

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adler, P. S., & Borys, B. (1996). Two types of bureaucracy: Enabling and coercive. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 61–89.
- Alvesson, M. (2002). Postmodernism and social research. *Order*, 7, 200.
- Amelia, P., & Souza, D. A. A. L. (2014). Análise das barreiras que dificultam a transformação do agricultor familiar em empreendedor rural no contexto brasileiro. *Revista Ibero Americana de Estratégia*, 13(3), 107–122.
- Amos, E. A., & Weathington, B. L. (2008). An analysis of the relation between employee—Organization value congruence and employee attitudes. *The Journal of Psychology*, 142(6), 615–632.
- Amy, L., Ryan, D., & Erin, C. (2005). CONSEQUENCES OF INDIVIDUALS ' FIT AT WORK: A META-ANALYSIS OF PERSON-JO.
- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2023). *Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice*. Kogan Page Publishers.
- Bashir, M., Hameed, A., Bari, M. W., & Ullah, R. (2021). The Impact of Age-Diverse Workforce on Organization Performance: Mediating Role of Job Crafting. *SAGE Open*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244021999058>
- Bender, K. A., Donohue, S. M., & Heywood, J. S. (2005). Job satisfaction and gender segregation. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 57(3), 479–496. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oep/gpi015>
- Bieberstein, F. (2022). *Status says more than thousand words : How status affects the influence of individuals in organizational communications Status says more than thousand words : How status affects the influence of individuals in organizational communications*. March. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.33394.79044>
- Brown, R., & Johnson, S. J. (2003). *GENDER INFLUENCES ON ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE IN WOMEN OWNED BUSINESSES Approved for Completion of 4 Semester Credits TRHRD-735 Field Problem in Training and Development*.
- Caldwell, D. F., Caldwell, D. F., & Iii, C. A. O. R. (2015). *Measuring Person – Job Fit Using a Profile Comparison Process Measuring Person-Job Fit With a Profile-Comparison Process*. December 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.6.648>
- Carstensen, L. L., Isaacowitz, D. M., & Charles, S. T. (1999). Taking time seriously: A theory of socioemotional selectivity. *American Psychologist*, 54(3), 165–181. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.54.3.165>
- Elfenbein, H. A., & O'Reilly, C. A. (2007). Fitting in: The effects of relational demography and person-culture fit on group process and performance. *Group and Organization Management*, 32(1), 109–142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601106286882>

- Firmansyah, I., Rijanto, R., & Pitri, T. (2023). Impact of Organizational Culture and Discipline on Employee Performance: A Study of Cooperative Members. *Jurnal Bisnis, Manajemen, Dan Ekonomi*, 4(2), 176–191. <https://doi.org/10.47747/jbme.v4i2.1126>
- Gebhardt, G. F., Carpenter, G. S., & Sherry Jr, J. F. (2006). Creating a market orientation: A longitudinal, multifirm, grounded analysis of cultural transformation. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 37–55.
- Ghozali, I. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling, Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. (4th ed.). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Gilbreath\*, B., & Benson, P. G. (2004). The contribution of supervisor behaviour to employee psychological well-being. *Work & Stress*, 18(3), 255–266.
- Haholongan, R., & Elviayuliana, E. (2022). the Influence of Compensation, Motivation, Work Environment, and Work Discipline on Job Satisfaction. *International Journal of Social Science*, 2(4), 1903–1912. <https://doi.org/10.53625/ijss.v2i4.4169>
- Hofstede, G., & Minkov, M. (2010). Long-versus short-term orientation: new perspectives. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 16(4), 493–504.
- Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: software of the mind: intercultural cooperation and its importance for survival*. McGraw-Hill.
- Höppner, G. (2017). Rethinking socialization research through the lens of new materialism. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 2, 13.
- Hurrelmann, K., Bauer, U., Grundmann, M., & Walper, S. (2015). *Sozialisationsforschung*.
- Janićjević, N., Nikčević, G., & Vasić, V. (2018). The influence of organizational culture on job satisfaction. *Economic Annals*, 63(219), 83–114.
- Jigjiddorj, S., Zanabazar, A., Jambal, T., & Semjid, B. (2021). Relationship between organizational culture, employee satisfaction and organizational commitment. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 90, 2004.
- Kalton, G. (2011). Simple Random Sampling. *Introduction to Survey Sampling*, December, 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412984683.n2>
- Kenton, W. (2022). *Financial performance: definition, how it works and example*. Investopedia. <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/f/financialperformance.asp>.
- Kilduff, G. J. (2020). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Knowing Where Others Stand : Accuracy and*. July. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000216>
- Korulczyk, T., & Cooper-Thomas, H. D. (2021). Person-supervisor fit and proactive behavior and unethical behaviors. *Annals of Psychology*, 23(3), 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.18290/RPSYCH20233-4>
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management MARKETING MANAGEMENT Marketing Management*. Pearson Practice Hall.

- Kristof, A. L. (1996). Person-organization fit: An integrative review of its conceptualizations, measurement, and implications. *Personnel Psychology*, 49(1), 1–49.
- Ladany, N., Mori, Y., & Mehr, K. E. (2013). Effective and Ineffective Supervision. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 41(1), 28–47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00111000012442648>
- Leung, K., & Bond, M. H. (2004). *Social axioms: A model for social beliefs in multicultural perspective*.
- Lewis, B. J., General, A., Youth, P., Marquis, B. R. A., Rutland, J., Cook, B. A. M., & Brisebois, B. K. (2008). *The Journal is a major source of information for Ontario's children's services* *The Journal is published quarterly and distributed to more than 3,000 recipients by the Ontario Association of Children's Aid Societies (OACAS)*. Requests for subscription.
- Lind, J. (2017). *Sorry states: Apologies in international politics*. Cornell University Press.
- Lok, P., & Crawford, J. (2004). The effect of organisational culture and leadership style on job satisfaction and organisational commitment: A cross-national comparison. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(4), 321–338. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710410529785>
- Lopez-Martin, E., & Topa, G. (2019). Organizational culture and job demands and resources: Their impact on employees' wellbeing in a multivariate multilevel model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(17), 3006.
- Martin, T. N., & O'Laughlin, M. S. (1984). Predictors of organizational commitment: The study of part-time army reservists. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 25(3), 270–283.
- McClure, R. E. (2010). The influence of organizational culture and conflict on market orientation. *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 25(7), 514–524. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08858621011077745>
- McIlroy, T. D., Parker, S. L., & McKimmie, B. M. (2021). The effects of unanswered supervisor support on employees' well-being, performance, and relational outcomes. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 26(1), 49–68. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000270>
- Minkov, M., Blagoev, V., & Hofstede, G. (2013). The boundaries of culture: Do questions about societal norms reveal cultural differences? *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 44(7), 1094–1106.
- Muijen, J. J. Van, Koopman, P., Witte, K. De, France, C. L., Bourantas, D., Jesuino, J., Gonzalves, J., Neves, D., Rumania, H. P., & Peiró, J. (1999). *Organizational Culture*:
- Naor, M., Goldstein, S. M., Linderman, K. W., & Schroeder, R. G. (2008). The role of culture as driver of quality management and performance: infrastructure versus core quality practices. *Decision Sciences*, 39(4), 671–702.
- Nisar, Q. A., Kamboh, A. Z., & Ali, S. (2019). *Impact of Employees' Recognition*,

*Rewards and Job Stress on Job Performance: Mediating Role of Perceived Organization Support.* March. <https://doi.org/10.33215/sjom.v2i2.121>

Oberauer, K., Wilhelm, O., Schulze, R., & Süß, H. M. (2005). Working memory and intelligence -Their correlation and their relation: Comment on Ackerman, Beier, and Boyle (2005). *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(1), 61–65. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.1.61>

Pitre, L. (2019). *Supervision satisfaction: What impacts masters level supervision when reviewing supervisor category and student concentration? (Unpublished doctoral dissertation)*.

Provinsi, P., & Selatan, S. (2021). *MANUSIA PROVINSI SULAWESI SELATAN PEMERINTAH PROVINSI SULAWESI SELATAN Perubahan Rencana Strategis (Renstra ) Tahun 2018-2023*.

Quinn, R. E. (2011). *Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: Based on the competing values framework*. Jossey-Bass.

Ratnasari, S. L., Sutjahjor, G., & Adam. (2019). Employee S ' Performance: Organizational Culture and. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(5), 597–608. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7569> %0D%0A

Sappaiile, B. I. (2007). Konsep instrumen penelitian pendidikan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan*, 13(66), 1–7.

Sardjana, E. K., & Suharto, D. G. (2018). *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding Effect of Remuneration , Work Discipline , Motivation on Performance*. 136–150.

Sari, F., Sudiarditha, I. K. R., & Susita, D. (2021). The International Journal of Social Sciences World Organizational Culture and Leadership Style on Employee Performance: Its Effect through Job Satisfaction. *The International Journal of Social Sciences World*, 3(2), 98–113. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5173573>

Schaaf, S. M. (2018). *Murray State ' s Digital Commons PERCEPTION OF SUPERVISORY STYLES AND SATISFACTION*.

Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (Vol. 2). John Wiley & Sons.

Schoon, H. (2008). *Person-Supervisor Fit: Implications for Organizational Stress, Orgnizational Commitment, and Job Satisfaction*. August.

Silverthorne, C. (2004). The impact of organizational culture and person-organization fit on organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Taiwan. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25(7), 592–599. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437730410561477>

Skiera, B., Reiner, J., & Albers, S. (2021). Regression Analysis. In *Handbook of Market Research* (Issue July). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57413-4\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57413-4_17)

Sow, M., Murphy, J., & Osuoha, R. (2017). The Relationship between Leadership Style, Organizational Culture, and Job Satisfaction in the U.S. Healthcare Industry.

*Management and Economics Research Journal*, 03, 1.  
<https://doi.org/10.18639/merj.2017.03.403737>

- Stasser, G., & Abele, S. (2020). *Collective Choice , Collaboration , and Communication.*
- Syarmila, S. (2022). *Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi, Lingkungan Kerja Dan Kepuasan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada PT. PLN Unit Induk Wilayah Sulselrabar Kota Makassar.* Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Team Dosen Universitas Esa Unggul. (2022). Uji Beda. *Jurnal Universitas Esa Unggul*, 20.
- Testa, Mark; Stephen, M. T. A (2003). Cultural Fit and Job Satisfaction in a Global Service Environment. *Management International Review*, 43(2), 129–148.
- Tomei, P. A., & Russo, G. M. (2016). Cultural Fit and Desired Organizational Values: The Case of ARFCO. *Organizational Cultures: An International Journal*, 16(3).
- Toomela, A. (1996). How culture transforms mind: A process of internalization. *Culture & Psychology*, 2(3), 285–305.
- Tsai, C. J., & Qiao, K. (2023). A cross-cultural examination of the fit between expected and observed leadership behaviors and employee satisfaction: an empirical study of the expectations and satisfaction of Chinese employees toward the leadership behaviors of their expatriate supervis. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, 53(1), 19–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.2023.2174924>
- Tsai, Y. (2011). Relationship between organizational culture, leadership behavior and job satisfaction. *BMC Health Services Research*, 11(1), 1–9.
- Vroom, V. (1962). *Ego Involvement, Job Satisfaction, and Job Performance.*
- Yaniv, E., & Farkas, F. (2005). The impact of person-organization fit on the corporate brand perception of employees and of customers. *Journal of Change Management*, 5(4), 447–461. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697010500372600>
- Zakiy, M. (2022). Linking Person Supervisor Fit with Employee Performance and Work Engagement: The Mediating Role of LMX. *Advance, Preprint*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31124/advance.20278659.v1>
- Zavyalova, E., & Kucherov, D. (2010). Relationship between organizational culture and job satisfaction in Russian business enterprises. *Human Resource Development International*, 13(2), 225–235.
- Zheng, F., Korea, S., Jin, X., Korea, S., Hahm, S., & Korea, S. (n.d.). *The Effect of Person-Job and Person-Supervisor Fit on Job Satisfaction.*

# LAMPIRAN

**LAMPIRAN I****BIODATA DIRI MAHASISWA****Identitas Diri**

Nama	: Iqra Fatwa Alam
Tempat, Tanggal Lahir	: Palu, 06 November 2001
Jenis Kelamin	: Laki-Laki
Agama	: Islam
Alamat Rumah	: Jalan Inspeksi PAM Nomor 10 C Makassar
Nomor Telepon	: +62-822-7109-6572
E-mail	: iqrafatwa01@gmail.com

**Riwayat Pendidikan**

2008 — 2014	: SD Inpres 3 Tatura Palu
2014 — 2017	: SMP Al-Azhar Mandiri Palu
2017 — 2020	: SMA Al-Azhar Mandiri Palu

**Pengalaman Prestasi Akademik**

1. Pendanaan Program Mahasiswa Wirausaha (PMW) Home Construction Problem Solution Application (HOCSA).
2. Pendanaan Program Pembinaan Mahasiswa Wirausaha (P2MW) CranCriks Keripik Ubi Jalar Makassar.

Demikian biodata ini dibuat dengan sebenarnya.

Makassar, 12 Juni 2024



Iqra Fatwa Alam

**LAMPIRAN II****Data Sasaran Kinerja Pegawai BPSDM PROVINSI SULSEL TAHUN 2020**

NO	NILAI SKP	INTEGRITAS	KOMITMEN	DISIPLINI	KERJASAMA	STATUS PENILAI	JENIS JABATAN
1	87.77	97	97	97	97	PNS	1
2	90.47	91	91	91	91	PNS	1
3	95.49	90	90	90	90	PNS	1
4	91.67	91	91	91	91	PNS	1
5	91.59	92	92	92	93	PNS	1
6	91.79	95	96	95	95	PNS	1
7	91.20	90	90	91	90	PNS	1
8	91.67	89	89	89	89	PNS	1
9	91.9	91	91	91	91	PNS	1
10	92.59	90	88	88	88	PNS	1
11	93.17	90	90	90	91	PNS	1
12	97.69	99	99	99,68	99	PNS	1
13	90.85	98	100	100	98	PNS	1
14	94.83	87	88	86	87	PNS	1
15	93.83	99	99	99	99	PNS	1
16	96.03	98	95	99,89	98	PNS	1
17	91.28	89	89	89	90	PNS	1
18	90.88	85	85	88	87	PNS	1
19	94.57	82	81	82	87	PNS	1
20	99.76	99	99	99	99	PNS	1
21	96.22	96	97	97	96	PNS	2
22	99.02	96	97	97	96	PNS	2
23	97.48	86	86	86	86	PNS	2
24	98.30	95	96	96	95	PNS	2
25	94.67	92	92	92	92	PNS	2
26	98.25	96	96	96	96	PNS	2
27	96.23	92	93	93	92	PNS	2
28	95.20	92	92	92	92	PNS	2
29	95.80	96	97	97	96	PNS	2

60	59	94.86	89	90	90	89	PNS	2
61	60	96.95	85	85	86	86	PNS	2
62	61	96.90	91	92	92	91	PNS	2
63	62	95.93	91	92	92	91	PNS	2
64	63	95.69	91	92	92	91	PNS	2
65	64	95.68	86	87	87	86	PNS	2
66	65	94.53	90	91	91	90	PNS	2
67	66	95.68	89	90	90	89	PNS	2
68	67	94.26	86	86	86	86	PNS	2
69	68	93.25	86	87	87	86	PNS	2
70	69	96.99	90	91	91	90	PNS	2
71	70	95.09	89	90	90	89	PNS	2
72	71	95.14	88	90	90	88	PNS	2
73	72	96.55	86	86	86	86	PNS	2
74	73	91.33	98	98	98	98	PNS	2
75	74	96.98	96	98	97	94	PNS	2
76	75	99.81	87	88	88	91	PNS	2
77	76	94.33	96	95	95	95	PNS	2
78	77	99.05	95	95	95	97	PNS	2
79	78	99.05	90	90	90	90	PNS	2
80	79	98.81	98	98	99,07	98	PNS	2
81	80	95.52	91	92	93	93	PNS	2
82	81	97.48	96	100	99,86	96	PNS	2
83	82	95.52	91	92	93	93	PNS	2
84	83	95.52	91	92	93	93	PNS	2
85	84	92.16	96	95	99,76	96	PNS	2
86	85	95	89	88	87	88	PNS	2
87	86	92.26	90	91	91	90	PNS	2
88	87	93.16	91	91	90	90	PNS	2

89	88	96.04	89	90	89	91	PNS	2
90	89	97	92	94,25	96,25	93,25	PNS	2
91	90	88.89	90	90	90	90	PNS	2
92	91	93.96	90	89	89	90	PNS	2
93	92	93.75	90	90	90	90	PNS	2
94	93	91.92	90	90	90	90	PNS	2
95	94	91.67	90	90	90	90	PNS	2
96	95	90.74	90,2	92	91	90	PNS	4
97	96	96.74	98	95	99,7	98	PNS	4
98	97	89.67	80,5	80,5	80,5	80,5	PNS	4
99	98	89.67	79	79	79	79	PNS	4
100	99	92.33	90	90	95	90	PNS	4
101	100	94.51	83	81	80	80	PNS	4
102	101	91.67	82	81	81	81	PNS	4
103	102	92	85	85	89	85	PNS	4
104	103	91.72	85	85	89	85	PNS	4
105	104	98.22	98	98	98	98	PNS	4
106	105	98.41	83	84	84	84	PNS	4
107	106	91.76	85	85	89	85	PNS	4
108	107	91.76	87	87	88	87	PNS	4
109	108	92.01	85	85	87	85	PNS	4
110	109	92.33	88	87	88	88	PNS	4
111	110	88.89	87	87	87	89	PNS	4
112	111	91.67	84	84	83	84	PNS	4
113	112	92.67	98	95	99,37	98	PNS	4
114	113	91.33	82	82	83	83	PNS	4
115	114	87	80	80	79	79	PNS	4
116	115	89,6	89	90	90	89	PNS	4
117	116	90.67	86	86	86	86	PNS	4
118	117	58.25	70,58	75,08	65	75	PNS	4
119	118	96.17	84	83	83	82	PNS	4
120	119	109.42	99	99	99	99	PNS	4
121	120	91.61	88	87	87	88	PNS	4
122	121	91.67	85	85	85	85	PNS	4
123	122	97.17	87,5	80,25	90,76	88,17	PNS	4

**LAMPIRAN III****KUESIONER PENELITIAN**

Nama Mahasiswa : Iqra Fatwa Alam

Universitas : Universitas Hasanuddin

Fakultas : Ekonomi dan Bisnis

Program Studi : Manajemen

Judul Skripsi : Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi dan *Cultural Fit* terhadap Kepuasan Gaya Supervisi di Badan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan

**FORMULIR PENGISIAN KUESIONER PENELITIAN SKRIPSI UNTUK RESPONDEN  
DI BADAN PENGEMBANGAN SUMBER DAYA MANUSIA PROVINSI SULAWESI**

**SELATAN**

**A. Identitas Diri Responden**

Nama : \_\_\_\_\_

Jenis Kelamin : \_\_\_\_\_

Usia : \_\_\_\_\_

Durasi lama bekerja di BPSDM Provinsi Sulsel : \_\_\_\_\_

(berdasarkan lama tahun dan bulan)

Bidang/divisi pekerjaan di BPSDM Provinsi Sulsel : \_\_\_\_\_

## B. Item Pernyataan

Jumlah item pernyataan: 13 item pernyataan.

Instruksi: Setiap opsi menandakan tingkat persetujuan Anda terhadap setiap item pernyataan.

1. Opsi 1 = sangat tidak setuju
2. Opsi 2 = tidak setuju
3. Opsi 3 = kurang setuju/netral
4. Opsi 4 = setuju
5. Opsi 5 = sangat setuju

Mohon melingkari opsi persetujuan di setiap item sesuai dengan yang Anda rasakan.

Contohnya sebagai berikut:

Saya melakukan pekerjaan sesuai dengan prosedur yang ditetapkan.

1	2	3	<b>4</b>	5
---	---	---	----------	---

Opsi yang dilingkar adalah opsi nomor 4. Dengan demikian, responden setuju bahwa diri responden menjalankan pekerjaannya berdasarkan prosedur. Akan tetapi, ada kalanya diri responden lput dan sesekali melakukan pekerjaan yang tidak berdasarkan prosedur dengan alasan tertentu.

### Pernyataan Kuesioner:

1. Saya melakukan pekerjaan sesuai dengan prosedur yang ditetapkan.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

2. Saya patuh terhadap segala peraturan yang berlaku.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

3. Saya mendapatkan dorongan dalam mengemukakan suatu ide baru dalam organisasi (BPSDM Provinsi Sulsel).

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

4. Saya menerima kegagalan.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

5. Saya menerima kritikan konstruktif.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

6. Saya merasakan keharmonisan interpersonal dalam lingkungan kerja.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

7. Saya dan rekan kerja saling mendukung dalam memecahkan masalah pekerjaan.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

8. Saya dan rekan kerja saling mendukung untuk memecahkan masalah di luar pekerjaan.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

9. Saya dan rekan kerja saling percaya satu sama lain.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

10. Saya mendapatkan bantuan dari sesama rekan kerja dalam menyelesaikan berbagai permasalahan.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

11. Kapan pun saya membutuhkan bantuan, seorang supervisor selalu ada untuk membantu.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

12. Supervisor tertentu akan selalu mendengarkan masalah saya dan membantu saya dalam menyelesaikan masalah tersebut.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

13. Sampai saat ini, saya sangat puas dengan pekerjaan saya.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

## LAMPIRAN IV

### HASIL PENGUJIAN SPSS

#### 4.1 Uji Validitas Variabel Budaya Organisasi ( $X_1$ ) dan Variabel Cultural Fit ( $X_2$ )

Correlations												
	Kesesuaian. Prosedur	Kepatuhan	Inovasi	Penerimaan. Kegagalan	Penerimaan. Kritikan. Konstruktif	Keharmonisa n. Interpersonal	Dukungan. Pekerjaan	Dukungan. Luar. Pekerjaan	Kepercayaan	Bantuan. Rekan.Kerja	Budaya Organisasi	
Kesesuaian.Prosedur	Pearson Correlation	1	.292*	.180	.217	.574**	.272*	.246	-.065	.109	-.061	.362**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.034	.196	.119	.000	.049	.076	.643	.437	.664	.008
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Kepatuhan	Pearson Correlation	.292*	1	.358**	.125	.291*	.101	.120	-.012	.146	-.047	.315*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.034		.009	.372	.035	.471	.390	.935	.298	.737	.022
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Inovasi	Pearson Correlation	.180	.358**	1	.137	.448**	.113	.387**	.165	.303*	.095	.476**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.196	.009		.330	.001	.418	.004	.239	.027	.500	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Penerimaan.Kegagalan	Pearson Correlation	.217	.125	.137	1	.521**	.295*	.368**	.477**	.368**	.498**	.716**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.119	.372	.330		.000	.032	.007	.000	.007	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Penerimaan.Kritikan. Konstruktif	Pearson Correlation	.574**	.291*	.448**	.521**	1	.398**	.529**	.395**	.483**	.352**	.782**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.035	.001	.000		.003	.000	.003	.000	.010	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Keharmonisan. Interpersonal	Pearson Correlation	.272*	.101	.113	.295*	.398**	1	.566**	.376**	.340*	.246	.588**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.049	.471	.418	.032	.003		.000	.005	.013	.076	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Dukungan.Pekerjaan	Pearson Correlation	.246	.120	.387**	.368**	.529**	.566**	1	.495**	.496**	.336*	.722**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.076	.390	.004	.007	.000	.000		.000	.000	.014	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Dukungan.Luar. Pekerjaan	Pearson Correlation	-.065	-.012	.165	.477**	.395**	.376**	.495**	1	.444**	.707**	.725**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.643	.935	.239	.000	.003	.005	.000		.001	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Kepercayaan	Pearson Correlation	.109	.146	.303*	.368**	.483**	.340*	.496**	.444**	1	.431**	.674**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.437	.298	.027	.007	.000	.013	.000	.001		.001	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Bantuan.Rekan.Kerja	Pearson Correlation	-.061	-.047	.095	.498**	.352**	.246	.336*	.707**	.431**	1	.674**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.664	.737	.500	.000	.010	.076	.014	.000	.001		.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
Budaya Organisasi	Pearson Correlation	.362**	.315*	.476**	.716**	.782**	.588**	.722**	.725**	.674**	.674**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.008	.022	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## 4.2 Uji Validitas Variabel Kepuasan Gaya Supervisi (Y)

<b>Correlations</b>					
		Keberadaan. Supervisor	Supervisor. yang.Solutif	Kepuasan. Kerja	Kepuasan Gaya Supervisi
Keberadaan.Supervisor	Pearson Correlation	1	.799**	.329*	.891**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.016	.000
	N	53	53	53	53
Supervisor.yang.Solutif	Pearson Correlation	.799**	1	.290*	.885**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.035	.000
	N	53	53	53	53
Kepuasan.Kerja	Pearson Correlation	.329*	.290*	1	.637**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.016	.035		.000
	N	53	53	53	53
Kepuasan Gaya Supervisi	Pearson Correlation	.891**	.885**	.637**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	N	53	53	53	53

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

## 4.3 Uji Reliabilitas Variabel Budaya Organisasi ( $X_1$ ) dan *Cultural Fit* ( $X_2$ )

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.808	10

## 4.4 Uji Reliabilitas Variabel Kepuasan Gaya Supervisi (Y)

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.738	3

#### 4.5 Uji Hipotesis Statistik F

**ANOVA<sup>a</sup>**

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	45.505	1	45.505	23.846	.000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	97.325	51	1.908		
	Total	142.830	52			

a. Dependent Variable: Kepuasan Gaya Supervisi

b. Predictors: (Constant), Budaya Organisasi

#### 4.6 Uji Hipotesis Analisis Regresi Sederhana

**Coefficients<sup>a</sup>**

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error			
1	(Constant)	2.542	1.958		1.298	.200
	Budaya.Organisasi	.234	.048	.564	4.883	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Kepuasan.Gaya.Supervisi

#### 4.7 Uji Hipotesis Independent Sample t-Test

**Group Statistics**

	Grouping	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Kepuasan.Gaya. Supervisi	1.00	25	4.0804	.48365	.09673
	2.00	28	3.9646	.61164	.11559

### Independent Samples Test

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances	t-test for Equality of Means						95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	
									Lower
Kepuasan.Gaya. Supervisi	Equal variances assumed	.382	.539	.758	51	.452	.11576	.15274	-.19088 .42240
	Equal variances not assumed			.768	50.304	.446	.11576	.15072	-.18693 .41845