

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Melancia, D., Abegão Pinto, L. & Marques-Neves, C., 2015. Cataract surgery and intraocular pressure. *Ophthalmic Research*, 53(3), pp.141–148.
2. Wang, J.J., 2001. Visual impairment, age-related cataract, and mortality. *Archives of Ophthalmology*, 119(8), p.1186.
3. Riaz, Y. et al., 2006. Surgical interventions for age-related cataract. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
4. Behzour, M., Kheirkhah, A., Hasheiman, H., Nazari, R., 2010. Anterior segment parameters: Comparison of 1 Piece and 3 piece acrylic foldable intraocular lenses. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010; 36:1650–1655
5. Engren, A.-L. & Behndig, A., 2013. Anterior chamber depth, intraocular lens position, and refractive outcomes after cataract surgery. *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, 39(4), pp.572–577.
6. Lee, W. et al., 2017. Correlations between preoperative angle parameters and postoperative unpredicted refractive errors after cataract surgery in Open angle glaucoma (AOD 500). *Yonsei Medical Journal*, 58(2), p.432.
7. Dhamankar, R. et al., 2020. Factors affecting changes in the intraocular pressure after phacoemulsification surgery. *International Eye Research*, 1(3), pp.166–172.
8. Zuo, C. et al., 2020. Effect of phacoemulsification on anterior chamber angle in eyes with medically uncontrolled filtered primary angle-closure glaucoma. *Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2020, pp.1–6.
9. Cetinkaya, S. et al., 2015. The effect of phacoemulsification surgery on intraocular pressure and anterior segment anatomy of the patients with cataract and ocular hypertension. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*, 63(9), p.743.

10. Uçakhan, Ö.Ö., Özkan, M. & Kanpolat, A., 2009. Anterior chamber parameters measured by the Pentacam CES after uneventful phacoemulsification in Normotensive Eyes. *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 87(5), pp.544–548.
11. Doganay, S. et al., 2008. Evaluation of anterior segment parameter changes using the Pentacam after uneventful phacoemulsification. *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 88(5), pp.601–606.
12. Zhang, J.-J. et al., 2021. Influence of lens position as detected by an anterior segment analysis system on postoperative refraction in cataract surgery. *International Journal of Ophthalmology*, 14(7), pp.1006–1012.
13. Bang, S.P. & Jun, J.H., 2019. Comparison of postoperative axial stability of intraocular lens and capsulotomy parameters between precision pulse capsulotomy and continuous curvilinear capsulotomy. *Medicine*, 98(48).
14. Altan, C. et al., 2004. Anterior chamber depth, iridocorneal angle width, and intraocular pressure changes after uneventful phacoemulsification in eyes without glaucoma and with open iridocorneal angles. *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, 30(4), pp.832–838.
15. Dooley, I. et al., 2010. Changes in intraocular pressure and anterior segment morphometry after uneventful Phacoemulsification Cataract surgery. *Eye*, 24(4), pp.519–527.
16. Aykan, Ü. et al., 2003. The effect of capsulorhexis size on development of posterior capsule opacification: Small (4.5 to 5.0 mm) versus large (6.0 to 7.0 mm). *European Journal of Ophthalmology*, 13(6), pp.541–545.
17. Li, S. et al., 2018. The effects of different shapes of capsulorhexis on postoperative refractive outcomes and effective position of the intraocular lens in cataract surgery.
18. Sharma, N. & Raman, R., 2019. The study of different sizes and shapes of CCC (continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis) in mature cataracts with & without Trypan Blue. *Indian Journal of Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*, 5(3), pp.314–317.

19. Hollick, E.J., Spalton, D.J. & Meacock, W.R., 2000. The effect of capsulorhexis size on posterior capsular opacification. *Evidence-Based Eye Care*, 1(2), pp.74–75.
20. Langwinska- Wosko, E., Broniek- Kowalik, K. & Szulborski, K., 2011. The impact of capsulorhexis diameter, localization and shape on posterior capsule opacification. *Medical Science Monitor*, 17(10).
21. Lee, W., Bae, HW., Lee, SH., Kim, YC., Seong, GJ. 2016. Correlations between Preoperative Angle Parameters and Postoperative Unpredicted Refractive Errors after Cataract Surgery in Open Angle Glaucoma (AOD 500). *Yonsei Med J.* 2017 Mar;58(2):432-438.
22. Aykan, Ü. et al., 2003. The effect of capsulorhexis size on development of posterior capsule opacification: Small (4.5 to 5.0 mm) versus large (6.0 to 7.0 mm). *European Journal of Ophthalmology*, 13(6), pp.541–545.
23. Zhu, Y. et al., 2021. Parameters of Capsulorrhexis and intraocular lens decentration after femtosecond and manual capsulotomies in high myopic patients with cataracts. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 8.
24. Rüfer, F. et al., 2010. Anterior chamber depth and IRIDOCORNEAL angle in healthy white subjects: Effects of age, gender and refraction. *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 88(8), pp.885–890.
25. Demir, G. et al., 2019. The effects of complicated cataract surgery on Iris structure and anterior chamber angle. *Journal Français d'Ophthalmologie*, 42(8), pp.829–833.
26. Dindl, O., Hirnschall, N., Draschl, P., Weisinger, J., 2017. Effect of manual capsulorhexis size and position on intraocular lens tilt, centration, and axial position. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2017; 43:902–908
27. Rossi, T., Cecacco, A., Testa, G., Rugeiro, A., Bonora, N., Agostino, I., Telani, S., Ripandelli, G. 2021. Influence of anterior capsulorhexis shape, centration, size, and location on intraocular lens position: finite element model. [J Cataract Refract Surg](#). 2022 Feb 1; 48(2): 222–229.

28. Jit, A., 2011. Intraocular lens tilt and decentration: A concern for contemporary IOL designs. *Nepal J Ophthalmol* 2011; 3 ( 5 ): 68-77.
29. Daya, S. et al., 2019. Comparison of anterior capsulotomy techniques: Continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis, femtosecond laser-assisted capsulotomy and selective laser capsulotomy. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 104(3), pp.437–442.
30. Çekiç, O. & Batman, C., 1999. The relationship between capsulorhexis size and anterior chamber depth relation. *Ophthalmic Surgery, Lasers and Imaging Retina*, 30(3), pp.185–190.
31. Zeng, Y. & Gao, J.-hua, 2015. Continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis in cataract surgery using a modified 3-bend cystotome. *Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2015, pp.1–5.
32. Ramos, J.L., Li, Y. & Huang, D., 2009. Clinical and research applications of anterior segment optical coherence tomography - A Review. *Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology*, 37(1), pp.81–89.
33. Han, S.B. et al., 2016. Applications of anterior segment optical coherence tomography in cornea and ocular surface diseases. *Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2016, pp.1–9.
34. Triolo, G. et al., 2021. The use of anterior-segment optical-coherence tomography for the assessment of the IRIDOCORNEAL angle and its alterations: Update and current evidence. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(2), p.231.
35. Fu, H. et al., 2020. Angle-closure detection in anterior segment OCT based on Multilevel Deep Network. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 50(7), pp.3358–3366.
36. Nejima, R., 2004. A prospective, randomised comparison of single and three piece acrylic foldable intraocular lenses. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 88(6), pp.746–749.
37. Ning, X., Yang, Y., Zhang., 2019. BMC Ophthalmology. Anterior chamber depth-a predictor of refractive outcomes after age related cataract surgery.

38. Ashena, Z. et al., 2020. Effect of intraocular lens tilt and decentration on visual acuity, dysphotopsia and wavefront aberrations. *Vision*, 4(3), p.41.
39. Doan, K.T., Olson, R.J. & Mamalis, N., 2002. Survey of intraocular lens material and Design. *Current Opinion in Ophthalmology*, 13(1), pp.24–29.
40. Zeng, L. & Fang, F., 2018. Advances and challenges of intraocular lens design [invited]. *Applied Optics*, 57(25), p.7363.
41. Salmon, J. F., & Kanski, J. J. (2020). *Kanski's Clinical ophthalmology: A systematic approach* (9th ed.). Edinburgh: Elsevier Limited.
42. Sato, T. et al., 2018. Short-term dynamics after single- and three-piece acrylic intraocular lens implantation: A swept-source anterior segment optical coherence tomography study. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1).
43. Chang, D.F., 2004. Single versus three piece acrylic iols. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 88(6), pp.727–728.
44. Leaming, D.V., 2002. Practice styles and preferences of ASCRS members—2001 Survey. *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery*, 28(9), pp.1681–1688.
45. Zhong, X. et al., 2016. Comparisons of the in-the-bag stabilities of single-piece and three-piece intraocular lenses for age-related cataract patients: A randomized controlled trial. *BMC Ophthalmology*, 16(1).
46. Belluci, R. 2013. *An Introduction to Intraocular Lenses: Material, Optics, Haptics, Design and Aberration*. Ophthalmic Unit, Department of Neurosciences, Hospital and University of Verona, Verona, Italy
47. Cantor LB, Rapuano CJ, Cioffi GA. *Lens and Cataract*. San Francisco: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2016-2017. hlm. 99-100.
48. Matthias, G., Findl, O., Menapace, R., Kriechbaum, K., Koepl, C., Buehl, W., Drexler, W. 2004. Effect of haptic design on change in axial lens position after cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2004; 30:45–51

49. Miller J, Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery, US, University of Arizona, 2016.
50. Muzyka-Wozniak, M., Ogar, A., Anterior chamber depth and iris and lens position before and after phacoemulsification in eyes with a short or long axial length. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2016;42(4):563–8.
51. Ahsan, S., Memon, M. S., Bukhari, S., Mahmood, T., Fahim, M. F., Haseeb, U., & Arslan, M. (2021). Visual outcomes of cataract surgery: An observational study of ten years from a tertiary eye care hospital in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 37(7), 1775–1781. <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.37.7.4428>
52. Fallah, MR., Beiki, H., Mohammadi SF., Latifi, G., Ashrafi, E., Fallah Tafti ], Z., Anterior chamber depth change following cataract surgery in Pseudoexfoliation syndrome; a preliminary study. *J Ophthalmic Vis Res.* 2017;12(2):165–9.
53. Borkenstein, A. F., & Borkenstein, E. M. (2022). Efficacy of Large Optic Intraocular Lenses in Myopic Eyes with Posterior Segment Pathology. *Ophthalmology and Therapy*, 11(1), 443–452. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40123-021-00433-3>
54. Gong, D., Winn, B. J., Beal, C. J., Blomquist, P. H., Chen, R. W., Culican, S. M., Dagi Glass, L. R., Domeracki, G. F., Goshe, J. M., Jones, J. K., Khouri, A. S., Legault, G. L., Martin, T. J., Mitchell, K. T., Naseri, A., Oetting, T. A., Olson, J. H., Pettey, J. H., Reinoso, M. A., ... Al-Aswad, L. A. (2019). Gender Differences in Case Volume among Ophthalmology Residents. *JAMA Ophthalmology*, 137(9), 1015–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2019.2427>
55. Gutiérrez-Robledo, L. M., Villasís-Keever, M. A., Avila-Avila, A., Medina-Campos, R. H., Castrejón-Pérez, R. C., & García-Peña, C. (2021). Effect of Cataract Surgery on Frequency of Falls among Older Persons: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/2169571>

56. Huang, G., Gonzalez, E., Peng, P.-H., Lee, R., Leeungurasatien, T., He, M., Porco, T., & Lin, S. C. (2011). Anterior chamber depth, iridocorneal angle width, and intraocular pressure changes after phacoemulsification: Narrow vs open iridocorneal angles (*Archives of Ophthalmology* (2011) 129, 10, (1283-1290)). *Archives of Ophthalmology*, 129(11), 1497. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2011.350>
57. Hugosson, M., & Ekström, C. (2020). Prevalence and risk factors for age-related cataract in Sweden. *Uppsala Journal of Medical Sciences*, 125(4), 311–315. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03009734.2020.1802375>
58. Hussein, M. A., Salamah, M. A., Shawky, M. M., & Solaiman, K. A.-M. (2019). Changes in Anterior Chamber Depth and Angle Before and After Phacoemulsification of Intumescent Cataract. *Zagazig University Medical Journal*, 0(0), 0–0. <https://doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2019.15051.1360>
59. Isawumi, M. A., Soetan, E., Adeoye, A., & Adeoti, C. O. (2009). Evaluation of cataract surgery outcome in Western Nigeria. *Ghana medical journal*, 43(4), 169–174. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21326997> <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2956367>
60. Iwase, T., Tanaka, N., & Sugiyama, K. (2008). Postoperative refraction changes in phacoemulsification cataract surgery with implantation of different types of intraocular lens. *European Journal of Ophthalmology*, 18(3), 371–376. <https://doi.org/10.1177/112067210801800310>
61. Joshi, R. S., & Muley, S. J. (2017). Combined 30-degree bevel up and down technique against 0-degree phaco tip for phacoemulsification surgery of hard cataracts. *Clinical Ophthalmology*, 11, 1073–1079. <https://doi.org/10.2147/OPHTH.S131921>
62. Langwińska-Wośko, E., Broniek-Kowalik, K., & Szulborski, K. (2011). The impact of capsulorhexis diameter, localization and shape on

- posterior capsule opacification. In *Medical Science Monitor* (Vol. 17, Nomor 10). <https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.881984>
63. Kim, JS., Shyn, KH. 2001. Biometry of 3 types of intraocular lenses using Scheimpflug photography. *J CATARACT REFRACT SURG—VOL 27*.
64. Lou, L., Ye, X., Xu, P., Wang, J., Xu, Y., Jin, K., & Ye, J. (2018). Association of sex with the global burden of cataract. *JAMA Ophthalmology*, *136*(2), 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2017.5668>
65. Mahayana, I. T., Setyowati, R., Winarti, T., & Prawiroranu, S. (2018). Outcomes of manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery (mSICS) compared with phacoemulsification from population based outreach eye camp, in Yogyakarta and Southern Central Java Region, Indonesia. *Journal of Community Empowerment for Health*, *1*(1), 6–10. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jcoemph.36867>
66. Song, E., Sun, H., Xu, Y., Ma, Y., Zhu, H., & Wei Pan, C. (2014). Age-Related cataract, cataract surgery and subsequent mortality: A systematic review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(11). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112054>
67. Salsabila, C., Nasrul, M., Geriputri, I N. (2021) Prevalensi dan Karakteristik Pasien Katarak Senilis di RSUD Provisnis Nusa Tenggara Barat pada Periode Januari-Juni 2019. *Journal Kedokteran Unram*, 2021, *10*(3):509-514
68. Thanigasalam, T., Reddy, S., & Zaki, R. (2015). Factors associated with complications and postoperative visual outcomes of cataract surgery; A study of 1,632 cases. *Journal of Ophthalmic and Vision Research*, *10*(4), 375–384. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2008-322X.158892>
69. Vishal, B., Kr, B. G., Sourav, K., & Animesh, M. (2022). Barriers in attaining low-vision care services : a narrative review. *Delta Journal of Ophthalmology*, *23*(oct-dec), 221–225. <https://doi.org/10.4103/djo.djo>
70. Vu, A. T., Bui, V. A., Vu, H. L., Quyet, D., Van Thai, T., Nga, V. T., Dinh, T. C., & Bac, N. D. (2019). Evaluation of anterior chamber depth and



anterior chamber angle changing after phacoemulsification in the primary angle close suspect eyes. *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 7(24), 4297–4300. <https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.378>

71. Wang, X., Dong, J., & Deng, M. (2021). Limbus-centered marking technique-assisted continuous circular capsulorhexis. *Medicine*, 100(2), e24109. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000024109>
72. Zhao, Y. e., Li, Z., Chang, P., Wang, D., & Hu, M. (2019). Progressive cracking technique for phacoemulsification of superhard cataracts: a case report. *Eye and Vision*, 6(1), 4–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40662-019-0163-0>
73. Zuo, C., Long, B., Guo, X., Chen, L., & Liu, X. (2020). Effect of Phacoemulsification on Anterior Chamber Angle in Eyes with Medically Uncontrolled Filtered Primary Angle-Closure Glaucoma. *Journal of Ophthalmology*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8720450>
74. Li, H., Jhanji, V., Dorariraj, S., Liu, A., Lam, D., Leung K. (2012). Anterior Segment Optical Coherence Tomography and its Clinical Applications in Glaucoma. *Journal of Current Glaucoma Practice*.

## LAMPIRAN 1. Rekomendasi Persetujuan Etik

KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI  
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN  
KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR  
Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu  
JL.PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245.  
Contact Person: dr. Agussalim Bukhari, MMed,PhD, SpGK TELP. 081241850858, 0411 5780103, Fax : 0411-581431

**REKOMENDASI PERSETUJUAN ETIK**  
Nomor : 500/UN4.6.4.5.31/ PP36/ 2022

Tanggal: 12 September 2022

Dengan ini Menyatakan bahwa Protokol dan Dokumen yang Berhubungan Dengan Protokol berikut ini telah mendapatkan Persetujuan Etik :

No Protokol	UH22070362		No Sponsor Protokol	
Peneliti Utama	<b>dr. Mentari Nurul Mutmainnah</b>		Sponsor	
Judul Peneliti	Pengaruh Ukuran Rhexis Terhadap Kedalaman Bilik Mata Depan dan Sudut Iridokornea Pada Pasien Pasca Operasi Fakoemulsifikasi			
No Versi Protokol	2	Tanggal Versi	2 September 2022	
No Versi PSP	2	Tanggal Versi	2 September 2022	
Tempat Penelitian	JEC Orbita Makassar			
Jenis Review	<input type="checkbox"/> Exempted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Fullboard Tanggal		Masa Berlaku	Frekuensi review lanjutan
			12 September 2022 sampai 12 September 2023	
Ketua KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	Nama	Prof.Dr.dr. Suryani As'ad, M.Sc.,Sp.GK (K)		Tanda tangan
Sekretaris KEP Universitas Hasanuddin	Nama	dr. Agussalim Bukhari, M.Med.,Ph.D.,Sp.GK (K)		Tanda tangan

Kewajiban Peneliti Utama:

- Menyerahkan Amandemen Protokol untuk persetujuan sebelum di implementasikan
- Menyerahkan Laporan SAE ke Komisi Etik dalam 24 Jam dan dilengkapi dalam 7 hari dan Laporan SUSAR dalam 72 Jam setelah Peneliti Utama menerima laporan
- Menyerahkan Laporan Kemajuan (progress report) setiap 6 bulan untuk penelitian resiko tinggi dan setiap setahun untuk penelitian resiko rendah
- Menyerahkan laporan akhir setelah Penelitian berakhir
- Melaporkan penyimpangan dari protokol yang disetujui (protocol deviation / violation)
- Mematuhi semua peraturan yang ditentukan

## LAMPIRAN 2. Formulir Persetujuan



KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN, KEBUDAYAAN, RISET DAN TEKNOLOGI  
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN  
KOMITE ETIK PENELITIAN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
RSPTN UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN  
RSUP Dr. WAHIDIN SUDIROHUSODO MAKASSAR  
Sekretariat : Lantai 2 Gedung Laboratorium Terpadu  
JL.PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KAMPUS TAMALANREA KM.10 MAKASSAR 90245.



Contact Person: dr. Agasairin Bakhari, MMedPAd, SpGK. TELP. 081241830858, 0411 5760103, Fax - 0411-581431

### LAMPIRAN 2

#### FORMULIR PERSETUJUAN SETELAH PENJELASAN

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini :

Nama : .....

Umur : .....tahun

Alamat : .....

Telepon/HP : .....

Menyatakan bersedia untuk berpartisipasi pada penelitian ini yang berjudul :

**“Pengaruh Ukuran Rhexis Terhadap Kedalaman Bilik Mata Depan dan Sudut Iridokornea Pada Pasien Pasca Operasi Fakoemulsifikasi”**

setelah mendengar/membaca dan mengerti penjelasan yang diberikan mengenai tujuan dan manfaat yang akan didapatkan pada penelitian ini, khususnya bagi kemajuan ilmu kedokteran.

Makassar, .....

Responden/Wali

Saksi

(.....)

(.....)

Penanggung jawab penelitian :

dr. Mentari Nurul Mutmainnah  
Jl. Pendidikan 3 Blk D4/15  
082191545686

Penanggung jawab medik :

dr. Muhammad Abrar Ismail, Sp.M(K), M.Kes  
Jl. Sultan Alaudin No. 84 A  
Telp. 0813 4388 4693

### LAMPIRAN 3. Master Data Penelitian

NO	NAMA	JENIS KELAMIN	USIA	RM	TGL OP	GRADE (I-IV)	UKURAN CCC (mm)	Preoperasi			Hari ke-7		
								ACD (mm)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNEA Nasal (degree)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNEA Temporal (degree)	ACD (mm)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNEA Nasal (degree)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNEA Temporal (degree)
1	TN. ANDI KISMAN T	LAKI-LAKI	57	164315	04-Nov-22	3	5	3.04	40	38	3.42	31	35
2	TN. AKHIRUDDIN SP	LAKI-LAKI	51	164857	04-Nov-22	2	5	3.17	31	39	2.96	48	48
3	NY. WATI SAHARUDDIN	PEREMPUAN	57	162977	04-Nov-22	3	5	2.38	43	38	3.08	44	48
4	NY. HJ. FAHIRAH AMBOTANG	PEREMPUAN	71	139503	05-Nov-22	3	5	2.9	30	22	3.01	39	39
5	NY. ERNIWATI JONI	PEREMPUAN	52	164045	05-Nov-22	4	5	2.16	31	31	2.9	44	43
6	TN. DARMAWATI DG MASIKKI	LAKI-LAKI	58	164369	05-Nov-22	3	5	2.79	29	33	3.29	42	41
7	TN. M NATSIR	LAKI-LAKI	65	164056	07-Nov-22	3	5	2.29	38	37	2.9	40	44
8	TN. SONGGENG T DG NASSA	LAKI-LAKI	71	164983	07-Nov-22	3	5	3.05	41	42	3.19	36	39
9	TN. ANDI SUMARDIN	LAKI-LAKI	51	165045	07-Nov-22	4	5	2.47	42	40	3.16	34	36
10	TN. H. AWIN JAMALI	LAKI-LAKI	62	162288	11-Nov-22	3	5	3.42	42	40	3.51	35	37
11	TN. MANSYUR PAJONGAN	LAKI-LAKI	72	162999	11-Nov-22	3	5	2.83	41	42	3.24	38	39
12	TN. AHDI NUR	LAKI-LAKI	51	165245	11-Nov-22	3	5	2.52	40	39	2.68	40	42
13	NY. ERNA KASIM	PEREMPUAN	65	165285	11-Nov-22	3	5	3	41	40	3.35	40	41
14	TN. ANDI AHMAD	LAKI-LAKI	60	165348	14-Nov-22	3	5	2.91	36	35	3.1	38	40

15	NY. NURBIAH SYAHRUDDIN	PEREMPUAN	60	100492	18-Nov-22	3	5	2.89	29	28	3.11	31	31
16	NY HJ JOHARENG ABU	PEREMPUAN	61	163985	25-Nov-22	3	5	2.76	36	35	3.41	32	33
17	NY LOTONG SHABUDDIN	PEREMPUAN	70	162291	25-Nov-22	3	5	2.79	29	30	3	29	30
18	NY. HAMISA HAMID	PEREMPUAN	65	165956	26-Nov-22	3	5	2.11	25	25	3.35	33	33
19	NY. ENDANG IRIANI	PEREMPUAN	60	165970	26-Nov-22	3	5	1.98	17	20	2.43	29	30
20	NY. WONG LIYANTI	PEREMPUAN	67	166287	02-Dec-22	4	5	2.59	20	19	3.44	34	31
21	TN. DG TALLE RANI	LAKI-LAKI	77	166334	02-Dec-22	3	5	3.05	32	30	3.01	32	30
22	NY. RAHMAWATI SUMARMAN	PEREMPUAN	60	107752	02-Dec-22	4	5	2.88	28	25	3.24	30	30
23	TN. A MUNSIR MUKA	LAKI-LAKI	51	161078	02-Dec-22	3	5	2.92	30	32	3.41	32	34
24	TN. MUNAWIR MANSYUR	LAKI-LAKI	55	166335	02-Dec-22	2	5	3.14	31	30	3.02	30	29
25	NY. NINGSIH RASYID, SKM	PEREMPUAN	71	166383	03-Dec-22	3	5	2.26	24	21	3.06	28	27
26	NY. B NURWINA PUTRI	PEREMPUAN	52	164837	05-Dec-22	3	5	2.78	29	29	3.02	41	38
27	TN. MUCHTAR USEMAHU	LAKI-LAKI	61	166283	05-Dec-22	3	5	2.48	24	24	3.1	44	40
28	NY. PANTJAWATI LABOE	PEREMPUAN	67	166475	05-Dec-22	3	5	2.19	21	23	2.91	29	28
29	NY. SITTI RUKIAH	PEREMPUAN	64	144637	05-Dec-22	3	5	2.57	22	22	3.38	40	40
30	ST HASANAH	PEREMPUAN	71	167511	26-Dec-22	3	5	2.2	30	29	3.17	32	34
31	NY NAISYAH SAPPE	PEREMPUAN	58	155997	30-Dec-22	3	5	3.56	44	41	3.71	40	40
32	TN QAIMUDDIN SAPPE	LAKI-LAKI	71	156156	30-Dec-22	3	5	3.18	32	34	3.19	32	32
33	NN SYAMSIDAR IBRAHIM	PEREMPUAN	62	167060	30-Dec-22	3	5	3.15	32	33	3.63	30	32

34	TN ABDUL RAHIM PISONAI	LAKI-LAKI	67	110196	30-Dec-22	3	5	2.58	33	30	3.27	33	32
35	NY A DALA ULENG ACO	PEREMPUAN	67	86121	10-Dec-22	3	5	2.9	35	33	3.02	40	39
36	TN AGUSNAWANG	LAKI-LAKI	52	166285	09-Dec-22	3	5	3.53	41	42	3.41	39	40
37	NY SAERAH TAMBI	PEREMPUAN	90	167919	05-Jan-23	3	5	2.72	28	29	3.12	31	31
38	THE GIOK ING	PEREMPUAN	84	163738	06-Jan-23	3	5	2.09	20	20	2.97	30	31
39	NY SATIHA LAUPA	PEREMPUAN	76	109106	06-Jan-23	3	5	2.62	24	25	2.94	27	27
40	TN AMILUDDIN NUR	LAKI-LAKI	63	160655	06-Jan-23	3	5	3.09	22	24	3.41	28	30
41	NY HAMISA HAMID	PEREMPUAN	65	165956	06-Jan-23	3	5	2.62	18	18	3.02	26	29
42	TN SONNY SUGARTO	LAKI-LAKI	65	166720	06-Jan-23	3	5	2.76	34	33	3.26	41	42
43	TN TAN SEMMY RICHARDO	LAKI-LAKI	55	167931	06-Jan-23	3	5	2.37	26	27	3.07	29	30

NO	NAMA	JENIS KELAMIN	USIA	RM	TGL OP	GRADE (I-IV)	UKURAN CCC (mm)	Preoperasi			Hari ke-7		
								ACD (mm)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNE A Nasal (degree)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNE A Temporal (degree)	ACD (mm)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNE A Nasal (degree)	SUDUT IRIDO KORNE A Temporal (degree)
1	TN. MELKIOR ATU BORO	LAKI-LAKI	63	164925	04-Nov-22	4	6	2.98	38	38	2.83	47	47
2	NY. HARFIAH DENJI BAU	PEREMPUAN	64	163325	04-Nov-22	4	6	2.02	33	33	2.44	41	44
3	NY. AGUSTINA TOBA	PEREMPUAN	69	105622	04-Nov-22	4	6	1.71	30	30	2.49	40	40
4	TN. MATHIUS HERMIA	LAKI-LAKI	77	164914	05-Nov-22	4	6	2.64	31	31	2.54	42	40
5	TN. SYAHRIDAH RANIYADI	LAKI-LAKI	52	164945	07-Nov-22	4	6	2.75	42	41	2.88	43	45
6	NY. HJ. ISA GANI	PEREMPUAN	73	165287	11-Nov-22	4	6	2.99	38	36	2.98	31	32
7	TN SAHIDE TETE	LAKI-LAKI	79	162662	14-Nov-22	3	6	2.8	38	36	3.41	35	36
8	TN. LAOKI RIU	LAKI-LAKI	73	93051	18-Nov-22	3	6	2.44	30	31	2.8	26	27
9	TN. M. AMIR	LAKI-LAKI	70	165220	18-Nov-22	4	6	2.83	41	41	3.1	42	38
10	TN. JOHANES BATO BUNTU	LAKI-LAKI	68	165673	18-Nov-22	3	6	2.49	29	30	3.37	28	31
11	NY. HUDAYAH ARSYAD	PEREMPUAN	62	165632	18-Nov-22	4	6	2.37	30	28	2.87	25	27
12	TN. MUH KINAS	LAKI-LAKI	59	165627	18-Nov-22	3	6	2.71	32	35	3.23	30	30
13	TN LIVERSON CHARLES	LAKI-LAKI	66	165730	18-Nov-22	4	6	3.1	44	42	3.62	33	31
14	TN FERDINAND SIGALEMBA	LAKI-LAKI	51	165221	25-Nov-22	5	6	2.26	27	29	2.81	26	24

15	NY. JASLINAR SJALAHUDDIN	PEREMPUAN	75	134818	26-Nov-22	4	6	2.68	31	33	2.93	43	44
16	TN. BASO TAANG	LAKI-LAKI	68	166009	26-Nov-22	5	6	3.1	42	43	2.97	31	34
17	NY. A SUNIYAH RONI	PEREMPUAN	67	166020	26-Nov-22	5	6	2.49	25	25	3.08	39	39
18	TN. HAROLD VARADA	LAKI-LAKI	63	144709	26-Nov-22	5	6	2.07	29	23	2.85	30	32
19	TN. JOHANIS SALEMPANG (RT)	LAKI-LAKI	74	166264	02-Dec-22	4	6	2.53	29	26	2.93	29	29
20	TN. BASRI ASHAD	LAKI-LAKI	62	163322	03-Dec-22	4	6	2.75	40	39	2.95	41	40
21	NY. HJ. ANDI SITTI SALMAH	PEREMPUAN	71	83477	03-Dec-22	4	6	2.26	25	23	3.26	31	30
22	TN. MUHAMMAD DALNURDIN	LAKI-LAKI	67	165689	03-Dec-22	3	6	2.71	27	25	2.84	35	33
23	TN. RIDWAN TORO	LAKI-LAKI	60	165276	03-Dec-22	4	6	2.66	24	24	2.91	38	35
24	TN. NURDIN DENSI	LAKI-LAKI	69	166476	05-Dec-22	4	6	2.26	19	19	2.98	31	31
25	TN H SUPRI MANCA	LAKI-LAKI	67	167098	30-Dec-22	4	6	2.91	29	29	3.41	33	33
26	TN SUAR NGARI	LAKI-LAKI	61	167715	30-Dec-22	4	6	2.38	25	23	3.01	38	40
27	NY ASRIANTI ASHAR	PEREMPUAN	51	167631	30-Dec-22	4	6	2.82	20	23	3.32	25	25
28	NY JINNE JARRE	PEREMPUAN	53	166765	10-Dec-22	4	6	3.33	29	27	3.5	30	28
29	H THAMRIN MOCHTAR	LAKI-LAKI	59	164685	10-Dec-22	4	6	2.22	27	26	2.92	27	27
30	TN MUH ASAF DG MAMALA	LAKI-LAKI	51	166838	10-Dec-22	5	6	3.09	35	35	3.33	32	32
31	HJ ST FATIMAH	PEREMPUAN	69	90713	09-Dec-22	4	6	2.66	28	28	3.15	37	36
32	H ANDI NASRULLAH M	LAKI-LAKI	59	166384	09-Dec-22	5	6	3.11	29	30	3.42	31	30



33	TN MAKMUR NUR	LAKI-LAKI	55	16331 1	09-Dec- 22	4	6	2.55	29	30	2.85	26	27
34	NY HJ FAHRIAH AMBO TANG	PEREMPUA N	51	13950 3	09-Dec- 22	4	6	2.23	25	26	2.7	26	27
35	TN IR H M NATSIR PESAMANG	LAKI-LAKI	67	91341	09-Dec- 22	4	6	2.25	20	21	2.5	30	29
36	NY KARTINI TADJUDDIN	PEREMPUA N	59	16627 6	09-Dec- 22	4	6	3.07	33	32	3.52	31	32
37	TN NURDAI MAKKARATENG	LAKI-LAKI	70	16808 8	05-Jan- 23	4	6	2.94	38	36	3.37	35	35
38	NY PANJTAWATI LABOW	PEREMPUA N	67	16647 5	06-Jan- 23	4	6	2.24	27	27	3.14	29	30
39	TN FERDINAND SIGALEMBA	LAKI-LAKI	51	16522 1	06-Jan- 23	4	6	2.85	23	23	3.05	27	26
40	NY ANDI SITTI SALMAH	PEREMPUA N	72	83477	06-Jan- 23	4	6	2.27	24	25	2.41	29	30
41	TN MAPPEARE	LAKI-LAKI	59	51322	06-Jan- 23	4	6	3.11	36	35	3.21	34	33
42	TN ABDUL WAHAB	LAKI-LAKI	56	16796 0	07-Jan- 23	4	6	3.57	37	38	3.31	34	35
43	NY YULIA YAJUB	PEREMPUA N	65	16631 3	07-Jan- 23	4	6	2.74	30	31	3.26	29	30
44	TN ASRI ASNUDDIN	LAKI-LAKI	68	16756 2	07-Jan- 23	4	6	2.25	27	29	2.42	28	30
45	NY BAHARIA NUSU	PEREMPUA N	70	10441 0	07-Jan- 23	3	6	2.13	23	21	2.81	25	24

## LAMPIRAN 4. Statistik Penelitian

**Usia\_kode**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 51-64 tahun	44	50.0	50.0	50.0
>=65 tahun	44	50.0	50.0	100.0
Total	88	100.0	100.0	

**Jenis\_kelamin\_kode**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Laki-laki	49	55.7	55.7	55.7
Perempuan	39	44.3	44.3	100.0
Total	88	100.0	100.0	

**Grade**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	2	2.3	2.3	2.3
3.00	43	48.9	48.9	51.1
4.00	37	42.0	42.0	93.2
5.00	6	6.8	6.8	100.0
Total	88	100.0	100.0	

**Ukuran**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 5.00	43	48.9	48.9	48.9
6.00	45	51.1	51.1	100.0
Total	88	100.0	100.0	

**Descriptives**

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
ACD_pre	5.00	43	2.7370	.39126	.05967	2.6166	2.8574	1.98	3.56
	6.00	45	2.6293	.38826	.05788	2.5127	2.7460	1.71	3.57
	Total	88	2.6819	.39124	.04171	2.5990	2.7648	1.71	3.57
Sudut_irido_kanan_pre	5.00	43	31.1860	7.49684	1.14326	28.8789	33.4932	17.00	44.00
	6.00	45	30.4000	6.27911	.93604	28.5135	32.2865	19.00	44.00
	Total	88	30.7841	6.87231	.73259	29.3280	32.2402	17.00	44.00
Sudut_irido_kiri_pre	5.00	43	30.8605	7.16001	1.09189	28.6569	33.0640	18.00	42.00
	6.00	45	30.1333	6.19237	.92310	28.2729	31.9937	19.00	43.00

	Total	88	30.4 886	6.65400	.70932	29.0788	31.8985	18.00	43.00
ACD_7	5.00	43	3.15 98	.24332	.03711	3.0849	3.2346	2.43	3.71
	6.00	45	3.01 51	.31489	.04694	2.9205	3.1097	2.41	3.62
	Total	88	3.08 58	.28986	.03090	3.0244	3.1472	2.41	3.71
Sudut_irido_kanan_7	5.00	43	34.9 070	5.61382	.85610	33.1793	36.6347	26.00	48.00
	6.00	45	32.7 333	5.80517	.86538	30.9893	34.4774	25.00	47.00
	Total	88	33.7 955	5.78376	.61655	32.5700	35.0209	25.00	48.00
Sudut_irido_kiri_7	5.00	43	35.4 651	5.66253	.86353	33.7224	37.2078	27.00	48.00
	6.00	45	32.8 444	5.76019	.85868	31.1139	34.5750	24.00	47.00
	Total	88	34.1 250	5.83058	.62154	32.8896	35.3604	24.00	48.00

## Uji Normalitas

### Tests of Normality

	Ukuran	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
ACD_pre	5.00	.082	43	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.980	43	.629
	6.00	.112	45	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.982	45	.688
Sudut_irido_kanan_pre	5.00	.113	43	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.959	43	.132
	6.00	.148	45	.015	.959	45	.109
Sudut_irido_kiri_pre	5.00	.096	43	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.953	43	.080
	6.00	.089	45	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.967	45	.231
ACD_7	5.00	.096	43	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.969	43	.293
	6.00	.092	45	.200 <sup>*</sup>	.967	45	.219
Sudut_irido_kanan_7	5.00	.163	43	.006	.937	43	.020
	6.00	.173	45	.002	.931	45	.010
Sudut_irido_kiri_7	5.00	.148	43	.018	.935	43	.018
	6.00	.136	45	.036	.941	45	.024

\*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## Uji Independent sample t test

### Group Statistics

	Ukuran_kode	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
ACD_pre	5 mm	43	2.7370	.39126	.05967
	6 mm	45	2.6293	.38826	.05788
Sudut_irido_kanan_pre	5 mm	43	31.1860	7.49684	1.14326
	6 mm	45	30.4000	6.27911	.93604
Sudut_irido_kiri_pre	5 mm	43	30.8605	7.16001	1.09189

	6 mm	45	30.1333	6.19237	.92310
ACD_7	5 mm	43	3.1598	.24332	.03711
	6 mm	45	3.0151	.31489	.04694

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
ACD_pre	Equal variances assumed	.002	.964	1.295	86	.199	.10764	.08311	-.05758	.27286
	Equal variances not assumed			1.295	85.753	.199	.10764	.08313	-.05761	.27290
Sudut_irido_kanan_pre	Equal variances assumed	1.588	.211	.534	86	.595	.78605	1.47162	-2.13943	3.71153

	Equal variances not assumed			.532	82.006	.596	.78605	1.47757	-2.15330	3.72539
Sudut_irido_kiri_pre	Equal variances assumed	1.496	.225	.510	86	.611	.72713	1.42508	-2.10582	3.56009
	Equal variances not assumed			.509	83.014	.612	.72713	1.42981	-2.11669	3.57095
ACD_7	Equal variances assumed	3.495	.065	2.404	86	.018	.14466	.06018	.02502	.26430
	Equal variances not assumed			2.418	82.443	.018	.14466	.05984	.02563	.26368



**Group Statistics**

	Ukuran_kode	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Delta_ACD	5 mm	43	.4228	.32322	.04929
	6 mm	45	.3858	.28969	.04318
Delta_kanan	5 mm	43	3.7209	7.00451	1.06818
	6 mm	45	2.3333	6.29574	.93851
Delta_kiri	5 mm	43	4.6047	5.82744	.88868
	6 mm	45	2.7111	6.17039	.91983

**Independent Samples Test**

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances	t-test for Equality of Means								
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
Delta_ACD	Equal variances assumed	.533	.467	.566	86	.573	.03701	.06537	-.09293	.16696
	Equal variances not assumed			.565	83.988	.574	.03701	.06553	-.09331	.16733
Delta_kanan	Equal variances assumed	.420	.519	.978	86	.331	1.38760	1.41843	1.43216	4.20735
	Equal variances not assumed			.976	84.058	.332	1.38760	1.42190	1.43999	4.21518
Delta_kiri	Equal variances assumed	.048	.828	1.479	86	.143	1.89354	1.28068	-.65236	4.43944

Equal variance			1.480	85.989	.142	1.89354	1.27899	-	4.43610
s not assumed								.64902	

### Uji Mann Whitney

#### Ranks

	Ukuran_kode	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
Sudut_irido_kanan_7	5 mm	43	49.60	2133.00
	6 mm	45	39.62	1783.00
	Total	88		
Sudut_irido_kiri_7	5 mm	43	50.36	2165.50
	6 mm	45	38.90	1750.50
	Total	88		

#### Test Statistics<sup>a</sup>

	Sudut_irido_kanan_7	Sudut_irido_kiri_7
Mann-Whitney U	748.000	715.500
Wilcoxon W	1783.000	1750.500
Z	-1.836	-2.110
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.066	.035

a. Grouping Variable: Ukuran\_kode

### Uji Paired t test

#### Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	Pre_5_ACD	2.7370	43	.39126	.05967
	Post_5_ACD	3.1598	43	.24332	.03711
Pair 2	Pre_5_kanan	31.1860	43	7.49684	1.14326
	Post_5_kanan	34.9070	43	5.61382	.85610
Pair 3	Pre_5_kiri	30.8605	43	7.16001	1.09189
	Post_5_kiri	35.4651	43	5.66253	.86353
Pair 4	Pre_6_ACD	2.6293	45	.38826	.05788
	Post_6_ACD	3.0151	45	.31489	.04694
Pair 5	Pre_6_kanan	30.4000	45	6.27911	.93604
	Post_6_kanan	32.7333	45	5.80517	.86538
Pair 6	Pre_6_kiri	30.1333	45	6.19237	.92310
	Post_6_kiri	32.8444	45	5.76019	.85868

#### Paired Samples Correlations

		N	Correlation	Sig.
Pair 1	Pre_5_ACD & Post_5_ACD	43	.566	.000
Pair 2	Pre_5_kanan & Post_5_kanan	43	.459	.002
Pair 3	Pre_5_kiri & Post_5_kiri	43	.609	.000
Pair 4	Pre_6_ACD & Post_6_ACD	45	.679	.000
Pair 5	Pre_6_kanan & Post_6_kanan	45	.459	.002
Pair 6	Pre_6_kiri & Post_6_kiri	45	.469	.001

#### Paired Samples Test

	Paired Differences					t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
				Lower	Upper			
Pair 1 Pre_5_ACD - Post_5_ACD	-.42279	.32322	.04929	-.52226	-.32332	8.577	42	.000

Pair	Pre_5_kanan -	-	7.00451	1.06818	-	-	-	42	.001
2	Post_5_kanan	3.72093			5.87660	1.56526	3.483		
Pair	Pre_5_kiri -	-	5.82744	.88868	-	-	-	42	.000
3	Post_5_kiri	4.60465			6.39807	2.81123	5.181		
Pair	Pre_6_ACD -	-.38578	.28969	.04318	-.47281	-.29875	-	44	.000
4	Post_6_ACD						8.933		
Pair	Pre_6_kanan -	-	6.29574	.93851	-	-.44188	-	44	.017
5	Post_6_kanan	2.33333			4.22478		2.486		
Pair	Pre_6_kiri -	-	6.17039	.91983	-	-.85732	-	44	.005
6	Post_6_kiri	2.71111			4.56490		2.947		