

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Raja S, Carr D, Cohen M, Et All. The Revised IASP definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Journal Of Pain*. 2020; 161(9): 1976–1982.
2. Macintyre, PE, Schug, SA, Acute Pain Management A Practical Guide Fourth Edition, Textbook, International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-4822-3350-6, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, 2015
3. Butterworth J, Mackey D, Wasnick J. Morgan & Mikhails Clinical Anesthesiology. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. New York: MC Graw Hills; 2018
4. Wang YL, Liu YQ, Ni H, Zhang LX, Ding L, Tong F, et al. 2021. Ultrasound-guided, direct suprainguinal injection for fascia iliaca block for total hip arthroplasty: A retrospective study. *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v9.i15.3567
5. Edward KL, Muncie HL, Leanne LL, 2014. Hip Fracture: Diagnosis, Treatment, and Secondary Prevention. this article, is available at <http://www.aafp.org/afp/2014/0615/p945-s1.html>.
6. Yam Et all 2018 General Pathways of Pain Sensation and the Major Neurotransmitters Involved in Pain Regulation
7. Brown CA, Starr AZ, Nunley JA. Analysis of past secular trends of hip fractures and predicted number in the future 2010-2050. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012;26 (2):117-122.
8. Anger M, Valovska T, Beloeil H, Lirk P, Joshi GP, Vande VM, Raeder J. 2021. Guidelines PROSPECT guideline for total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and procedure-specific postoperative pain management recommendations. *Anesthesia* 2021, 76, 1082–1097. doi:10.1111/anae.15498

Antsoudis SG, Cozowicz C, Bekeris J, et al. Anaesthetic care of patients undergoing primary hip and knee arthroplasty: consensus recommendations from



- the International Consensus on Anaesthesia-Related Outcomes after Surgery group (ICAROS) based on a systematic review and metaanalysis. British Journal of Anaesthesia 2019; 123: 269–87.
10. Kuchálik J, Magnuson A, Lundin A, et al. Local infiltration analgesia or femoral nerve block for postoperative pain management in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty. A randomized, double-blind study. Scand J Pain 2017;26:223–30.
  11. Vermeylen K, Soetens F, Leunen I et al. The effect of the volume of supra-inguinal injected solution on the spread of the injectate under the fascia iliaca: a preliminary study. J Anesth 2018; 32: 908e13
  12. Tanra AH, Rehatta NM, Musba AMT. Nyeri pascabedah. Dalam : Penatalaksanaan nyeri. Makassar : Departemen Anestesi, Terapi Intensif, dan Manajemen Nyeri Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Hasanuddin; 2013. p.44-9.
  13. Gottschalk A, Smith DS. New concepts in acute pain therapy: preemptive analgesia. Am Fam Physic. 2001;63(1):1979-87.
  14. Raf FDJ,Kris VC, Theo MF, Leo HDJB, Catharina SDD, René JH. MD. 2003. The Role of Interleukin-6 in Nociception and Pain. Anesthesia & Analgesia [96\(4\):p1096-1103,April 2003](#).DOI: 10.1213/01.ANE.0000055362.56604.78
  15. Woolf CJ, Costigan M. Transcriptional and posttranslational plasticity and the generation of inflammatory pain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999; 96: 7723–30.
  16. Sheu JY, Kulhanek DJ, Eckenstein FP. Differential patterns of ERK and STAT3 phosphorylation after sciatic nerve transsection in the rat. Exp Neurol 2000; 166: 392–402.
  17. Holzheimer RG, Steinmetz W. Local and systemic concentrations of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines in human wounds. Eur J Med Res 2000; 18: 347–55.



a A. Pain: A Review of Interleukin-6 and Its Roles in the Pain of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Open Access Rheumatology: Research and Reviews. 2021; 13: 31–41 DOI: 10.2147/OARRR.S291388

19. Xia W, Peng GY, Sheng JT, Zhu FF, Guo FJ, Chen WQ, Neuroprotective effect of interleukin-6 regulation of voltage-gated Na<sup>+</sup> channels of cortical neurons is time- and dose-dependent. *Neural Regen Res.* 2015 Apr; 10(4): 610–617. DOI: 10.4103/1673-5374.155436
20. Cherobin, ACFP and Tavares, GT. Safety of local anesthetics. *An Bras Dermatol.* 2020 Jan-Feb; 95(1): 82–90. Published online : 2019 Dec 18. DOI: [10.1016/j.abd.2019.09.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abd.2019.09.025)
21. Haefeli M, Elfering A. 2005. Review Pain Assessment. *Eur Spine J* (2006) 15: S17–S24 DOI: 10.1007/s00586-005-1044-x
22. *Turk DC, Dworkin RH, Revicki D, Harding G, Burke LB, Cella D, Cleeland CS, Cowan P, Farrar JT, Hertz S, Max MB, Rappaport BA.* 2008. *Identifying important outcome domains for chronic pain clinical trials: an IMMPACT survey of people with pain.* Jul 15;137(2):276-285. PMID: 17937976
23. Moore KL, Dalley AF, Agur AM. Clinically oriented anatomy. Baltimore: Lipincott Williams and Wilkins; 2010
24. Bouaziz H, Vial F, Jochum D et al. An evaluation of the cutaneous distribution after obturator nerve block. *Anesth Analg* 2002; 94: 445e9
25. Pandya M, Jhanwar S. Comparative study of fascia iliaca compartment block and three in one block for post-operative analgesia in patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgeries. *Indian J Pain* 2014; 28: 129e33
26. Ueshima H, Otake H. Supra-inguinal fascia iliaca block under ultrasound guidance for perioperative analgesia during bipolar hip arthroplasty in a patient with severe cardiovascular compromise: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018 Oct;97(40):e12746. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000012746. Retraction in: *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020 Apr;99(17):e20195. PMID: 30290689; PMCID: PMC76200485.

n-Arango L, Peng P, Chin KJ, Brull R, Perlas A. Peri- capsular Nerve Group (PCNG) block for hip fracture. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2018; 43:859e63



28. Scottish standards of care for hip fracture patients. 2018. Available from: [https://www.shfa.scot.nhs.uk/\\_docs/2018/Scottish-standards-of-care-for-hip-fracture-patients-2018.pdf](https://www.shfa.scot.nhs.uk/_docs/2018/Scottish-standards-of-care-for-hip-fracture-patients-2018.pdf). [Accessed 7 September 2018]
29. Atchabahian A, Leunen I, Vandepitte C, Lopez AM. 2023. Ultrasound Guided Fascia Iliaca Nerve Block. Internet:[https://www.nysora.com/ultrasound-guided-fascia-iliaca-block/#toc\\_ULTRASOUND-ANATOMY](https://www.nysora.com/ultrasound-guided-fascia-iliaca-block/#toc_ULTRASOUND-ANATOMY)
30. Hadzic A: Blok Saraf Periferal dan Anatomi Hadzic untuk Anestesi Regional yang Dipandu Ultrasound, edisi ke-2. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2011.
31. Guay J, Johnson RL, Kopp S. Nerve blocks or no nerve blocks for pain control after elective hip replacement (arthroplasty) surgery in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;10:CD011608
32. Johnson RL, Amundson AW, Abdel MP, et al. Continuous posterior lumbar plexus nerve block versus periarticular injection with ropivacaine or liposomal bupivacaine for total hip arthroplasty: a three-arm randomized clinical trial. J Bone Joint Sur Am 2017;99:1836–45.
33. Steenberg J, Moller AM. Systematic review of the effect of fascia iliaca compartment block on hip fracture patients before operation. Br J Anaesth 2018;120:1368–80.
34. Amato PE, Thames MR, 2020. How I Do It: Supra-inguinal fascia iliaca block.<https://doi.org/10.52211/asra110120.063>. Internet:<https://www.asra.com/newspublications/asra-newsletter/newsletter-item/asranews/2020/11/01/how-i-do-it-suprainguinal-fascia-iliaca-block>
35. Major J, Narayanan M. 2023. Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block: An Update. Jurnal. ATOTW tutorials by visiting <https://resources.wfsahq.org/anaesthesia-tutorial-of-the-week/>



ns B, Vanneuville G, Tanguy A. Comparison of the fascia iliaca compartment block with the 3-in-1 block in children. Anesth Analg. 1989;69(6):705-13.

oard P, Ivanusic J, Sha S. Ultrasound-guided supra-inguinal fascia iliaca block: a laveric evaluation of a novel approach. Anaesthesia. 2011;66(4):300-305.

38. Grosu I, Lavand'homme P. 2015. Continuous regional anesthesia and inflammation: a new target. Department of Anesthesiology, St Luc Hospital UCL Medical School, Brussels, Belgium. Vol. 81 - No. 9. P : 1001-1009
39. Zhu K, Zheng F, Wang C, Ding L. 2022. Effect of Ultrasound-Guided Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block on Serum NLRP3 and Inflammatory Factors in Patients with Femoral Intertrochanteric Fracture. Hindawi Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine Volume 2022, Article ID 1944659, 6 pages.
40. Hollmann MW, Durieux ME. Local anesthetics and the inflammatory response:a new therapeutic indication? Anesthesiology 2000;93:858-75.
41. Carvalho B, Clark DJ, Angst MS. Local and systemic re-release of cytokines, nerve growth factor, prostaglandin E2, and substance P in incisional wounds and serum following cesarean delivery. J Pain 2008;9:650-7.
42. Kumar K, Pandey KR, Bhalla AP, Kashyap L, Garg R, Darlong V, Malhotra R, Yadav CS. 2015. Comparison of conventional infrainguinal versus modified proximal suprainguinal approach of Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block for postoperative analgesia in Total Hip Arthroplasty. A prospective randomized study. Acta Anaesth. Belg., 2015, 66, 95-100.
43. Desmet M., Vermeylen K., Van Herreweghe I., Carlier L., Soetens F., Lambrecht S., Croes K., Pottel H., Van de Velde M. Blok kompartemen fasia iliaka suprainguinal longitudinal mengurangi konsumsi morfin setelah artroplasti panggul total. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2017; **42** :327–333.doi:10.1097/AAP.0000000000000543.
44. Gola W, Bialka S, Owczarek AJ, Misiolek H. 2021. Effectiveness of Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block after Elective Total Hip Replacement: A Prospective, Randomized, Controlled Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 4891.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18094891>

Chinnamury P, Girish MN, Dileep PK. 2022. Ultrasound guided Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block versus Pericapsular Nerve Group for Postoperative Analgesia Prior to Spinal



- Anaesthesia for Hip Surgeries. International Journal of Health Sciences, 6(S1), 5084-5092.
46. Cagla B, Ozmete O. 2021. Supra-inguinal fascia iliaca block in older-old for patients hip fractures: A retrospective study. Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology 2023;73(6):711---717.
  47. Shariat AN, Hadzic A, Xu D, Shastri U, Kwofie K, Gandhi K, dkk. 2013. Fascia iliaca block for analgesia after hip arthroplasty: A randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2013;38:201-5.
  48. Qian Y, Guo Z, Huang J, Zhang Q, An X, Hu H, Zhu F, Wang X. 2020. Electromyographic Comparison of the Efficacy of Ultrasound-guided Suprainguinal and Infrainguinal Fascia Iliaca Compartment Block for Blockade of the Obturator Nerve in Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. The Clinical Journal of Pain Publish Ahead of Print.P : 1-24.
  49. Vermeylen K, Desmet M, Leunen I, Soetens F, Neyrinck A, Carens D et al. Suprainguinal injection for fascia iliaca compartment block results in more consistent spread towards the lumbar plexus than an infra-inguinal injection: a volunteer study. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2019;44:483–491. doi:10.1136/rapm-2018-100092
  50. Bouaziz H, Vial F, Jochum D, et al. An evaluation of the cutaneous distribution after obturator nerve block. Anesth Analg 2002;94:445–9.



## Lampiran 1 . Dokumentasi Kegiatan Penelitian



**Gambar 1.** Sampel dan penentuan jenis blok yang akan diberikan.



**Gambar 2.** Pengukuran kekuatan otot pasien diruang perawatan, sebelum tindakan operasi dengan menggunakan alat *hendel dynamimeter microfet 2*.



**Gambar 3.** Pengukuran kekuatan otot pasien diruang operasi, sebelum tindakan operasi dengan menggunakan alat *hendel dynamimeter microfet 2*.



**Gambar 4.** Pengukuran kekuatan otot pasien diruang operasi, sebelum tindakan operasi dengan menggunakan alat *hendel dynamimeter microfet 2*.





**Gambar 5.** Tindakan blok saraf perifer pada pasien setelah tindakan operasi.




**Gambar 6.** Tindakan blok saraf perifer pada pasien setelah tindakan operasi.



**Gambar 7.** Pengukuran kembali kekuatan otot pada pasien setelah tindakan blok saraf perifer diruangan pasca operasi THA.



**Gambar 8.** Tampilan hasil pengukuran otot dengan menggunakan *hendel dynamometer microfet 2*.



**Gambar 9.** Proses pengolahan sampel penelitian di Laboratorium RSPTN UNHAS Lantai 6



**Gambar 10.** Proses pengisian reagen IL-6 dan serum sampel di Laboratorium RSPTN UNHAS Lantai 6.





**Gambar 11.** Alat Elisa perhitungan kadar IL-6



## Lampiran 2. Surat Etik Penelitian dan Izin Penelitian

 KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu JL PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245. Contact Person: dr. Agusalim Bukhari.,MMed,PhD, SpGK Telp. 081241850858, 0411 5780103, Fax : 0411-581431			
<b>REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK</b>			
Nomor : 230/UN4.6.4.5.31/ PP36/ 2024			
Tanggal: 2 April 2024			
Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan Dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :			
No Protokol	UH24030184	No Sponsor	
Peneliti Utama	<b>dr. Idhul Ade Rikit Fitra</b>	Sponsor	
Judul Peneliti	PERBANDINGAN ANTARA SUPRAINGUINAL FASCIA ILIACA BLOK (S-FIB) DENGAN INFRAINGUINAL FASCIA ILIACA BLOK (I-FIB) TERHADAP SKOR NUMERIC RATING SCALE (NRS) DAN INTERLEUKIN-6 (IL-6) PADA PASIEN YANG MENJALANI OPERASI TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTY (THA) DENGAN SPINAL ANE		
No Protokol	Versi 2	Tanggal Versi	<b>2 April 2024</b>
No Versi PSP	2	Tanggal Versi	<b>2 April 2024</b>
Tempat Penelitian	RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Makassar		
Jenis Review	<input type="checkbox"/> Exempted <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fullboard Tanggal <b>27 Maret 2024</b>	Masa Berlaku <b>3 April 2024</b> sampai <b>3 April 2025</b>	Frekuensi review lanjutan
Ketua KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	<b>Prof. dr. Muh Nasrum Massi,PhD,SpMK, Subsp, Bakt(K)</b>		
Sekretaris KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	<b>dr. Firdaus Hamid, PhD, SpMK(K)</b>		
Kewajiban Peneliti Utama: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan</li> <li>• Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 Jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Lapor SUSAR dalam 72 Jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan</li> <li>• Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah</li> <li>• Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir</li> <li>• Melaporkan penyimpangan dari protokol yang disetujui (protocol deviation / violation)</li> <li>• Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan</li> </ul>			

### Lampiran 3. Data Hasil Penelitian

No.	KELOMPOK	STATUS GIZI	Usia (Tahun)	Jenis Kelamin	BLOK	NRS_0	NRS_4	NRS_6	NRS_12 & 24	IL6_SP0	IL6_SP1	IL6_SP2	MMS_0	MMS_4	MMS_6	MMS_12 & 24	MMS_Durasi	Rescue
1	1	Gizi Lebih	32	Pria	I-FIB	4	0	1	3	143	88	98	6.4	2.2	2.6	10.4	10'	Tidak
3	1	Gizi Lebih	49	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	0	1	336	303	330	1.2	0	0.9	1.2	10'	Tidak
4	1	Obesitas Grade I	52	Wanita	I-FIB	4	0	0	0	312	279	314	1.4	0	1.1	1.8	12'	Tidak
5	1	Obesitas Grade I	55	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	0	0	342	340	391	6.2	1.2	1.4	10.2	11'	Tidak
20	1	Gizi Lebih	61	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	1	2	38	127	63	1.2	0.8	0.9	1.4	10'	Tidak
21	1	Gizi Lebih	60	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	2	3	96	66	42	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.8	10'	Tidak
22	1	Gizi Lebih	60	Pria	I-FIB	3	0	2	4	87	119	50	4.2	1.2	1.4	6	11'	Ya
23	1	Gizi Lebih	65	Pria	I-FIB	3	1	1	1	59	55	59	6.2	2.3	4.2	8.2	12'	Tidak
24	1	Obesitas Grade I	74	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	0	2	51	45	48	1.4	0	1.2	1.8	10'	Tidak
25	1	Gizi Lebih	76	Wanita	I-FIB	4	0	3	6	642	657	780	1.2	0	1.4	1.6	10'	Ya
26	1	Obesitas Grade I	52	Wanita	I-FIB	3	2	4	6	895	867	674	1.4	0	1.2	1.8	12'	Ya
27	1	Gizi Lebih	67	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	0	2	171	164	195	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.4	10'	Tidak
28	1	Obesitas Grade I	69	Wanita	I-FIB	3	0	0	3	156	172	193	1.2	0.8	1.4	1.6	10'	Tidak
29	1	Gizi Lebih	70	Wanita	I-FIB	4	0	3	4	791	76	57	6	2.4	2.6	10.4	10'	Ya
30	1	Gizi Normal	62	Wanita	I-FIB	4	0	0	3	158	180	200	1.4	0.5	0.7	1.4	10'	Tidak
2	2	Gizi Normal	29	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	1	44	44	54	1.4	2.4	2.6	2.7	12'	Tidak
6	2	Gizi Lebih	65	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	45	39	57	2.4	2.4	6.7	10.4	11'	Tidak
7	2	Gizi Lebih	78	Wanita	S-FIB	4	0	0	0	76	62	70	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.6	10'	Tidak
8	2	Gizi Lebih	60	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	61	59	33	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.7	10'	Tidak
9	2	Gizi Normal	43	Pria	S-FIB	2	0	0	1	43	63	65	6.8	6.7	6.9	10.4	10'	Tidak
10	2	Gizi Normal	61	Pria	S-FIB	4	0	0	0	58	51	80	4.2	4.3	6.3	7	14'	Tidak
11	2	Obesitas Grade I	64	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	49	62	59	1.4	1.6	1.8	3	12'	Tidak
12	2	Gizi Lebih	64	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	90	86	78	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	10'	Tidak
13	2	Gizi Lebih	60	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	43	48	46	1	1.1	1.4	1.8	10'	Tidak
14	2	Gizi Lebih	45	Pria	S-FIB	4	0	0	1	43	42	52	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.4	10'	Tidak
15	2	Obesitas Grade I	82	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	56	53	46	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.6	10'	Tidak
16	2	Gizi Lebih	51	Wanita	S-FIB	4	0	0	0	56	41	46	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	10'	Tidak
17	2	Obesitas Grade I	78	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	40	35	48	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.8	10'	Tidak
18	2	Obesitas Grade I	67	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	50	59	42	1	1.1	1.4	1.7	11'	Tidak
19	2	Obesitas Grade I	50	Wanita	S-FIB	3	0	0	0	41	44	39	6.2	6.8	6.7	10.2	12'	Tidak



**Lampiran 4. Data Hasil Pemeriksaan Laboratorium Kadar IL-6 dengan metode ELISA**

*****												
Test items: IL-6 BT Lab							Plate No.: 245					
Main wavelength: 450							cut-off:					
Sub wavelength:							Tester: 1000					
Testing time: 2024-06-19 12:25:19							Report time: 19/06/2024 12:26:22					
Review:												
Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Result	STD1	3	11	19	27	35	43	51	59	67	75	83
OD value	320,0000	98,2135	279,3989	76,2302	65,8976	86,3856	56,2502	48,8953	127,6182	59,9882	780,7139	172,7896
Conc	1,4181	0,5881	1,2938	0,4782	0,4238	0,5299	0,3712	0,3298	0,7245	0,3918	2,3888	0,9147
Type	STD2	4	12	20	28	36	44	52	60	68	76	84
Result	160,0000	44,0584	314,8624	62,2449	58,3851	78,0133	53,9537	50,7054	63,6855	55,7821	895,1226	193,8990
OD value	0,8631	0,3019	1,4030	0,4041	0,3830	0,4874	0,3584	0,3401	0,4119	0,3686	2,5517	0,9968
Conc	160,0000	44,0584	314,8624	62,2449	58,3851	78,0133	53,9537	50,7054	63,6855	55,7821	895,1226	193,8990
Type	STD3	5	13	21	29	37	45	53	61	69	77	85
Result	80,0000	44,1099	342,9537	70,3168	51,1114	43,2531	46,7509	59,2037	96,8097	59,1490	867,0837	791,9755
OD value	0,4971	0,3022	1,4846	0,4473	0,3424	0,2972	0,3175	0,3875	0,5813	0,3872	2,5137	2,4058
Conc	80,0000	44,1099	342,9537	70,3168	51,1114	43,2531	46,7509	59,2037	96,8097	59,1490	867,0837	791,9755
Type	STD4	6	14	22	30	38	46	54	62	70	78	86
Result	40,0000	54,5439	340,7340	61,8767	80,9625	48,7903	56,9720	42,3655	66,9446	51,2881	674,1766	76,7331
OD value	0,2786	0,3617	1,4783	0,4021	0,5025	0,3292	0,3752	0,2920	0,4294	0,3434	2,2160	0,4808
Conc	40,0000	54,5439	340,7340	61,8767	80,9625	48,7903	56,9720	42,3655	66,9446	51,2881	674,1766	76,7331
Type	STD5	7	15	23	31	39	47	55	63	71	79	87
Result	20,0000	336,3556	391,0331	59,9882	49,7548	46,3867	41,6680	42,2777	42,0590	45,5390	171,4114	57,0623
OD value	0,1531	1,4658	1,6153	0,3918	0,3347	0,3154	0,2879	0,2856	0,2902	0,3105	0,9092	0,3757
Conc	20,0000	336,3556	391,0331	59,9882	49,7548	46,3867	41,6680	42,2777	42,0590	45,5390	171,4114	57,0623
Type	BLK	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88
Result	0,0000	303,0741	45,6426	33,4825	62,4292	43,8697	46,5774	44,9698	87,4453	48,4055	164,7343	158,3556
OD value	0,0000	1,3675	0,3111	0,2388	0,4051	0,3008	0,3165	0,3072	0,5352	0,3270	0,8823	0,8562
Conc	0,0000	303,0741	45,6426	33,4825	62,4292	43,8697	46,5774	44,9698	87,4453	48,4055	164,7343	158,3556
Type	1	9	17	25	33	41	49	57	65	73	81	89
Result	143,2849	330,3859	39,0169	43,4585	59,5136	42,4166	40,8880	39,5547	119,1404	642,2726	195,4820	180,6923
OD value	0,7929	1,4486	0,2722	0,2984	0,3892	0,2923	0,2833	0,2754	0,6863	2,1596	1,0028	0,9459
Conc	143,2849	330,3859	39,0169	43,4585	59,5136	42,4166	40,8880	39,5547	119,1404	642,2726	195,4820	180,6923
Type	2	10	18	26	34	42	50	58	66	74	82	90
Result	88,2675	312,5845	57,3698	63,9448	90,5846	52,4931	35,2562	38,5306	50,6525	657,1557	156,2751	200,8790
OD value	0,5393	1,3962	0,3774	0,4133	0,5508	0,3502	0,2496	0,2693	0,3398	2,1862	0,8476	1,0231
Conc	88,2675	312,5845	57,3698	63,9448	90,5846	52,4931	35,2562	38,5306	50,6525	657,1557	156,2751	200,8790

