

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Ryalat S, AIRyalat SA, Kassob Z, Hassona Y, Al-Shayyab MH, Sawair F. Impaction of lower third molars and their association with age: Radiological perspectives. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18(1):1-5. doi:10.1186/s12903-018-0519-1
2. Brasil DM, Nascimento EHL, Gaeta-Araujo H, Oliveira-Santos C, Maria de Almeida S. Is Panoramic Imaging Equivalent to Cone-Beam Computed Tomography for Classifying Impacted Lower Third Molars? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;77(10):1968-1974. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2019.03.041
3. Stacchi C, Daugela P, Berton F, et al. A classification for assessing surgical difficulty in the extraction of mandibular impacted third molars: Description and clinical validation. *Quintessence Int (Berl)*. 2018;49(9):745-753. doi:10.3290/j.qi.a40778
4. Sainz de Baranda B, Silvestre FJ, Silvestre-Rangil J. Relationship Between Surgical Difficulty of Third Molar Extraction Under Local Anesthesia and the Postoperative Evolution of Clinical and Blood Parameters. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;77(7):1337-1345. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2019.02.020
5. Deepak Kademani PST. *Atlas of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. St. Louis, Missouri; 2016.
6. Matzen LH, Wenzel A. Efficacy of CBCT for assessment of impacted mandibular third molars: a review - based on a hierarchical model of evidence. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2015;44(1):20140189. doi:10.1259/dmfr.20140189
7. Louise Hauge Matzen, Julie Suhr Villefrance, Sven Erik Norholt, Jasper Bak AW. Cone Beam CT and treatment decision of mandibular third molars: removal vs. coronectomy – a 3-year audit. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2020.

8. Priya PV, Nasyam FA, Ramprasad M, Penumatsa NV, Akifuddin S, Sandeep. Correlating the clinical assessment of impacted mandibular third molars with panoramic radiograph and intraoral periapical radiograph. In: *Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry*. ; 2016.
9. Jaroń A, Gabrysz-Trybek E, Bladowska J, Trybek G. Correlation of panoramic radiography, cone-beam computed tomography, and three-dimensional printing in the assessment of the spatial location of impacted mandibular third molars. *J Clin Med*. 2021;10(18). doi:10.3390/jcm10184189
10. Elluru Venkatesh SVE. Cone Beam Computed Tomography: Basics and Applications In Dentistry. 2017;51:102-121.
11. Kaasalainen T, Ekholm M, Siiskonen T, Kortetniemi M. Dental cone beam CT: An updated review. *Phys Medica*. 2021;88(June):193-217. doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2021.07.007
12. Nasseh I, Al-Rawi W. Cone Beam Computed Tomography. *Dent Clin North Am*. 2018;62(3):361-391. doi:10.1016/j.cden.2018.03.002
13. Bertram F, Bertram S, Rudisch A, Emshoff R. Assessment of Location of the Mandibular Canal: Correlation Between Panoramic and Cone Beam Computed Tomography Measurements. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2018;31(2):129-134. doi:10.11607/ijp.5430
14. Chauhan V, Wilkins RC. A comprehensive review of the literature on the biological effects from dental X-ray exposures. *Int J Radiat Biol*. 2019;95(2):107-119. doi:10.1080/09553002.2019.1547436
15. Stuart C White MJ. *Oral Radiology Principle and Interpretation*. Edition 6. (Mosby Elsevier, ed.). St. Louis, Missouri; 2014. <https://www.ptonline.com/articles/how-to-get-better-mfi-results>.

16. Fragiskos FD. *Oral Surgery*. (Gabriele M. Schröder, Heidelberg, ed.). Athens, Greece; 2007.
17. Evelyn M. Thomson ONJ. *Essential of Dental Radiography for Dental Assistants and Hygienists*. Ninth Edit. (Mark Cohen, ed.). New Jersey: Julie Levin Alexander; 2012.
18. Mark AM. Dental x-rays. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2019;150(7):636. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2019.05.002
19. Varghese KG. *A Practical Guide to the Management of Impacted Teeth*. First. (Nayak K, ed.). Kerala: Jitendar P Vij; 2010.
20. Stratis A, Zhang G, Lopez-Rendon X, et al. Two examples of indication specific radiation dose calculations in dental CBCT and Multidetector CT scanners. *Phys Medica*. 2017;41:71-77. doi:10.1016/j.ejmp.2017.03.027
21. Sklavos A, Beteramia D, Delpachitra SN, Kumar R. The panoramic dental radiograph for emergency physicians. *Emerg Med J*. 2019;36(9):565-571. doi:10.1136/emermed-2018-208332
22. Peker I, Sarikir C, Alkurt MT, Zor ZF. Panoramic radiography and cone-beam computed tomography findings in preoperative examination of impacted mandibular third molars. *BMC Oral Health*. 2014;14:71. doi:10.1186/1472-6831-14-71
23. Perschbacher S. Interpretation of panoramic radiographs. *Aust Dent J*. 2012;57:40-45. doi:10.1111/j.1834-7819.2011.01655.x
24. Carter D. *Computed Tomography: Advances in Research and Applications*. (Debra Carter, ed.). New York: Nova Science; 2017.
25. Hayashi T, Arai Y, Chikui T, et al. Clinical guidelines for dental cone-beam computed tomography. *Oral Radiol*. 2018;34(2):89-104. doi:10.1007/s11282-

018-0314-3

26. Suomalainen A, Pakbaznejad Esmaeili E, Robinson S. Dentomaxillofacial imaging with panoramic views and cone beam CT. *Insights Imaging*. 2015;6(1):1-16. doi:10.1007/s13244-014-0379-4
27. Cosson J. Interpreting an orthopantomogram. *Aust J Gen Pract*. 2020;49(9):550-555. doi:10.31128/AJGP-07-20-5536
28. NSW Agency for Clinical Innovation. Radiology Clinician Fact Sheet - Radiation Information. *Aci*. 2012;1(September):1-2.
29. Stuart C. White MJP. *Oral Radiology Principle and Interpretation*. Vol 4. Edition 7. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier; 2014.
30. Chung How Kau, Kenneth Abramivitch, Sherif Galal Kamel MB. *Cone Beam CT of the Head and Neck An Anatomical Atlas*. (Heidelberg, ed.). London New: Springer; 2011.
31. Horner K, Jacobs R, Schulze R. Dental CBCT equipment and performance issues. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*. 2013;153(2):212-218. doi:10.1093/rpd/ncs289
32. Schulze R, Heil U, Gross D, et al. Artefacts in CBCT: a review. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*. 2011;40(5):265-273. doi:10.1259/dmfr/30642039
33. Jacobs R, Salmon B, Codari M, Hassan B, Bornstein MM. Cone beam computed tomography in implant dentistry: recommendations for clinical use. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18(1):88. doi:10.1186/s12903-018-0523-5
34. Van Assche N, Vercruyssen M, Coucke W, Teughels W, Jacobs R, Quirynen M. Accuracy of computer-aided implant placement. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2012;23(SUPPL.6):112-123. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0501.2012.02552.x
35. Grisar K, Piccart F, Al-Rimawi AS, Basso I, Politis C, Jacobs R. Three-dimensional position of impacted maxillary canines: Prevalence, associated

- pathology and introduction to a new classification system. *Clin Exp Dent Res*. 2019;5(1):19-25. doi:10.1002/cre2.151
36. Vercreyssen M, Laleman I, Jacobs R, Quirynen M. Computer-supported implant planning and guided surgery: A narrative review. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2015;26:69-76. doi:10.1111/clr.12638
 37. Matzen LH, Hintze H, Spin-Neto R, Wenzel A. Reproducibility of mandibular third molar assessment comparing two cone beam CT units in a matched pairs design. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiol*. 2013;42(10):10-12. doi:10.1259/dmfr.20130228
 38. Eric W. Baker, Michael Schuenke, Erik Schulte, Udo Schumacher, Markus Voll KW. *Head and Neck Anatomy for Dental Medicine*. Vol 1 y 2 cuar.; 2015.
 39. Juod\vbaly G, Daugela P. Mandibular Third Molar Impaction: Review of Literature and a Proposal of a Classification. In: *Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Research*. ; 2013.
 40. Brennan PA, Mahadevan V, Evans BT. *Clinical Head and Neck Anatomy for Surgeons*.; 2015. doi:10.1201/b19589
 41. Rafetto LK, Synan W. Surgical Management of Third Molars. *Atlas Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am*. 2012;20(2):197-223. doi:10.1016/j.cxom.2012.07.002
 42. Gumrukcu Z, Balaban E, Karabag M. Is there a relationship between third-molar impaction types and the dimensional/angular measurement values of posterior mandible according to Pell & Gregory/Winter Classification? *Oral Radiol*. 2021;37(1):29-35. doi:10.1007/s11282-019-00420-2
 43. Brasil DM, Nascimento EHL, Gaêta-Araujo H, Oliveira-Santos C, Maria de Almeida S. Is Panoramic Imaging Equivalent to Cone-Beam Computed

- Tomography for Classifying Impacted Lower Third Molars? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2019;77(10):1968-1974. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2019.03.041
44. Prajapati V, Mitra R, Vinayak K. Pattern of mandibular third molar impaction and its association to caries in mandibular second molar: A clinical variant. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2017;14(2):137-142. doi:10.4103/1735-3327.205792
 45. Sukegawa S, Matsuyama T, Tanaka F, et al. Evaluation of multi-task learning in deep learning-based positioning classification of mandibular third molars. *Sci Rep.* 2022;12(1):1-10. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-04603-y
 46. Santos KK, Lages FS, Maciel CAB, Glória JCR, Douglas-de-Oliveira DW. Prevalence of Mandibular Third Molars According to the Pell & Gregory and Winter Classifications. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2022;21(2):627-633. doi:10.1007/s12663-020-01473-1
 47. Winter BG. Principles of Exodontia as Applied to the Impacted Third Molar. St Louis, MO, American Medical Books. 1926:21-58.
 48. Khojastepour L, Khaghaninejad MS, Hasanshahi R, Forghani M, Ahrari F. Does the Winter or Pell and Gregory Classification System Indicate the Apical Position of Impacted Mandibular Third Molars? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2019;77(11):2222.e1-2222.e9. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2019.06.004
 49. Diniz-Freitas M, Lago-Méndez L, Gude-Sampedro F, Somoza-Martin JM, Gándara-Rey JM, García-García A. Pederson scale fails to predict how difficult it will be to extract lower third molars. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2007;45(1):23-26. doi:10.1016/j.bjoms.2005.12.004
 50. Juodis V, Balys G, Daugela P. Mandibular Third Molar Impaction: Review of Literature and a Proposal of a Classification. In: *Journal of Oral & Maxillofacial Research.* ; 2013.

51. Zhang X, Wang L, Gao Z, Li J, Shan Z. Development of a New Index to Assess the Difficulty Level of Surgical Removal of Impacted Mandibular Third Molars in an Asian Population. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;77(7):1358.e1-1358.e8. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2019.03.005
52. Lucas Moreira Mendonçaa, Hugo Gaêta-Araujob, Pedro Bastos Cruvinela, Ingrid Wenzel Tosina, Marcelo Rodrigues Azenhac, Emanuela Prado Ferrazd, Christiano de Oliveira-Santosc CT. Can diagnostic changes caused by cone beam computed tomography alter the clinical decision in impacted lower third molar treatment plan? *Br Inst Radiol*. 2020;3.
53. Freire BB, Nascimento EHL, Vasconcelos K de F, Freitas DQ, Haiter-Neto F. Radiologic assessment of mandibular third molars: an ex vivo comparative study of panoramic radiography, extraoral bitewing radiography, and cone beam computed tomography. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2019;128(2):166-175. doi:10.1016/j.oooo.2018.11.002
54. Dias MJ, Franco A, Junqueira JL, Fayad FT, Pereira PH, Oenning AC. Marginal bone loss in the second molar related to impacted mandibular third molars: comparison between panoramic images and cone beam computed tomography. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2020;25(3):e395-e402. doi:10.4317/medoral.23443
55. Devlin H, Yuan J. Object position and image magnification in dental panoramic radiography: A theoretical analysis. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiol*. 2013;42(1). doi:10.1259/dmfr/29951683
56. Tang Z, Liu X, Chen K. Comparison of digital panoramic radiography versus cone beam computerized tomography for measuring alveolar bone. *Head Face Med*. 2017;13(1):2-8. doi:10.1186/s13005-017-0135-3
57. Dias MJ, Franco A, Junqueira JL, Fayad FT, Pereira PH, Oenning AC.

Marginal bone loss in the second molar related to impacted mandibular third molars: comparison between panoramic images and cone beam computed tomography. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2020;25(3):e395-e402. doi:10.4317/medoral.23443

58. Matzen LH, Wenzel A. Efficacy of CBCT for assessment of impacted mandibular third molars: A review - Based on a hierarchical model of evidence. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiol*. 2015;44(1). doi:10.1259/dmfr.20140189
59. Nasseh I, Jensen D, Noujeim M. Comparison of Mesiodistal Root Angulation Measured from Conventional and CBCT Derived Panoramic Radiographs in Orthodontic Patients. *Open Dent J*. 2017;11(1):338-349. doi:10.2174/1874210601711010338
60. Osunde OD, Saheeb BD. Effect of Age, Sex and Level of Surgical Difficulty on Inflammatory Complications After Third Molar Surgery. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg*. 2015;14(1):7-12. doi:10.1007/s12663-013-0586-4
61. Fokas G, Vaughn VM, Scarfe WC, Bornstein MM. Accuracy of linear measurements on CBCT images related to presurgical implant treatment planning: A systematic review. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2018;29(February):393-415. doi:10.1111/clr.13142
62. Bushberg JT. Eleventh Annual Warren K. Sinclair keynote address - Science, radiation protection and ncrp: Building on the past, looking to the future. *Health Phys*. 2015;108(2):115-123. doi:10.1097/HP.0000000000000228