

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Allcott, H., & M. Gentzkow. (2017). Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31(2): 211–236. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211>.
- Aminah., & Sari, N. (2019). Dampak hoax di media sosial facebook terhadap pemilih pemula. *Jurnal Komunikasi Global*, 8(1). 51-61. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jkg.v8i1.13565>
- Antaranews.com. (2023, 23 November). Mafindo Catat 664-hoaks Selama Kuartal I 2023. Diakses pada 23 November 2023, dari <https://www.antaranews.com/berita/3519057/mafindo-catat-664-hoaks-selama-kuartal-i-2023#mobile-src>. s
- Atkinson, J. (2005). Metaspin: Demonisation of Media Manipulation. *Political Science*, 57(2): 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003231870505700203>.
- Azwar, S. (2017). Reliabilitas dan validitas (edisi 4). Pustaka Pelajar
- Bakir, V., & A. McStay. (2017). Fake News and the Economy of Emotions: Problems, Causes, Solutions. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 154–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1345645>.
- Bakshy, E., S. Messing, & L. Adamic. (2015). Exposure to Ideologically Diverse News and Opinion on Facebook. *Science* 348, 1130–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1160>.
- Banas, J., & Miller, G. (2013). Inducing Resistance to Conspiracy Theory Propaganda: Testing Inoculation and Metainoculation Strategies. *Human Communication Research*, 39(2), 184-207. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hcre.12000>.
- Basol, M., Roozenbeek, J., & Van Der Linden, S. (2020). Good News about Bad News: Gamified Inoculation Boosts Confidence and Cognitive Immunity Against Fake News. *Journal of Cognition*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.91>.
- Bode, L., & E. K. Vraga. (2015). In Related News, That Was Wrong: The Correction of Misinformation through Related Stories Functionality in Social Media. *Journal of Communication*, 65(4), 619–638. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12166>.
- Bolsen, T., & J. N. Druckman. (2015). Counteracting the Politicization of Science. *Journal of Communication*, 65(5), 745–769. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12171>.

- Bradshaw, S., Bailey, H., & Howard, P. N. (2021). *Industrialized Disinformation: 2020 Global Inventory of Organized Social Media Manipulation*. Oxford, UK: Programme on Democracy & Technology.
- Compton, J. (2013). Inoculation Theory. In *The SAGE Handbook of Persuasion: Developments in Theory and Practice*, edited by J. P. Dillard and L. Shen. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage
- Compton, J., B. Jackson, & J. A. Dimmock. (2016). Persuading Others to Avoid Persuasion: Inoculation Theory and Resistant Health Attitudes. *Frontiers in Psychology*
- Cooke, N. A. (2017). Posttruth, Truthiness, and Alternative Facts: Information Behavior and Critical Information Consumption for a New Age. *Library Quarterly*, 87(3), 211–221. <https://doi.org/10.1086/692297>.
- Damanik, F. N. S. (2012). Menjadi Masyarakat Informasi. *Jurnal JSM STMIK Mikroskil*, 13(1), 73-82.
- Darmayanti, R. (2016). Membangun budaya literasi informasi bagi masyarakat kampus. *Jurnal Iqra*, 10(1), 92-101.
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1975). An attribution analysis of the effect of communicator characteristics on opinion change: The case of communicator attractiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 32(1), 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0076850>.
- Effendi, E. ., Kurniawan, R. ., Hamzah, A. A. ., & Munthe, L. H. . (2023). Membangun Masyarakat Informasional. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Konseling (JPDK)*, 5(2), 5718–5722. <https://doi.org/10.31004/jpdk.v5i2.14608>.
- Fatmawaty, R. (2017). Memahami Psikologi Remaja. Reformasi. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.30736/rfma.v6i2.33>.
- Field, A., & Hole, G. (2013). *How to Design and Report Experiments*. SAGE.
- Flaxman, S., S. Goel, & J. M. Rao. (2016). Filter Bubbles, Echo Chambers, and Online News Consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(1), 298–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfw006>.
- Flynn, D. J., B. Nyhan, & J. Reifler. (2017). The Nature and Origins of Misperceptions: Understanding False and Unsupported Beliefs about Politics. *Political Psychology*, 38, 127–150. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12394>.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed). Pearson

- Herne, K., Sipinen, J., Kestilä-Kekkonen, E., Mattinen, L., & Söderlund P. (2022). The Force of the Argument Source: The Partiality of the Source Influences the Evaluation of Political Arguments. *Front. Commun*, 7(778771). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2022.778771>
- Higgins, K. (2016). Post-Truth: A Guide for the Perplexed. *Nature*, 540(7631), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/540009a..>
- Jia, Isabelle. (2022). Source Credibility and Persuasive Communication: Effects on Social Media Influencers, Influencer Marketing, and Consumer Attitude Change". *CMC Senior Theses*. 2881.
- Jacobson, S., E. Myung, & S. L. Johnson. (2016). Open Media or Echo Chamber: The Use of Links in Audience Discussions on the Facebook Pages of Partisan News Organizations. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(7), 875–891. <https://doi.org/1.1080/1369118X.2015.1064461>.
- Krosnick, J.A., Holbrook, A.L., & Lowe, L. (2006). The Origins and Consequences of democratic citizens' Policy Agendas: A Study of Popular Concern about Global Warming. *Climatic Change* 77, 7–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9068-8>
- Kurniawan, B. (2018). Politisasi Agama di Tahun Politik: Politik Pasca-Kebenaran di Indonesia dan Ancaman bagi Demokrasi. *Jurnal Sosiologi Agama*, 12(1), 133–154. <https://doi.org/10.14421/jsa.2018.121-07>.
- Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U., Cook, J. (2017) Beyond Misinformation : Understanding and Coping with the “Post-Truth” Era. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(4), 353-369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.07.008>.
- Marbella, H. N., Nur'aini, N. H., Agung, S., & Rakhmawati, N. A. (2021). Analisis pengaruh berita bohong di sosial media terhadap keputusan masyarakat Indonesia melakukan vaksinasi covid-19. *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Teknologi* 2(11), 1951-1966.
- Marisa, C. & Fitriyanti, E. (2019). Peningkatan kemampuan kognitif pada remaja melalui layanan informasi. *Teraputik: Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling*, 3(2), 64–70. 10.30998/teraputik.32127.
- Maulana, H., & Gumelar, G. (2020). Psikologi Komunikasi dan Persuasi Edisi 2. In Media.
- Michelle L. M. Wood. Rethinking the Inoculation Analogy: Effects on Subjects with Differing Preexisting Attitudes. *Human Communication Research*, 33(3), 357–378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2007.00303.x>

- Nazir, M. (1998). Metode Penelitian. Graha Indonesia.
- Nur, R. R., Latipah, E., & Izzah, I. (2023). Perkembangan kognitif mahasiswa pada masa dewasa awal. *Arzusun*, 3(3), 211-219. <https://doi.org/10.58578/arzusun.v3i3.1081>
- Nurrahmi, F., & Syam, H. M. (2020). Perilaku Informasi Mahasiswa dan Hoaks di Media Sosial. *Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, 4(2), 129-146. <https://doi.org/10.15575/cjik.v4i2.9215>
- Pennebaker, J. W., & Sanders, D. Y. (1976). American Graffiti: Effects of Authority and Reactance Arousal. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 2(3), 264-267. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014616727600200325>.
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). *Communication and persuasion: Central and peripheral routes to attitude change*. Springer-Verlag.
- Petty, R. E., Cacioppo, J. T., & Schumann, D. (1983). Central and peripheral routes to advertising effectiveness: The moderating role of involvement. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 10(2), 135–146.
- Prasetya, A. E., Kazia, B., & Wulandari, F. P. (2019). *Pengaruh berita hoaks terhadap cara pandang pemilih pemula: Pemilihan umum presiden tahun 2019*. Skripsi
- Putri, A. F. (2019). Pentingnya orang dewasa awal menyelesaikan tugas perkembangannya. *Indonesian Journal of School Counseling*, 3(2), 35-40. <https://doi.org/10.23916/08430011>
- Putro, W. D. (2020). Menemukan Kebenaran Hukum Dalam Era Post-Truth. Sanabil Publishing.
- Rainer, G., Jaffe, M. E., Newman, E. J., & Schwarz, N. (2021). The Psychology of Fake News : Accepting, Sharing, and Correcting Misinformation. Routledge.
- Roozenbeek, J., & Van der Linden, S. (2018). Fake news game actively inoculating against the risk of misinformation. *Journal of Risk Research*, 22(5), 570-580. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2018.1443491>.
- Roozenbeek, J., & Van der Linden, S. (2019). Fake news game confers psychological resistance against online misinformation. *Palgrave Communications*, 5(65). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-019-0279-9>.
- S. Van der Linden, A. Leiserowitz, S. Rosenthal, E. Maibach. (2017). Global Challenges. Wiley

- Saling, Lauren L., Mallal, Devi, Scholer, Falk, Skelton, Russell, & Spina, Damiano. (2021). No one is immune to misinformation: An investigation of misinformation sharing by subscribers to a fact-checking newsletter. *Plos One*, 16(8). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255702>.
- Sanderson, C. A. (2010). *Social Psychology*. Wiley.
- Schultz, A., & Parikh, J. (2020). Keeping our services stable and reliable during the COVID-19 outbreak. Retrieved from <https://about.fb.com/news/2020/03/keeping-our-apps-stable-during-covid-19/> website: <https://about.fb.com/news/2020/03/keeping-our-apps-stable-during-covid-19/>.
- Sears, D. O. (1986). College sophomores in the laboratory: Influences of a narrow data base on social psychology's view of human nature. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(3), 515–530. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.3.515>
- Sears, D. O., & Funk, C. L. (1999). Evidence of the Long-Term Persistence of Adults' Political Predispositions. *The Journal of Politics*, 61(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2647773>.
- Sugiyono, D. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Penerbit Alfabeta Bandung.
- Sugiyono. (2014). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Suharyanto, C. E. (2019). Analisis berita hoaks di era post-truth: sebuah review analysis. *Jurnal Masyarakat Telematika dan Informasi*, 10(2), 37-39.
- Syamsuadi, A., Hartati, S., Arisandi, D., Murtasidin, B., Elvitaria, L., Trisnawati, L., Febrianita, Y., & Wulandini, P. (2019). Menjadi Bijak Bagi Pemilih Pemula Berdasarkan Informasi Dari Media Sosial Di Kabupaten Kepulauan Meranti. *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat Multidisiplin*. 3(1), 27-35.
- Visser, P. S., & Krosnick, J. A. (1998). Development of attitude strength over the life cycle: Surge and decline. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75(6), 1389–1410. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.75.6.1389>.
- Wijaya, D. (2023). Menakar peran pemilih pemula di era digital : Berkah atau bencana menuju pemilu berkualitas. *Jurnal Administrasi Nusantara Maha*, 5(6). 657-668.
- Wilder, D. A. (1990). Some determinants of the persuasive power of in-groups and out-groups: Organization of information and attribution of independence.


Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 59(6), 1202–1213.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.59.6.1202>.

Wood, W. (2000). Attitude change: Persuasion and social influence. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51(1), 539–570.

Zhu, Bi, Chen, Chuansheng, Loftus, Elizabeth F., He, Qinghua, Chen, Chunhui, Lei, Xuemei, Lin, Chongde, & Dong, Qi. (2012). Brief exposure to misinformation can lead to long-term false memories. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 26(2), 301–307. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1825>.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 : Permohonan Izin Adaptasi Penelitian dan Alat Ukur



Lampiran 2 : Skala yang digunakan peneliti

Kuesioner

Petunjuk pengerjaan:

Berikut ini terdapat pernyataan-pernyataan yang diiringi dengan pilihan jawaban. Anda diminta untuk membaca setiap pernyataan dengan cermat dan memilih salah satu pilihan jawaban yang paling sesuai dengan diri Anda saat ini.

Terdapat 7 pilihan jawaban:

- 1 = Sangat Tidak Sesuai (STS)
- 2 = Tidak Sesuai (TS)
- 3 = Cukup Tidak Sesuai (CTS)
- 4 = Netral (N)
- 5 = Cukup Sesuai (CS)
- 6 = Sesuai (S)
- 7 = Sangat Sesuai (SS)

Dalam pengisian skala ini, tidak ada jawaban yang benar atau salah. Oleh karena itu, Anda dipersilakan untuk memilih jawaban yang paling sesuai dengan diri Anda saat ini.

Sejauh mana Anda familiar dengan topik secara umum *

- 1. Sangat Tidak Familiar
- 2. Tidak Familiar
- 3. Cukup Tidak Familiar
- 4. Netral
- 5. Cukup Familiar
- 6. Familiar
- 7. Sangat Familiar

Seberapa persuasif (bujukan halus) artikel tersebut mempengaruhi Anda? *

- 1. Sangat Tidak Persuasif
- 2. Tidak Persuasif
- 3. Cukup Tidak Persuasif
- 4. Netral
- 5. Cukup Persuasif
- 6. Persuasif
- 7. Sangat Persuasif

Seberapa setuju Anda dengan artikel tersebut? *

1. Sangat Tidak Setuju
2. Tidak Setuju
3. Cukup Tidak Setuju
4. Netral
5. Cukup Setuju
6. Setuju
7. Sangat Setuju

Seberapa terpercaya artikel tersebut menurut Anda? *

1. Sangat Tidak Terpercaya
2. Tidak Terpercaya
3. Cukup Tidak Terpercaya
4. Netral
5. Cukup Terpercaya
6. Terpercaya
7. Sangat Terpercaya

Lampiran 3 : Format Informed Consent (IC)

Informed Consent

B I U  

Assalamualaikum warahmatullahi wabarakatuh
Selamat Pagi/Siang/Sore/Malam

Perkenalkan saya Helimah Tusaadiah Abdullah mahasiswi dari Program Studi Psikologi, Fakultas Kedokteran, Universitas Hasanuddin angkatan 2020. Saat ini saya sedang melakukan penelitian dengan judul "Pengaruh Fake News Game terhadap Efek Persuasi Politik" untuk memenuhi tugas akhir Skripsi. Adapun kriteria partisipan yang dapat mengikuti eksperimen ini, adalah :

1. Usia 17-22 tahun
2. Memiliki device (diutamakan laptop) dan jaringan yang memadai

Adapun rencana jadwal kegiatan eksperimen akan dilaksanakan secara online pada :
 Sabtu, 31 Agustus 2024

Jika terdapat pertanyaan yang ingin diajukan, silahkan menghubungi saya melalui kontak berikut.
Contact Person : 085161581544 (Yimah)

Besar harapan saya, anda bersedia meluangkan sedikit waktu untuk mengisi kuesioner kesediaan mengikuti eksperimen ini.

Wassalamualaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh 🙏🙏🙏

Nama Lengkap *

Short answer text

Usia *

- ☐ 17 tahun
- ☐ 18 tahun
- ☐ 19 tahun
- ☐ 20 tahun
- ☐ 21 tahun
- ☐ 22 tahun

Jenis Kelamin *

- ☐ Laki-Laki
- ☐ Perempuan

Universitas *

Short answer text

Semester *

Short answer text

Nomor Handphone (WhatsApp) *

Short answer text

Apakah anda memiliki device laptop? *


- ☐ Ya
- ☐ Tidak

Informed Consent


Saya bersedia secara sukarela menjadi partisipan dalam penelitian ini. Semua data yang saya berikan dalam kuesioner ini bersifat rahasia dan hanya akan digunakan untuk keperluan penelitian.

- ☐ Bersedia
- ☐ Tidak bersedia

Lampiran 4 : Dokumentasi Pelaksanaan Penelitian



Agenda 1 : Pembukaan



Agenda 2 : Reading Article

Open me

<https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSd6pwDxivwOJOuf9n1sIa-bv8OQ5NXVa3lgogOTYsPbGrk4mg/viewform?usp=sharing>

2024-08-31 13:45:57

Agenda 3 : Pre-test

WHAT TO DO?

1. Kartu sumber
2. Lembar fakta
3. Kartu

- Sub Kartu A → GAMBA
- Sub Kartu B → JUDUL HEADLINE
- Sub Kartu C → Paragraf 1 : Angka dan Fakta
- Sub Kartu D → Paragraf 2 : Interpretasi Angka dan Fakta
- Sub Kartu E → Paragraf 3 : Penyebab Masalah
- Sub Kartu F → Paragraf 4 : Konsekuensi Masalah
- Sub Kartu G → Paragraf 5 : Pendapat Ahli
- Sub Kartu H → Paragraf 6 : Kesimpulan

27:50 / 2:28:05

2024-08-31 13:45:57

Agenda 4 : Guiding Fake News Game

ANY QUESTION? ❤️

LET'S PLAY

KRITERIA PEMENANG

- 1 Seluruh anggota tim wajib aktif diskusi (8 poin)
- 2 Jumlah benar keseluruhan bagian artikel (8 poin)
- 3 Kecepatan pengumpulan


2024-08-31 13:51:58

Agenda 5 : Bermain *Fake News Game*


Let's reading...

2024-08-31 15:18:27

Agenda 6 : *Reading Article*



Agenda 7 : Post-test



Agenda 8 : Pengumuman Pemenang dan Penutupan

Lampiran 5 : Hasil Uji Normalitas Variabel Efek Persuasi

Assumption Checks ▼


Test of Normality (Shapiro-Wilk) ▼

			W	p
Pretest	-	Posttest	0.909	0.018

Note. Significant results suggest a deviation from normality.

Q-Q Plots

Pretest - Posttest



Lampiran 6 : Hasil Uji Normalitas Variabel Reliabilitas

Assumption Checks


Test of Normality (Shapiro-Wilk)

			W	p
Pretest	-	Posttest	0.845	< .001

Note. Significant results suggest a deviation from normality.

Q-Q Plots

Pretest - Posttest



Lampiran 7 : Hasil Uji Normalitas Variabel Kredibilitas

Assumption Checks


Test of Normality (Shapiro-Wilk)

			W	p
Pretest	-	Posttest	0.835	< .001

Note. Significant results suggest a deviation from normality.

Q-Q Plots

Pretest - Posttest



Lampiran 8 : Hasil Uji Hipotesis 1

Paired Samples T-Test

Measure 1	Measure 2	W	z	df	p
Pretest	- Posttest	116.500	1.350		0.083

Note. For all tests, the alternative hypothesis specifies that Pretest is greater than Posttest.

Note. Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Lampiran 9 : Hasil Uji Hipotesis 2

Paired Samples T-Test ▼

Measure 1		Measure 2	W	z	df	p
Pretest	-	Posttest	86.500	2.134		0.013

Note. For all tests, the alternative hypothesis specifies that Pretest is greater than Posttest.

Note. Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Lampiran 10 : Hasil Uji Hipotesis 3

Paired Samples T-Test ▼

Measure 1		Measure 2	W	z	df	p
Pretest	-	Posttest	87.000	0.982		0.160

Note. For all tests, the alternative hypothesis specifies that Pretest is greater than Posttest.

Note. Wilcoxon signed-rank test.