DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Aboseif C, Liu P. Pelvic Organ Prolapse. [Updated 2022 May 1]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK563229/

AlAzab R, Alomari RA, Khader YS, Gharaibeh M. Stress urinary incontinence among Jordanian women living in rural areas: Prevalence, associated factors and self-management behaviours. Arab J Urol. 2021 May 22;19(4):469-472. doi: 10.1080/2090598X.2021.1926751. PMID: 34881064; PMCID: PMC8648036.

Alouini S, Memic S, Couillandre A. Pelvic Floor Muscle Training for Urinary Incontinence with or without Biofeedback or Electrostimulation in Women: A Systematic Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Feb 27;19(5):2789. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19052789. PMID: 35270480; PMCID: PMC8910078.

Antônio, F. I. *et al.* (2018) "Pelvic floor muscle training increases pelvic floor muscle strength more in post-menopausal women who are not using hormone therapy than in women who are using hormone therapy: a randomised trial," *Journal of Physiotherapy*, 64(3), hal. 166–171. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2018.05.002.

Bai SW, Jeon MJ, Kim JY, Chung KA, Kim SK, Park KH. Relationship between stress urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2002;13(4):256-60; discussion 260. doi: 10.1007/s001920200053. PMID: 12189431.

Baracho, S. M. *et al.* (2012) "Pelvic floor muscle strength predicts stress urinary incontinence in primiparous women after vaginal delivery," *International Urogynecology Journal*, 23(7), hal. 899–906. doi: 10.1007/s00192-012-1681-7.

Benedetto, P. Di, Coidessa, A. dan Floris, S. (2014) "Rationale of Pelvic Floor Muscles Training in Women with Urinary Incontinence," *Minerva Ginecologica*, 6(6).

Bodner-Adler B, Alarab M, Ruiz-Zapata AM, Latthe P. Effectiveness of hormones in postmenopausal pelvic floor dysfunction-International Urogynecological Association research and development-committee opinion. Int Urogynecol J. 2020 Aug;31(8):1577-1582. doi: 10.1007/s00192-019-04070-0. Epub 2019 Aug 7. PMID: 31392363; PMCID: PMC7363722.

Brown, J. *et al.* (2006) "Lifestyle intervention is associated with lower prevalence of urinary incontinence: the Diabetes Prevention Program," *Diabetes Care*, 29(2), hal. 385–390.

Brubaker L, Rickey L, Xu Y, et al. Symptoms of combined prolapse and urinary incontinence in large surgical cohorts. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;115(2 Pt 1):310-316. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181cb86b2

Chen, B. et al. (2005) "Elastin metabolism in pelvic tissues: Is it modulated by reproductive hormones?," *Am J Obstetetrics and Gynecology*, 192, hal. 1605–1613.

Cho ST, Kim KH. Pelvic floor muscle exercise and training for coping with urinary incontinence. J Exerc Rehabil. 2021 Dec 27;17(6):379-387. doi: 10.12965/jer.2142666.333. PMID: 35036386; PMCID: PMC8743604.

Dinc, A. (2019) “Menopause and Urinary Incontinence,” *St. Kliment Ohridski University Press*, (December).

Fritel X, Ringa V, Varnoux N, Fauconnier A, Piault S, Bréart G. Mode of delivery and severe stress incontinence. a cross-seksioal study among 2,625 perimenopausal women. BJOG. 2005 Dec;112(12):1646-51. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2005.00763.x. PMID: 16305569; PMCID: PMC2660572.

Giarenis I, Robinson D. Prevention and management of pelvic organ prolapse. F1000Prime Rep. 2014;6:77. Published 2014 Sep 4. doi:10.12703/P6-77

Hwang, J. Y., Kim, B., & Song, S. H. (2019). Parity: a risk factor for decreased pelvic floor muscle strength and endurance in middle-aged women. International Urogynecology Journal. doi:10.1007/s00192-019-03913-0

Iglesia CB, Smithling KR. Pelvic Organ Prolapse. Am Fam Physician. 2017 Aug 1;96(3):179-185. PMID: 28762694.

Ignácio Antônio, Flávia; Herbert, Robert D; Bø, Kari; Rosa-e-Silva, Ana Carolina Japur Sá; Lara, Lúcia Alves Silva; Franco, Maira de Menezes; Ferreira, Cristine Homsi Jorge (2018). *Pelvic floor muscle training increases pelvic floor muscle strength more in post-menopausal women who are not using hormone therapy than in women who are using hormone therapy: a randomised trial. Journal of Physiotherapy, (), S1836955318300523–*. doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2018.05.002

Ismail, N. dan Ibrahim, H. (2021) “Effect of Kegel ’ s Exercise on Severity of Urinary Incontinence and Quality of Life among Menopausal Women,” *Novelty Journals*, 6(3), hal. 1288–1308.

Jundt K, Peschers U, Kentenich H. The investigation and treatment of female pelvic floor dysfunction. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2015 Aug 17;112(33-34):564-74. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2015.0564. PMID: 26356560; PMCID: PMC4570968.

Kılıç M. Incidence and risk factors of urinary incontinence in women visiting Family Health Centers. Springerplus. 2016 Aug 11;5(1):1331. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-2965-z. PMID: 27563526; PMCID: PMC4980849.

Kim, Yoonjung; Kwak, Yeunhee (2016). Urinary incontinence in women in relation to occupational status. Women & Health, (), 1–18. doi:10.1080/03630242.2016.1150387

Kirss F, Lang K, Toompere K, Veerus P. Prevalence and risk factors of urinary incontinence among Estonian postmenopausal women. Springerplus. 2013 Oct 17;2:524. doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-2-524. PMID: 24171152; PMCID: PMC3806982.

Kolodynska, G., Zalewski, M. dan Rozek-Piechura, R. (2019) “Urinary incontinence in postmenopausal women – causes, symptoms, treatment,” *Menopause Rev*, 18(1), hal. 46–50.

Luo, Y. *et al.* (2020) “Prevalence and associated factors of urinary incontinence among chinese adolescents in henan province: A cross-seksioal survey,” *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17), hal. 1–16. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17176106.

Martinho, N., Friedman, T., Turel, F., Robledo, K., Riccetto, C., & Dietz, H. P. (2019). Birthweight and pelvic floor trauma after vaginal childbirth. International Urogynecology Journal. doi:10.1007/s00192-019-03882-4

Nygaard, C. C. *et al.* (2013) “Impact of menopausal status on the outcome of pelvic floor physiotherapy in women with urinary incontinence,”

Opara J, Czerwińska-Opara WE. The prevalence of stress urinary incontinence in women studying nursing and related quality of life. Prz Menopauzalny. 2014 Mar;13(1):32-5. doi: 10.5114/pm.2014.41086. Epub 2014 Mar 10. PMID: 26327826; PMCID: PMC4520337.

Özdemır ÖÇ, Bakar Y, Özengın N, Duran B. The effect of parity on pelvic floor muscle strength and quality of life in women with urinary incontinence: a cross seksioal study. J Phys Ther Sci. 2015 Jul;27(7):2133-7. doi: 10.1589/jpts.27.2133. Epub 2015 Jul 22. PMID: 26311939; PMCID: PMC4540834.

Park, H. *et al.* (2015) “Association between Muscle Loss and Urinary Incontinence in Elderly Korean Women,” *Korean J Farm Med*, 36(1), hal. 22–34.

Patel PD, Amrute KV, Badlani GH. Pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary incontinence: A review of etiological factors. Indian J Urol. 2007;23(2):135-141. doi:10.4103/0970-1591.32064

Pintos-Díaz MZ, Alonso-Blanco C, Parás-Bravo P, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Paz-Zulueta M, Fradejas-Sastre V, Palacios-Ceña D. Living with Urinary Incontinence: Potential Risks of Women's Health? A Qualitative Study on the Perspectives of Female Patients Seeking Care for the First Time in a Specialized Center. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Oct 8;16(19):3781. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16193781. PMID: 31597365; PMCID: PMC6801418.

Price, N., Dawood, R. dan Jackson, S. R. (2010) “Pelvic floor exercise for urinary incontinence: A systematic literature review,” *Maturitas*, xxx(2010). doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2010.08.004.

Sharfina, R. *et al.* (2017) “Prevalence of Urinary Incontinence in Women aged 20 – 59 years in Community Dwellings,” *AMJ*, 4(June), hal. 178–183.

Sharma, T. dan Mittal, P. (2017) “Risk Factors for Stress Urinary Incontinence,” *International Journal of Cotempory Medical RESEARCH*, 4(10), hal. 3–9.

Subak LL, Richter HE, Hunskaar S. Obesity and urinary incontinence: epidemiology and clinical research update. J Urol. 2009 Dec;182(6 Suppl):S2-7. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2009.08.071. PMID: 19846133; PMCID: PMC2866035.

Tähtinen RM, Cartwright R, Tsui JF, Aaltonen RL, Aoki Y, Cárdenas JL, El Dib R, Joronen KM, Al Juaid S, Kalantan S, Kochana M, Kopec M, Lopes LC, Mirza E, Oksjoki SM, Pesonen JS, Valpas A, Wang L, Zhang Y, Heels-Ansdell D, Guyatt GH, Tikkinen KAO. Long-term Impact of Mode of Delivery on Stress Urinary Incontinence and Urgency Urinary Incontinence: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Eur Urol. 2016 Jul;70(1):148-158. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2016.01.037. Epub 2016 Feb 10. PMID: 26874810; PMCID: PMC5009182.

The North American Menopause Society (2021) *Urinary Incontinence*. Tersedia pada: https://www.menopause.org/for-women/sexual-health-menopause-online/causes-of-sexual-problems/urinary-incontinence.

Tinelli, A. *et al.* (2010) “Age-related Pelvic Floor Modifications and Prolapse Risk Factors in Postmenopausal Women,” *Menopause*, 17, hal. 204–212.

Tosun, Özge Çeliker; Mutlu, Ebru Kaya; Tosun, Gökhan; Ergenoğlu, Ahmet Mete; Yeniel, Ahmet Özgur; Malkoç, Mehtap; Aşkar, Niyazi; İtil, İsmail Mete (2015). Do stages of menopause affect the outcomes of pelvic floor muscle training?. Menopause, 22(2), 175–184. doi:10.1097/GME.0000000000000278

Trutnovsky, G. *et al.* (2014) “Urinary incontinence: the role of menopause,” *Menopause*, 21(4), hal. 399–402.

Trutnovsky, G., Rojas, R. G., Mann, K. P., & Dietz, H. P. (2013). Urinary incontinence. Menopause, 1. doi:10.1097/gme.0b013e31829fc68c

Waetjen, L. E. *et al.* (2009) “Association between Menopausal Transition Stages and Developing Urinary Incontinence,” *Obstet Gynecol.*, 114(5), hal. 989–998. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181bb531a.Association.

Zargham M, Alizadeh F, Moayednia A, Haghdani S, Nouri-Mahdavi K. The role of pelvic organs prolapse in the etiology of urinary incontinence in women. Adv Biomed Res. 2013;2:22. Published 2013 Mar 6. doi:10.4103/2277-9175.108010

Zargham M, Alizadeh F, Moayednia A, Haghdani S, Nouri-Mahdavi K. The role of pelvic organs prolapse in the etiology of urinary incontinence in women. Adv Biomed Res. 2013;2:22. Published 2013 Mar 6. doi:10.4103/2277-9175.108010

Zhou HH, Shu B, Liu TZ, Wang XH, Yang ZH, Guo YL. Association between parity and the risk for urinary incontinence in women: A meta-analysis of case-control and cohort studies. Medicine (Baltimore). 2018 Jul;97(28):e11443. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000011443. PMID: 29995798; PMCID: PMC6076124.

LAMPIRAN

a. Lampiran 1

NASKAH PENJELASAN UNTUK RESPONDEN (SUBYEK)

Selamat pagi Ibu, saya dr. Karnel Singh, asisten OBGIN yang akan melakukan penelitian mengenai **Determinan Inkontinensia Urin Tipe Stres Terhadap Kekuatan Otot Dasar Panggul Pada Perempuan Postmenopause**. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui bagaimana pengaruh faktor determinan inkontinensia urin tipe stres pada kekuatan otot dasar panggul perempuan post menopause. Oleh karena itu kami memerlukan beberapa data Ibu seperti yang tertera pada kuesioner ini. Hasil penelitian ini akan disajikan pada Forum Ilmiah Program Pendidikan Dokter Spesialis-I Obstetri dan Ginekologi Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Hasanuddin Makassar.

Semua biaya yang ditimbulkan oleh penelitian ini sepenuhnya ditanggung oleh peneliti. Perlu ibu ketahui bahwa ibu mempunyai hak untuk menolak ikut dalam penelitian ini. Demikian pula bila terjadi hal-hal yang tidak memungkinkan ibu untuk terus ikut dalam penelitian ini maka ibu berhak mengundurkan diri. Penolakan ibu tidak mempengaruhi tindakan atau pengobatan yang seharusnya dilakukan pada ibu, tetapi kesediaan ibu akan memberi manfaat yang besar. Kami akan sangat menghargai keikutsertaan ibu terhadap pengembangan ilmu kedokteran ini.

Kami menjamin keamanan dan kerahasiaan semua data pada penelitian ini. Data penelitian ini akan dikumpulkan dan disimpan tanpa menyebutkan nama ibu dalam arsip tertulis atau elektronik yang tidak bisa dilihat oleh orang lain selain

tim peneliti. Kami akan kembali meminta izin menggunakan data ibu secara anonim apabila diperlukan dikemudian hari.

Apabila Ibu merasa masih ada hal yang belum jelas atau belum dipahami dengan baik, maka Ibu dapat meminta penjelasan lebih lanjut pada saya : dr. Karnel Singh (Telp. 0822 1999 5026).

Apabila ibu bersedia berpartisipasi, silakan menandatangani surat persetujuan mengikuti penelitian. Atas kesedian ibu meluangkan waktu untuk mengikuti penjelasan ini, kami mengucapkan terima kasih.

IDENTITAS PENELITI

Nama : dr. Karnel Singh

Alamat : Jl. Komplek IDI Pettarani Blok G9 No.38

Telepon : 0822 1999 5026

Email : singh.karnel88@gmail.com

b. Lampiran 2

FORMULIR PERSETUJUAN MENGIKUTI PENELITIAN

SETELAH MENDAPAT PENJELASAN

Saya yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini :

Nama :……

Umur : ……

Alamat : ……

Pekerjaan : ……

No. Telepon : ……

Dengan ini menyatakan bahwa setelah saya mendapatkan penjelasan serta memahami sepenuhnya maksud dan tujuan penelitian yang berjudul :

FAKTOR DETERMINAN INKONTINENSIA URIN TIPE STRES TERHADAP KEKUATAN OTOT DASAR PANGGUL PADA PEREMPUAN POSTMENOPAUSE

Maka saya menyatakan **SETUJU** untuk ikut serta dalam penelitian ini, mematuhi semua ketentuan yang berlaku dan memberikan keterangan yang sebenarnya.

Demikian pernyataan ini saya buat untuk digunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

	NAMA	**TANDA TANGAN**	**TANGGAL**
Pasien	....	.....	.....
Saksi 1	....	.....	.....
Saksi 2	....	.....	.....

IDENTITAS PENELITI

Nama : dr. Karnel Singh

Alamat : Jl. Komplek IDI Pettarani Blok G9 No.38

Telepon : 0822 1999 5026

PENANGGUNG JAWAB MEDIK

Nama : Dr. dr. Elizabet C. Jusuf, Sp.OG, Subsp.Obginsos., M.Kes

Alamat : UPF OBGIN BLU RS Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar
Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan KM.10 Tamalanrea 90245

Telepon/Fax : (0411) 585859/585688

c. Lampiran 3

KUISIONER PENELITIAN

I. IDENTITAS PASIEN

Nama :

Tanggal lahir / Usia :

Nama RS / No.RM :

Alamat lengkap :

Nomor handphone :

Pendidikan terakhir : Tidak sekolah/ SD / SMP / SMA / Diploma /Sarjana

Pekerjaan : Bekerja / Tidak bekerja

II. DATA UMUM PASIEN

Berat badan : kg

Tinggi badan : cm

IMT : kg/m^2

Status nutrisi : Under weight / Normal weight / Over weight

Lama Menopause : tahun

Kekuatan Otot Dasar Panggul :

a. Pertama : cmH_20

b. Kedua : cmH_20

c. Ketiga : cmH_20

d. Rata-rata : cmH_20

Riwayat persalinan :

NO.	METODE PERSALINAN	BERAT LAHIR BAYI (g)
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		

Hasil urinalisa :

- ☐ Normal
- ☐ Abnormal

***QUESTIONNAIRE FOR URINARY INCONTINENCE DIAGNOSIS* (QUID)**

	Apakah anda merasakan keluarnya urin saat…	Tidak pernah (0)	Jarang (1)	Sesekali (2)	Sering (3)	Sangat sering (4)	Sepanjang waktu (5)
1	Batuk / bersin?						
2	Menbungkuk /mengangkat beban?						
3	Jalan, berlari, berolahraga?						
4	Sementara melepaskan pakaian di toilet?						
5	Perasaan kuat untuk berkemih hingga urin keluar (bahkan dalam jumlah sedikit) sebelum mencapai toilet?						
6	Terburu – buru ke toilet karena rasa kuat untuk berkemih dan dirasakan tiba – tiba?						

Inkontinensia urin tipe stres: No. 1,2 dan 3 jumlahnya >4

Inkontinensia urin tipe urgensi: No. 4,5 dan 6 jumlahnya >6

Inkontinensia urin tipe campuran: Gabungan antara kedua tipe diatas

d. Lampiran 4

KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN
KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN KESEHATAN
RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR
Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu
JL.PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245.
Contact Person: dr. Agussalim Bukhari.,MMed.PhD, SpGK TELP. 081241850858, 0411 5780103. Fax : 0411-581431

REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK

Nomor : 430/UN4.6.4.5.31/ PP36/ 2021

Tanggal: 2 Juli 2021

Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan Dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :

No Protokol	UH21050308	No Sponsor Protokol	
Peneliti Utama	**dr. Karnel**	Sponsor	
Judul Peneliti	Determinan Inkontinensia Urine Tipe Stress Terhadap Kekuatan Otot Dasar Panggul pada Perempuan Postmenopause		
No Versi Protokol	**2**	Tanggal Versi	**29 Juni 2021**
No Versi PSP	**2**	Tanggal Versi	**29 Juni 2021**
Tempat Penelitian	**RS Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo dan RS Jejaring di Makassar**		
Jenis Review	☐ Exempted ☒ Expedited ☐ Fullboard Tanggal	Masa Berlaku **2 Juli 2021** sampai **2 Juli 2022**	Frekuensi review lanjutan
Ketua Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan FKUH	Nama **Prof.Dr.dr. Suryani As'ad, M.Sc.,Sp.GK (K)**	Tanda Tangan	
Sekretaris Komisi Etik Penelitian Kesehatan FKUH	Nama **dr. Agussalim Bukhari, M.Med.,Ph.D.,Sp.GK (K)**	Tanda Tangan	

Kewajiban Peneliti Utama:

- Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan
- Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 Jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Lapor SUSAR dalam 72 Jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan
- Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah
- Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir
- Melaporkan penyimpangan dari prokol yang disetujui (protocol deviation / violation)
- Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan

e. Lampiran 5

TABEL INDUK PENELITIAN

Nomor	Nama	Umur	Pendidikan	Pekerjaan	BMI	BMI	Metode Persalinan	BBL	Paritas	Lama Meno	ODP	SUI
1	Hj. Atika Abbas	81	Tidak sekolah	IRT	Normal	20,4	PPN	(2600, 2800, 3100, 3000, 2900, 3200, 3300, 3300)	8	31	19.46	11
2	Hasmah	62	Tidak sekolah	IRT	Normal	19,3	PPN	(3500, 3000,3000,3000,3000)	5	7	21.96	9
3	Hj. Sitti	62	SMP	IRT	Overweight	29,2	SC	2700	1	10	33.06	2
4	Nuraisah, S.Pd	54	SMA	IRT	Normal	21,4	PPN	(3000, 3500, 3200, 3100, 3000)	5	4	20.3	5
5	Santi	63	SMP	IRT	Overweight	33,3	PPN	3600	1	8	28.53	3
6	Halimah	73	SD	IRT	Overweight	29,1	PPN	(3000, 3500, 4100, 3500, 3200)	5	20	21.1	7
7	Meika Chandra	54	SMA	IRT	Overweight	27,6	SC	(2900, 3000)	2	4	33.3	2
8	Hj. Mu Minang	56	SD	IRT	Normal	24,3	PPN	(3000, 3100, 3000, 3200, 3100, 3000, 3200, 3100)	8	3	20.46	7
9	Nursyamsih	63	SD	IRT	Overweight	28,9	SC	3200	1	5	35.7	2
10	Najiah Saleh	54	SARJANA	SWASTA	Overweight	29,8	SC	3800	1	3	35.1	10
Nomor	Nama	Umur	Pendidikan	Pekerjaan	BMI	BMI	Metode Persalinan	BBL	Paritas	Lama Meno	ODP	SUI

11	Halipa HR	64	SMA	IRT	Overweight	26,9	PPN	(3200, 3300, 3000, 3500, 3200)	5	10	20	9
12	Suhrah	91	SD	IRT	Overweight	26,3	PPN	(2800, 3100, 3300, 2900, 4200, 3400, 3400, 3500)	8	35	18.80	10
13	Rosmini Z.	71	SMA	IRT	Normal	25,5	PPN	(2500, 2500, 3200)	3	18	19.17	2
14	Hj. A. Somba	60	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	23,3	PPN	3400, 3400, 3600	3	8	26.60	3
15	Andi Sugiati	61	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	22	PPN	3500, 3500, 3500	3	7	27.20	3
16	Hj. Tati	57	SARJANA	PNS	Overweight	28,6	PPN	2300, 2400	2	3	29.13	2
17	Kalsum	61	SD	IRT	Overweight	31,3	PPN	3100, 3200, 3100, 3000	4	6	21.83	11
18	Madiana	75	SD	IRT	Overweight	30,5	PPN	3300, 3500, 3100, 3300, 3400	5	19	19.47	12
19	Fatima Sija	61	SMA	IRT	Overweight	28,9	PPN	3100, 3100, 3400, 3200, 3400, 3500	6	7	20.73	10
20	Rumaedah Kasman	78	SMA	IRT	Overweight	29,1	PPN	2900, 3200, 3400, 3500, 3500, 3300	6	23	19.53	12
21	Sutiami	58	SMA	IRT	Underweight	27	SC	3100	1	3	32.87	0
22	Ajeng	58	Diploma	Perawat	Overweight	26,3	PPN	3500, 3700, 3500	3	4	26.50	2
23	Lanny	66	SMP	IRT	Overweight	28,6	PPN	2900, 3500, 3300, 3700	4	8	21.27	11
24	Andi Nuhaida	60	SMA	IRT	Overweight	30,8	PPN	3000, 3000, 3400, 3700, 3500, 3700, 3400, 3000	8	4	19.93	10
25	Ratnawati U.	61	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	23,3	PPN	3400, 3400, 3300	3	5	26.87	3

26	Rosmiyati	58	SMP	IRT	Overweight	32,3	PPN	2300	1	4	33.13	2
27	Nurlia Anwar	60	SMA	IRT	Overweight	31,5	PPN	3500, 3200	2	7	32.87	3
28	Ratnawaty Yakasa S Hum	63	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	24,3	PPN	3600, 3600, 3200	3	7	32.73	1
29	Hj. Husnah Asyari	68	SMA	IRT	Overweight	31	PPN	3000, 2900, 3300, 3700, 3500, 3300, 3600	7	10	19.53	10
30	Junaedah HN	67	SMP	IRT	Normal	21,2	PPN	3300, 3500, 3300, 3700, 3000, 3200, 3400	7	13	20.53	11
31	Abigael Arrang Bua	59	SMP	IRT	Overweight	27,6	PPN	3800, 4000, 3800, 3800	4	4	32.23	8
32	St. Rabina DG Senga	67	SMA	IRT	Overweight	30,2	PPN	3600, 4000, 3200, 3200, 4000, 3500	6	13	21.77	9
33	Ny. Nasmawati	58	SMA	IRT	Normal	21	PPN	2300, 4000, 3000, 2500, 4000	5	5	22.27	7
34	St. Aminah	59	SD	IRT	Overweight	27,4	PPN	3200	1	4	34.20	2
35	Ny. Masnahwati	54	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	22	PPN	2700, 2900	2	3	34.17	2
36	Rostiaty Natsir	66	SARJANA	IRT	Normal	19,5	PPN	3500, 3300	2	10	33.17	3

37	Kama Mu In	55	SD	IRT	Normal	18,5	PPN	3200, 3300, 3200	3	3	34.40	1
38	Aisyah	68	SD	IRT	Overweight	31,7	PPN	3100, 3100, 3000	3	10	22.73	8
39	Nogi	63	SD	IRT	Normal	24,3	PPN	2800, 2600, 2700, 3100, 3200, 3300, 3100	7	10	20.07	10
40	Radiah	54	SD	Wiraswasts	Overweight	31,9	PPN	2600, 2800	2	5	33.07	2
41	Idammi	68	Tidak sekolah	IRT	Overweight	29,2	PPN	2800, 2900, 3000, 3000, 3100	5	15	23.07	9
42	Bau	57	SD	IRT	Normal	22,4	PPN	3600, 3300, 2300, 3500	4	3	33.13	8
43	Baderiah	69	SD	IRT	Overweight	31,2	PPN	3000, 3400, 3100, 3100	4	16	21.83	10
44	Samsiati Daeng	57	SD	IRT	Overweight	27,1	PPN	3000, 3300, 3200, 3400, 3500, 3400	6	3	21.47	9
45	Sartini	59	SMP	IRT	Overweight	28	PPN	3400, 4100, 3500, 3400, 3600, 3600	6	7	21.80	9
46	Hatija	54	SD	IRT	Normal	24,1	PPN	3300, 3000, 3000, 3400, 3600, 3300	6	3	22.47	8
47	Syamsinar Dg. Kanang	72	SD	IRT	Overweight	30,2	PPN	3300, 3000, 3100, 3400, 3400	5	20	19.47	11
48	Murni	59	SD	IRT	Normal	20,3	PPN	2900, 3100, 3100, 3300, 3400, 3600	6	5	22.00	7
49	Yenny Lie	57	SMP	IRT	Overweight	30,4	PPN	4200, 3900, 4000, 4000	4	5	23.47	8
50	Nurnia	55	SD	IRT	Normal	21,2	PPN	3200, 3400, 3500, 3500, 3300	5	3	23.70	7
51	Masita	60	SD	IRT	Normal	24,1	PPN	3100, 3100, 3300	3	4	28.87	2
52	Mina	63	Tidak Sekolah	IRT	Normal	19,3	PPN	3300, 3400, 3300, 3500	4	10	22.53	8

53	Syamsiar Said	64	SD	IRT	Normal	22,2	PPN	3300, 3300, 3500, 3100, 3200	5	9	21.77	8
54	Dra, Hj. Basse Salma, M.Si	57	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	20,2	PPN	3400, 3400	2	7	34.43	1
55	Isadi	61	SD	IRT	Normal	24,4	PPN	3300	1	10	34.20	1
56	Nurhawa	58	SARJANA	IRT	Overweight	26,8	PPN	2800, 2700, 2700, 2900, 2850	5	4	24.10	8
57	Arafah	54	SARJANA	IRT	Overweight	27,2	SC	3300	1	3	36.7	2
58	Desi Dg. Siang	61	SD	IRT	Overweight	26,2	SC	2500, 2500	2	6	34.67	1
59	Esther Yuna	58	SMA	IRT	Normal	22,1	PPN	3000, 3200, 3000, 4000, 3000	5	5	23.5	8
60	Nurhayati Abdullah	64	SMP	IRT	Overweight	29,3	SC	3300, 3300, 3600	3	9	20.23	10
61	Nasmawati	58	SMA	IRT	Overweight	26,6	PPN	2300,4000,3000,2500, 4000	5	4	24.3	9
62	Ci Ri	71	SD	IRT	Overweight	27,2	PPN	3400, 4100, 3500, 3500	4	3	22.03	8
63	Musdalifa	53	SD	IRT	Overweight	27,6	PPN	3100, 3300, 3300	3	3	32.86	2
64	Imelda	60	SMA	IRT	Normal	22	PPN	3200, 2900, 2800	3	8	33.3	2
65	Hasnah	57	SD	IRT	Normal	24,5	PPN	2800, 2900, 3200, 3300	4	5	25.7	7
66	Nurhayati	67	SMA	IRT	Normal	21,3	PPN	3100, 3100, 3000, 3200	4	5	24.16	8
67	Nuryainy	55	SARJANA	IRT	Normal	19,8	PPN	2800, 2800, 3000	3	3	26.3	9

68	Maulidi	54	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	23,8	PPN	3000, 3100, 3000	3	4	35.3	2
69	Tenne	61	SD	IRT	Normal	20,6	PPN	2250	1	10	36.7	2
70	Rahmatia	56	SD	IRT	Overweight	27,5	PPN	3100, 3000, 2800, 2900, 3000, 3200, 3300	7	4	21.3	8
71	Hajrah	65	SD	IRT	Normal	21,2	PPN	3000	1	12	36.3	2
72	Siti Murniati	52	SMA	IRT	Overweight	28,5	SC	3000	1	3	36.5	2
73	I Naya	54	SD	IRT	Normal	22,1	PPN	2800, 2800, 2900, 2800, 2700, 2800	6	4	24.4	9
74	Rohani	61	SMP	IRT	Normal	21	PPN	3100, 3200, 3000, 3100	4	8	22.3	2
75	Ismiaty	60	SMA	IRT	Normal	20,8	SC	3000, 3100	2	8	30.3	2
76	Halimah	60	SMP	IRT	Overweight	30,2	SC	3500, 3200, 3000	3	5	27.8	9
77	Asriani	59	SMP	IRT	Normal	20	SC	4000, 3000	2	4	33.1	0
78	Jurmia	56	SMA	IRT	Overweight	28,2	SC	3200, 3500	2	3	30.8	0
79	Muliati	59	SARJANA	PNS	Overweight	26,5	SC	3500	1	3	31.7	10
80	Rosi	72	SD	IRT	Normal	21,1	PPN	3400, 3200, 3400, 3000	4	18	29.2	9
81	Rada	79	SD	PETANI	Normal	24,2	PPN	3400, 3000, 3400, 3100, 3000	5	25	22.4	10
82	Hasmawati	60	SMP	IRT	Overweight	27,7	SC	3500, 3000	2	5	32.2	1
83	Dewiati	59	SMA	IRT	Overweight	26,4	SC	3200, 3000	2	4	33.3	2
84	Kurnia	58	SMP	IRT	Normal	23,2	SC	3000	1	4	36.2	1
85	Marawiyah	69	SD	IRT	Overweight	26,7	PPN	3500, 3400, 3000, 3200	4	15	24.23	2

86	St Nur Aisyah	58	SARJANA	GURU	Normal	19	SC	3500, 3500	2	3	33.16	2
87	Halimah	61	SMA	IRT	Overweight	26,2	SC	3200, 3000	2	6	29.9	2
88	Martha	85	TIDAK SEKOLAH	IRT	Normal	19,7	PPN	3600, 3300, 3300	3	30	21.2	2
89	Hasnah	58	SD	IRT	Normal	21,2	SC	3200	1	4	33.4	0
90	Nurhayati	62	SD	IRT	Overweight	26,2	SC	2900, 3000	2	8	30.1	1
91	Nurhayati A	67	SD	IRT	Normal	21	SC	2800	1	14	32.3	1
92	Rahmawati	59	SD	IRT	Overweight	27,7	SC	2800, 3000	2	5	32.3	1
93	Hadidjah	65	SARJANA	IRT	Overweight	26	PPN	3000, 2900, 2900	3	12	22.2	7
94	Hartina	63	SD	IRT	Overweight	28,7	PPN	3000, 2800	2	9	29	10
95	Ruth	60	SD	IRT	Normal	21,1	SC	3100	1	6	34.7	1
96	Marhaya	66	SMP	IRT	Normal	23,9	SC	3100, 3000	2	14	32.4	2
97	Mantang	61	SARJANA	PNS	Normal	22	SC	3100, 2900	2	10	33.4	2
98	Minarty	78	SARJANA	WIRASWASTA	Normal	21,2	PPN	2800, 2900, 3100	3	24	21.7	9
99	Madallo	72	SD	IRT	Normal	24,5	PPN	3100, 3000, 2900	3	21	23	8
100	Ridha	55	SARJANA	GURU	Normal	21,2	SC	3000, 3200	2	3	34.6	2
101	Hasnah	64	SARJANA	GURU	Overweight	26,4	SC	2900, 2900	2	10	28.7	10
102	Nur Bawazier	75	SD	IRT	Normal	19	PPN	3100, 3200, 3000, 2900	4	24	21.3	1
103	Napisah	62	SMA	IRT	Normal	24	SC	2800, 2900	2	10	30.8	1

104	Debora	54	SARJANA	PNS	Overweight	29,7	SC	3000	1	3	34.13	1

Crosstabs

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:06:52
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table.
Syntax		CROSSTABS /TABLES=Kelompokusia pendidikan pekerjaan kelompokmenopouse KelompokIMT beratbayi paritas Metodepersalinan BY inkontinensiaurin /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ RISK /CELLS=COUNT COLUMN /COUNT ROUND CELL.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,05
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,08
	Dimensions Requested	2
	Cells Available	131029

Kelompokusia * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
Kelompokusia	>65 tahun	Count	20	8	28
		% within inkontinensiaurin	38.5%	15.4%	26.9%
	<65 tahun	Count	32	44	76
		% within inkontinensiaurin	61.5%	84.6%	73.1%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	7.038[a]	1	.008		
Continuity Correction[b]	5.914	1	.015		
Likelihood Ratio	7.216	1	.007		
Fisher's Exact Test				.014	.007
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.970	1	.008		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Kelompokusia (>65 tahun / <65 tahun)	3.438	1.346	8.781
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	1.696	1.192	2.414
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.494	.266	.914
N of Valid Cases	104		

pendidikan * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
pendidikan	< 9tahun	Count	34	26	60
		% within inkontinensiaurin	65.4%	50.0%	57.7%
	> 9tahun	Count	18	26	44
		% within inkontinensiaurin	34.6%	50.0%	42.3%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.521[a]	1	.112		
Continuity Correction[b]	1.930	1	.165		
Likelihood Ratio	2.533	1	.112		
Fisher's Exact Test				.164	.082
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.497	1	.114		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for pendidikan (< 9tahun / > 9tahun)	1.889	.858	4.156
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	1.385	.912	2.105
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.733	.502	1.072
N of Valid Cases	104		

pekerjaan * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
pekerjaan	tidak bekerja	Count	47	38	85
		% within inkontinensiaurin	90.4%	73.1%	81.7%
	Pekerja	Count	5	14	19
		% within inkontinensiaurin	9.6%	26.9%	18.3%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.216[a]	1	.022		
Continuity Correction[b]	4.121	1	.042		
Likelihood Ratio	5.394	1	.020		
Fisher's Exact Test				.040	.020
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.166	1	.023		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9,50.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper

Odds Ratio for pekerjaan (tidak bekerja / Pekerja)	3.463	1.145	10.477
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	2.101	.967	4.567
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.607	.424	.868
N of Valid Cases	104		

kelompokmenopouse * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
kelompokmenopouse	> 10tahun	Count	21	13	34
		% within inkontinensiaurin	40.4%	25.0%	32.7%
	< 10 tahun	Count	31	39	70
		% within inkontinensiaurin	59.6%	75.0%	67.3%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2.797[a]	1	.094		
Continuity Correction[b]	2.141	1	.143		
Likelihood Ratio	2.816	1	.093		
Fisher's Exact Test				.143	.071
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.770	1	.096		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 17,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval

		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for kelompokmenopouse (> 10tahun / < 10 tahun)	2.032	.880	4.694
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	1.395	.961	2.025
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.686	.427	1.104
N of Valid Cases	104		

KelompokIMT * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
KelompokIMT	>25	Count	30	23	53
		% within inkontinensiaurin	57.7%	44.2%	51.0%
	< 25	Count	22	29	51
		% within inkontinensiaurin	42.3%	55.8%	49.0%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.885[a]	1	.170		
Continuity Correction[b]	1.385	1	.239		
Likelihood Ratio	1.891	1	.169		
Fisher's Exact Test				.239	.120
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.867	1	.172		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 25,50.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for KelompokIMT (>25 / < 25)	1.719	.791	3.736
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	1.312	.885	1.945
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.763	.517	1.127
N of Valid Cases	104		

beratbayi * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
beratbayi	>4000 gram	Count	5	1	6
		% within inkontinensiaurin	9.6%	1.9%	5.8%
	<4000 gram	Count	47	51	98
		% within inkontinensiaurin	90.4%	98.1%	94.2%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)

Pearson Chi-Square	2.830[a]	1	.093		
Continuity Correction[b]	1.592	1	.207		
Likelihood Ratio	3.074	1	.080		
Fisher's Exact Test				.205	.102
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.803	1	.094		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 2 cells (50,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for beratbayi (>4000 gram / <4000 gram)	5.426	.611	48.155
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	1.738	1.150	2.626
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.320	.053	1.936
N of Valid Cases	104		

paritas * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
paritas	multipara	Count	50	36	86
		% within inkontinensiaurin	96.2%	69.2%	82.7%
	primipara	Count	2	16	18
		% within inkontinensiaurin	3.8%	30.8%	17.3%
Total		Count	52	52	104

% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.168[a]	1	.000		
Continuity Correction[b]	11.354	1	.001		
Likelihood Ratio	14.685	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.041	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 9,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for paritas (multipara / primipara)	11.111	2.403	51.371
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	5.233	1.399	19.566
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.471	.350	.634
N of Valid Cases	104		

Metodepersalinan * inkontinensiaurin

Crosstab

	inkontinensiaurin	Total

			ya	tidak	
Metodepersalinan	pervaginam	Count	47	27	74
		% within inkontinensiaurin	90.4%	51.9%	71.2%
	SC	Count	5	25	30
		% within inkontinensiaurin	9.6%	48.1%	28.8%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within inkontinensiaurin	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.739[a]	1	.000		
Continuity Correction[b]	16.912	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	20.028	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	18.559	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 15,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Metodepersalinan (pervaginam / SC)	8.704	2.984	25.387
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	3.811	1.681	8.640
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.438	.311	.615
N of Valid Cases	104		

Frequencies

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:21:59
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data.
Syntax		FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=Usia lamamenopaouse IMT Lamapendidikan jumlahparitas beratbayiigram /STATISTICS=STDDEV MINIMUM MAXIMUM MEAN MEDIAN /ORDER=ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,02

Statistics

		Usia	lamamenopaouse	IMT	Lamapendidikan	jumlahparitas
N	Valid	104	104	104	104	104
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		62.2115	8.6154	25.2827	9.5192	3.4135
Median		60.5000	6.0000	26.1000	9.0000	3.0000
Std. Deviation		7.23754	6.80991	3.85745	4.40648	1.92888

Minimum	52.00	3.00	18.50	.00	1.00
Maximum	91.00	35.00	33.30	16.00	8.00

Statistics

		beratbayiigram
N	Valid	104
	Missing	0
Mean		3340.8654
Median		3300.0000
Std. Deviation		389.15919
Minimum		2250.00
Maximum		4200.00

Explore

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:22:53
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values for dependent variables are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on cases with no missing values for any dependent variable or factor used.

Syntax		EXAMINE VARIABLES=ODP BY inkontinensiaurin /PLOT BOXPLOT NPPLOT /COMPARE GROUPS /STATISTICS DESCRIPTIVES /CINTERVAL 95 /MISSING LISTWISE /NOTOTAL.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:03,55
	Elapsed Time	00:00:03,09

inkontinensiaurin

Case Processing Summary

		Cases					
		Valid		Missing		Total	
	inkontinensiaurin	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
ODP	ya	52	100.0%	0	0.0%	52	100.0%
	tidak	52	100.0%	0	0.0%	52	100.0%

Descriptives

	inkontinensiaurin			Statistic	Std. Error
ODP	ya	Mean		23.2212	.52372
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	22.1697	
			Upper Bound	24.2726	
		5% Trimmed Mean		22.8630	
		Median		22.0150	
		Variance		14.263	
		Std. Deviation		3.77660	
		Minimum		18.80	
		Maximum		35.10	

		Range	16.30	
		Interquartile Range	3.56	
		Skewness	1.551	.330
		Kurtosis	1.937	.650
	tidak	Mean	31.5888	.57949
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean — Lower Bound	30.4255	
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean — Upper Bound	32.7522	
		5% Trimmed Mean	31.9267	
		Median	33.0650	
		Variance	17.462	
		Std. Deviation	4.17880	
		Minimum	19.17	
		Maximum	36.70	
		Range	17.53	
		Interquartile Range	4.25	
		Skewness	-1.363	.330
		Kurtosis	1.401	.650

Tests of Normality

		Kolmogorov-Smirnov[a]			Shapiro-Wilk		
	inkontinensiaurin	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
ODP	ya	.189	52	.000	.828	52	.000
	tidak	.231	52	.000	.856	52	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

NPar Tests

Notes

Output Created	20-AUG-2022 01:24:36

Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each test are based on all cases with valid data for the variable(s) used in that test.
Syntax		NPAR TESTS /M-W= ODP BY inkontinensiaurin(1 2) /MISSING ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,02
	Number of Cases Allowed[a]	112347

a. Based on availability of workspace memory.

Mann-Whitney Test

Ranks

	inkontinensiaurin	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
ODP	ya	52	31.67	1647.00
	tidak	52	73.33	3813.00
	Total	104		

Test Statistics[a]

	ODP
Mann-Whitney U	269.000
Wilcoxon W	1647.000
Z	-7.041
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

a. Grouping Variable: inkontinensiaurin

ROC Curve

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:25:00
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data for all variables in the analysis.
Syntax		ROC ODP BY inkontinensiaurin (2) /PLOT=CURVE(REFERENCE) /PRINT=SE COORDINATES /CRITERIA=CUTOFF(INCLUDE) TESTPOS(LARGE) DISTRIBUTION(FREE) CI(95) /MISSING=EXCLUDE.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,39

Elapsed Time	00:00:00,41

Case Processing Summary

inkontinensiaurin	Valid N (listwise)
Positive[a]	52
Negative	52

Larger values of the test result variable(s) indicate stronger evidence for a positive actual state.

a. The positive actual state is tidak.



Area Under the Curve

Test Result Variable(s): ODP

Area	Std. Error[a]	Asymptotic Sig.[b]	Asymptotic 95% Confidence Interval	
			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
.901	.033	.000	.836	.965

The test result variable(s): ODP has at least one tie between the positive actual state group and the negative actual state group. Statistics may be biased.

a. Under the nonparametric assumption

b. Null hypothesis: true area = 0.5

Coordinates of the Curve

Test Result Variable(s): ODP

Positive if Greater Than or Equal To[a]	Sensitivity	1 - Specificity
17.8000	1.000	1.000
18.9850	1.000	.981
19.3150	.981	.981
19.4650	.981	.962
19.5000	.981	.923
19.7300	.981	.885
19.9650	.981	.865
20.0350	.981	.846
20.1500	.981	.827
20.2650	.981	.808
20.3800	.981	.788
20.4950	.981	.769
20.6300	.981	.750
20.9150	.981	.731
21.1500	.981	.712
21.2350	.962	.712
21.2850	.962	.692
21.3850	.942	.673

21.5850	.942	.654
21.7350	.942	.635
21.7850	.942	.596
21.8150	.942	.577
21.8950	.942	.538
21.9800	.942	.519
22.0150	.942	.500
22.1150	.942	.481
22.2350	.942	.462
22.2850	.942	.442
22.3500	.923	.442
22.4350	.923	.423
22.5000	.923	.404
22.6300	.923	.385
22.8650	.923	.365
23.0350	.923	.346
23.2700	.923	.327
23.4850	.923	.308
23.6000	.923	.288
23.9000	.923	.269
24.1300	.923	.250
24.1950	.923	.231
24.2650	.904	.231
24.3500	.904	.212
25.0500	.904	.192
26.0000	.904	.173
26.4000	.904	.154
26.5500	.885	.154
26.7350	.865	.154
27.0350	.846	.154
27.5000	.827	.154
28.1650	.827	.135
28.6150	.808	.135
28.7850	.808	.115
28.9350	.788	.115
29.0650	.788	.096
29.1650	.769	.096
29.5500	.769	.077
30.0000	.750	.077

30.2000	.731	.077
30.5500	.712	.077
31.2500	.673	.077
31.9500	.673	.058
32.2150	.654	.058
32.2650	.654	.038
32.3500	.615	.038
32.5650	.596	.038
32.7950	.577	.038
32.8650	.558	.038
32.9650	.519	.038
33.0650	.500	.038
33.0850	.481	.038
33.1150	.462	.038
33.1450	.442	.019
33.1650	.423	.019
33.2350	.404	.019
33.3500	.346	.019
33.7650	.308	.019
34.1500	.288	.019
34.1850	.269	.019
34.3000	.231	.019
34.4150	.212	.019
34.5150	.192	.019
34.6350	.173	.019
34.6850	.154	.019
34.9000	.135	.019
35.2000	.135	.000
35.5000	.115	.000
35.9500	.096	.000
36.2500	.077	.000
36.4000	.058	.000
36.6000	.038	.000
37.7000	.000	.000

The test result variable(s): ODP has at least one tie between the positive actual state group and the negative actual state group.

a. The smallest cutoff value is the minimum observed test value minus 1, and the largest cutoff value is the maximum observed test value plus 1. All the other cutoff values are the averages of two consecutive ordered observed test values.

Crosstabs

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:30:38
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
	Cases Used	Statistics for each table are based on all the cases with valid data in the specified range(s) for all variables in each table.
Syntax		CROSSTABS /TABLES=kategoriODP BY inkontinensiaurin /FORMAT=AVALUE TABLES /STATISTICS=CHISQ RISK /CELLS=COUNT ROW /COUNT ROUND CELL.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,00

Elapsed Time	00:00:00,03
Dimensions Requested	2
Cells Available	131029

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
kategoriODP * inkontinensiaurin	104	100.0%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%

kategoriODP * inkontinensiaurin Crosstabulation

			inkontinensiaurin		
			ya	tidak	Total
kategoriODP	<27,03	Count	44	8	52
		% within kategoriODP	84.6%	15.4%	100.0%
	>27,03	Count	8	44	52
		% within kategoriODP	15.4%	84.6%	100.0%
Total		Count	52	52	104
		% within kategoriODP	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	49.846[a]	1	.000		
Continuity Correction[b]	47.115	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	54.875	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	49.367	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	104				

a. 0 cells (,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 26,00.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for kategoriODP (<27,03 / >27,03)	30.250	10.424	87.781
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = ya	5.500	2.877	10.513
For cohort inkontinensiaurin = tidak	.182	.095	.348
N of Valid Cases	104		

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:32:21
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing

Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER paritas /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,03
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,03

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	14.685	1	.000

	Block	14.685	1	.000
	Model	14.685	1	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	129.490[a]	.132	.176

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	50	2	96.2
		tidak	36	16	30.8
	Overall Percentage				63.5

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95% C.I.for EXP(B) Lower
Step 1[a]	paritas	2.408	.781	9.501	1	.002	11.111	2.403
	Constant	-2.736	.868	9.936	1	.002	.065	

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B) Upper
Step 1[a]	paritas	51.371

	Constant	

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: paritas.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	paritas
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.970
	paritas	-.970	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:33:03
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER paritas Metodepersalinan /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,03

Elapsed Time	00:00:00,11

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	paritas	13.168	1	.000
		Metodepersalinan	18.739	1	.000
	Overall Statistics		23.308	2	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	26.676	2	.000
	Block	26.676	2	.000
	Model	26.676	2	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	117.499[a]	.226	.302

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

Observed			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage Correct
			ya	tidak	
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	47	5	90.4
		tidak	21	31	59.6
	Overall Percentage				75.0

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	paritas	1.873	.821	5.205	1	.023	6.510
	Metodepersalinan	1.829	.568	10.356	1	.001	6.229
	Constant	-4.412	1.083	16.597	1	.000	.012

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
		Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	paritas	1.302	32.546
	Metodepersalinan	2.045	18.980
	Constant		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: paritas, Metodepersalinan.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	paritas	Metodepersalinan
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.754	-.540
	paritas	-.754	1.000	-.105
	Metodepersalinan	-.540	-.105	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:33:44
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER paritas Metodepersalinan KelompokIMT /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,02

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

Observed			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage Correct
			ya	tidak	
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	paritas	13.168	1	.000

	Metodepersalinan	18.739	1	.000
	KelompokIMT	1.885	1	.170
Overall Statistics		27.970	3	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	32.897	3	.000
	Block	32.897	3	.000
	Model	32.897	3	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	111.277[a]	.271	.362

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	47	5	90.4
		tidak	21	31	59.6
	Overall Percentage				75.0

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	paritas	2.047	.851	5.787	1	.016	7.741
	Metodepersalinan	2.148	.613	12.278	1	.000	8.571
	KelompokIMT	1.184	.495	5.717	1	.017	3.266
	Constant	-6.791	1.584	18.377	1	.000	.001

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
		Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	paritas	1.461	41.013
	Metodepersalinan	2.577	28.504
	KelompokIMT	1.238	8.617
	Constant		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: paritas, Metodepersalinan, KelompokIMT.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	paritas	Metodepersalinan	KelompokIMT
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.626	-.584	-.710
	paritas	-.626	1.000	-.051	.140
	Metodepersalinan	-.584	-.051	1.000	.309
	KelompokIMT	-.710	.140	.309	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created	20-AUG-2022 01:34:36

Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER paritas Metodepersalinan KelompokIMT beratbayi /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,03
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,03

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value

ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	paritas	13.168	1	.000
		Metodepersalinan	18.739	1	.000
		KelompokIMT	1.885	1	.170
		beratbayi	2.830	1	.093
	Overall Statistics		28.657	4	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	33.915	4	.000
	Block	33.915	4	.000
	Model	33.915	4	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	110.260[a]	.278	.371

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	47	5	90.4
		tidak	21	31	59.6
	Overall Percentage				75.0

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	paritas	1.974	.852	5.370	1	.020	7.196

	Metodepersalinan	2.138	.612	12.220	1	.000	8.483
	KelompokIMT	1.112	.497	4.994	1	.025	3.040
	beratbayi	1.289	1.383	.869	1	.351	3.629
	Constant	-9.117	3.131	8.479	1	.004	.000

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
		Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	paritas	1.356	38.199
	Metodepersalinan	2.558	28.127
	KelompokIMT	1.146	8.059
	beratbayi	.241	54.559
	Constant		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: paritas, Metodepersalinan, KelompokIMT, beratbayi.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	paritas	Metodepersalinan	KelompokIMT	beratbayi
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.261	-.365	-.330	-.861
	paritas	-.261	1.000	-.052	.148	-.067
	Metodepersalinan	-.365	-.052	1.000	.294	.083
	KelompokIMT	-.330	.148	.294	1.000	-.035
	beratbayi	-.861	-.067	.083	-.035	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created	20-AUG-2022 01:35:37
Comments	

Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER kategoriODP /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,05
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,05

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

Observed			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage Correct
			ya	tidak	
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	kategoriODP	49.846	1	.000
	Overall Statistics		49.846	1	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	54.875	1	.000
	Block	54.875	1	.000
	Model	54.875	1	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	89.299[a]	.410	.547

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	44	8	84.6
		tidak	8	44	84.6
	Overall Percentage				84.6

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95% C.I.for EXP(B)
								Lower
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	3.409	.544	39.345	1	.000	30.250	10.424
	Constant	-5.114	.859	35.411	1	.000	.006	

Variables in the Equation

	95% C.I.for EXP(B)

		Upper
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	87.781
	Constant	

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: kategoriODP.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	kategoriODP
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.949
	kategoriODP	-.949	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:36:12
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing

Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER kategoriODP paritas /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,03
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,03

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

Observed	Predicted

			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage Correct
			ya	tidak	
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	kategoriODP	49.846	1	.000
		paritas	13.168	1	.000
	Overall Statistics		50.047	2	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	55.279	2	.000
	Block	55.279	2	.000
	Model	55.279	2	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	88.895[a]	.412	.550

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

Observed			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage Correct
			ya	tidak	
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	44	8	84.6
		tidak	8	44	84.6
	Overall Percentage				84.6

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95% C.I.for EXP(B) Lower
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	3.245	.592	30.080	1	.000	25.667	8.048
	paritas	.539	.875	.380	1	.538	1.714	.309
	Constant	-5.489	1.074	26.121	1	.000	.004	

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B) Upper
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	81.851
	paritas	9.517
	Constant	

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: kategoriODP, paritas.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	kategoriODP	paritas
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.465	-.599
	kategoriODP	-.465	1.000	-.391
	paritas	-.599	-.391	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:36:49
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER kategoriODP paritas Metodepersalinan /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,02

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	kategoriODP	49.846	1	.000
		paritas	13.168	1	.000
		Metodepersalinan	18.739	1	.000
	Overall Statistics		50.057	3	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	55.297	3	.000
	Block	55.297	3	.000
	Model	55.297	3	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	88.877[a]	.412	.550

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

Observed			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage Correct
			ya	tidak	
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	44	8	84.6
		tidak	8	44	84.6
	Overall Percentage				84.6

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	3.197	.686	21.705	1	.000	24.465
	paritas	.522	.883	.349	1	.555	1.686
	Metodepersalinan	.102	.751	.018	1	.892	1.107
	Constant	-5.528	1.114	24.637	1	.000	.004

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
		Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	6.374	93.908
	paritas	.298	9.523
	Metodepersalinan	.254	4.820
	Constant		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: kategoriODP, paritas, Metodepersalinan.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	kategoriODP	paritas	Metodepersalinan
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.253	-.535	-.264
	kategoriODP	-.253	1.000	-.263	-.506
	paritas	-.535	-.263	1.000	-.141
	Metodepersalinan	-.264	-.506	-.141	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:37:21
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER kategoriODP paritas Metodepersalinan KelompokIMT /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,05
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,05

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0

Unselected Cases	0	.0
Total	104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	kategoriODP	49.846	1	.000
		paritas	13.168	1	.000
		Metodepersalinan	18.739	1	.000
		KelompokIMT	1.885	1	.170
	Overall Statistics		52.612	4	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	60.443	4	.000
	Block	60.443	4	.000
	Model	60.443	4	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	83.732[a]	.441	.588

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	44	8	84.6
		tidak	8	44	84.6

	Overall Percentage			84.6

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	3.286	.732	20.177	1	.000	26.737
	paritas	.674	.901	.558	1	.455	1.961
	Metodepersalinan	.431	.791	.297	1	.586	1.539
	KelompokIMT	1.345	.633	4.514	1	.034	3.839
	Constant	-8.273	1.876	19.444	1	.000	.000

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
		Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	6.374	112.151
	paritas	.335	11.473
	Metodepersalinan	.327	7.248
	KelompokIMT	1.110	13.283
	Constant		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: kategoriODP, paritas, Metodepersalinan, KelompokIMT.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	kategoriODP	paritas	Metodepersalinan	KelompokIMT
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.332	-.387	-.315	-.795
	kategoriODP	-.332	1.000	-.245	-.448	.237
	paritas	-.387	-.245	1.000	-.104	.081
	Metodepersalinan	-.315	-.448	-.104	1.000	.192
	KelompokIMT	-.795	.237	.081	.192	1.000

Logistic Regression

Notes

Output Created		20-AUG-2022 01:38:04
Comments		
Input	Data	C:\Users\adi prakoso\Desktop\hasil obgyn\data obgyn part 2.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	104
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing
Syntax		LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES inkontinensiaurin /METHOD=ENTER kategoriODP paritas Metodepersalinan KelompokIMT beratbayi /PRINT=CORR CI(95) /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5).
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00,02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00,02

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases[a]		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	104	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	104	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		104	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
ya	0
tidak	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table[a,b]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 0	inkontinensiaurin	ya	0	52	.0
		tidak	0	52	100.0
	Overall Percentage				50.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.000	.196	.000	1	1.000	1.000

Variables not in the Equation

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	kategoriODP	49.846	1	.000

	paritas	13.168	1	.000
	Metodepersalinan	18.739	1	.000
	KelompokIMT	1.885	1	.170
	beratbayi	2.830	1	.093
Overall Statistics		52.674	5	.000

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	60.696	5	.000
	Block	60.696	5	.000
	Model	60.696	5	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	83.479[a]	.442	.589

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 6 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table[a]

			Predicted		
			inkontinensiaurin		Percentage
	Observed		ya	tidak	Correct
Step 1	inkontinensiaurin	ya	44	8	84.6
		tidak	8	44	84.6
	Overall Percentage				84.6

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	3.250	.733	19.661	1	.000	25.781
	paritas	.646	.903	.512	1	.474	1.908
	Metodepersalinan	.448	.789	.323	1	.570	1.566
	KelompokIMT	1.310	.634	4.263	1	.039	3.706
	beratbayi	.904	1.871	.234	1	.629	2.471
	Constant	-9.940	4.118	5.827	1	.016	.000

Variables in the Equation

		95% C.I.for EXP(B)	
		Lower	Upper
Step 1[a]	kategoriODP	6.130	108.424
	paritas	.325	11.196
	Metodepersalinan	.333	7.355
	KelompokIMT	1.069	12.850
	beratbayi	.063	96.752
	Constant		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: kategoriODP, paritas, Metodepersalinan, KelompokIMT, beratbayi.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	kategoriODP	paritas	Metodepersalinan	KelompokIMT
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.118	-.115	-.224	-.353
	kategoriODP	-.118	1.000	-.240	-.451	.242
	paritas	-.115	-.240	1.000	-.103	.091
	Metodepersalinan	-.224	-.451	-.103	1.000	.182
	KelompokIMT	-.353	.242	.091	.182	1.000
	beratbayi	-.888	-.041	-.073	.092	-.014

Correlation Matrix

		beratbayi
Step 1	Constant	-.888
	kategoriODP	-.041
	paritas	-.073
	Metodepersalinan	.092
	KelompokIMT	-.014
	beratbayi	1.000