

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahn, L. and Sheth, U. (2021) *Midshaft Clavicle Fractures - Trauma - Orthobullets, Orthobullets.com.*
- Angst, F. et al. (2011) 'Measures of adult shoulder function: Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire (DASH) and Its Short Version (QuickDASH), Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI), American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) Society Standardized Shoulder Assessment Form, Constant (Murley) Score (CS), Simple Shoulder Test (SST), Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS), Shoulder Disability Questionnaire', *Arthritis Care and Research*, 63(SUPPL. 11). doi: 10.1002/acr.20630.
- Clevio, D. (2018) 'A comparative study of outcome of displaced mid shaft clavicle fracture managed by operative versus conservative treatment', *International Journal of Orthopaedics Sciences*, 4(3.2), pp. 127–129. doi: 10.22271/ortho.2018.v4.i3c.22.
- Cole, P. A., Graves, S. C. and Schroder, L. K. (2015) 'Management of associated injuries (clavicle and scapula)', in *Injuries to the Chest Wall: Diagnosis and Management*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 163–190. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-18624-5\_15.
- Conboy, V. B. et al. (1996) 'An evaluation of the Constant-Murley shoulder assessment', *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series B*, 78(2), pp. 229–232. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.78b2.0780229.
- Constant, C. R. et al. (2008) 'A review of the Constant score: Modifications and guidelines for its use', *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*. J Shoulder Elbow Surg, pp. 355–361. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2007.06.022.
- Constant, C. R. and Murley, A. H. G. (1987) 'A clinical method of functional assessment of the shoulder', *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, No. 214(214), pp. 160–164. doi: 10.1097/00003086-198701000-00023.
- Ghozlan, I. et al. (2018) 'Influence of anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide on disease activity, structural severity, and bone loss in Moroccan women with rheumatoid arthritis', *Egyptian Rheumatologist*, 40(2), pp. 73–78. doi: 10.1016/j.ejr.2017.06.007.
- Hill, J. M., McGuire, M. H. and Crosby, L. A. (1997) 'Closed treatment of displaced middle-third fractures of the clavicle gives poor results', in *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series B*. J Bone Joint Surg Br, pp. 537–539. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.79B4.7529.
- Hillen, R. J. et al. (2012) 'The effect of experimental shortening of the clavicle on shoulder kinematics', *Clinical Biomechanics*, 27(8), pp. 777–781. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2012.05.003.

- Hoogervorst, P., van Schie, P. and van den Bekerom, M. P. J. (2018) 'Midshaft clavicle fractures: Current concepts', *EORT Open Reviews*, 3(6), pp. 374–380. doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.3.170033.
- Houwert, R. M. et al. (2016) 'Plate fixation or intramedullary fixation for midshaft clavicle fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies', *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*. Mosby Inc., pp. 1195–1203. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2016.01.018.
- Iannotti, J. P. et al. (1996) 'Postoperative assessment of shoulder function: a prospective study of full-thickness rotator cuff tears.', *Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery / American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons ... [et al.]*, 5(6), pp. 449–457. doi: 10.1016/S1058-2746(96)80017-6.
- Imm, D. (2014) *Clavicle Fracture. Sports injuries; Clavicle fracture treatment / Patient, patient.info.*
- Judd, D. B. et al. (2009) 'Acute operative stabilization versus nonoperative management of clavicle fractures.', *American journal of orthopedics (Belle Mead, N.J.)*, 38(7), pp. 341–345.
- Kleinhenz, B. P. (2019) *Clavicle Fractures: Practice Essentials, Background, Anatomy, Medscape.*
- Kong, L., Zhang, Y. and Shen, Y. (2014) 'Operative versus nonoperative treatment for displaced midshaft clavicular fractures: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials', *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery*, 134(11), pp. 1493–1500. doi: 10.1007/s00402-014-2077-6.
- Kumar, A. P. et al. (2017) 'A Comparative Study of Functional Outcome of Clavicular Fractures Treated By Operative and Non Operative MethodsA Comparative Study of Functional Outcome of Clavicular Fractures Treated By Operative and Non Operative Methods', *Annals of International medical and Dental Research*, 3(4). doi: 10.21276/aimdr.2017.3.4.or1.
- Kumar Jha, G. (2018) 'Conservative Vs Operative Management of Displaced Midshaft Clavicle Fracture: A Comparative Study', *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, 11(1). doi: 10.26717/bjstr.2018.11.002051.
- Langenhan, R., Reimers, N. and Probst, A. (2014) 'Intramedulläre Stabilisation von dislozierten Klavikulaschaftfrakturen - Hat die Frakturform (einfach vs. komplex) Einfluss auf das anatomische und funktionelle Ergebnis?', *Zeitschrift fur Orthopadie und Unfallchirurgie*, 152(6), pp. 588–595. doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1383206.
- Ledger, M. et al. (2005) 'Short malunions of the clavicle: An anatomic and functional study', *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 14(4), pp. 349–354. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2004.09.011.

- Leroux, T. *et al.* (2014) ‘Rate of and risk factors for reoperations after open reduction and internal fixation of midshaft clavicle fractures: A population-based study in Ontario, Canada’, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - American Volume*, 96(13), pp. 1119–1125. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.M.00607.
- Matsumura, N. *et al.* (2010) ‘Effect of shortening deformity of the clavicle on scapular kinematics: A cadaveric study’, *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 38(5), pp. 1000–1006. doi: 10.1177/0363546509355143.
- McKee, R. C. *et al.* (2012) ‘Operative versus nonoperative care of displaced midshaft clavicular fractures: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials’, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A*, 94(8), pp. 675–684. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.J.01364.
- Mishra, A. *et al.* (2017) ‘Functional outcome of conservative versus plate osteosynthesis in displaced midshaft clavicle fracture in manual labours’, *International Surgery Journal*, 4(3), p. 966. doi: 10.18203/2349-2902.isj20170529.
- Naveen, B. M., Joshi, G. R. and Harikrishnan, B. (2017) ‘Management of mid-shaft clavicular fractures: comparison between non-operative treatment and plate fixation in 60 patients’, *Strategies in Trauma and Limb Reconstruction*, 12(1), pp. 11–18. doi: 10.1007/s11751-016-0272-4.
- Neer, C. S. (1960) ‘Nonunion of the clavicle’, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 172(10), pp. 1006–1011. doi: 10.1001/jama.1960.03020100014003.
- Nowak, J., Holgersson, M. and Larsson, S. (2004) ‘Can we predict long-term sequelae after fractures of the clavicle based on initial findings? A prospective study with nine to ten years of follow-up’, *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 13(5), pp. 479–486. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2004.01.026.
- Pecci, M. and Kreher, J. B. (2008) *Clavicle Fractures*, *American Family Physician*.
- Potter, J. M. *et al.* (2007) ‘Does delay matter? The restoration of objectively measured shoulder strength and patient-oriented outcome after immediate fixation versus delayed reconstruction of displaced midshaft fractures of the clavicle’, *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 16(5), pp. 514–518. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2007.01.001.
- Robinson, C. M. *et al.* (2013) ‘Open reduction and plate fixation versus nonoperative treatment for displaced midshaft clavicular fractures’, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - Series A*, 95(17), pp. 1576–1584. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.L.00307.
- Rowe, C. R. (1968) ‘An atlas of anatomy and treatment of midclavicular fractures.’, *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 58, pp. 29–42. doi: 10.1097/00003086-196805000-00006.

- Schiffer, G. *et al.* (2010) ‘Klavikulaschaftfraktur: Keine harmlose bagatellverletzung’, *Deutsches Arzteblatt*. Deutscher Arzte-Verlag GmbH, pp. 711–717. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2010.0711.
- Shen, W. J., Liu, T. J. and Shen, Y. S. (1999) ‘Plate fixation of fresh displaced midshaft clavicle fractures’, *Injury*, 30(7), pp. 497–500. doi: 10.1016/S0020-1383(99)00140-0.
- Smeeing, Di. P. J. *et al.* (2017) ‘Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment for midshaft clavicle fractures in patients aged 16 years and older: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and comparison of randomized controlled trials and observational studies’, *American Journal of Sports Medicine*. SAGE Publications Inc., pp. 1937–1945. doi: 10.1177/0363546516673615.
- Tavakkolizadeh, A. *et al.* (2009) ‘Gender-specific Constant score correction for age’, *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 17(5), pp. 529–533. doi: 10.1007/s00167-009-0744-x.
- Torres, M. J. *et al.* (2015) ‘Poor nutritional status is associated with a higher risk of falling and fracture in elderly people living at home in France: the Three-City cohort study’, *Osteoporosis International*, 26(8), pp. 2157–2164. doi: 10.1007/s00198-015-3121-2.
- Vanbeek, C. *et al.* (2011) ‘Precontoured plating of clavicle fractures: Decreased hardware-related complications?’, in *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. Springer New York LLC, pp. 3337–3343. doi: 10.1007/s11999-011-1868-0.
- Vrotsou, K. *et al.* (2018) ‘Constant–Murley Score: systematic review and standardized evaluation in different shoulder pathologies’, *Quality of Life Research*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 2217–2226. doi: 10.1007/s11136-018-1875-7.
- Wijdicks, F. J. G. *et al.* (2013) ‘Systematic review of complications after intramedullary fixation for displaced midshaft clavicle fractures’, *Canadian Journal of Surgery*. Canadian Medical Association, pp. 58–64. doi: 10.1503/cjs.029511.
- Woltz, S. *et al.* (2017) ‘Plate fixation compared with nonoperative treatment for displaced midshaft clavicular fractures a multicenter randomized controlled trial’, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - American Volume*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, pp. 106–112. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.15.01394.
- Woltz, S., Krijnen, P. and Schipper, I. B. (2017) ‘Plate fixation versus nonoperative treatment for displaced midshaft clavicular fractures: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials’, *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - American Volume*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, pp. 1051–1057. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.16.01068.

Zeng, L. *et al.* (2015) ‘Titanium elastic nail (TEN) versus reconstruction plate repair of midshaft clavicular fractures: A finite element study’, *PLoS ONE*, 10(5). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126131.

Zlowodzki, M. *et al.* (2005) ‘Treatment of acute midshaft clavicle fractures: Systematic review of 2144 fractures. On behalf of the Evidence-Based Orthopaedic Trauma Working Group’, *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. J Orthop Trauma*, pp. 504–507. doi: 10.1097/01.bot.0000172287.44278.ef.

## LAMPIRAN

No	RM	Umur	JK	Status gizi	Mekanisme cedera	Sisi yang terlibat	Komplikasi	Tindakan	Constant & Murley Score			Interpretasi
									6 minggu	3 bulan	6 bulan	
1	816388	39	P	Kurang	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	74	77	80	Fair
2	771708	20	P	Obesitas	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	85	88	92	Good
3	841085	42	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	76	79	85	Good
4	878844	42	L	Obesitas	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	70	74	78	Fair
5	939801	26	P	Kurang	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	82	86	88	Good
6	895723	47	P	Obesitas	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	68	72	74	Fair
7	921499	54	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Non-Union	Non-Operasi	70	72	75	Fair
8	750269	46	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	71	74	78	Fair
9	254898	56	P	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Non-Union	Non-Operasi	66	69	72	Poor
10	777320	24	L	Obesitas	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	80	82	86	Good
11	793044	57	P	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Non-Union	Non-Operasi	70	72	75	Fair
12	935071	20	L	Kurang	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	90	92	95	Excelent
13	948312	29	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Superficial Infection	Non-Operasi	80	82	87	Good
14	870666	40	L	Obesitas	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	72	75	77	Fair
15	874262	32	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	78	81	83	Good
16	883867	57	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Non-Union	Non-Operasi	65	68	71	Poor
17	913270	37	L	Obesitas	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	73	76	78	Fair
18	793328	54	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	66	70	74	Fair
19	841554	39	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	78	81	85	Good
20	765380	54	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	68	72	75	Fair

No	RM	Umur	JK	Status gizi	Mekanisme cedera	Sisi yang terlibat	Komplikasi	Tindakan	Constant & Murley Score			Interpretasi
									6 minggu	3 bulan	6 bulan	
21	748133	48	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	72	72	75	Fair
22	778206	20	P	Kurang	KLL	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	88	90	94	Excelent
23	788468	45	P	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	80	82	86	Good
24	800124	31	P	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	78	80	83	Good
25	803711	21	L	Kurang	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	86	88	92	Good
26	909222	41	P	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	74	77	80	Fair
27	845549	33	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	80	82	86	Good
28	858373	28	L	Kurang	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	78	81	83	Good
29	808311	33	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	82	86	89	Good
30	706146	45	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	74	77	80	Fair
31	837367	45	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	70	73	76	Fair
32	899236	21	L	Kurang	KLL	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	85	88	92	Good
33	862457	46	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	72	75	80	Fair
34	333211	32	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	76	78	81	Fair
35	438283	21	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	84	87	90	Good
36	740259	20	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	90	92	95	Excelent
37	762870	19	P	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	88	90	94	Excelent
38	815059	57	P	Baik	Jatuh	Dominan	Mal-Union	Operasi	81	84	86	Good
39	833187	22	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	90	95	98	Excelent
40	836390	45	L	Baik	Jatuh	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	84	84	86	Good
41	836566	59	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Dominan	Mal-Union	Operasi	80	82	86	Good
42	844277	24	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	90	92	96	Excelent

No	RM	Umur	JK	Status gizi	Mekanisme cedera	Sisi yang terlibat	Komplikasi	Tindakan	Constant & Murley Score			Interpretasi
									6 minggu	3 bulan	6 bulan	
43	854725	29	P	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	92	95	Excelent
44	857484	43	L	Baik	Jatuh	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	81	83	88	Fair
45	860459	41	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	80	82	88	Good
46	861834	34	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	90	92	98	Excelent
47	863911	24	P	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	70	72	75	Fair
48	870606	33	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	95	98	Excelent
49	876737	44	L	Baik	Jatuh	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	91	94	94	Excelent
50	881414	31	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	85	87	88	Good
51	891388	29	P	Kurang	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	92	94	Excelent
52	897298	48	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Operasi	92	94	98	Excelent
53	897781	34	P	Baik	Jatuh	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	93	95	97	Excelent
54	898415	22	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	90	92	96	Excelent
55	902859	44	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	81	83	87	Good
56	909333	28	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	80	85	88	Good
56	78872	33	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Plate prominence	Operasi	90	92	96	Excelent
57	923127	24	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	88	88	88	Good
57	79578	33	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	92	95	Excelent
58	924595	42	L	Baik	Jatuh	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	80	84	88	Good
58	81484	57	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Superficial Infection	Operasi	70	72	79	Fair
59	83166	45	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Plate prominence	Operasi	80	82	88	Good
60	87544	26	P	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	90	92	98	Excelent
61	89507	58	P	Kurang	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Operasi	70	72	75	Fair

No	RM	Umur	JK	Status gizi	Mekanisme cedera	Sisi yang terlibat	Komplikasi	Tindakan	Constant & Murley Score			Interpretasi
									6 minggu	3 bulan	6 bulan	
62	97690	20	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	95	98	Excelent
63	98499	37	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Plate prominence	Operasi	91	94	94	Excelent
64	100787	42	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	85	87	88	Good
65	71863	27	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	92	94	Excelent
66	117932	17	L	Obesitas	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	94	98	Excelent
67	126444	37	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Plate prominence	Operasi	93	95	97	Excelent
68	128889	31	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	90	92	96	Excelent
69	133469	50	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Operasi	81	83	87	Good
70	142504	50	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Mal-Union	Operasi	80	85	88	Good
71	150726	43	L	Kurang	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	88	88	88	Good
72	350739	27	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	90	92	95	Excelent
73	217225	44	L	Baik	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	78	84	87	Good
74	347513	23	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Tidak ada	Non-Operasi	92	94	96	Excelent
75	374632	36	L	Baik	KLL	Non Dominan	Mal-Union	Non-Operasi	82	85	88	Good
76	347513	21	L	Kurang	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	92	95	98	Excelent
77	350180	37	P	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Plate prominence	Operasi	92	95	98	Excelent
78	374964	40	L	Obesitas	Jatuh	Non Dominan	Plate prominence	Operasi	80	82	88	Good
79	378474	32	L	Baik	KLL	Dominan	Tidak ada	Operasi	85	87	88	Good