541	0,98	1,02	
	LG	2	0,0300	0,00990	0,00700	-0,0589	0,1189	0,02	0,04	
	Fruk1	2	0,0200	0,00707	0,00500	-0,0435	0,0835	0,02	0,03	
	Fruk2	2	0,0550	0,01556	0,01100	-0,0848	0,1948	0,04	0,07	
	Psi1	2	0,3200	0,02828	0,02000	0,0659	0,5741	0,30	0,34	
	Psi2	2	0,0400	0,00849	0,00600	-0,0362	0,1162	0,03	0,05	
	Total	12	0,2442	0,36968	0,10672	0,0093	0,4791	0,02	1,02	
GPx	HG	2	1,0000	0,16971	0,12000	-0,5247	2,5247	0,88	1,12	
	LG	2	1,5000	0,09899	0,07000	0,6106	2,3894	1,43	1,57	
	Fruk1	2	1,1500	0,14142	0,10000	-0,1206	2,4206	1,05	1,25	
	Fruk2	2	2,9000	0,07071	0,05000	2,2647	3,5353	2,85	2,95	
	Psi1	2	10,5700	0,12728	0,09000	9,4264	11,7136	10,48	10,66	
	Psi2	2	6,1500	0,21213	0,15000	4,2441	8,0559	6,00	6,30	
	Total	12	3,8783	3,62676	1,04695	1,5740	6,1827	0,88	10,66	
CAT	HG	2	1,0000	0,09899	0,07000	0,1106	1,8894	0,93	1,07	
	LG	2	9,2900	0,41012	0,29000	5,6052	12,9748	9,00	9,58	
	Fruk1	2	9,4100	0,04243	0,03000	9,0288	9,7912	9,38	9,44	
	Fruk2	2	6,0430	0,00424	0,00300	6,0049	6,0811	6,04	6,05	
	Psi1	2	85,9850	8,46407	5,98500	9,9384	162,0316	80,00	91,97	
	Psi2	2	36,5600	0,08485	0,06000	35,7976	37,3224	36,50	36,62	
	Total	12	24,7147	31,08185	8,97256	4,9662	44,4631	0,93	91,97	



Optimized using
trial version
www.balesio.com

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
SOD	Between Groups	1,501	5	0,300	873,661	0,000
	Within Groups	0,002	6	0,000		
	Total	1,503	11			
GPx	Between Groups	144,562	5	28,912	1390,021	0,000
	Within Groups	0,125	6	0,021		
	Total	144,687	11			
CAT	Between Groups	10555,071	5	2111,014	176,340	0,000
	Within Groups	71,827	6	11,971		
	Total	10626,898	11			

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Tukey HSD

Dependent Variable			Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
SOD	HG	LG	.97000*	0,01854	0,000	0,8962	1,0438
		Fruk1	.98000*	0,01854	0,000	0,9062	1,0538
		Fruk2	.94500*	0,01854	0,000	0,8712	1,0188
		Psi1	.68000*	0,01854	0,000	0,6062	0,7538
		Psi2	.96000*	0,01854	0,000	0,8862	1,0338
	LG	HG	-.97000*	0,01854	0,000	-1,0438	-0,8962
		Fruk1	0,01000	0,01854	0,992	-0,0638	0,0838
		Fruk2	-0,02500	0,01854	0,754	-0,0988	0,0488
		Psi1	-.29000*	0,01854	0,000	-0,3638	-0,2162
		Psi2	-0,01000	0,01854	0,992	-0,0838	0,0638
	Fruk1	HG	-.98000*	0,01854	0,000	-1,0538	-0,9062
		LG	-0,01000	0,01854	0,992	-0,0838	0,0638
		Fruk2	-0,03500	0,01854	0,484	-0,1088	0,0388
		Psi1	-.30000*	0,01854	0,000	-0,3738	-0,2262
		Psi2	-0,02000	0,01854	0,874	-0,0938	0,0538
			-.94500*	0,01854	0,000	-1,0188	-0,8712
			0,02500	0,01854	0,754	-0,0488	0,0988
			0,03500	0,01854	0,484	-0,0388	0,1088
			-.26500*	0,01854	0,000	-0,3388	-0,1912
			0,01500	0,01854	0,955	-0,0588	0,0888
			-.68000*	0,01854	0,000	-0,7538	-0,6062



Optimized using
trial version
www.balesio.com

GPx	Psi2	LG	.29000*	0,01854	0,000	0,2162	0,3638	
		Fruk1	.30000*	0,01854	0,000	0,2262	0,3738	
		Fruk2	.26500*	0,01854	0,000	0,1912	0,3388	
		Psi2	.28000*	0,01854	0,000	0,2062	0,3538	
		HG	-.96000*	0,01854	0,000	-1,0338	-0,8862	
		LG	0,01000	0,01854	0,992	-0,0638	0,0838	
		Fruk1	0,02000	0,01854	0,874	-0,0538	0,0938	
		Fruk2	-0,01500	0,01854	0,955	-0,0888	0,0588	
		Psi1	-.28000*	0,01854	0,000	-0,3538	-0,2062	
		HG	LG	-0,50000	0,14422	0,087	-1,0740	0,0740
		Fruk1	-0,15000	0,14422	0,888	-0,7240	0,4240	
		Fruk2	-1.90000*	0,14422	0,000	-2,4740	-1,3260	
		Psi1	-9.57000*	0,14422	0,000	-10,1440	-8,9960	
		Psi2	-5.15000*	0,14422	0,000	-5,7240	-4,5760	
		LG	HG	0,50000	0,14422	0,087	-0,0740	1,0740
			Fruk1	0,35000	0,14422	0,277	-0,2240	0,9240
			Fruk2	-1.40000*	0,14422	0,001	-1,9740	-0,8260
			Psi1	-9.07000*	0,14422	0,000	-9,6440	-8,4960
			Psi2	-4.65000*	0,14422	0,000	-5,2240	-4,0760
		Fruk1	HG	0,15000	0,14422	0,888	-0,4240	0,7240
	LG		-0,35000	0,14422	0,277	-0,9240	0,2240	
	Fruk2		-1.75000*	0,14422	0,000	-2,3240	-1,1760	
	Psi1		-9.42000*	0,14422	0,000	-9,9940	-8,8460	
	Psi2		-5.00000*	0,14422	0,000	-5,5740	-4,4260	
	Fruk2	HG	1.90000*	0,14422	0,000	1,3260	2,4740	
		LG	1.40000*	0,14422	0,001	0,8260	1,9740	
		Fruk1	1.75000*	0,14422	0,000	1,1760	2,3240	
		Psi1	-7.67000*	0,14422	0,000	-8,2440	-7,0960	
		Psi2	-3.25000*	0,14422	0,000	-3,8240	-2,6760	
	Psi1	HG	9.57000*	0,14422	0,000	8,9960	10,1440	
		LG	9.07000*	0,14422	0,000	8,4960	9,6440	
		Fruk1	9.42000*	0,14422	0,000	8,8460	9,9940	
		Fruk2	7.67000*	0,14422	0,000	7,0960	8,2440	
		Psi2	4.42000*	0,14422	0,000	3,8460	4,9940	
	Psi2	HG	5.15000*	0,14422	0,000	4,5760	5,7240	
		LG	4.65000*	0,14422	0,000	4,0760	5,2240	
				5.00000*	0,14422	0,000	4,4260	5,5740
				3.25000*	0,14422	0,000	2,6760	3,8240
				-4.42000*	0,14422	0,000	-4,9940	-3,8460
				-8,29000	3,45995	0,287	-22,0601	5,4801
				-8,41000	3,45995	0,276	-22,1801	5,3601
				-5,04300	3,45995	0,699	-18,8131	8,7271



	Psi1	- 84.98500 *	3,45995	0,000	-98,7551	-71,2149
	Psi2	- 35.56000 *	3,45995	0,000	-49,3301	-21,7899
LG	HG	8,29000	3,45995	0,287	-5,4801	22,0601
	Fruk1	-0,12000	3,45995	1,000	-13,8901	13,6501
	Fruk2	3,24700	3,45995	0,922	-10,5231	17,0171
	Psi1	- 76.69500 *	3,45995	0,000	-90,4651	-62,9249
	Psi2	- 27.27000 *	3,45995	0,002	-41,0401	-13,4999
Fruk1	HG	8,41000	3,45995	0,276	-5,3601	22,1801
	LG	0,12000	3,45995	1,000	-13,6501	13,8901
	Fruk2	3,36700	3,45995	0,911	-10,4031	17,1371
	Psi1	- 76.57500 *	3,45995	0,000	-90,3451	-62,8049
	Psi2	- 27.15000 *	3,45995	0,002	-40,9201	-13,3799
Fruk2	HG	5,04300	3,45995	0,699	-8,7271	18,8131
	LG	-3,24700	3,45995	0,922	-17,0171	10,5231
	Fruk1	-3,36700	3,45995	0,911	-17,1371	10,4031
	Psi1	- 79.94200 *	3,45995	0,000	-93,7121	-66,1719
	Psi2	- 30.51700 *	3,45995	0,001	-44,2871	-16,7469
Psi1	HG	84.98500 *	3,45995	0,000	71,2149	98,7551
	LG	76.69500 *	3,45995	0,000	62,9249	90,4651
	Fruk1	76.57500 *	3,45995	0,000	62,8049	90,3451
	Fruk2	79.94200 *	3,45995	0,000	66,1719	93,7121
	Psi2	49.42500 *	3,45995	0,000	35,6549	63,1951
Psi2	HG	35.56000 *	3,45995	0,000	21,7899	49,3301
	LG	27.27000 *	3,45995	0,002	13,4999	41,0401
	Fruk1	27.15000 *	3,45995	0,002	13,3799	40,9201
	Fruk2	30.51700 *	3,45995	0,001	16,7469	44,2871
		- 49.42500 *	3,45995	0,000	-63,1951	-35,6549



significant at the 0.05 level.

Homogeneous Subsets

SOD

Tukey HSD^a

Sampel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05		
		1	2	3
Fruk1	2	0,0200		
LG	2	0,0300		
Psi2	2	0,0400		
Fruk2	2	0,0550		
Psi1	2		0,3200	
HG	2			1,0000
Sig.		0,484	1,000	1,000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 2.000.

GPx

Tukey HSD^a

Sampel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05			
		1	2	3	4
HG	2	1,0000			
Fruk1	2	1,1500			
LG	2	1,5000			
Fruk2	2		2,9000		
Psi2	2			6,1500	
Psi1	2				10,5700
Sig.		0,087	1,000	1,000	1,000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 2.000.

CAT

Tukey HSD^a

Sampel	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05		
		1	2	3
HG	2	1,0000		
Fruk2	2	6,0430		
	2	9,2900		
	2	9,4100		
	2		36,5600	
	2			85,9850
		0,276	1,000	1,000



Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 2.000.