

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abe, N., Suzuki, M., Mori, E., Itoh, M., & Fujii, T. (2007). *Deceiving Others: Distinct Neural Responses of the Prefrontal Cortex and Amygdala in Simple Fabrication and Deception with Social Interactions.*
- Aknin, L. B., Barrington-Leigh, C. P. ;, Dunn, E. W. ;, Helliwell, J. F. ;, Burns, J., Biswas-Diener, R. K. I., Nyende, P., Ashton-James, C. E. ;, & Norton, M. I. (2013). Supplemental Material for Prosocial Spending and Well-Being: Cross-Cultural Evidence for a Psychological Universal. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031578.supp>
- Aknin, L. B., Broesch, T., Kiley Hamlin, J., & Van De Vondervoort, J. W. (2015). Prosocial behavior leads to happiness in a small-scale rural society. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(4), 788–795. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000082>
- Altenburger, M. (2017). The Effect of Injunctive Social Norms and Dissent on Budget Reporting Honesty. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 16(2), 9–31.
- Altenburger, M. (2021). Mood and honesty in budget reporting. *Management Accounting Research*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2020.100707>
- Bersoff, D. M. (1999). *Motivated Reasoning and Unethical Behavior Why Good People Sometimes Do Bad Things: Motivated Reasoning and Unethical Behavior Diogenes Project.*
- Bower, G. H. (1981). *Mood and Memory.*
- Cardinaels, E. (2016). Earnings benchmarks, information systems, and their impact on the degree of honesty in managerial reporting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 52, 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2015.09.002>
- Chen, D. L., Schonger, M., & Wickens, C. (2016). oTree-An open-source platform for laboratory, online, and field experiments. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 9, 88–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2015.12.001>

- Church, B. K., Hannan, R. L., & Kuang, X. J. (2012). Shared interest and honesty in budget reporting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 37(3), 155–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2012.01.002>
- Cianci, A. M., & Bierstaker, J. L. (2009). The impact of positive and negative mood on the hypothesis generation and ethical judgments of auditors. *Auditing*, 28(2), 119–144. <https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2009.28.2.119>
- Dill, K. (2016). America's Best Employers 2016. Retrieved, 15, 2017.
- Dimotakis, N., Scott, B. A., & Koopman, J. (2011). An experience sampling investigation of workplace interactions, affective states, and employee well-being. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 32(4), 572–588. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.722>
- Ekkekakis, P. (2012). *Affect, Mood, and Emotion*.
- Evans, J. H., Hannan, R. L., Krishnan, R., & Moser, D. V. (2001). Honesty in Managerial Reporting. In *Source: The Accounting Review* (Vol. 76, Issue 4).
- Forgas, J. P., Dunn, E., & Granland, S. (2008). Are you being served...? An unobtrusive experiment of affective influences on helping in a department store. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 38(2), 333–342. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.455>
- Gallup, I. (2017). State of the American workplace. *Pobrane z Http://Www.Gallup.Com/Reports/199961/State-American-Workplace-Report-2017. Aspx*.
- Garrett, N., Lazzaro, S. C., Ariely, D., & Sharot, T. (2016). The brain adapts to dishonesty. *Nature Neuroscience*, 19(12), 1727–1732. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4426>
- Glassdoor. (2018). *Best places to work*. Glassdoor.com
- Handley, I. M., Lassiter, G. D., Nickell, E. F., & Herchenroeder, L. M. (2004). Affect and automatic mood maintenance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 40(1), 106–112. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031\(03\)00086-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031(03)00086-6)
- Hannan, R. L., Rankin, F. W., & Towry, K. L. (2006). The Effect of Information Systems on Honesty in Managerial Reporting: A Behavioral Perspective. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 23(4), 885–918. <https://doi.org/10.1506/8274-J871-2JTT-5210>

- Hansen, S. C., & Van der Stede, W. A. (2004). Multiple facets of budgeting: An exploratory analysis. *Management Accounting Research*, 15(4), 415–439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2004.08.001>
- Hirt, E. R., Devers, E. E., & McCrea, S. M. (2008). I Want to Be Creative: Exploring the Role of Hedonic Contingency Theory in the Positive Mood-Cognitive Flexibility Link. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94(2), 214–230. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.94.2.214>
- Judge, T. A., & Ilies, R. (2004). Affect and job satisfaction: A study of their relationship at work and at home. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(4), 661–673. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.4.661>
- Libby, T., & Lindsay, R. M. (2010). Beyond budgeting or budgeting reconsidered? A survey of North-American budgeting practice. *Management Accounting Research*, 21(1), 56–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2009.10.003>
- Martínez-Martí, M. L., & Ruch, W. (2014). Character strengths and well-being across the life span: Data from a representative sample of German-speaking adults living in Switzerland. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5(NOV). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01253>
- Matuszewski, L. J. (2010). Honesty in managerial reporting: Is it affected by perceptions of horizontal equity? *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 22(1), 233–250. <https://doi.org/10.2308/jmar.2010.22.1.233>
- Mayer, J. D., & Cavallaro, R. (2019). *Methods of Scoring the BMIS 1 Brief Mood Introspection Scale (BMIS): Technical and Scoring Manual (3rd Edition)*.
- Park, S. Q., Kahnt, T., Dogan, A., Strang, S., Fehr, E., & Tobler, P. N. (2017). A neural link between generosity and happiness. *Nature Communications*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15964>
- Paz, M., Reichert, B. E., & Woods, A. (2013). How does peer honesty affect focal manager honesty in a budget reporting setting? *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research*, 16, 85–114. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1475-1488\(2013\)0000016009](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1475-1488(2013)0000016009)
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia. (2010). PP No 71.
- Rankin, F. W., Schwartz, S. T., & Young, R. A. (2008). The effect of honesty and superior authority on budget proposals. *Accounting*

- Review, 83(4), 1083–1099.
<https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2008.83.4.1083>
- Rosdini, D. (2013). *Shared Financial Interest, Fairness, dan Kejujuran dalam Pelaporan Anggaran*. www.multiparadigma.lecture.ub.ac.id
- Schwartz, S. H., & Bardi, A. (2001). Value hierarchies across cultures: Taking a similarities perspective. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 32(3), 268–290.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022101032003002>
- Shastri, K., & Stout, D. E. (2008). Budgeting: Perspectives from the Real World. *Management Account*, 18–25.
- Shields, J. F., & Shields, M. D. (1998). Antecedents of Participative Budgeting. In *Organization and Society* (Vol. 23, Issue 1).
- Syarifuddin. (2011). Konstruksi Kebijakan Anggaran: Aksentuasi Drama Politik dan Kekuasaan (Studi Kasus Kabupaten Jembrana Bali). *Ekuitas*, 15, 307–331.
- Syarifuddin. (2015). The Dramaturgy of Politics and Power in Determining Budget Problem in District Jembrana, Bali. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 211, 375–382.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.049>
- Syarifuddin, & Yusuf, M. (2017). Dramaturgy Budget Policy Formulation: Intuitive Response of Politics and Power. *Acc. Fin. Review*, 2(3), 46–57. www.gatreenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html
- Ten Brinke, L., Lee, J. J., & Carney, D. R. (2015). The physiology of (dis)honesty: Does it impact health? In *Current Opinion in Psychology* (Vol. 6, pp. 177–182). Elsevier.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.08.004>
- Van der Stede, W. A. (2000). The relationship between two consequences of budgetary controls: budgetary slack creation and managerial short-term orientation. *Accounting, Organization and Society*, 609–622.
- Wegener, D. T., & Petty, R. E. (1994). *Interpersonal Relations and Group Processes Mood Management Across Affective States: The Hedonic Contingency Hypothesis*.
- Weiss, H. M., Nicholas, J. P., & Daus, C. S. (1999). An Examination of the Joint Effects of Affective Experiences and Job Beliefs on Job Satisfaction and Variations in Affective Experiences over Time. In

Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes (Vol. 78, Issue 1). <http://www.idealibrary.comon>

Weyant, J. M., Assang, B., Cain, A., Griffith, D., Grimm, S., McClure, D., Miller, S., Morgan, D., Platte, W., Sawyer, L., Shookoff, G., Stout, D.-B., & Zimmerman, S. (1978). Effects of Mood States, Costs, and Benefits on Helping. In *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 197S (Vol. 36, Issue 10).

Wijaya, T. (2020). Dampak Cognitive Moral Development Terhadap Kejujuran Pelaporan Anggaran. In *Jurnal Akuntansi Bisnis* (Vol. 18, Issue 1).

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Survey oTree

Survey

Please answer the following questions.

Nama

Umur

Gender

- Laki-Laki
- Perempuan

Pendidikan

- SMA
- S1
- S2
- S3
- Lainnya

Email

Nomer Telepon

Next

Lampiran 2. Ujian 1 oTree

Penguraian Kode

Time left to complete this page: 0:27

Soal ke 1

Jawaban Benar: 0, Jawaban Salah: 0.

Q	U	N	D	C	Y	J	W	E	B
3	6	2	9	8	4	7	5	1	0

20531

masukkan jawaban yang benar

Kirimkan

Check

Masukkan deretan huruf yang benar sesuai deretan angka yang diberikan dan label petunjuk. Anda dapat menulis dalam huruf besar atau kecil.

1 jawaban benar bernilai 100 poin

Lampiran 3. Ujian 2 oTree

Operasi Angka

Time left to complete this page: 0:58

Soal ke 1

Jawaban Benar: 0. Jawaban Salah: 0.

1 / 7 - 5

masukkan jawaban yang tepat

Kirimkan

Cheat

Tulis jawaban Anda dalam 2 angka desimal. Gunakan titik sebagai pemisah angka desimal. Anda dibolehkan menggunakan alat seperti kalkulator untuk membantu Anda.

1 jawaban benar bernilai 100 poin

Lampiran 4. Skala Instropeksi Mood oTree

Skala Instropeksi Suasana Hati

Instruksi:

Lingkari jawaban dibawah yang menunjukan kata sifat atau frase yg tepat untuk mengungkapkan perasaan mu saat ini.

1: Sudah pasti tidak merasakan, 2: Tidak merasakan, 3: Sedikit merasakan, 4: Pasti merasakan

Bersemangat	Bahagia	Sedih	Capek
<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4
Perhatian	Puas	Suram	Gelisah
<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4
Mengantuk	Kesal	Segar	Grogig
<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4
Please select one of these options.			
Tenang	penuh kasih	Kesal	aktif
<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4	<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3 <input type="radio"/> 4

Next

Lampiran 5. Gambaran Statistik

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
HonPosMood	52	-180.00	500.00	68.8846	154.06653
HonNeuMood	50	-180.00	500.00	65.7800	173.04621
HonNegMood	48	-500.00	1000.00	56.7500	237.66027
TOTAL	150			63.8300	186.34120

Lampiran 6. Uji Hipotesis 1

Group Statistics

	HonestyCoefPos	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
HonestyCoefNeg	>= 69	14	91.36	178.914	47.817
	< 69	34	42.50	259.070	44.430

Independent Samples Test

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances

	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
HonNegMood	Equal variances assumed	.324	.572	2.756	46
	Equal variances not assumed			2.879	43.760

Lampiran 7. Uji Hipotesis 2

Group Statistics

	HonestyCoefPos	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
HonestyCoefNeu	>= 69	14	161.57	220.823	59.017
	< 69	36	28.53	136.797	22.799

Independent Samples Test

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances

	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
HonNeuMood	Equal variances assumed	.287	.594	.807	48
	Equal variances not assumed			.798	39.264

Lampiran 8. Uji Hipotesis 3

Group Statistics

	HonestyCoefNeu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
HonestyCoefNeg	>= 66	16	63.56	127.950	31.988
	< 66	32	53.34	278.706	49.269

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances					
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	
HonNegMood	Equal variances assumed	16.241	.000	-.066	46	.948	
	Equal variances not assumed			-.059	22.640	.954	