5. Pada penelitian selanjutnnya dapat menguji pengaruh variabel lain yang kemungkinannya berpengaruh terhadap kinerja proyek misalnya resiko proyek.
6. Pada penelitian selanjutnya bisa menggunakan grand theory yang berbeda maupun teori-teori operasional lainnya dari variabel-variabel yang berbeda.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Anthi Katsirikou, Christos H. Skiadas, (2001) "Chaos in the library environment", Library Management, Vol. 22 Issue: 6/7, pp.278-287, https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000005596

AIPM IRC. (2004) Chaos and Complexity Theory in Project Management. Bibliography, March 16th

Aje, I. (2012). The impact of contractors' prequalification on construction project delivery in Nigeria. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *19*(2), 159-172.

AL-Tayeh, T. F. (2017). *The New Role of the Client in Adopting Innovation in Construction Projects* (Doctoral dissertation, The British University in Dubai (BUiD)).

Altindag, E., Cengiz, S., Ongel, V (2014) Chaos in the Blue Ocean: An Empirical Study Including Implication of Modern Management Theories in Turkey. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research,* 3(12), 15-25

Banidavoodi, S (2015) Validation of Total Fault Finding Model in Iran. *ternational Journal of Management and Humanity Sciences,* 4(1), 517-4526

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Bardhan, I. R., Krishnan, V. V., & Lin, S. (2007). Project performance and the enabling role of information technology: An exploratory study on the role of alignment. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, *9*(4), 579-595.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of management*, *17*(1), 99-120.

Barney, J. B. (2001). Resource-based theories of competitive advantage: A ten-year retrospective on the resource-based view. *Journal of management*, *27*(6), 643-650.

Barney, J. B., & Arikan, A. M. (2001). The resource-based view: Origins and implications. *Handbook of strategic management*, *124188*.

Becerra-Fernandez, I., & Leidner, D. E. (2008). *Knowledge management: An evolutionary view* (Vol. 12). ME Sharpe.

Belfo, F. P. (2012). Software Requirements Management through the Lenses of People, Organizational and Technological Dimensions. *International Journal of Web Portals (IJWP)*, *4*(3), 47-61.

Betz, U. A., Camacho, N., Gerards, M., & Stremersch, S. (2014). Grassroots innovation: a promising innovation paradigm for pharmaceutical companies. In *Innovation and Marketing in the Pharmaceutical Industry* (pp. 119-148). Springer New York.

Bheenick, K (2015) Overview of CTA's approach to Knowledge Management including the Knowledge Ecosystem Approach. GFRAS/AFAAS/MEAS/GIZ.

Binder, J. (2016). *Global project management: communication, collaboration and management across borders*. CRC Press.

Blalock, C. D. (1988). *Analysis of Schedule Determination in Software Program Development and Software Development Estimation Models* (No. AFIT/GCA/LSY/88S-2). Air Force Inst Of Tech Wright-Patterson AFB OH School Of Systems And Logistics.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Boccardelli, P., Grandi, A., Magnusson, M. G., & Oriani, R. (2005). The value of managerial learning in R&D. *SMS Book Series, Blackwell Publishing: Boston, US*.

Bøje Larsen, (2001) "The garbage can life cycle model of quality management", The TQM Magazine, Vol. 13 Issue: 2, pp.95-104, https://doi.org/10.1108/09544780110384015

Bojeun, M. C. (2013). *Program Management Leadership: Creating Successful Team Dynamics*. CRC Press.

Bourne, L., & Weaver, P. (2010). Mapping stakeholders. *Construction stakeholder management*, 99-120.

Botchkarev, A., & Finnigan, P. (2014). Complexity in the Context of Systems Approach to Project Management. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.1027*.

Brechner, E. (2015). *Agile Project Management with Kanban*. Pearson Education.

Bredillet, C. N. (2008). Exploring research in project management: Nine schools of project management research (part 4). *Project Management Journal*, *39*(1), 2-6.

Brentani, U., & Kleinschmidt, E. J. (2015). The Impact of Company Resources and Capabilities on Global New Product Program Performance.*Project Management Journal*, *46*(1), 12-29.

Brethenaux, J., Dioh, S., Drago, N., Giddings, S., Olafsen, E., Thaller, J (2011). *The Agribusiness Innovation Center of Senegal*. World Bank dan InfoDev.

BSI (2015) ISO 9001 Whitepaper: The Importance of Risk in Quality Management.

Byrne, B.M., (1998). *Structural Equation Modeling with LISREL, PRELIS, and SIMPLIS: Basis Concepts, Application, and Programming*. awrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ

y, R. L. (1999). *The Realities of Management: A View from the renches*. Greenwood Publishing Group.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Carol Yeh-Yun Lin, Mavis Yi-Ching Chen, (2007) "Does innovation lead to performance? An empirical study of SMEs in Taiwan", Management Research News, Vol. 30 Issue: 2, pp.115-132, https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170710722955

Chandra, S (2015) Knowledge Management. Indian Institute of Geomagnetism.

Christodoulou, A. (2010). Factors of success for the effective implementation of lean manufacturing projects within the banking sector in South Africa.

Ciancanelli, P., Coulson, A. B., & Thomson, I. (2001, July). No accounting for risk. In *Third Asia Pacific Interdisciplinary Perspectives in Accounting Conference* (pp. 1-29).

Collyer, S., Warren, C., Hemsley, B., & Stevens, C. (2010). Aim, fire, aim—Project planning styles in dynamic environments. *Project Management Journal*, *41*(4), 108-121.

Construction Management and Economics (2008) Editorial, *Construction Management and Economics*, 26:3, 203-204

Cunha, M. P., Clegg, S., & Rego, A. (2012). Surprising organization. *Handbook of Organizational and Managerial Innovation, Cheltenham/Northampton*, 295-316.

Curlee, W., & Gordon, R. L. (2010). *Complexity theory and project management*. John Wiley & Sons.

Curtis, D & Yeow Poon (2009) Why a managerialist pursuit will not necessarily lead to achievement of MDGs, *Development in Practice*, 19:7, 837-848

Curtis, D & Yeow Poon (2009) Why a managerialist pursuit will not necessarily lead to achievement of MDGs, *Development in Practice*, 19:7, 837-848

M. (1997). Planning in Higher Education and Chaos Theory: A odel, a Method.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Dao, B., Kermanshachi, S., Shane, J., & Anderson, S. (2016). Project Complexity Assessment and Management Tool. *Procedia Engineering*, *145*, 491-496.

Davenport, T. H., Leibold, M., & Voelpel, S. C. (2007). *Strategic Management in the Innovation Economy: Strategic Approaches and Tools for Dynamic Innovation Capabilities*. John Wiley & Sons.

Dehkordi, S., Naderifar, M., Barkhordar, M., Nemati Jalalodini, A., & Ghaljaei, F. (2016). The role of quantum skills in conflict resolution in educational organizations: A review article. *Journal of Advances in Medical Education*, *1*(2), 16-24.

Deysher, B (2015) A “Risk Based Thinking” Model for ISO 9001:2015.

Dulam, R. V. (2011). *A multi-dimensional approach to deal with complex project management* (Doctoral dissertation, TU Delft, Delft University of Technology).

Eichhorn, B. R., & Tukel, O. I. (2015). A Review of User Involvement in Information System Projects. *International Journal of Information Technology Project Management (IJITPM)*, *6*(1), 26-53.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of management review*, *14*(4), 532-550.

Erçetin, Ş. Ş., & Banerjee, S. (Eds.). (2015). *Chaos, Complexity and Leadership 2013*. Springer.

Erçetin, Ş. Ş., & Banerjee, S. (Eds.). (2015). *Chaos, Complexity and Leadership 2013*. Springer.

Farazmand, A. (Ed.). (2001). *Handbook of crisis and emergency management*. CRC Press.

Farazmand, A. (Ed.). (2001). *Handbook of crisis and emergency management*. CRC Press.

Farazmand, A. (Ed.). (2016). *Global Cases in Best and Worst Practice in Crisis and Emergency Management*. CRC Press.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Fistis, G., Rozman, T., Luminosu, C., Szilagy, A., Churican, A., Zwolinski, P., Zhang, F., et al (2015) *Leadership in Sustainability: Guide.* Timisoara.

Gao, Y., Freeh, V., & Madey, G. (2003). Conceptual framework for agent-based modeling and simulation. *NAACSOS, Pittsburgh*.

Girard, J., & Girard, J. (2015). Defining knowledge management: Toward an applied compendium. *Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management*, *3*(1), 1-20.

Gordon, R. S. (2005). *The accidental library manager*. Information Today, Inc..

Gurau, M. A., & Melnic, L. V. (2014). Management of Risk in Industrial Projects Evaluation.

Hamel, G., & Breen, B. (2013). *The future of management*. Harvard Business Press.

Hass, K.B. and L. Lindbergh (2010) The Bottom Line on Project Complexity: Applying a New Complexity Model. Presented at the PMI GlobalCongress," Washington, DC: Project Management Institute.

Hertogh, M., & Westerveld, E. (2010). Playing with Complexity. Management and organisation of large infrastructure projects.

Humphreys, P., & Jones, G. (2006). The evolution of group decision support systems to enable collaborative authoring of outcomes. *World Futures*, *62*(3), 193-222.

Hutchins, G (2015) Risk Management and ISO 9001:2015. CERM Academy

ICCPM. (2012). Complex Project Management Global Perspectives and the Strategic Agenda to 2025. *The task force report. ICPM*.

Jayram, S. V. (2001). *The successful implementation of project anagement in a FMCG Industry by means of a contemporary ystems approach* (Doctoral dissertation, University of ohannesburg).

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Jugdev, K. (2004). Through the Looking Glass: Examining Theory Development in Project Management with the Resource-Based View Lens. Project Management Institute.

Jugdev, K., & Thomas, J. (2002). Project management maturity models: The silver bullets of competitive advantage. Project Management Institute.

John Bowers, Alireza Khorakian, (2014) "Integrating risk management in the innovation project", European Journal of Innovation Management, Vol. 17 Issue: 1, pp.25-40, https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-01-2013-0010

Karessa Cullen, David W. Parker, (2015) "Improving performance in project-based management: synthesizing strategic theories", International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, Vol. 64 Issue: 5, pp.608-624, https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-02-2014-0031

Kappelman, L. A., McKeeman, R., & Zhang, L. (2006). Early warning signs of IT project failure: The dominant dozen. *Information systems management*, *23*(4), 31-36

Kerzner, H. R. (2011). *Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: a guide to measuring and monitoring project performance*. John Wiley & Sons.

Kiiras, J. (2001). Project management in chaos.

Kinnaman, M. L., & Bleich, M. R. (2004). Collaboration: Aligning resources to create and sustain partnerships. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, *20*(5), 310-322.

Kinnaman, M. L., & Bleich, M. R. (2004). Collaboration: Aligning resources to create and sustain partnerships. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, *20*(5), 310-322.

, G. N., & Were, S. (2015). Influence Of Project Management oftware Technology On The Performance Of Construction rojects In Nairobi County.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Kline, R.B., (2004). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*, second ed. The Guilford Press, New York, NY

Lam, K. C., Ng, S., Hu, T., Skitmore, M., & Cheung, S. O. (2000). Decision support system for contractor pre-qualification—artificial neural network model. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *7*(3), 251-266.

Larasati, D. (2011). Development of contractor quality assuarance system in Indonesia construction procurement. Disertasi. Kochi University

Laufer, A. (2012). *Mastering the Leadership Role in Project Management Practices that Deliver Remarkable Results*. FT Press.

Lee, L., Reinicke, B., Sarkar, R., & Anderson, R. (2015). Learning Through Interactions: Improving Project Management Through Communities of Practice.*Project Management Journal*, *46*(1), 40-52.

Mahsun, Mohamad, 2009, *Pengukuran Kinerja Sektor Publik*. Yogyakarta : BPFE Yogyakarta

Maina, G. N. (2015). *An investigation into the impact of failure in the planning phase of mega infrastructure projects (case study: road safety on Thika superhighway)* (Doctoral dissertation, University Of Nairobi).

Manzanera, I. (1994). Planning and scheduling for success.

Mathieu, J. E., D'Innocenzo, L., & Kukenberger, M. R. (2015). Contextual Issues In Project Performance. *The Psychology and Management of Project Teams*.

Marina Godinho Antunes, Joaquín Texeira Quirós, Maria do Rosário Fernandes Justino, (2017) "The relationship between innovation and total quality management and the innovation effects on organizational performance", International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, Vol. 34 Issue: 9, pp.1474-1492, https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-02-2016-0025

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

McCune, M. (2012). “Putting Up” Stories for the Future: The Southeast Kansas Oral History Project. *Kansas Library Association College and University Libraries Section Proceedings*, *2*(1), 29-34.

McKelvey, B., & Andriani, P. (2010). Avoiding extreme risk before it occurs: A complexity science approach to incubation. *Risk Management*, *12*(1), 54-82.

Meyer, A., Loch, C. H., & Pich, M. T. (2002). Managing project uncertainty: from variation to chaos. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *43*(2), 60.

Ming-Feng Su, Kuo-Chih Cheng, Shao-Hsi Chung, Der-Fa Chen, (2018) "Innovation capability configuration and its influence on the relationship between perceived innovation requirement and organizational performance: Evidence from IT manufacturing companies", Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, Vol. 29 Issue: 8, pp.1316-1331, https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-03-2018-0097

Min, J. W. (2005). Preference for long-term care arrangement and its correlates for older Korean Americans. *Journal of Aging and Health*, *17*(3), 363-395

Mollaoglu, S., Sparkling, A., & Thomas, S. (2015). An Inquiry to Move an Underutilized Best Practice Forward: Barriers to Partnering in the Architecture, Engineering, and Construction Industry. *Project Management Journal*, *46*(1), 69-83.

Na, K. S., Li, X., Simpson, J. T., & Kim, K. Y. (2004). Uncertainty profile and software project performance: A cross-national comparison. *Journal of Systems and Software*, *70*(1), 155-163.

Navas, H. V., Tenera, A. M., & Machado, V. A. C. (2015). Integrating TRIZ in project management processes: an ARIZ contribution. *Procedia Engineering*, *131*, 224-231.

cu, O. (2014). Using a decision-making process model in strategic anagement. *Review of General Management*, *17*(1), 111-23.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Nejad, K. (2007). *Curvilinearity in Architecture: Emotional Effect of Curvilinear Forms in Interior Design* (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University).

Oakes, H & Steve Oakes (2015) An analysis of business phenomena and austerity narratives in the arts sector from a new materialist perspective, *Accounting and Business Research*, 45:6-7, 738-764

Othman, M., Zain, A. M., & Hamdan, A. R. (2010). A Review on Project Management and Issues Surrounding Dynamic Development Environment of ICT project: Formation of Research Area. *JDCTA*, *4*(1), 96-105.

Othman, M., Zain, A. M., & Hamdan, A. R. (2010). A Review on Project Management and Issues Surrounding Dynamic Development Environment of ICT project: Formation of Research Area. *JDCTA*, *4*(1), 96-105.

Othman, M., Zain, A. M., & Hamdan, A. R. (2010). A Review on Project Management and Issues Surrounding Dynamic Development Environment of ICT project: Formation of Research Area. *JDCTA*, *4*(1), 96-105.

Ozorhon, B., Arditi, (2012) Effective Management of Strategic Alliances in International Construction. In D. Das, T. K. (Ed.). *Management dynamics in strategic alliances*. IAP.

Paraskevas, A. (2006). Crisis management or crisis response system? A complexity science approach to organizational crises. *Management Decision*, *44*(7), 892-907.

Patanakul, P., Iewwongcharoen, B., & Milosevic, D. (2010). An empirical study on the use of project management tools and techniques across project life-cycle and their impact on project success. *Journal of General Management*, *35*(3), 41-66.

n, H. M. (2014). e-novation: a platform for innovation in the digital conomy. In *Handbook of Strategic e-Business Management* (pp. 85-819). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Perry, C. (1998). A structured approach for presenting theses. *Australasian marketing journal (AMJ)*, *6*(1), 63-85.

Peteraf, M. A. (1993). The cornerstones of competitive advantage: A resource-based view. *Strategic management journal*, *14*(3), 179-191.

PMBOK Guide. 2008. *A Guide to The Project management Body of Knowledge,* Fourth Edition. America: Project Management Institute.

Rais, A. A. (2016). Interface-based software integration. *Journal of Systems Integration*, *7*(3), 79.

Parnell, G.S., Driscoll, P.J (2011) Introduction. Dalam Parnell, G. S., Driscoll, P. J., & Henderson, D. L. (Eds). *Decision making in systems engineering and management* (Vol. 81). John Wiley & Sons

Rennung, F., Luminosu, C., Draghici, A., & Paschek, D. An Evaluation Of Strategic Methods Of Complexity Management To Manage Large Outsourcing Projects Successfully.

Rennung, F.M (2016) Managing Complexity In Service Processes. The Case Of Large Business Organizations**.** PhD Thesis. Politehnica University Timisoara.

Richard Wertheimer, Mario Zinga, (1998) "Applying Chaos Theory to school reform", Internet Research, Vol. 8 Issue: 2, pp.101-114, https://doi.org/10.1108/10662249810211485

Richard D. Wilding, (1998) "Chaos Theory: Implications for Supply Chain Management", The International Journal of Logistics Management, Vol. 9 Issue: 1, pp.43-56, https://doi.org/10.1108/09574099810805735

Robertson, 2002, *Performance Measurement*, Jogyakarta.

Robertson, Gordon, 2002. *Reviu Kinerja*. Lokakarya Reviu Kinerja. BPKP dan Executive Education

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Robert U. Ayres, Wilbur A. Steger, (1985) "REJUVENATING THE LIFE CYCLE CONCEPT", Journal of Business Strategy, Vol. 6 Issue: 1, pp.66-76, https://doi.org/10.1108/eb039101

Saynisch, M (2009) Mastering Complex Projects by Radical Rethinking of PM. ICCPM

Serrador, P., & Turner, R. (2015). The Relationship Between Project Success and Project Efficiency. *Project Management Journal*, *46*(1), 30-39.

Shenoy, S. Knowledge Required to Manage Projects. http://www.pmexamsmartnotes.com/project-management-knowledge-areas/

Shia, K., & Omar, N. Evaluation of the Agile Methodology FDD using CMMI Expert System.

Smith, A. D. (2011). Chaos Theory and recessional business environments: case studies of operational health of service and manufacturing organisations. *International Journal of Services and Operations Management*, *8*(2), 191-221.

Standish Group. (1995). The Standish Group Report. *Chaos report*.

Steiss, A. W. (Ed.). (2003). *Strategic management for public and nonprofit organizations*. CRC Press.

Straw, G. (2015). *Understanding Project Management: Skills and Insights for Successful Project Delivery*. Kogan Page Publishers.

Stroie, E. R., & Rusu, A. C. (2011). Security Risk Management-Approaches and Methodology. *Informatica Economica*, *15*(1), 228.

Sullivan, P. H. (1998). *Profiting from intellectual capital: extracting value from innovation*. John Wiley & Sons.

Ted Leemann, (2002) "Project Management: Managing the Chaos of Change", Journal of Business Strategy, Vol. 23 Issue: 5, pp.11-15, https://doi.org/10.1108/eb040268

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Thomas, J., & Mengel, T. (2008). Preparing project managers to deal with complexity–Advanced project management education. *International Journal of Project Management*, *26*(3), 304-315.

Thompson II, R. M. (1998). Efforts to Manage Disputes in the Construction Industry: A Comparison of the New Engineering Contract and the Dispute Review Board.

Tresselt, C. H. (2015). *The management of complexity in project management–a qualitative and quantitative case study of certified project managers in Germany* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Gloucestershire).

Urabe, K., Child, J., & Kagono, T. (1988). *Innovation and management: International comparisons* (Vol. 13). Walter de Gruyter.

Ural, S. S., & Açıkalın, Ş. N. (2015). Protection of Fundamental Rights and Freedoms as a Universal Problem and Chaos Theory. In *Chaos, Complexity and Leadership 2013* (pp. 197-212). Springer International Publishing.

Vale (2015) Fasilitas Baru Coal Transport. *Halo Vale 12*, 36-37

Vale (2015b) Konversi ke Batubara. *Halo Vale 14,* 21

Vidal, L. A. (2009). *Thinking project management in the age of complexity. Particular implications on project risk management* (Doctoral dissertation, Ecole Centrale Paris).

Walker, A. (2015). *Project management in construction*. John Wiley & Sons.

Wettstein, G. (2012). Why federal reform succeeded in Switzerland. *Changing Federal Constitutions. Lessons from International Comparison, eds. A. Benz, F. Knüpling, Budrich Verlag, Opladen–Berlin–Toronto*.

Witzel, M. (2005). *Encyclopedia of History of American Management*. A&C lack.

., Cavana, R. Y., Roberts, R., & Packham, R. (2006). Working at e interface between systems and complexity thinking: insights

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

from a market access design project for poor livestock producers. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, *23*(6), 727-741.

Woś, J. (2013). The application of Edge of Chaos in Scenario Planning practices for ICT sector.

Wysocki, R. K. (2011). *Effective project management: traditional, agile, extreme*. John Wiley & Sons.

Xia, Bo & Chan, Albert (2011) Measuring complexity for building projects : a Delphi study. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(1), pp. 7-24.

Zou, P. X., Zhang, G., & Wang, J. (2007). Understanding the key risks in construction projects in China. *International Journal of Project Management*, *25*(6), 601-614.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

LAMPIRAN

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com



Uji Model
Uji Statistical Meansure
Chi-square=132.802
Signifikansi=.000
Uji Non Statistical
GFI=.866
CFI=.849
TLI=.773
RMSEA=.185

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
MC7	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.000				
MC6	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.175	.139	8.427	***	
MC5	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.133	.143	7.897	***	
MC4	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.857	.131	6.550	***	
MC3	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.941	.139	6.775	***	
MC2	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.273	.140	9.067	***	
MC1	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.370	.150	9.152	***	

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
MC7	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.556
MC6	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.735
MC5	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.661
MC4	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.506
MC3	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.529
MC2	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.845
MC1	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.863

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Manajemen_Chaos	.365	.080	4.543	***	
e7	.814	.077	10.566	***	
e6	.428	.045	9.573	***	
e5	.603	.060	10.124	***	
e4	.780	.073	10.706	***	
e3	.831	.078	10.646	***	
e2	.238	.031	7.710	***	
e1	.235	.033	7.149	***	

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
MC1	.745
MC2	.713
MC3	.280
MC4	.256

MC5	.437
MC6	.541
MC7	.310

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

Implied (for all variables) Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7
Manajemen_Chaos	.365							
MC1	.500	.920						
MC2	.465	.637	.830					
MC3	.343	.470	.437	1.154				
MC4	.313	.429	.398	.294	1.048			
MC5	.414	.567	.527	.389	.354	1.072		
MC6	.429	.588	.546	.403	.368	.486	.932	
MC7	.365	.500	.465	.343	.313	.414	.429	1.179

Implied (for all variables) Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7
Manajemen_Chaos	1.000							
MC1	.863	1.000						
MC2	.845	.729	1.000					
MC3	.529	.457	.447	1.000				
MC4	.506	.436	.427	.267	1.000			
MC5	.661	.571	.558	.350	.334	1.000		
MC6	.735	.635	.621	.389	.372	.486	1.000	
MC7	.556	.480	.470	.294	.281	.368	.409	1.000

Implied Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7
MC1	.920						
MC2	.637	.830					
MC3	.470	.437	1.154				
MC4	.429	.398	.294	1.048			
MC5	.567	.527	.389	.354	1.072		
MC6	.588	.546	.403	.368	.486	.932	
MC7	.500	.465	.343	.313	.414	.429	1.179

Implied Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7
MC1	1.000						
MC2	.729	1.000					

MC3	.457	.447	1.000				
MC4	.436	.427	.267	1.000			
MC5	.571	.558	.350	.334	1.000		
MC6	.635	.621	.389	.372	.486	1.000	
MC7	.480	.470	.294	.281	.368	.409	1.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7
MC1	.000						
MC2	.045	.000					
MC3	-.055	-.050	.000				
MC4	-.064	-.034	.153	.000			
MC5	-.018	-.019	.189	-.034	.000		
MC6	.002	-.021	-.049	-.001	.033	.000	
MC7	-.066	-.062	.160	.370	-.046	.077	.000

Standardized Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7
MC1	.000						
MC2	.658	.000					
MC3	-.765	-.731	.000				
MC4	-.948	-.535	2.114	.000			
MC5	-.248	-.284	2.533	-.484	.000		
MC6	.030	-.315	-.691	-.022	.462	.000	
MC7	-.895	-.891	2.082	5.062	-.608	1.069	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	14	132.802	14	.000	9.486
Saturated model	28	.000	0		
Independence model	7	806.782	21	.000	38.418

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	.096	.866	.732	.433
Saturated model	.000	1.000		
Independence model	.417	.418	.224	.314

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	.835	.753	.850	.773	.849
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	.667	.557	.566
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.000	.000	.000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	118.802	85.566	159.506
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	785.782	696.613	882.353

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	.533	.477	.344	.641
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	3.240	3.156	2.798	3.544

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Default model	.185	.157	.214	.000
Independence model	.388	.365	.411	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	160.802	161.732	210.103	224.103
Saturated model	56.000	57.859	154.601	182.601
Independence model	820.782	821.247	845.433	852.433

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	.646	.512	.809	.650
Saturated model	.225	.225	.225	.232
Independence model	3.296	2.938	3.684	3.298

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model	45	55
Independence model	11	13



Uji Model
Uji Statistical Meansure
Chi-square=103.189
Signifikansi=.000
Uji Non Statistical
GFI=.863
CFI=.869
TLI=.738
RMSEA=.281

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
PL5	<---	Project_Lifecycle	1.000				
PL4	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.967	.062	15.634	***	
PL3	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.907	.060	15.157	***	
PL2	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.735	.060	12.252	***	
PL1	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.778	.068	11.412	***	

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
PL5	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.839
PL4	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.847
PL3	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.827
PL2	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.708
PL1	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.670

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Project_Lifecycle	.828	.105	7.881	***	
e12	.348	.043	8.042	***	
e11	.305	.039	7.832	***	
e10	.314	.038	8.323	***	
e9	.445	.045	9.874	***	
e8	.614	.061	10.119	***	

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
PL1	.449
PL2	.501
PL3	.684
PL4	.717
PL5	.704

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

Implied (for all variables) Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Project_Lifecycle	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5

Project_Lifecycle	.828					
PL1	.644	1.116				
PL2	.609	.473	.892			
PL3	.751	.585	.552	.996		
PL4	.801	.623	.589	.727	1.080	
PL5	.828	.644	.609	.751	.801	1.176

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Implied (for all variables) Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Project_Lifecycle	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
Project_Lifecycle	1.000					
PL1	.670	1.000				
PL2	.708	.475	1.000			
PL3	.827	.555	.586	1.000		
PL4	.847	.568	.600	.701	1.000	
PL5	.839	.562	.594	.694	.711	1.000

Implied Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
PL1	1.116				
PL2	.473	.892			
PL3	.585	.552	.996		
PL4	.623	.589	.727	1.080	
PL5	.644	.609	.751	.801	1.176

Implied Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
PL1	1.000				
PL2	.475	1.000			
PL3	.555	.586	1.000		
PL4	.568	.600	.701	1.000	
PL5	.562	.594	.694	.711	1.000

Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
PL1	.000				
PL2	.274	.000			
PL3	-.024	-.005	.000		
PL4	-.090	-.054	.021	.000	
PL5	-.034	-.055	-.009	.050	.000

Standardized Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
PL1	.000				
PL2	3.913	.000			
PL3	-.318	-.075	.000		
PL4	-1.127	-.751	.256	.000	
PL5	-.403	-.734	-.107	.576	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	10	103.189	5	.000	20.638
Saturated model	15	.000	0		
Independence model	5	758.800	10	.000	75.880

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	.079	.863	.589	.288
Saturated model	.000	1.000		
Independence model	.533	.394	.091	.263

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	.864	.728	.870	.738	.869
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	.500	.432	.434
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.000	.000	.000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	98.189	68.813	134.997
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	748.800	662.138	842.858

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	.414	.394	.276	.542
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	3.047	3.007	2.659	3.385

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Default model	.281	.235	.329	.000
Independence model	.548	.516	.582	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	123.189	123.683	158.404	168.404
Saturated model	30.000	30.741	82.822	97.822
Independence model	768.800	769.047	786.408	791.408

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	.495	.377	.643	.497
Saturated model	.120	.120	.120	.123
Independence model	3.088	2.740	3.465	3.089

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model	27	37
Independence model	7	8



Uji Model
Uji Statistical Meansure
Chi-square=.000
Signifikansi=\P
Uji Non Statistical
GFI=1.000
CFI=1.000
TLI=\TLI
RMSEA=\RMSEA

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
IP3	<---	Inovasi	1.000				
IP2	<---	Inovasi	1.800	.222	8.113	***	par_1
IP1	<---	Inovasi	1.331	.148	8.989	***	par_2

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
IP3	<---	Inovasi	.568
IP2	<---	Inovasi	.958
IP1	<---	Inovasi	.760

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Inovasi	.345	.076	4.524	***	par_3
e15	.723	.071	10.170	***	par_4
e14	.101	.095	1.062	.288	par_5
e13	.447	.066	6.829	***	par_6

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
IP1	.577
IP2	.917
IP3	.323

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

Implied (for all variables) Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi	IP1	IP2	IP3
Inovasi	.345			
IP1	.459	1.059		
IP2	.621	.827	1.219	
IP3	.345	.459	.621	1.068

Implied (for all variables) Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi	IP1	IP2	IP3

Inovasi	1.000			
IP1	.760	1.000		
IP2	.958	.728	1.000	
IP3	.568	.432	.544	1.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Implied Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	IP1	IP2	IP3
IP1	1.059		
IP2	.827	1.219	
IP3	.459	.621	1.068

Implied Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	IP1	IP2	IP3
IP1	1.000		
IP2	.728	1.000	
IP3	.432	.544	1.000

Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	IP1	IP2	IP3
IP1	.000		
IP2	.000	.000	
IP3	.000	.000	.000

Standardized Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	IP1	IP2	IP3
IP1	.000		
IP2	.000	.000	
IP3	.000	.000	.000

Factor Score Weights (Group number 1 - Default model)

	IP1	IP2	IP3
Inovasi	.074	.442	.034

Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi
IP1	1.331
IP2	1.800
IP3	1.000

Standardized Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi
IP1	.760
IP2	.958
IP3	.568

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi
IP1	1.331
IP2	1.800
IP3	1.000

Standardized Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi
IP1	.760
IP2	.958
IP3	.568

Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi
IP1	.000
IP2	.000
IP3	.000

Standardized Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Inovasi
IP1	.000
IP2	.000
IP3	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	6	.000	0		
Saturated model	6	.000	0		
Independence model	3	276.281	3	.000	92.094

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	.000	1.000		
Saturated model	.000	1.000		
Independence model	.462	.597	.194	.298

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	.000	.000	.000
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.000	.000	.000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	.000	.000	.000
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	273.281	222.402	331.570

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.110	1.098	.893	1.332

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Independence model	.605	.546	.666	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	12.000	12.196	33.129	39.129
Saturated model	12.000	12.196	33.129	39.129
Independence model	282.281	282.379	292.845	295.845

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	.048	.048	.048	.049
Saturated model	.048	.048	.048	.049
Independence model	1.134	.929	1.368	1.134

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model		
Independence model	8	11



Uji Model
Uji Statistical Meansure
Chi-square=51.038
Signifikansi=.000
Uji Non Statistical
GFI=.914
CFI=.874
TLI=.621
RMSEA=.314

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
KP4	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.000				
KP3	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.116	.174	6.421	***	
KP2	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.767	.233	7.570	***	
KP1	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.615	.213	7.580	***	

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
KP4	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.487
KP3	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.572
KP2	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.910
KP1	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.818

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Kinerja_Proyek	.281	.073	3.848	***	
e19	.905	.085	10.668	***	
e18	.719	.069	10.356	***	
e17	.183	.057	3.220	.001	
e16	.362	.056	6.444	***	

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
KP1	.669
KP2	.828
KP3	.327
KP4	.237

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

Implied (for all variables) Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Kinerja_Proyek	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4
Kinerja_Proyek	.281				
KP1	.454	1.096			
KP2	.497	.803	1.061		
KP3	.314	.507	.554	1.069	

KP4	.281	.454	.497	.314	1.186

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Implied (for all variables) Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Kinerja_Proyek	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4
Kinerja_Proyek	1.000				
KP1	.818	1.000			
KP2	.910	.744	1.000		
KP3	.572	.468	.521	1.000	
KP4	.487	.398	.443	.279	1.000

Implied Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4
KP1	1.096			
KP2	.803	1.061		
KP3	.507	.554	1.069	
KP4	.454	.497	.314	1.186

Implied Correlations (Group number 1 - Default model)

	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4
KP1	1.000			
KP2	.744	1.000		
KP3	.468	.521	1.000	
KP4	.398	.443	.279	1.000

Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4
KP1	.000			
KP2	.012	.000		
KP3	-.073	-.002	.000	
KP4	-.006	-.047	.313	.000

Standardized Residual Covariances (Group number 1 - Default model)

	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4
KP1	.000			
KP2	.146	.000		
KP3	-.965	-.027	.000	
KP4	-.081	-.609	4.226	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	8	51.038	2	.000	25.519
Saturated model	10	.000	0		
Independence model	4	394.163	6	.000	65.694

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	.103	.914	.572	.183
Saturated model	.000	1.000		
Independence model	.442	.552	.253	.331

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	.871	.612	.875	.621	.874
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	.333	.290	.291
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.000	.000	.000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	49.038	29.363	76.135
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	388.163	326.758	456.976

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	.205	.197	.118	.306
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.583	1.559	1.312	1.835

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Default model	.314	.243	.391	.000
Independence model	.510	.468	.553	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	67.038	67.365	95.209	103.209
Saturated model	20.000	20.410	55.215	65.215
Independence model	402.163	402.326	416.248	420.248

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	.269	.190	.378	.271
Saturated model	.080	.080	.080	.082
Independence model	1.615	1.369	1.891	1.616

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model	30	45
Independence model	8	11


Goodness of Fit
Chi-Square=1047.018
Signifikansi=.000
CMIN/DF=7.171
GFI=.609
TLI=.690
CFI=.735
RMSEA=.157
AGFI=.491
Manajemen Chaos
Project_Lifecycle
Inovasi
Kinerja Proyek
MC1
MC2
MC3
MC4
MC5
MC6
MC7
PL1
PL2
PL3
PL4
PL5
IP1
IP2
IP3
KP1
KP2
KP3
KP4
e1
e2
e3
e4
e5
e6
e7
e8
e9
e10
e11
e12
e13
e14
e15
e16
e17
e18
e19
z1
z2
z3
.66
.81
.78
.60
.57
.73
.74
.78
.63
.66
.48
.80
.81
.69
.78
-.12
.91
.99
.82
1.18
-.36
.60
.83
.89
.79
.59
.34
.50
.25
Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Parameter Summary (Group number 1)

	Weights	Covariances	Variances	Means	Intercepts	Total
Fixed	26	0	0	0	0	26
Labeled	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unlabeled	21	0	23	0	0	44
Total	47	0	23	0	0	70

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Sample Moments (Group number 1)

Sample Covariances (Group number 1)

	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4	IP3	IP2	IP1	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
KP1	1.096																		
KP2	.815	1.061																	
KP3	.434	.552	1.069																
KP4	.448	.450	.627	1.186															
IP3	.516	.370	.414	.386	1.068														
IP2	.355	.410	.619	.559	.621	1.219													
IP1	.344	.396	.346	.446	.459	.827	1.059												
MC1	.478	.437	.221	.205	.478	.379	.463	.920											
MC2	.442	.440	.184	.155	.416	.296	.359	.682	.830										
MC3	.327	.357	.661	.440	.542	.715	.556	.416	.388	1.154									
MC4	.434	.450	.298	.285	.255	.613	.619	.364	.364	.447	1.048								
MC5	.472	.442	.487	.268	.664	.506	.367	.549	.507	.578	.320	1.072							
MC6	.409	.427	.121	.143	.463	.354	.394	.590	.525	.355	.366	.518	.932						
MC7	.344	.396	.302	.306	.311	.699	.707	.435	.403	.504	.683	.367	.506	1.179					
PL1	.400	.386	.482	.426	.568	.745	.659	.570	.472	.630	.623	.508	.443	.615	1.116				
PL2	.382	.459	.532	.457	.543	.710	.560	.378	.342	.616	.518	.484	.337	.540	.747	.892			
PL3	.588	.565	.423	.326	.632	.486	.428	.536	.442	.473	.335	.620	.490	.384	.560	.547	.996		
PL4	.604	.619	.349	.350	.585	.497	.526	.578	.524	.411	.455	.657	.547	.446	.533	.534	.747	1.080	
PL5	.593	.625	.348	.339	.703	.596	.681	.679	.601	.481	.454	.618	.654	.517	.611	.553	.742	.852	1.176

Condition number = 71.706

Eigenvalues
9.905 1.974 1.562 1.180 .786 .650 .568 .487 .466 .419 .373 .340 .278 .245 .238 .209 .173 .161 .138

Determinant of sample covariance matrix = .000

Sample Correlations (Group number 1)

	KP1	KP2	KP3	KP4	IP3	IP2	IP1	MC1	MC2	MC3	MC4	MC5	MC6	MC7	PL1	PL2	PL3	PL4	PL5
KP1	1.000																		
KP2	.756	1.000																	
KP3	.401	.519	1.000																
KP4	.393	.401	.557	1.000															
IP3	.477	.347	.388	.343	1.000														
IP2	.307	.361	.542	.465	.544	1.000													
IP1	.319	.373	.325	.398	.432	.728	1.000												
MC1	.476	.442	.223	.196	.482	.357	.469	1.000											
MC2	.463	.469	.196	.156	.442	.295	.383	.780	1.000										
MC3	.291	.323	.596	.376	.488	.603	.503	.403	.396	1.000									
MC4	.405	.426	.281	.256	.241	.542	.588	.371	.390	.406	1.000								
MC5	.435	.415	.455	.238	.620	.442	.345	.553	.538	.520	.302	1.000							
MC6	.405	.430	.121	.136	.464	.333	.396	.637	.598	.342	.370	.519	1.000						
MC7	.302	.354	.269	.259	.277	.583	.633	.417	.408	.432	.615	.327	.482	1.000					
PL1	.362	.354	.442	.370	.520	.639	.607	.562	.491	.555	.576	.464	.435	.537	1.000				
PL2	.386	.472	.545	.444	.557	.681	.576	.417	.398	.607	.536	.495	.369	.526	.749	1.000			
PL3	.563	.550	.410	.300	.613	.441	.417	.560	.486	.442	.328	.600	.509	.355	.532	.580	1.000		
PL4	.556	.579	.325	.309	.545	.433	.492	.580	.554	.368	.427	.611	.545	.395	.486	.544	.720	1.000	
PL5	.522	.560	.311	.287	.627	.498	.610	.652	.608	.413	.409	.551	.625	.439	.533	.540	.686	.755	1.000

Condition number = 69.311

Eigenvalues
9.360 1.875 1.438 1.088 .739 .620 .539 .454 .437 .395 .340 .324 .286 .230 .220 .195 .173 .154 .135

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Project_Lifecycle	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.238	.128	9.691	***	
Inovasi	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.149	.223	-.665	.506	
Inovasi	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.897	.172	5.217	***	
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Inovasi	-.242	.138	-1.747	.081	
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.713	.223	3.204	.001	
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.097	.181	-.537	.591	
PL5	<---	Project_Lifecycle	1.000				
PL4	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.919	.061	15.110	***	
PL3	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.863	.059	14.606	***	
PL2	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.790	.057	13.911	***	
PL1	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.865	.064	13.492	***	
MC7	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.000				
MC6	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.060	.114	9.326	***	
MC5	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.104	.121	9.124	***	
MC4	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.886	.114	7.770	***	
MC3	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.973	.121	8.057	***	
MC2	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.069	.109	9.769	***	
MC1	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.172	.117	10.036	***	
IP1	<---	Inovasi	1.000				
IP2	<---	Inovasi	1.096	.083	13.249	***	
IP3	<---	Inovasi	.877	.079	11.107	***	
KP4	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.000				
KP3	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.105	.165	6.694	***	
KP2	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.671	.207	8.089	***	
KP1	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.582	.199	7.940	***	

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
Project_Lifecycle	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.907
Inovasi	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.120
Inovasi	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.992
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Inovasi	-.361
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Project_Lifecycle	1.178
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.118
PL5	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.835
PL4	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.801
PL3	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.783

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

PL2	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.757
PL1	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.741
MC7	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.611
MC6	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.729
MC5	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.707
MC4	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.574
MC3	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.600
MC2	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.778
MC1	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.810
IP1	<---	Inovasi	.796
IP2	<---	Inovasi	.813
IP3	<---	Inovasi	.695
KP4	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.503
KP3	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.586
KP2	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.889
KP1	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.828

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Manajemen_Chaos	.440	.086	5.133	***	
z1	.145	.033	4.422	***	
z2	.146	.037	3.922	***	
z3	.121	.034	3.546	***	
e12	.357	.038	9.294	***	
e11	.388	.040	9.726	***	
e10	.386	.039	9.896	***	
e9	.381	.038	10.093	***	
e8	.503	.049	10.195	***	
e7	.739	.070	10.502	***	
e6	.437	.044	9.901	***	
e5	.536	.053	10.051	***	
e4	.703	.066	10.617	***	
e3	.738	.070	10.536	***	
e2	.327	.035	9.442	***	
e1	.316	.035	9.016	***	
e13	.388	.047	8.243	***	
e14	.413	.053	7.845	***	
e15	.552	.057	9.626	***	
e19	.886	.083	10.668	***	
e18	.702	.068	10.395	***	
e17	.222	.041	5.380	***	
e16	.345	.046	7.551	***	

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
Project_Lifecycle	.823
Inovasi	.782
Kinerja_Proyek	.596
KP1	.685
KP2	.790
KP3	.343
KP4	.253
IP3	.483
IP2	.661
IP1	.633
MC1	.656
MC2	.606
MC3	.361
MC4	.329
MC5	.500
MC6	.531
MC7	.373
PL1	.549
PL2	.573
PL3	.613
PL4	.641
PL5	.697

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	1.238	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	.963	.897	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.553	.496	-.242	.000
KP1	.875	.785	-.382	1.582
KP2	.924	.829	-.404	1.671
KP3	.611	.549	-.267	1.105
KP4	.553	.496	-.242	1.000
IP3	.844	.787	.877	.000
IP2	1.055	.984	1.096	.000
IP1	.963	.897	1.000	.000
MC1	1.172	.000	.000	.000
MC2	1.069	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.973	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.886	.000	.000	.000
MC5	1.104	.000	.000	.000
MC6	1.060	.000	.000	.000
MC7	1.000	.000	.000	.000

PL1	1.071	.865	.000
PL2	.979	.790	.000
PL3	1.069	.863	.000
PL4	1.139	.919	.000
PL5	1.238	1.000	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Standardized Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.907	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	.779	.992	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.669	.820	-.361	.000
KP1	.554	.679	-.299	.828
KP2	.595	.729	-.321	.889
KP3	.392	.480	-.212	.586
KP4	.337	.412	-.182	.503
IP3	.542	.689	.695	.000
IP2	.634	.806	.813	.000
IP1	.620	.789	.796	.000
MC1	.810	.000	.000	.000
MC2	.778	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.600	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.574	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.707	.000	.000	.000
MC6	.729	.000	.000	.000
MC7	.611	.000	.000	.000
PL1	.672	.741	.000	.000
PL2	.687	.757	.000	.000
PL3	.710	.783	.000	.000
PL4	.726	.801	.000	.000
PL5	.757	.835	.000	.000

Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	1.238	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	-.149	.897	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	-.097	.713	-.242	.000
KP1	.000	.000	.000	1.582
KP2	.000	.000	.000	1.671
KP3	.000	.000	.000	1.105
KP4	.000	.000	.000	1.000
IP3	.000	.000	.877	.000
IP2	.000	.000	1.096	.000
IP1	.000	.000	1.000	.000
MC1	1.172	.000	.000	.000

MC2	1.069	.000	.000	
MC3	.973	.000	.000	
MC4	.886	.000	.000	
MC5	1.104	.000	.000	
MC6	1.060	.000	.000	
MC7	1.000	.000	.000	
PL1	.000	.865	.000	
PL2	.000	.790	.000	.000
PL3	.000	.863	.000	.000
PL4	.000	.919	.000	.000
PL5	.000	1.000	.000	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Standardized Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.907	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	-.120	.992	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	-.118	1.178	-.361	.000
KP1	.000	.000	.000	.828
KP2	.000	.000	.000	.889
KP3	.000	.000	.000	.586
KP4	.000	.000	.000	.503
IP3	.000	.000	.695	.000
IP2	.000	.000	.813	.000
IP1	.000	.000	.796	.000
MC1	.810	.000	.000	.000
MC2	.778	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.600	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.574	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.707	.000	.000	.000
MC6	.729	.000	.000	.000
MC7	.611	.000	.000	.000
PL1	.000	.741	.000	.000
PL2	.000	.757	.000	.000
PL3	.000	.783	.000	.000
PL4	.000	.801	.000	.000
PL5	.000	.835	.000	.000

Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.000	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	1.111	.000	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.650	-.217	.000	.000
KP1	.875	.785	-.382	.000
KP2	.924	.829	-.404	.000

KP3	.611	.549	-.267	
KP4	.553	.496	-.242	
IP3	.844	.787	.000	
IP2	1.055	.984	.000	
IP1	.963	.897	.000	
MC1	.000	.000	.000	
MC2	.000	.000	.000	
MC3	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC6	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC7	.000	.000	.000	.000
PL1	1.071	.000	.000	.000
PL2	.979	.000	.000	.000
PL3	1.069	.000	.000	.000
PL4	1.139	.000	.000	.000
PL5	1.238	.000	.000	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Standardized Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.000	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	.900	.000	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.787	-.358	.000	.000
KP1	.554	.679	-.299	.000
KP2	.595	.729	-.321	.000
KP3	.392	.480	-.212	.000
KP4	.337	.412	-.182	.000
IP3	.542	.689	.000	.000
IP2	.634	.806	.000	.000
IP1	.620	.789	.000	.000
MC1	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC2	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC6	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC7	.000	.000	.000	.000
PL1	.672	.000	.000	.000
PL2	.687	.000	.000	.000
PL3	.710	.000	.000	.000
PL4	.726	.000	.000	.000
PL5	.757	.000	.000	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P
Project_Lifecycle	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.238	.128	9.691	***
Inovasi	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.149	.223	-.665	.506
Inovasi	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.897	.172	5.217	***
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Inovasi	-.242	.138	-1.747	.081
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.713	.223	3.204	.001
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.097	.181	-.537	.591
PL5	<---	Project_Lifecycle	1.000			
PL4	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.919	.061	15.110	***
PL3	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.863	.059	14.606	***
PL2	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.790	.057	13.911	***
PL1	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.865	.064	13.492	***
MC7	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.000			
MC6	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.060	.114	9.326	***
MC5	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.104	.121	9.124	***
MC4	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.886	.114	7.770	***
MC3	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.973	.121	8.057	***
MC2	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.069	.109	9.769	***
MC1	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	1.172	.117	10.036	***
IP1	<---	Inovasi	1.000			
IP2	<---	Inovasi	1.096	.083	13.249	***
IP3	<---	Inovasi	.877	.079	11.107	***
KP4	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.000			
KP3	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.105	.165	6.694	***
KP2	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.671	.207	8.089	***
KP1	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	1.582	.199	7.940	***

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
Project_Lifecycle	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.907
Inovasi	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.120
Inovasi	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.992
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Inovasi	-.361
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Project_Lifecycle	1.178
Kinerja_Proyek	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	-.118
PL5	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.835
PL4	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.801
PL3	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.783
PL2	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.757
PL1	<---	Project_Lifecycle	.741

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

MC7	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.611
MC6	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.729
MC5	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.707
MC4	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.574
MC3	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.600
MC2	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.778
MC1	<---	Manajemen_Chaos	.810
IP1	<---	Inovasi	.796
IP2	<---	Inovasi	.813
IP3	<---	Inovasi	.695
KP4	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.503
KP3	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.586
KP2	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.889
KP1	<---	Kinerja_Proyek	.828

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
Manajemen_Chaos	.440	.086	5.133	***	
z1	.145	.033	4.422	***	
z2	.146	.037	3.922	***	
z3	.121	.034	3.546	***	
e12	.357	.038	9.294	***	
e11	.388	.040	9.726	***	
e10	.386	.039	9.896	***	
e9	.381	.038	10.093	***	
e8	.503	.049	10.195	***	
e7	.739	.070	10.502	***	
e6	.437	.044	9.901	***	
e5	.536	.053	10.051	***	
e4	.703	.066	10.617	***	
e3	.738	.070	10.536	***	
e2	.327	.035	9.442	***	
e1	.316	.035	9.016	***	
e13	.388	.047	8.243	***	
e14	.413	.053	7.845	***	
e15	.552	.057	9.626	***	
e19	.886	.083	10.668	***	
e18	.702	.068	10.395	***	
e17	.222	.041	5.380	***	
e16	.345	.046	7.551	***	

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
Project_Lifecycle	.823

Inovasi	.782
Kinerja_Proyek	.596
KP1	.685
KP2	.790
KP3	.343
KP4	.253
IP3	.483
IP2	.661
IP1	.633
MC1	.656
MC2	.606
MC3	.361
MC4	.329
MC5	.500
MC6	.531
MC7	.373
PL1	.549
PL2	.573
PL3	.613
PL4	.641
PL5	.697

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerj
Project_Lifecycle	1.238	.000	.000	
Inovasi	.963	.897	.000	
Kinerja_Proyek	.553	.496	-.242	
KP1	.875	.785	-.382	1.582
KP2	.924	.829	-.404	1.671
KP3	.611	.549	-.267	1.105
KP4	.553	.496	-.242	1.000
IP3	.844	.787	.877	.000
IP2	1.055	.984	1.096	.000
IP1	.963	.897	1.000	.000
MC1	1.172	.000	.000	.000
MC2	1.069	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.973	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.886	.000	.000	.000
MC5	1.104	.000	.000	.000
MC6	1.060	.000	.000	.000
MC7	1.000	.000	.000	.000
PL1	1.071	.865	.000	.000
PL2	.979	.790	.000	.000
PL3	1.069	.863	.000	.000
PL4	1.139	.919	.000	.000
PL5	1.238	1.000	.000	.000

Standardized Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.907	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	.779	.992	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.669	.820	-.361	.000
KP1	.554	.679	-.299	.828
KP2	.595	.729	-.321	.889
KP3	.392	.480	-.212	.586
KP4	.337	.412	-.182	.503
IP3	.542	.689	.695	.000
IP2	.634	.806	.813	.000
IP1	.620	.789	.796	.000
MC1	.810	.000	.000	.000
MC2	.778	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.600	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.574	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.707	.000	.000	.000

MC6	.729	.000	.000
MC7	.611	.000	.000
PL1	.672	.741	.000
PL2	.687	.757	.000
PL3	.710	.783	.000
PL4	.726	.801	.000
PL5	.757	.835	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	1.238	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	-.149	.897	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	-.097	.713	-.242	.000
KP1	.000	.000	.000	1.582
KP2	.000	.000	.000	1.671
KP3	.000	.000	.000	1.105
KP4	.000	.000	.000	1.000
IP3	.000	.000	.877	.000
IP2	.000	.000	1.096	.000
IP1	.000	.000	1.000	.000
MC1	1.172	.000	.000	.000
MC2	1.069	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.973	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.886	.000	.000	.000
MC5	1.104	.000	.000	.000
MC6	1.060	.000	.000	.000
MC7	1.000	.000	.000	.000
PL1	.000	.865	.000	.000
PL2	.000	.790	.000	.000
PL3	.000	.863	.000	.000
PL4	.000	.919	.000	.000
PL5	.000	1.000	.000	.000

Standardized Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.907	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	-.120	.992	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	-.118	1.178	-.361	.000
KP1	.000	.000	.000	.828
KP2	.000	.000	.000	.889
KP3	.000	.000	.000	.586
KP4	.000	.000	.000	.503
IP3	.000	.000	.695	.000
IP2	.000	.000	.813	.000

IP1	.000	.000	.796	
MC1	.810	.000	.000	
MC2	.778	.000	.000	
MC3	.600	.000	.000	
MC4	.574	.000	.000	
MC5	.707	.000	.000	
MC6	.729	.000	.000	
MC7	.611	.000	.000	.000
PL1	.000	.741	.000	.000
PL2	.000	.757	.000	.000
PL3	.000	.783	.000	.000
PL4	.000	.801	.000	.000
PL5	.000	.835	.000	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.000	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	1.111	.000	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.650	-.217	.000	.000
KP1	.875	.785	-.382	.000
KP2	.924	.829	-.404	.000
KP3	.611	.549	-.267	.000
KP4	.553	.496	-.242	.000
IP3	.844	.787	.000	.000
IP2	1.055	.984	.000	.000
IP1	.963	.897	.000	.000
MC1	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC2	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC6	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC7	.000	.000	.000	.000
PL1	1.071	.000	.000	.000
PL2	.979	.000	.000	.000
PL3	1.069	.000	.000	.000
PL4	1.139	.000	.000	.000
PL5	1.238	.000	.000	.000

Standardized Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Manajemen_Chaos	Project_Lifecycle	Inovasi	Kinerja_Proyek
Project_Lifecycle	.000	.000	.000	.000
Inovasi	.900	.000	.000	.000
Kinerja_Proyek	.787	-.358	.000	.000

KP1	.554	.679	-.299	
KP2	.595	.729	-.321	
KP3	.392	.480	-.212	
KP4	.337	.412	-.182	
IP3	.542	.689	.000	
IP2	.634	.806	.000	
IP1	.620	.789	.000	
MC1	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC2	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC3	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC4	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC5	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC6	.000	.000	.000	.000
MC7	.000	.000	.000	.000
PL1	.672	.000	.000	.000
PL2	.687	.000	.000	.000
PL3	.710	.000	.000	.000
PL4	.726	.000	.000	.000
PL5	.757	.000	.000	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Minimization History (Default model)

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Iteration		Negative eigenvalues	Condition #	Smallest eigenvalue	Diameter	F		
0	e	8		-.892	9999.000	3500.290		
1	e	10		-.157	2.670	2116.303		
2	e	2		-.061	1.760	1431.911		
3	e	0	307.047		.671	1208.112	4	.932
4	e	0	185.839		.846	1141.629	3	.000
5	e	0	351.693		.879	1068.248	1	1.075
6	e	0	406.422		.462	1049.599	1	1.108
7	e	0	699.328		.291	1047.302	1	1.100
8	e	0	738.614		.112	1047.027	1	1.093
9	e	0	795.580		.030	1047.018	1	1.029
10	e	0	785.604		.001	1047.018	1	1.002
11	e	0	786.343		.000	1047.018	1	1.000

Summary of Bootstrap Iterations (Default model)

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

(Default model)

Iterations	Method 0	Method 1	Method 2
1	0	0	0
2	0	0	0
3	0	0	0
4	0	0	0
5	0	0	0
6	0	0	0
7	0	0	0
8	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
11	0	7	0
12	0	17	0
13	0	25	0
14	0	26	0
15	0	19	0
16	0	16	0
17	0	13	0
18	0	15	0
19	0	61	1
Total	0	199	1

0 bootstrap samples were unused because of a singular covariance matrix.
1 bootstrap sample was unused because a solution was not found.
200 usable bootstrap samples were obtained.

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Bootstrap Distributions (Default model)

ML discrepancy (implied vs sample) (Default model)

```
                                  |--------------------
                        840.995   |*
                        895.446   |*
                        949.898   |****
                       1004.349   |******
                       1058.801   |****
                       1113.252   |*********
                       1167.704   |***********
N = 200                1222.155   |************
Mean = 1252.145        1276.607   |**************
S. e. = 10.922         1331.058   |*****************
                       1385.510   |************
                       1439.961   |****
                       1494.413   |****
                       1548.864   |****
                       1603.316   |*
                                  |--------------------
```

ML discrepancy (implied vs pop) (Default model)

```
                                  |--------------------
                       1076.828   |*
                       1086.073   |**
                       1095.319   |**********
                       1104.564   |***********
                       1113.810   |***************
                       1123.055   |*********
                       1132.300   |******
N = 200                1141.546   |******
Mean = 1121.011        1150.791   |****
S. e. = 1.648          1160.036   |**
                       1169.282   |**
                       1178.527   |*
                       1187.773   |*
                       1197.018   |*
                       1206.263   |*
                                  |--------------------
```

K-L overoptimism (unstabilized) (Default model)

```
                                  |--------------------
                       -295.152   |*
```

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com



K-L overoptimism (stabilized) (Default model)



ADF discrepancy (implied vs pop) (Default model)



Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

N = 200	43268.953	|********
Mean = 36212.078	47177.207	|*******
S. e. = 659.941	51085.461	|*
	54993.715	|***
	58901.970	|***
	62810.224	|*
	66718.478	|*
	70626.732	|*
		|----------

ML discrepancy (implied vs pop) (Default model)

		|----------
	1076.828	|*
	1086.073	|**
	1095.319	|**********
	1104.564	|**********
	1113.810	|**********
	1123.055	|*********
	1132.300	|******
N = 200	1141.546	|******
Mean = 1121.011	1150.791	|****
S. e. = 1.648	1160.036	|**
	1169.282	|**
	1178.527	|*
	1187.773	|*
	1197.018	|*
	1206.263	|*
		|----------

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	44	1047.018	146	.000	7.171
Saturated model	190	.000	0		
Independence model	19	3571.635	171	.000	20.887

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	.109	.609	.491	.468
Saturated model	.000	1.000		
Independence model	.478	.197	.107	.177

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	.707	.657	.737	.690	.735
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	.854	.604	.628
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.000	.000	.000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	901.018	802.044	1007.458
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	3400.635	3209.978	3598.596

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	4.205	3.619	3.221	4.046
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	14.344	13.657	12.891	14.452

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Default model	.157	.149	.166	.000
Independence model	.283	.275	.291	.000

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	1135.018	1142.703	1289.962	1333.962
Saturated model	380.000	413.188	1049.078	1239.078
Independence model	3609.635	3612.954	3676.543	3695.543

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	4.558	4.161	4.986	4.589
Saturated model	1.526	1.526	1.526	1.659
Independence model	14.497	13.731	15.292	14.510

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model	42	45
Independence model	15	16

Execution time summary

Minimization:	.219
Miscellaneous:	2.988
Bootstrap:	2.230
Total:	5.437

Optimization Software:
www.balesio.com