

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ali, A., Rosyad M., Sudiarso, Agung Nugroho. 2014. Pengaruh Mulsa Organik Pada Gulma Dan Tanaman Kedelai (*Glycine Max L.*) Var. Gema. Jurnal Produksi Tanaman, Vol. 1(6): Hlm. 478.
- Ameldam, S. dan E. Widaryanto. 2019. Pengaruh Cara Pengendalian Gulma Dan Pemberian Mulsa Jerami Terhadap Pertumbuhan Dan Hasil Tanaman Bunga Aster Pikok (*Aster Amellus*). Plantropica Journal of Agricultural Science. Vol.4(2): Hlm 94-104.
- As-Syakur. A.R., I. W. Suarna, I. W. Rusna, dan I. N. Dibia. 2011. Pemetaan Kesesuaian Iklim Tanaman Pakan Serta Kerentanannya Terhadap Perubahan Iklim Dengan Sistem Informasi Geografi (SIG) di Propinsi Bali. Pastura. Journal Of Tropical Forage Science. Vol 1 Agustus 2011. Hlm 9-13.
- Badan Perencanaan Pembangunan, Penelitian dan Pengembangan Daerah (BAPPELITBANGDA) Kab. Sidrap. 2019. Kajian Lingkungan Hidup Strategis (KLHS) dalam rangka Penyusunan Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Daerah (RPJMD) Kabupaten Sidenreng Rappang Tahun 2019-2023.
- Bai SH, Blumfield TJ, Xu Z, Chen C, Wild CH. 2012. Effects of preplanting site management on soil organic matter and microbial community functional diversity in subtropical Australia. Appl Soil Ecol, 62:31–36.
- Bajoriené, Kristina, Darija Jodaugiené, Rita Pupaliené, and Ausra Sinkevicienè. 2013. Pengaruh mulsa organik terhadap kandungan karbon organik di dalam tanah. Estonian Journal of Ecology, 62(2): 100-106.
- Bhardwaj, R. L. 2013. Effect of mulching on crop production under rainfed condition - a review. Agricultural Research Communication Centre, Agri. Reviews, 34 (3): 188-197.
- Bilalis, D., N. Sidiras, G. Economou and C. Vakali. 2002. Effect of different levels of wheat straw soil surface coverage on weed flora in *Vicia faba* crops. J. Agron. Crop Sci. i89:233 – 241.
- Broadbent FE. 1984. Plant use of soil nitrogen. Nitrogen in Crop Production. 171–182.
- Budiman, R. D. Soetrisno, S. P. S. Budhi and A. Indrianto. 2012. morphological characteristics, productivity and quality of three napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* schum) cultivars harvested at different age. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 37(4).

- Campanelli, G., Testani, E., Canali, S., Ciaccia, C., Leteo, F., Trinchera, A. 2019. Effects of cereals as agro-ecological service crops and no-till on organic melon, weeds and N dynamics. *Biological Agriculture and Horticulture* 35 (4), 275–287.
- Chapin, F. Stuart, P. Matson, and P. Vitousek. 2011. *Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology*, Springer New York, NY.
- Devasinghe, D.A.U.D, K.P. Premaratne and U.R. Sangakkara. 2013. Impact of Rice Straw Mulch on Growth, Yield Components and Yield of Direct Seeded Lowland Rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *Tropical Agricultural Research* Vol. 24(4): 325 – 335
- De Lanes, E. M. L., F.C.F. Lopes., N.R. Campos. J.S. e Oliveira, M.J.F. Morenz and R. Frischee-Neto. 2016. Comparative efficacy of the conventional and automated methods for determining neutral and acid detergent fiber. *Comunicata Scientiae* 7(1): 30-37.
- Dini, R. M. 2006. Pemberian Abu Serbuk Gergaji Dan Lama Inkubasi Untuk Pengendalian Penyakit Akar Gada Pada Tanaman Pakchoy. *Skripsi*. Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Riau, Pekanbaru.
- Dumadi, E. H., L Abdullah, H. A. Sukria. 2021. Kualitas hijauan rumput gajah (*Pennisetum purpureum*) berbeda tipe pertumbuhan: Review kuantitatif. *Jurnal Ilmu Nutrisi dan Teknologi Pakan*, Vol. 19(1): 6-13
- Eden, M., Gerke, H.H., Houot, S. 2017. Organic waste recycling in agriculture and related effects on soil water retention and plant available water: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 37: 1–21.
- El-Beltagi, H.S., Basit, A., Mohamed, H.I., Ali, I., Ullah, S., Kamel, E.A., Ghazzawy, H.S. 2022. Mulching as a sustainable water and soil saving practice in agriculture: a review. *Agronomy* 12(8), 1881.
- Farrell, G., 1998. Towards the management of *Ustilago kamerunensis* H Sydow and Sydow, a smut pathogen of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.) in Kenya. PhD thesis, University of Greenwich, London.
- Flavel, T.C. and Murphy, D.V. 2006. Carbon and nitrogen mineralization rates after application of organic amendments to soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* 35: 183–193.
- Gaspersz, Vincent. 1991. *Metode Perancangan Percobaan*. Armico, Bandung
- Ginting, N. G., Yunningsih dan Idraningsih. 1981. *Tanam-tanaman beracun*

- di daerah Jawa Barat. Bull. Lembaga Penelitian. Penyakit Hewan 21: 63 – 72.
- Gomez, A. Kwanchai, dan A. Arturo. 2015. Prosedur Statistik Untuk Penelitian Pertanian. Edisi Terjemahan. Penerbit UI-Press. Jakarta.
- Groot JJR, Houba VJG. 1995. A comparison of different indices for nitrogen mineralization. Biol Ferti Soils 19:19.
- Gusti, I Putu, Andre Agusta Putra, I Made Suryana, Cokorda Javandira, Farida Hanum. 2021. Pengaruh Pemberian Mulsa Sekam Padi Terhadap Pertumbuhan Dan Hasil Pada Tanaman Pacar Air (*Impatiens balsamina L.*). AGRIMETA Vol. 11(22): 6 – 11.
- Hadas, A., Kautsky, L., Goek, M., Kara, E. E. 2004. Rates of decomposition of plant residues and available nitrogen in soil, related to residue composition through simulation of carbon and nitrogen turnover. Soil Biol. Biochem. 36: 255–266.
- Hagen-Thorn, A.; Callesen, I.; Armolaitis, K.; Nihlgard, B. 2004. The impact of six European tree species on the chemistry of mineral topsoil in forest plantations on former agricultural land. For. Ecol. Manag. 2004, 195: 373–384.
- Hakim, Annisa, Tera Fit Rayani, Dudi Firmansyah, and Sulasih. 2023. Productivity and Nutrient Quality of Elephant Grass, Pakchong Grass, Red Navier Grass and Odot Grass as a Source of Animal Feed. E3S Web of Conferences 454, 02021, ICAS 2023.
- Harahap, E.M., Hanum, C., Siregar, L.A.M., 2018. Growth and yield of patchouli (*Pogostemon cablin*, Benth) due to mulching and method of fertilizer on rain-fed land. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, Vol. 122(1): p. 012057.
- Harisankar, S. P. Francis Prashanth, J. Nallasivam, R. Vishnu Mohan, R. Vinu. 2021. Effects of aqueous phase recirculation on product yields and quality from hydrothermal liquefaction of rice straw. Bioresource Technology, Vol. 342: 125951.
- Hasan, S. Sema, Rinduwati, Muhammad Rusdy, Budiman, Indrawirawan. 2022. Modul Praktikum Ilmu Tanaman Pakan. Penerbit Inzani, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan. ISBN 978-623-7340-88-1.
- Hong, S., Gan P, Chen A. 2019. Environmental controls on soil pH in planted forest and its response to nitrogen deposition. Environmental Research, 172:159–165.
- Ikhsan, I, Z Zaitun, N Mayani, C Erika and R R Pratama. 2022. Rice straw

- mulch and organic fertilizer effect on growth and production of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa L.*). The 4<sup>th</sup> ICAGRI-2022, IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 1183 (2023) 012091.
- Irwan. 1993. Perbaikan Beberapa Sifat Fisik Dan Kimia Tanah Mineral Masam Akibat Pengolahan Tanah Dan Pemakaian Serbuk Gergaji Kayu Terhadap Hasil Kedelai. Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Jambi.
- Iqbal, R., Raza, M.A.S., Valipour, M., Saleem, M.F., Zaheer, M.S., Ahmad, S., Nazar, M.A. 2020. Potential agricultural and environmental benefits of mulches-a review. Bulletin of the National Research Centre 44(75): 1–16.
- Jamila dan Kaharuddin. 2007. Efektifitas Mulsa Dan Sistem Olah Tanah terhadap Produktivitas Tanah Dangkal Dan Berbatu Untuk Produksi Kedelai. Agrisistem. 3(2): 65-75.
- Khan, S.U., Wang, X., Mehmood, T., Latif, S., Khan, S.U., Fiaz, S., Qayyum, A. 2021. Comparison of organic and inorganic mulching for weed suppression in wheat under rain-fed conditions of haripur, Pakistan. Agronomy, 11(6): 1–15.
- Kiyothong, K. 2014. Manual for planting Napier Pakchong-1. Nakhonrjasrima, Thailand: Department of Livestock Development, Thailand.
- Kolar, P. and H. Jin. 2019. Data Article - Baseline characterization data for raw rice husk. Data in brief 25 (2019) 104219.
- Kumar, S. and Dey P. 2011. Effects of different mulches and irrigation methods on root growth, nutrient uptake, water-use efficiency and yield of strawberry. Sci Hortic 127 (3): 318–324.
- Lakitan, B. 1995. Fisiologi Pertumbuhan dan Perkembangan Tanaman. Buku. Raja Grafindo Persada. Jakarta. 203 p
- Lalruatsangi, Esther, BN Hazarika and P Raja. 2018. Effect of Paddy Straw and Rice Husk Mulching on Soil Microbial Population in Acid Lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). Advances in Biotechnology & Microbiology. Mini review, Vol. 12 Issue 1.
- Langgeng, Rabbani Hario, Etik Wukir Tini, Budi Prakoso. 2019. Pertumbuhan Bibit Cabai pada Media Serbuk Gergaji Kayu Sengon dengan Perendaman Air. Agrotech Research Journal, December 2019, 3(2): 97-102. ISSN 2655-7924 (Print) ISS, N 2614-7416 (Online)
- Liman, Agung Kusuma Wijaya, Erwanto, Muhtarudin, Cindy Septianingsih,

- Tantri Asidiq, Titiek Nur and Kusuma Adhianto. 2022. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 25(5): 426-432, 2022.
- Liu SY, Zhang LF, Li ZH, Jia JM, Fan FC, Shi YF. 2014. Effects of plastic mulch on soil moisture and temperature and limiting factors to yield increase for dryland spring maize in North China. Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao 25(11):3197–3206.
- Lounglawan, P., W. Lounglawan and W. Suksombat. 2014. Effect of Cutting Interval and Cutting Height on Yield and Chemical Composition of King Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* x *Pennisetum americanum*). APCBEE Procedia 8 (2014) 27 – 31.
- Mairata, A., D. Labarga, M. Puelles, J. Huete, J. Portu, L. Rivacoba, A. Pou. 2023. The organic mulches in vineyards exerted an influence on spontaneous weed cover and plant biodiversity. European Journal of Agronomy 151 (2023) 126997.
- Manyatsi, Absalom M and Gcina R. Simelane. 2017. The effect of organic mulch on the growth and yield of Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea L.*). International Journal of Environmental & Agriculture Research (IJOEAR) ISSN: 2454-1850, Vol-3, Issue-6.
- Manyawu, G. J., C. Chakoma, S. Sibanda, C. Mutisi and I.C. Chakoma. 2003. The effect of harvesting interval on herbage yield and nutritive value of napier grass and hybrid pennisetum purpureum. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci., 16: 996-1002.
- Massa, Danielle, Stefano Benvenuti, Sonia Cacini, Sara Lazzereschi, Gianluca Burchi. 2019. Effect of hydro-compacting organic mulch on weed control and crop performance in the cultivation of three container-grown ornamental shrubs: Old solutions meet new insights. Scientia Horticulturae 252 (2019) 260–267.
- Mayun, Ida Ayu. 2007. Efek Mulsa Jerami Padi dan Pupuk Kandang Sapi terhadap Per-tumbuhan dan Hasil Bawang Merah di Daerah Pesisir. Agritrop. 26(1): 33-40.
- McDonald, P., Edwards, R.A., Greenhalgh, G.F.D., Morgan, C.A. 2022. Animal Nutrition, 6th ed. Cab Direct: Glasgow, Scotland, 2002, pp. 496–504.
- Meutia, C., M. Hayati, dan R. Hayati. 2022. Pengaruh dosis mulsa sekam padi terhadap pertumbuhan dan hasil beberapa varietas bawang merah (*Allium ascalonicum L.*). Jurnal Agrica Ekstensia. Vol. 16(2), hal. 42-48.

- Mueller, K.E., Hobbie, S.E., Chorover, J., Reich, P.B., Eisenhauer, N., Castellano, M.J., Chadwick, O.A., Dobies T., Hale, C.M., Jagodzinski, A.M., et al. 2015. Effects of litter traits, soil biota, and soil chemistry on soil carbon stocks at a common garden with 14 tree species. *Biogeochemistry* 2015, 123, 313–327.
- Muhammad, A. P., Muhammad I, Khuram S, Anwar UL-H. 2009. Effect of mulch on soil physical properties and NPK concentration in Maize (*Zea mays*) shoots under two tillage system. *Int J Agric Biol* 11(2):119–124.
- Nantasaksiri, K., P. Charoen-Amornkitt, T. Machimura and K. Hayashi. 2021. Multi - disciplinary assessment of napier grass plantation on local energetic, environmental and socioeconomic industries: A watershed-scale study in Southern Thailand. *Sustainability*, Vol. 13.
- Nicolardot, B., Recous, S., Mary, B. 2001. Simulation of C and N mineralisation during crop residue decomposition: a simple dynamic model based on the C:N ratio of the residues. *Plant Soil* 228: 83–103.
- Obok, E.E., M.E. Aken’Ova and G.A. Iwo. 2012.. Forage potentials of interspecific hybrids between elephant grass selections and cultivated pearl millet genotypes of Nigerian origin. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science* 4(9): 136-143.
- Omidvar N, Xu Z, Nguyen TT, Salehin B, Ogbourne S, Ford R, Bai SH. 2021. A global meta-analysis shows soil nitrogen pool increases after revegetation of riparian zones. *J Soils Sediments* 21:665–677.
- Pan, Y., Koopmans, G.F., Bonten, L.T.C., Song, J., Luo, Y.M., Temminghoff, E.J.M., Comans, R.N.J. 2014. Influence of pH on the redox chemistry of metal (hydr) oxides and organic matter in paddy soil. *J. Soils Sediments* 14(10), 1713–1726.
- Pangaribuan, Darwin H, Setyo Widagdo, Agus Muhammad Hariri, Safrianirmasari Siregar, Muhammad Iben Sardio. 2023. The effect of rice straw mulch and cow urine on growth, yield, quality on sweet corn and pest population density. *Asian J Agric & Biol.* 2023(1).
- Paunovic, Svetlana M., Mira Milinkovic, Marijana Pesakovic. 2020. Effect of Swdust and oil Mulches on Soil Properties, Growth and Yield of Black Currant. Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2020
- Peera, Pedda Ghose, Subhankar Debnath and Sagar Maitra. 2020. Mulching: Materials, Advantages and Crop Production. In: Protected Cultivation and Smart Agriculture. New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi: 2020, (pp. 55-66). ISBN: 978-81-948993-2-7.

- Peerzada, Arslan Masood. 2017. Biology, agricultural impact, and management of *Cyperus rotundus* L.: the world's most tenacious weed. *Acta Physiol Plant* (2017) 39:270.
- Poole, P., Ramachandran, V., Terpolilli, J. 2018. Rhizobia: from saprophytes to endosymbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16: 291–303.
- Prawiradiputra, Bambang R. 2007. Ki Rinyuh (*Chromolaena odorata* (L) R.M. King dan H. Robinson): Gulma Padang Rumput yang Merugikan. *WARTAZOA* Vol. 17 No. 1 Th. 2007.
- Purbajanti, E. D. 2013. Rumput dan Legum Sebagai Hijauan Makanan Ternak. Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta.
- Rajan, Prem, G. T. Patle, Manjeet Preem and K. R. Solanke. 2017. Organic Mulching - A Water Saving Technique to Increase the Production of Fruits and Vegetables. *Current Agriculture Research Journal*. ISSN: 2347-4688, Vol. 5 (3): 371- 380.
- Ramadiana, S. 2011. The application of rice hull mulch and potassium nitrate on growth and yield of kailan (*Brassica oleraceae* var. long leaf). *J Trop Soils* 16(2): 145-150
- Ramli. 2017. The Effect of Rice Husk Mulch's Dosage on the Production Growth of Some Cabbage Varieties (*Brassica Oleracea* L.). *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)* e-ISSN: 2319-2380, p-ISSN: 2319-2372. Volume 10, Issue 8 Ver. II.
- Rhioui, Wijdane, Jamila Al Figuigui, Abdellatif Boutagayout, Manal Zouhar and Saadia Belmalha. 2023. Effects of organic and inorganic mulching, nettle extract, and manual weeding on weed management under direct-seeded lentil in Meknes region, Morocco. *Crop Protection* 173 (2023) 106376
- Ros GH, Temminghoff EJ, Hoffland E. 2011. Nitrogen mineralization: a review and meta-analysis of the predictive value of soil tests. *Eur J Soil Sci* 62:162–173.
- Rusdy, M., R. Sjahril, M. Riadi and Budiman. 2013. Integration of mechanical and cultural control treatments to manage invasive shrub *Chromolaena odorata* and other weeds underdrought conditions in pasture area. *Journal of Indonesian Tropical Animal Agriculture* 38 (1) March 2013.
- Salman, N. 2020. Potensi serbuk gergaji sebagai bahan pupuk kompos. *Jurnal komposit: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Teknik Sipil* Vol. 4 No.1.
- Salahudeen, A.B and J. A. Sadeeq. 2018. Use of rice husk and sawdust as

composite mulching material for onion. National Engineering conference. Faculty of engineering, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. ABU NEC2018 003.

Samarawickrama, L. L., J.D.G.K. Jayakody, S. Premaratne, M.P.S.K. Herath, and S.C. Somasiri. 2018. Yield, Nutritive Value and Fermentation Characteristic of Pakchong-1 (*Pennisetum purpureum* x *Pennisetum glaucum*) in Sri Lanka. SLJAP Vol. 10.

Sari, Vira Irma. 2015. Pemanfaatan Berbagai Jenis Bahan Organik Sebagai Mulsa Untuk Pengendalian Gulma di Areal Budidaya Tanaman Jurnal Citra Widya Edukasi Vol VII No. 2 Nopember 2015 ISSN. 2086-0412.

Sariagri. 2021. Rumput Pakchong, Pakan Hijauan dengan Kadar Protein Kasar yang Tinggi. <https://hortikultura.sariagri.id/65374/rumput-pakchong-pakan-hijauan-dengan-kadar-protein-kasar-yang-tinggi>. (Diakses pada tanggal 7 Mei 2024).

Sarker, N.R., D. Yeasmin, F. Tabassum, M.R. Amin and M.A. Habib, 2019. Comparative study on biomass yield, morphology, silage quality of hybrid napier and pakchong and their utilization in bull calves. J. Agric. Sci. Technol., 9: 166-176.

Sembodo, Dad R. J. 2010. Gulma dan Pengelolaannya. Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta.

Sharma, Shiksha, Bikas Basnet, Keshav Bhattarai, Amrita Sedhai and Kapil Khanal. 2023. The influence of different mulching materials on Tomato's vegetative, reproductive, and yield in Dhankuta, Nepal. Journal of Agriculture and Food Research Vol. 11, 100463.

Shashikanth, Murukannappa and Thimmegowda MN. 2022. Growth and yield of Maize (*Zea mays*) influenced by organic mulching in rainfed Alfisols of Southern Karnataka. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(5): 484-488.

Singh, G., Kaur, H., Khanna, V. 2014. Weed management in lentil with post-emergence herbicides. Indian J. Weed Sci. 46 (2): 187–189.

Singh, V., Agrawal, M., Nagda, R.K., Sharma M.C., Mordia, A. 2018. A case study of *Lantana camara* poisoning in sirohi goat. International journal of recent scientific research. 9(7): 27953-27955

Situmorang, P, C. Wawan. Kohiri, M. 2013. Pengaruh kedalaman permukaan air tanah dan mulsa organik terhadap sifat fisik dan kimia tanah gambut pada perkebunan kelapa sawit (*Elaeis guinnensis* Jacq). Jom Faperta, 2(2):1-15.

- Slessarev, E. W., Lin, Y., Bingham, N.L., Johnson, J.E., Dai, Y., Schimel, J.P., Chadwick, O.A. 2016. Water balance creates a threshold in soil pH at the global scale. *Nature* 540, 567.
- Sloot, Maartje van der, David Kleijn, Gerlinde B. De Deyn, Juul Limpens. 2022. Carbon to nitrogen ratio and quantity of organic amendment interactively affect crop growth and soil mineral N retention. *Crop and Environment* 1 (2022): 161–167.
- Somsiri, S., and Vivanpatarekij, S. 2015. Potential of transforming Napier grass to energy. *Journal of Energy Research*, 12: 47-58.
- Sullivan, P. 2003. Gulma dan Teknik Pengendaliannya. Raja Grafindo persada. Jakarta.
- Suminarti, N. E. 2012. Dasar Klimatologi. Jurusan Budidaya Pertanian, Fakultas pertanian, Universitas Brawijaya.
- Sutejo. M. M. 2002. Pupuk dan cara pemupukan Rineka Cipta. Jakarta
- Stahl, D.A., de la Torre, J.R. 2012. Physiology and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea. In: Gottesman, S., Harwood, C.S., Schneewind, O. (Eds.), *Annual Review of Microbiology*, Vol 66: 83–101.
- Stevenson, F. J. 1994. Humus chemistry; Genesis, composition, reactions. 2th. Editi-on. John Wiley and Sons, tnc. New York
- Swangjang, K. 2015. Soil carbon and nitrogen ratio in different land use. In: 2015 International conference on advances in environment research. Volume 87 of IPCBEE. 36-40.
- Teame, Goitom, Alemtshahay Tsegay, and Berhanu Abrha. 2017. Effect of Organic Mulching on Soil Moisture, Yield, and Yield Contributing Components of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Hindawi International Journal of Agronomy* Volume 2017, Article ID 4767509, 6 pages.
- Telkar, S. G., A. K. Singh, Kamal Kant, Shivendu Pratap Singh Solanki and Deepak Kumar. 2017. Types of Mulching and their uses for dryland condition. *Biomolecule Reports-An International eNewsletter*. ISSN:2456-8759
- Thakur, Meenakshi and Rakesh Kumar. 2021. Mulching: Boosting crop productivity and improving soil environment in herbal plants. *Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants* 20 (2021) 100287.

- Tilahun, Genet, Bimrew Asmare and Yeshambel Mekuriaw. 2017. Effects of harvesting age and spacing on plant characteristics, chemical composition and yield of desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum* Trin.) in the highlands of Ethiopia. Tropical Grasslands-Forrajes Tropicales, Vol. 5(2): 77–84.
- Tripathi BM, Stegen JC, Kim M, Dong K, Adams JM, Lee YK. 2018. Soil pH mediates the balance between stochastic and deterministic assembly of bacteria. ISME Journal 12(4):1072–1083.
- Umiyati, U. dan D. Widayat. 2017. Gulma dan Pengendaliannya. Penerbit Deepublish, Sleman, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta.
- Utamy, Renny Fatmyah, Yasuyuki Ishii, Sachiko Idota and Lizah Khairani. 2012. Effect of Weed Control Management on Herbage Yield, Quality and Wintering Ability in the Established Dwarf Napiergrass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schumach). JWARMAS 55(1): 017-026.
- Utamy, Renny Fatymah, Yasuyuki Ishii, Sachiko Idota and Lizah Khairani. 2014. Effect of Weed Control on Establishment and Herbage Production in Dwarf Napiergrass. Journal of Life Sciences, ISSN 1934-7391.
- Viantika Y., Armaini, Ismail. 2017. Aplikasi Mulsa Organik Serbuk Gergaji dan Urin Sapi yang telah Difermentasi pada Bibit Kakao (*Theobroma cacao L*). Jom Faperta UR. 4(1), Pekanbaru.
- Wangchuk, Kesang, Krishna Rai, Harilal Nirola, Thukten, Chhoyten Dendup and Durba Mongar. 2015. Forage growth, yield and quality responses of Napier hybrid grass cultivars to three cutting intervals in the Himalayan foothills. Tropical Grasslands –Forrajes Tropicales, Vol. 3: 142–150.
- Waramit, N. and J. Chaugool. 2014. Napier grass: A novel energy crop development and the current status in Thailand. Int. Soc. Southeast Asian Agric. Sci., 20: 139-150.
- Wang, L., Gruber, S., Claupein, W. 2012. Effects of woodchip mulch and barley intercropping on weeds in lentil crops. Weed Res. 52 (2), 161–168.
- Wang, X., Fan, J., Xing, Y., Xu, G., Wang, H., Deng, J., Li, Z. 2019. The effects of mulch and nitrogen fertilizer on the soil environment of crop plants. Adv. Agron. 153, 121–173.
- Wei, W., Yan, Y., Cao, J., Christie, P., Zhang, F., Fan, M. 2016. Effects of combined application of organic amendments and fertilizers on crop yield and soil organic matter: an integrated analysis of long-term

- experiments. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 225: 86–92.
- Williams JD, Crozier CR, White JG, Sripada RP, Crouse DA. 2007. Illinois soil nitrogen test predicts southeastern U.S. corn economic optimum nitrogen rates. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 71: 735–744.
- Yang, Hongkun, Ge Wu, Piao Mo, Songhe Chen, Siyu Wang, Yun Xiao, Hongli ang Ma, Tao Wen, Xiang Guo, Gaoqiong Fan. 2020. The combined effects of maize straw mulch and no-tillage on grain yield and water and nitrogen use efficiency of dry-land winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Soil & Tillage Research* 197 (2020) 104485.
- Younis A, Bhatti MMZ, Riaz A, Tariq U, Arfan M, Nadeem M, Ahsan M. 2012 Effect of different types of mulching on growth and flowering of Freesia alba cv. 'Aurora. *Pakistan J Agric Sci* 49(4): 429–433.
- Yulianingrum, Hesti, Edi Supraptoomo & Prihasto Setyanto. 2016. Pengaruh Pemberian Mulsa Jerami Padi Terhadap Kelimpahan Gulma dan Pertumbuhan Tanaman Tomat (*Solanum lycopersicum*) di Lahan Tadah Hujan. Prosiding Konser Karya Ilmiah Vol.2, Agustus 2016. ISSN: 2460-5506
- Zeeshan, A., Khan, S.M., Abd. Allah, E.F., Alqarawi, A.A., Hashem, A. 2016. Weed species composition and distribution pattern in the maize crop under the influence of edaphic factors and farming practices: a case study from Mardan, Pakistan. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* 23 (6): 741–748.
- Zhang, G., Shen, Z., Fu, G. 2021. Function diversity of soil fungal community has little exclusive effects on the response of aboveground plant production to experimental warming in alpine grasslands. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 168.
- Zhang, H., and Fu, G. 2021. Responses of plant, soil bacterial and fungal communities to grazing vary with pasture seasons and grassland types, northern Tibet. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 32: 1821–1832.
- Zhang X, Qian Y and Cao C. 2015. Effects of straw mulching on maize photosynthetic characteristics and rhizosphere soil micro-ecological environment. *Chilean J. Agric. Res.* 75: 481-487.
- Zheng, J.Q., Berns-Herrboldt, E.C., Gu, B.H., Wullschleger, S.D., Graham, D.E. 2022. Quantifying pH buffering capacity in acidic, organic-rich Arctic soils: measurable proxies and implications for soil carbon degradation. *Geoderma* 424.

## LAMPIRAN

### Lampiran 1. Dokumentasi

#### A. Pengolahan lahan



#### B. Pemasangan mulsa organik dan penanaman



### C. Pengamatan dan pengambilan data





#### D. Pengeringan sampel dan penggilingan



## Lampiran 2. Hasil Analisi Ragam (ANOVA)

```
ONEWAY pH BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for				Minimum	Maximum	
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Mean			
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
P1	5	5.9180	.20327	.09091	5.6656	6.1704	5.68	6.12
P2	5	6.2180	.18267	.08169	5.9912	6.4448	5.95	6.42
P3	5	6.1620	.46510	.20800	5.5845	6.7395	5.60	6.59
P4	5	6.1580	.35323	.15797	5.7194	6.5966	5.63	6.52
Total	20	6.1140	.31875	.07128	5.9648	6.2632	5.60	6.59

#### ANOVA

pH	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.267	3	.089	.857	.483
Within Groups	1.663	16	.104		
Total	1.930	19			

```
ONEWAY C BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
P1	5	1.5900	.10977	.04909	1.4537	1.7263	1.50	1.77
P2	5	2.0120	.29012	.12975	1.6518	2.3722	1.72	2.31
P3	5	2.2100	.32303	.14446	1.8089	2.6111	1.77	2.48
P4	5	2.3140	.21824	.09760	2.0430	2.5850	2.06	2.66
Total	20	2.0315	.36474	.08156	1.8608	2.2022	1.50	2.66

#### ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups		1.535	3	.512	8.245	.002
Within Groups		.993	16	.062		
Total		2.528	19			

## Post Hoc Tests

### Homogeneous Subsets

#### C

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Subset for alpha = 0.05

Perlakuan	N	1	2
P1	5	1.5900	
P2	5		2.0120
P3	5		2.2100
P4	5		2.3140
Sig.		1.000	.087

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY N BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

N	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for			Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Mean		
P1	5	.1580	.01789	.00800	.1358	.1802	.1530	.14	.18
P2	5	.1760	.03362	.01503	.1343	.2177	.1870	.13	.22
P3	5	.1920	.03633	.01625	.1469	.2371	.2145	.14	.23
P4	5	.2180	.04382	.01960	.1636	.2724	.2230	.16	.28
Total	20	.1860	.03872	.00866	.1679	.2041	.1860	.13	.28

## ANOVA

N	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.010	3	.003	2.763	.076
Within Groups	.019	16	.001		
Total	.028	19			

### Post Hoc Tests

#### Homogeneous Subsets

**N**

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P1	5	.1580	
P2	5	.1760	.1760
P3	5	.1920	.1920
P4	5		.2180
Sig.		.155	.083

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY CN BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

CN

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	10.4000	.89443	.40000	9.2894	11.5106	9.00	11.00		
P2	5	11.2000	1.64317	.73485	9.1597	13.2403	9.00	13.00		
P3	5	12.0000	2.73861	1.22474	8.5996	15.4004	8.00	15.00		
P4	5	11.0000	1.41421	.63246	9.2440	12.7560	10.00	13.00		
Total	20	11.1500	1.75544	.39253	10.3284	11.9716	8.00	15.00		

#### ANOVA

CN

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	6.550	3	2.183	.672	.582
Within Groups	52.000	16	3.250		
Total	58.550	19			

```
ONEWAY Populasi_gulma BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Populasi gulma

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
P1	5	2815.2000	787.21452	352.05304	1837.7441	3792.6559	2016.00	3870.00
P2	5	633.6000	175.04942	78.28448	416.2474	850.9526	333.00	792.00
P3	5	496.8000	215.09812	96.19480	229.7204	763.8796	234.00	720.00
P4	5	259.2000	165.87857	74.18315	53.2346	465.1654	144.00	531.00
Total	20	1051.2000	1123.90469	251.31273	525.1964	1577.2036	144.00	3870.00

#### ANOVA

Populasi gulma

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	21103545.600	3	7034515.200	38.858	.000
Within Groups	2896527.600	16	181032.975		
Total	24000073.200	19			

## Post Hoc Tests

### Homogeneous Subsets

#### Populasi gulma

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P4	5	259.2000	
P3	5	496.8000	
P2	5	633.6000	
P1	5		2815.2000
Sig.		.205	1.000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY Tinggi_Tanaman BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Tinggi Tanaman

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
P1	5	222.60	8.295	3.709	212.30	232.90	210	230
P2	5	248.80	10.986	4.913	235.16	262.44	230	258
P3	5	256.40	15.947	7.132	236.60	276.20	237	280
P4	5	241.00	22.170	9.915	213.47	268.53	212	273
Total	20	242.20	19.050	4.260	233.28	251.12	210	280

#### ANOVA

Tinggi Tanaman

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	3154.000	3	1051.333	4.496	.018
Within Groups	3741.200	16	233.825		
Total	6895.200	19			

## Post Hoc Tests

### Homogeneous Subsets

#### Tinggi Tanaman

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P1	5	222.60	
P4	5	241.00	241.00
P2	5		248.80
P3	5		256.40
Sig.		.075	.150

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY Panjang_Batang BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

### Descriptives

Panjang Batang

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for			
					Mean			
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
P1	5	99.80	10.681	4.777	86.54	113.06	85	111
P2	5	122.80	8.736	3.907	111.95	133.65	111	135
P3	5	131.60	11.014	4.925	117.92	145.28	121	150
P4	5	117.10	11.960	5.349	102.25	131.95	109	138
Total	20	117.83	15.422	3.448	110.61	125.04	85	150

## ANOVA

Panjang Batang

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2699.638	3	899.879	7.915	.002
Within Groups	1819.000	16	113.688		
Total	4518.638	19			

### Post Hoc Tests

#### Homogeneous Subsets

#### Panjang Batang

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P1	5	99.80	
P4	5		117.10
P2	5		122.80
P3	5		131.60
Sig.		1.000	.057

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY Diameter_Batang BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Diameter Batang

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for				Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean		Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	1.96	.089	.040			1.85	2.07	2	2		
P2	5	2.10	.122	.055			1.95	2.25	2	2		
P3	5	2.18	.217	.097			1.91	2.45	2	3		
P4	5	2.12	.179	.080			1.90	2.34	2	2		
Total	20	2.09	.168	.038			2.01	2.17	2	3		

#### ANOVA

Diameter Batang

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.130	3	.043	1.699	.207
Within Groups	.408	16	.025		
Total	.538	19			

```
ONEWAY Panjang_Daun BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for Mean						
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
P1	5	101.20	5.357	2.396	94.55	107.85	96	108
P2	5	111.80	9.680	4.329	99.78	123.82	103	126
P3	5	110.70	5.450	2.437	103.93	117.47	103	117
P4	5	109.30	3.271	1.463	105.24	113.36	107	115
Total	20	108.25	7.248	1.621	104.86	111.64	96	126

#### ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups		347.050	3	115.683	2.842	.071
Within Groups		651.200	16	40.700		
Total		998.250	19			

## Post Hoc Tests

### Homogeneous Subsets

#### Panjang Daun

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P1	5	101.20	
P4	5	109.30	109.30
P3	5		110.70
P2	5		111.80
Sig.		.062	.566

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY Lebar_Daun BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05) .
```

## Oneway

### Descriptives

Lebar Daun

N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for			Minimum	Maximum		
				Mean						
				Lower Bound	Upper Bound					
P1	5	3.68	.205	.092	3.43	3.93	4	4		
P2	5	4.26	.702	.314	3.39	5.13	4	5		
P3	5	4.22	.217	.097	3.95	4.49	4	5		
P4	5	4.00	.212	.095	3.74	4.26	4	4		
Total	20	4.04	.433	.097	3.84	4.24	4	5		

## ANOVA

Lebar Daun

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	1.060	3	.353	2.254	.121
Within Groups	2.508	16	.157		
Total	3.568	19			

### Post Hoc Tests

#### Homogeneous Subsets

#### Lebar Daun

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P1	5	3.68	
P4	5	4.00	4.00
P3	5	4.22	4.22
P2	5		4.26
Sig.		.057	.340

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY Jumlah_Daun BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Jumlah Daun

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	84.80	9.654	4.317	72.81	96.79	68	92		
P2	5	83.60	15.323	6.853	64.57	102.63	66	104		
P3	5	91.40	5.273	2.358	84.85	97.95	86	98		
P4	5	81.60	26.885	12.023	48.22	114.98	44	108		
Total	20	85.35	15.534	3.473	78.08	92.62	44	108		

#### ANOVA

Jumlah Daun

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	270.150	3	90.050	.334	.801
Within Groups	4314.400	16	269.650		
Total	4584.550	19			

```
ONEWAY Jumlah_Anakan BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Jumlah Anakan

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean				Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean		Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound						
P1	5	14.80	2.950	1.319	11.14	18.46	10	17				
P2	5	15.40	3.507	1.568	11.05	19.75	12	21				
P3	5	16.60	1.342	.600	14.93	18.27	15	18				
P4	5	14.00	4.899	2.191	7.92	20.08	7	19				
Total	20	15.20	3.286	.735	13.66	16.74	7	21				

#### ANOVA

Jumlah Anakan

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	18.000	3	6.000	.513	.679
Within Groups	187.200	16	11.700		
Total	205.200	19			

```
ONEWAY Berat_Segar BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Berat Segar

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
P1	5	51.9180	15.35206	6.86565	32.8559	70.9801		27.76	66.73
P2	5	61.7880	11.16913	4.99499	47.9197	75.6563		52.35	80.51
P3	5	70.9940	6.55587	2.93188	62.8538	79.1342		64.80	82.14
P4	5	66.2440	24.41103	10.91694	35.9337	96.5543		51.84	109.08
Total	20	62.7360	16.20493	3.62353	55.1519	70.3201		27.76	109.08

#### ANOVA

Berat Segar

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	992.142	3	330.714	1.324	.301
Within Groups	3997.252	16	249.828		
Total	4989.395	19			

```
ONEWAY Bahan_Kering BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Bahan Kering

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
P1	5	14.4900	4.62592	2.06877	8.7462	20.2338	9.04	21.86
P2	5	18.0900	4.28819	1.91774	12.7655	23.4145	13.59	22.78
P3	5	19.2700	2.90776	1.30039	15.6595	22.8805	16.35	24.04
P4	5	17.0380	6.74998	3.01868	8.6568	25.4192	9.31	27.71
Total	20	17.2220	4.79828	1.07293	14.9763	19.4677	9.04	27.71

#### ANOVA

Bahan Kering

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	62.227	3	20.742	.884	.470
Within Groups	375.220	16	23.451		
Total	437.447	19			

```
ONEWAY Rasio_BD BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Rasio Batang Daun

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum
					Mean	Lower Bound		
P1	5	.4220	.14446	.06461	.2426	.6014	.25	.58
P2	5	.3500	.11467	.05128	.2076	.4924	.23	.52
P3	5	.3340	.11459	.05124	.1917	.4763	.16	.47
P4	5	.3620	.08319	.03720	.2587	.4653	.28	.50
Total	20	.3670	.11202	.02505	.3146	.4194	.16	.58

#### ANOVA

Rasio Batang Daun

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.022	3	.007	.546	.658
Within Groups	.216	16	.014		
Total	.238	19			

```
ONEWAY Protein_kasar BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Protein kasar

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	11.5460	1.20446	.53865	10.0505	13.0415	10.08	12.87		
P2	5	11.1820	.39347	.17597	10.6934	11.6706	10.56	11.62		
P3	5	10.3640	1.06025	.47416	9.0475	11.6805	9.00	11.45		
P4	5	9.9180	.45185	.20207	9.3570	10.4790	9.39	10.59		
Total	20	10.7525	1.02707	.22966	10.2718	11.2332	9.00	12.87		

#### ANOVA

Protein kasar

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	8.307	3	2.769	3.775	.032
Within Groups	11.735	16	.733		
Total	20.043	19			

## Post Hoc Tests

### Homogeneous Subsets

#### Protein kasar

Duncan<sup>a</sup>

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
P4	5	9.9180	
P3	5	10.3640	10.3640
P2	5		11.1820
P1	5		11.5460
Sig.		.422	.054

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 5.000.

```
ONEWAY Serat_kasar BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05) .
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Serat kasar

N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean				Minimum	Maximum		
				Lower Bound		Upper Bound					
P1	5	30.1540	.81715	.36544	29.1394	31.1686	29.40	31.54			
P2	5	29.6120	.68740	.30742	28.7585	30.4655	28.47	30.29			
P3	5	30.6560	.89746	.40136	29.5417	31.7703	29.65	31.72			
P4	5	30.3400	.56147	.25110	29.6428	31.0372	29.66	31.17			
Total	20	30.1905	.79203	.17710	29.8198	30.5612	28.47	31.72			

## ANOVA

Serat kasar

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2.875	3	.958	1.696	.208
Within Groups	9.044	16	.565		
Total	11.919	19			

```
ONEWAY NDF BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

## Oneway

### Descriptives

NDF

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
P1	5	68.6060	2.41371	1.07944	65.6090	71.6030	66.18	71.98
P2	5	67.5340	3.13054	1.40002	63.6469	71.4211	63.28	71.26
P3	5	67.6380	1.21633	.54396	66.1277	69.1483	66.36	69.39
P4	5	67.4640	3.47317	1.55325	63.1515	71.7765	64.11	71.50
Total	20	67.8105	2.52326	.56422	66.6296	68.9914	63.28	71.98

## ANOVA

NDF

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	4.295	3	1.432	.196	.897
Within Groups	116.674	16	7.292		
Total	120.970	19			

```
ONEWAY ADF BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

ADF

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	40.4580	1.20912	.54073	38.9567	41.9593	39.27	42.32		
P2	5	40.9780	1.33999	.59926	39.3142	42.6418	39.65	43.17		
P3	5	40.0500	1.15681	.51734	38.6136	41.4864	38.89	41.67		
P4	5	39.5620	1.59871	.71496	37.5769	41.5471	37.53	41.53		
Total	20	40.2620	1.33843	.29928	39.6356	40.8884	37.53	43.17		

#### ANOVA

ADF

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5.430	3	1.810	1.012	.413
Within Groups	28.606	16	1.788		
Total	34.037	19			

```
ONEWAY Cellulosa BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Cellulosa

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	32.8620	1.44807	.64760	31.0640	34.6600	30.98	34.97		
P2	5	32.9420	1.26687	.56656	31.3690	34.5150	31.40	34.46		
P3	5	31.6500	1.03286	.46191	30.3675	32.9325	29.96	32.72		
P4	5	31.3860	1.54798	.69228	29.4639	33.3081	29.74	32.99		
Total	20	32.2100	1.42214	.31800	31.5444	32.8756	29.74	34.97		

#### ANOVA

Cellulosa

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	9.768	3	3.256	1.818	.185
Within Groups	28.660	16	1.791		
Total	38.427	19			

```
ONEWAY Hemicellulosa BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

Hemicellulosa

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for		Minimum	Maximum		
					Mean					
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
P1	5	28.1480	1.70484	.76243	26.0312	30.2648	25.95	29.86		
P2	5	26.5580	2.34114	1.04699	23.6511	29.4649	23.64	28.90		
P3	5	27.5840	1.34576	.60184	25.9130	29.2550	26.00	29.14		
P4	5	27.8980	2.23309	.99867	25.1253	30.6707	25.57	30.59		
Total	20	27.5470	1.89265	.42321	26.6612	28.4328	23.64	30.59		

#### ANOVA

Hemicellulosa

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	7.319	3	2.440	.643	.599
Within Groups	60.741	16	3.796		
Total	68.060	19			

```
ONEWAY Lignin BY Perlakuan
/STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES
/MISSING ANALYSIS
/CRITERIA=CILEVEL(0.95)
/POSTHOC=DUNCAN ALPHA(0.05).
```

### Oneway

#### Descriptives

		95% Confidence Interval for						Minimum	Maximum
	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
P1	5	4.2200	1.29428	.57882	2.6129	5.8271	3.43	6.50	
P2	5	3.6980	.42787	.19135	3.1667	4.2293	3.33	4.42	
P3	5	3.9840	.36281	.16225	3.5335	4.4345	3.70	4.49	
P4	5	4.0080	.27617	.12351	3.6651	4.3509	3.79	4.48	
Total	20	3.9775	.68649	.15350	3.6562	4.2988	3.33	6.50	

#### ANOVA

Lignin					
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.689	3	.230	.445	.724
Within Groups	8.264	16	.517		
Total	8.954	19			

### Lampiran 3. Data Curah Hujan



ID WMO : 97184  
 Nama Stasiun : Stasiun Klimatologi Sulawesi Selatan  
 Lintang : -3,858005  
 Bujur : 119,815331  
 Elevasi : 13

Tanggal	Curah Hujan (RR)					
	April	Mei	Juni	Juli	Agustus	September
1	64.1	0	0	0	0	0
2	3.7	0	1	1.4	0	0
3	85.8	1	2	2.4	0	0
4	0	4.4	0	7.3	0	0
5	7.3	4	0.5	0	-	0
6	8888	0	12	0	0	0
7	0		0	2	0	0
8	31.5	0.8	0	0	0	0
9	40.1	35.1	0	0	0	0
10	48.4	0.7	5.5	0	0	0
11	30.1	7.9	9.6	0	0	0
12	17.9	0.9	0	0	0	0
13	0.8	0	0	21.5	0	0
14	0	0	0	1	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	8888	0	0	5.5	-	0
18	8888		8888	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	-	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	8888	0	0	0
22	8888	0	0	0	0	0
23	8888	0	0	0	-	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	8888	0	0	0	0	0
27	13.5	0	33.9	-	0	0
28	1.6	0	31.8	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	27.9	0	0	0	0	0
31	-	8888	-	0	0	-

Sumber: Stasiun Klimatologi Sulawesi Selatan, 2024

#### Keterangan:

- = Tidak ada hujan
  - 0,5 - 20 mm = Hujan ringan
  - 20 – 50 mm = Hujan sedang
  - 50 – 100 mm = Hujan lebat
  - 100 – 150 = Hujan sangat Lebat
  - >150 = Hujan ekstrim
- Curah hujan (mm)

## RIWAYAT HIDUP



Sudarsono, lahir pada tanggal 14 Desember 1993 di Sidenreng Rappang. Penulis adalah anak ke tujuh dari tujuh bersaudara. Anak dari pasangan bapak Jibe Rada dan ibu Sahira. Jenjang pendidikan formal yang pernah ditempuh adalah Sekolah Dasar Negeri 1 Macorawalie di Kab. Sidrap dan lulus tahun 2006. Kemudian menyelesaikan pendidikan di SMP Negeri 1 Panca Rijang Kab. Sidrap pada tahun 2009. Kemudian melanjutkan pendidikan di SMK Negeri 1 Watang Pulu Kab. Sidrap pada Jurusan Peternakan, lulus pada tahun 2012. Selanjutnya melanjutkan pendidikan S1 di Fakultas Peternakan UNHAS dan selesai pada tahun 2016 dan menerima beasiswa Bidikmisi. Penulis kembali melanjutkan pendidikan Magister (S2) pada tahun 2022 di Program Studi Ilmu dan Teknologi Peternakan Fakultas Peternakan UNHAS dan memperoleh beasiswa pendidikan Indonesia (BPI). Pelatihan terkait kompetensi yang pernah diikuti yaitu pelatihan Inseminasi Buatan (IB) yang dilaksanakan oleh UPT-IB Provinsi Sulawesi Selatan tahun 2016, lalu melanjutkan pelatihan Pemeriksaan Kebuntingan (PKB) pada sapi dan kerbau di BBIB Singosari Malang tahun 2018. Saat ini penulis bekerja sebagai tenaga kependidikan di Fakultas Vokasi UNHAS Kampus SIDRAP.