BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Al-Baddareen, G., Ghaith, S., & Akour, M. (2015). Self-efficacy, achievement goals, and metacognition as predicators of academic motivation. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 191(1), 2068-2073. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.345
- Ariyanti. (2016). Psychological factors affecting EFL students' speaking performance. *Journal of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 1(2), 99. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305887999_Psychological_Factors_Affecting_EFL_Students'_Speaking_Performance
- Asarekeh, A., & Dehghannezhad, M. (2015). Student satisfaction with EFL speaking classes: Relating speaking self-efficacy and skills achievement. *Issues in Educational Research*, 25(4), 345. https://www.iier.org.au/iier25/asakereh.html
- Auliyah, N. (2019). Psychological problems in learning speaking faced by the English Department students at University of Muhammadiyah Makassar. [Bachelor's thesis, University of Muhammadiyah Makassar]. Digital Library Unismuh. https://digilibadmin.unismuh.ac.id/upload/9710-Full_Text.pdf
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 191-215
- Bandura, A., & National Inst of Mental Health. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W H Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co.
- Brown, H. Douglas. 2004. Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practice. San Fransisco State University.

- Cameron, D. (2001). Working with Spoken Discourse. Oxford: SAGE Publications, Ltd.
- Creswell, J. (2002). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative research. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Dharma, A. D. D. (2018). *Students' self-efficacy: A case study on the first semester students of English Education at Jambi University*. [Bachelor's thesis, University of Jambi]. UNJA-IR. https://repository.unja.ac.id/4403/1/artikel.pdf
- Fauzan, U. (2016). Enhancing speaking ability of EFL students through debate and peer assessment. *EFL Journal*, *I*(1), 55. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301482632_Enhancing_ Speaking_Ability_of_EFL_Students_through_Debate_and_Peer_ Assessment
- Finocchiaro, M. & Brumfit, C. (1983). *The functional-notional approach*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fischer, H. E., Boone, W. J., & Neumann, K. (2014). Handbook of
 Research on Science Education [eBook edition]. Taylor and
 Francis (Routledge).
 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266323766_Quantitativ
 e_Research_Designs_and_Approaches
- Florez, M. A. C. (1999). Improving adult English language learners' speaking skills. *ERIC*, *6*(1), 1–4. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED435204
- Fulcher, G. (2003). Testing second language speaking. Routledge.
- Fraenkel, Jack. R., & Norman E. W. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education 8th edition*. Boston: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

- Hermagustiana, I., Astuti, A. D., & Sucahyo, D. (2021). Do I speak anxiously? A correlation of self-efficacy, foreign language learning anxiety and speaking performance of Indonesian EFL learners. *Journal of Linguistics and Language Teaching*, 6(1), 75-76.
- Hinkle, D. E., Wiersma, W., & Jurs, S. G. (2003). Applied Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Juhana. (2012). Psychological factors that hinder students from speaking in English class (A case study in a Senior High School in South Tangerang, Banten, Indonesia). *Journal of Education* and Practice, 3(12), 108. file:///C:/Users/ASUS/Downloads/2887-4905-1-PB%20(1).pdf
- Komarraju, M., & Nadler, D. (2013). Self-efficacy and academic achievement: Why do implicit beliefs, goals, and effort regulation matter? *Learning and Individual Differences*, 25, 67–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2013.01.005
- Köseoğlu, Y. (2015). Self-efficacy and academic achievement A case from Turkey. *Journal of Education and Practice*, *6*(29), 138. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1081281.pdf
- Krashen, S. (2013). Second language acquisition. Cambridge University Press. http://www.sdkrashen.com/content/articles/krashen_sla.pdf
- Maryam, I. S., Febriani, R. B., & Kurnia, A. D. (2019). EFL learners' perceptions towards their self-efficacy in learning public speaking. *Journal of English Education and Teaching*, *3*(3), 385-386.
 - https://ejournal.unib.ac.id/index.php/JEET/article/view/8705/4383

- Oktaria. (2020). An analysis of psychological factors impacting speaking performance at English Language Education FKIP UIR. [Bachelor's thesis, Islam University of Riau]. Digital Library Unismuh. URLIB. https://repository.uir.ac.id/8195/1/136310102.pdf
- Rahimi, A. & Abedini, A. (2009). The interface between EFL learners' self-efficacy concerning listening comprehension and listening proficiency. *Novitas Royal*, *3*(1), 14-28
- Reivich, K., & Shatte, A. (2002). The resiliency factor: Seven keys to finding your inner strength and overcoming life's hurdles. New York: Three Rivers Press.
- Robbins, S. P. (2001). Organizational behavior. Upper Saddle River.
- Schunk, D. H. (1989). Self-efficacy and achievement behaviors. *Educational Psychology Review*, 1(3), 173-208.
- Triantoro, S. (2013). Effects of Self-Efficacy on Students' Academic Performance. *Journal of Educational, Health and Community Psychology*, 2(1), 19-25.
- Wang, C. H., Harrison, J., Cardullo, V., & Xi, L. (2017). Exploring the relationship among international students' English self-efficacy, using English to learn self-efficacy, and academic self-efficacy. *Journal of International Students*, 8(1), 233-250.
- Zayed, J. & Al-Ghamdi. (2019). The relationships among affective factors in learning EFL: A study of the Saudi setting. *English Language Teaching*. 12. 105. 10.5539/elt.v12n9p105.
- Zhang, X., Ardasheva, Y., & Austin, B. W. (2020). Self-efficacy and English public speaking performance: A mixed method approach. *English for Specific Purposes*, *59*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2020.02.001

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A. Speaking Self-Efficacy Questionnaire

Name/Student ID Number:
Class:
1 – strongly disagree: 2 – disagree: 3 – neutral: 4 – agree: 5 – strongly agree

No	Items	1	2	3	4	5
1	I have enough ability to improve my speaking skills.					
2	I am sure that if I practice speaking more, I will get better grades in the course.					
3	I can speak better than my classmates.					
4	Even if the speaking task is difficult and I don't have the required vocabulary, I can find the strategy to get the message across.					
5	I am not stressed out when speaking English in the classroom.					
6	I enjoy speaking with a proficient partner.					
7	I am one of the best students in speaking courses.					
8	I enjoy meeting tourists because I can speak with them					

	well.			
9	The more difficult the speaking practice is, the more enjoyable it is.			
10	I'm confident about my ability to interact with other English speakers.			
11	While speaking, I can deal efficiently with unexpected situations.			
12	While speaking, I can remain calm when facing difficulties.			
13	When I'm talking with fluent speakers, I let them know if I need help.			
14	I'm confident I can communicate what I mean easily.			
15	I feel confident that I can achieve a native-like accuracy in speaking.			
16	I'm able to actively participate in my speaking classes.			
17	I'm sure I can use English outside the classroom.			
18	I believe I am a good English speaker.			
19	I strongly believe that I can achieve nativelike fluency in English.			

20	I can describe my university to others in English.			
21	I can tell a story in English.			
22	I can ask my teachers questions in English.			
23	I can introduce my teacher to someone else in English.			
24	I can discuss subjects of my interest with my			
	classmates.			
25	I can introduce myself in English.			
26	I can answer my teachers' questions in English.			

APPENDIX B. Speaking Performance Test

Name/Student ID Number:

Class:

Hello. First of all, I appreciate your availability to participate on this research. In this opportunity, I would like you to choose one of the ten available topics and describe your own argument for 1 to 3 minutes. This speech of yours will be recorded using voice recorder under the sole purpose for the thesis research.

There are ten topics of argumentative speech below. Please choose one of the topics to be described in your speech.

- 1. Technology is making people less creative.
- 2. Human beings become slaves of modern technology.
- 3. Exams in schools should be abolished.
- 4. Watching television makes people smarter.
- 5. Internet has made society better.
- 6. Workers should be given 12 days for their vacation each year.
- 7. Mobile phones should be banned in schools for both students and teachers.
- 8. Government aid for students should be allocated on academic performance.
- 9. Social networking sites have a bad impact for society.
- 10. Studying a foreign language should begin from kindergarten age.

APPENDIX C. Validity Test Result of Speaking Self-Efficacy Questionnaire

No Items	Rcount	Rtable	Interpretation
1	0.514	0.433	Valid
2	0.530	0.433	Valid
3	0.557	0.433	Valid
4	0.655	0.433	Valid
5	0.590	0.433	Valid
6	0.824	0.433	Valid
7	0.479	0.433	Valid
8	0.611	0.433	Valid
9	0.566	0.433	Valid
10	0.743	0.433	Valid
11	0.657	0.433	Valid
12	0.496	0.433	Valid
13	0.594	0.433	Valid
14	0.650	0.433	Valid
15	0.458	0.433	Valid
16	0.694	0.433	Valid
17	0.899	0.433	Valid

23	0.853	0.433	Valid Valid
22	0.739	0.433	Valid
21	0.820	0.433	Valid
20	0.813	0.433	Valid
19	0.630	0.433	Valid
18	0.548	0.433	Valid

APPENDIX D. Reliability Test Result of Speaking Self-Efficacy Questionnaire

Reliability Statistics

	Cronbach's	
	Alpha Based	
	on	
Cronbach's	Standardized	
Alpha	Items	N of Items
.949	.949	26

APPENDIX E. Validity Test Result of Speaking Performance Test

Component Mat	rix ^a		
	Component		
	1		
Fluency	,897		
Vocabulary	,896		
Description	,835		
Grammar	,776		
Pronunciation_and_accent	,670	Average factor component 1	,815
Extraction Method: Principal Co	omponent		
a. 1 components extracted.			

APPENDIX F. Reliability Test Result of Speaking Performance Test

Reliability Statistics

	Cronbach's	
	Alpha Based	
	on	
Cronbach's	Standardized	
Alpha	Items	N of Items
.874	.874	5

APPENDIX G. The Scores of Speaking Self-Efficacy Questionnaire

1 FA 89 2 GB 36 3 SDN 92 4 SP 104 5 HA 110 6 SA 78 7 ADN 90 8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114 19 CS 77	No.	Initial	Self-Efficacy Score
3 SDN 92 4 SP 104 5 HA 110 6 SA 78 7 ADN 90 8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	1	FA	89
4 SP 104 5 HA 110 6 SA 78 7 ADN 90 8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	2	GB	36
5 HA 110 6 SA 78 7 ADN 90 8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	3	SDN	92
6 SA 78 7 ADN 90 8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	4	SP	104
7 ADN 90 8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	5	НА	110
8 AM 107 9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	6	SA	78
9 NR 79 10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	7	ADN	90
10 NK 90 11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	8	AM	107
11 IUR 105 12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	9	NR	79
12 NYA 78 13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	10	NK	90
13 NM 76 14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	11	IUR	105
14 AMK 91 15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	12	NYA	78
15 ANA 84 16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	13	NM	76
16 MS 85 17 NA 63 18 DM 114	14	AMK	91
17 NA 63 18 DM 114	15	ANA	84
18 DM 114	16	MS	85
	17	NA	63
19 CS 77	18	DM	114
1	19	CS	77

20	DS	81
21	TMR	64
Total		1793

APPENDIX H. The Scores of Speaking Performance Test

				Speaking	Test Score		
No	Initia 1	Vocabular y	Gramma r	Fluenc	Pronunciatio n and accent	Descriptio n	Tota 1
1	FA	3	3	4	4	3	17
2	GB	1	3	1	3	1	10
3	SDN	3	3	4	2	4	16
4	SP	4	4	4	4	5	21
5	НА	4	4	5	4	5	22
6	SA	3	3	2	2	4	14
7	ADN	4	3	3	3	3	16
8	AM	4	3	4	4	4	19
9	NR	3	4	3	3	4	17
10	NK	5	5	4	4	5	23
11	IUR	4	4	5	5	5	23
12	NYA	4	3	3	3	4	17
13	NM	3	2	2	2	4	13
14	AM K	5	5	5	4	5	24
15	ANA	5	5	4	4	5	23
16	MS	2	3	2	3	4	14

17	NA	2	3	2	2	3	12
18	DM	4	4	3	4	4	19
19	CS	3	4	2	3	3	15
20	DS	3	4	3	4	3	17
21	TMR	4	4	3	1	4	16
Total score							368