

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahmad, Z., Sharma, A., Saxena, P., Choudhary, A., & Ahmed, M. (2013). A clinical study of intestinal stomas: its indications and complications. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 1(4), 536. <https://doi.org/10.5455/2320-6012.ijrms20131140>
- Ahmed, I., & Ishtiaq, S. (2021). Reliability and validity: Importance in Medical Research. *Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 71(10), 2401–2406. <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.06-861>
- Almutairi, D., LeBlanc, K., & Alavi, A. (2018). Peristomal skin complications: what dermatologists need to know. *International Journal of Dermatology*, 57(3), 257–264. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.13710>
- Ambe, P. C., Kurz, N. R., Nitschke, C., Odeh, S. F., Mslein, G., & Zirngibl, H. (2018). Intestinal Ostomy Classification, Indications, Ostomy Care and Complication Management. *Deutsches Arzteblatt International*, 115(11), 182–187. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2018.0182>
- American Nurses Association. (2015). *Nursing: Scope and standards of practice* (Issue 3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jradnu.2004.04.006>
- Andersen, N. K., Trøjgaard, P., Herschend, N. O., & Størling, Z. M. (2020). Automated Assessment of Peristomal Skin Discoloration and Leakage Area Using Artificial Intelligence. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 3(September), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2020.00072>
- Antonini, M., Militello, G., Manfreda, S., Arena, R., Veraldi, S., & Gasperini, S. (2016a). A revised version of the original SACS scale for peristomal skin disorders classification. *World Council of Enterostomal Therapists Journal*, 36(3), 22.
- Antonini, M., Militello, G., Manfreda, S., Arena, R., Veraldi, S., & Gasperini, S. (2016b). SACS 2.0: A proposal for the classification of peristomal skin disorders. Results of a multicenter observational study [SACS 2.0: Una proposta per la classificazione delle alterazioni cutanee peristomali. Risultati di uno studio osservazionale multicentri. *Acta*

Vulnologica, 14(3), 140–151.

- Aromataris E; Munn Z. (2020). JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. In *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis* (Issue July). <https://doi.org/10.46658/jbimes-20-01>
- Asif, T., Mohiuddin, A., Hasan, B., & Pauly, R. R. (2017). Importance Of Thorough Physical Examination: A Lost Art. *Cureus*, 9(5), 3–7.
<https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1212>
- Ay, A., & Bulut, H. (2015). Assessing the Validity and Reliability of the Peristomal Skin Lesion Assessment Instrument Adapted for Use in Turkey. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 61(8), 26–34.
- Ayre, C., & Scally, A. J. (2014). Critical values for Lawshe’s content validity ratio: Revisiting the original methods of calculation. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 47(1), 79–86.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0748175613513808>
- Baranoski, S., & Ayello, E. A. (2016). *Wound Care Essentials Practice Principles* (Fourth Edi). Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Beitz, J., Gerlach, M., Ginsburg, P., Ho, M., McCann, E., Schafer, V., Scott, V., Stallings, B., & Turnbull, G. (2010a). Content validation of a standardized algorithm for ostomy care. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 56(10), 22–38.
- Beitz, J., Gerlach, M., Ginsburg, P., Ho, M., McCann, E., Schafer, V., Scott, V., Stallings, B., & Turnbull, G. (2010b). Content validation of a standardized algorithm for ostomy care. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 56(10), 22–38.
- Bosio, G., Giov, Pisani, F., Lucibello, L., Fonti, A., Scrocca, A., Morandell, C., Anselmi, L., Militello, G., Mastronica, D., & Gasperini, S. (2007). Proposal for Classifying Peristomal Skin Disorders : Results of a Multicenter. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 53(9), 38–43.
- Boyd, K. (2014). Innovations in care: Managing severely excoriated peristomal skin using a hairdryer. *Gastrointestinal Nursing*, 12(10), 21–28.
<https://doi.org/10.12968/gasn.2014.12.10.21>

- Boyles, A., & Hunt, S. (2016). Care and Management of a Stoma: Maintaining Peristomal Skin Health. *British Journal of Nursing*, 25(17), S14–S21.
<https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2016.25.17.S14>
- Burch, J. (2010). Caring for peristomal skin: What every nurse should know. *British Journal of Nursing*, 19(3), 166–172. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2010.19.3.46538>
- Burch, J. (2013). *Care of patients with a stoma*. 27(32), 49–57.
- Burch, J. (2014a). Care of patients with peristomal skin complications. *Nursing Standard (Royal College of Nursing (Great Britain) : 1987)*, 28(37), 51–57.
<https://doi.org/10.7748/ns.28.37.51.e8317>
- Burch, J. (2014b). Looking after the stoma and the surrounding peristomal skin. *Nursing and Residential Care*, 16(4), 190–195. <https://doi.org/10.12968/nrec.2014.16.4.190>
- Burch, J. (2018). Maintaining peristomal skin integrity. *British Journal of Community Nursing*, 23(1), 30–33. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2018.23.1.30>
- Chandler, P. (2015). Preventing and treating peristomal skin conditions in stoma patients. *British Journal of Community Nursing*, 20(8), 386–388.
<https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2015.20.8.386>
- Fellows, J., Voegeli, D., Håkan-Bloch, J., Herschend, N. O., & Størling, Z. (2021). Multinational survey on living with an ostomy: Prevalence and impact of peristomal skin complications. *British Journal of Nursing*, 30(16), S22–S30.
<https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2021.30.16.S22>
- Goldberg, M., Aukett, L. K., Carmel, J., Fellows, J., Folkedahl, B., & Pittman, J. (2010). Management of the patient with a fecal ostomy: Best practice guideline for clinicians. *Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing*, 37(6), 596–598.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0b013e3181f97e37>
- Gray, M., Colwell, J. C., Doughty, D., Goldberg, M., Hoeflok, J., Manson, A., McNichol, L., & Rao, S. (2013). Peristomal moisture-associated skin damage in adults with fecal ostomies a comprehensive review and consensus. *Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing*, 40(4), 389–399.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0b013e3182944340>

Harputlu, D., & Özsoy, S. A. (2018). A Prospective, Experimental Study to Assess the Effectiveness of Home Care Nursing on the Healing of Peristomal Skin Complications and Quality of Life. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 64(10), 18–30.

<https://doi.org/10.25270/owm.2018.10.1830>

Harputlu, D., Ph, D., Özsoy, S. A., & Ph, D. (2017). Turkish Reliability and Validity of The Ostomy Skin Tool. *Journal of Nursing & Healthcare*, 2(4), 1–5.

<https://doi.org/10.33140/jnh/02/04/00004>

Haugen, V., & Ratliff, C. R. (2013). Tools for assessing peristomal skin complications.

Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing, 40(2), 131–134.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0b013e31828001a7>

Healthcare, A. (2014). *Overview of Nursing Health Assessment*. 8–10.

Hellman, J., & Lago, C. P. (1990). Dermatologic Complications in Colostomy and Ileostomy Patients. *International Journal of Dermatology*, 29(2), 129–133.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4362.1990.tb04085.x>

Hopia, H., Latvala, E., & Liimatainen, L. (2016). Reviewing the methodology of an integrative review. In *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences* (Vol. 30, Issue 4, pp. 662–669). <https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12327>

Huck, S. W. (2012). *Reading Statistics and Research* (Sixth Edit). Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Allyn & Bacon, 501 Boylston Street, Boston, MA, 02116.

Iizaka et al. (2014). The Reliability and Validity of Color Indicators Using Digital Image Analysis of Peristomal Skin Photographs: Results of a Preliminary Prospective Clinical Study. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 60(3), 12–29.

Iizaka, S., Asada, M., Koyanagi, H., Sasaki, S., Naito, A., Konya, C., & Sanada, H. (2014). The reliability and validity of color indicators using digital image analysis of peristomal skin photographs: Results of a preliminary prospective clinical study. *Ostomy Wound Management*, 60(3), 12–29.

- Invernizzi Silveira, N., & Boghossian Lanza, L. (2019). Cross-cultural adaptation, content validity index, and interobserver reliability of The SACSTM Instrument: Assessing and classifying peristomal skin lesion. *ESTIMA, Brazilian Journal of Enterostomal Therapy*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.30886/estima.v17.768_in
- Jemec, G. B., Martins, L., Claessens, I., Ayello, E. A., Hansen, A. S., Poulsen, L. H., & Sibbald, R. G. (2011). Assessing peristomal skin changes in ostomy patients: Validation of the Ostomy Skin Tool. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 164(2), 330–335. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.10093.x>
- John, B., Kim, M.-Y., & Forgrave, D. (2019). Risk factors associated with peristomal skin complications: Integrative literature review. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*, 9(7), 82. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jnep.v9n7p82>
- Jones, M. L. (2016). Fundamentals of peristomal skin care. *Wound Essentials*, 11(1), 51–54.
- Jordan Rosalyn, Marci Christian, B., & A. (2013). Understanding peristomal skin complications. *Wound Care Advisor*, 2(3), 36–41. www.WoundCareAdvisor.com
- Kelly, S. (2019). Peristomal skin damage: assessment, prevention and treatment. *British Journal of Nursing*, 28(5), 412–469. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199981199.003.0009>
- Malik, T. A. M., Lee, M. J., & Harikrishnan, A. B. (2018). The incidence of stoma related morbidity - A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England*, 100(7), 501–508. <https://doi.org/10.1308/rcsann.2018.0126>
- Martins, L., Ayello, E. A., Claessens, I., Hansen, A. S., Poulsen, H., Sibbald, R. G., & Jemec, G. B. (2010). The Ostomy Skin Tool: tracking Peristomal Skin Changes. *British Journal of Nursing*, 19(15), 111–114. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2010.19.15.77691>
- Martins, L., Ayello, E. A., Claessens, I., Steen, A., Poulsen, L. H., Sibbald, R. G., & Jemec, G. B. (2010). The Ostomy Skin Tool: Tracking peristomal skin changes. *British*

- Journal of Nursing*, 19(15), 960–964. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2010.19.15.77691>
- Martins, L., Down, G., Andersen, B. D., Nielsen, L. F., Hansen, A. S., Herschend, N. O., & Størling, Z. (2022a). *The Ostomy Skin Tool 2.0: a new instrument for assessing peristomal skin changes*. 31(8).
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2022.31.8.442>
- Martins, L., Down, G., Andersen, B. D., Nielsen, L. F., Hansen, A. S., Herschend, N. O., & Størling, Z. (2022b). The Ostomy Skin Tool 2.0: A new instrument for assessing peristomal skin changes. *British Journal of Nursing*, 31(8), 442–450.
<https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2022.31.8.442>
- Martins, L., Tavernelli, K., Sansom, W., & Dahl, K. (2012). *Strategies to reduce treatment costs of peristomal skin complications*. 21(22), 1312–1316.
- Menin, G., Roveron, G., Barbierato, M., Peghetti, A., & Zanotti, R. (2019). Design and validation of a “Peristomal Lesion Scale” for peristomal skin assessment. *International Wound Journal*, 16(2), 433–441. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13052>
- Metcalf, C. (2018). Managing moisture-associated skin damage in stoma care. *British Journal of Nursing*, 27(22), S6–S14. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2018.27.22.S6>
- Mitaka, T., & Hirata, K. (2012). 42nd Annual Meeting of the Japanese Society for Wound Healing HEALING AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN SURGICAL INTESTINAL CANCER BIOLOGICAL REGULATION OF WOUND REPAIR AND GENERATION SEQUENCING. 1–23.
- Mitchell, A., & Hill, B. (2020). Moisture-associated skin damage: An overview of its diagnosis and management. *British Journal of Community Nursing*, 25(3), S12–S18.
<https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2020.25.Sup3.S12>
- Moher, David; Larissa, Shamseer; Mike, Clarke; Davina, Gherzi; Alessandro, Liberati; Mark, Patticrew; Paul, Shekelle; Lesley, S. (2019). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015 statement. *Japanese Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 47(8), 1177–1185.
- Mokkink, L. B., Prinsen, C. A. C., Bouter, L. M., de Vet, H. C. W., & Terwee, C. B.

- (2016). The Consensus-based standards for the selection of health measurement Instruments (COSMIN) and how to select an outcome measurement instrument. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 20(2), 105–113. <https://doi.org/10.1590/bjpt-rbf.2014.0143>
- Mokkink, L., Terwee, C., & de Vet, H. (2021). Key concepts in clinical epidemiology: Responsiveness, the longitudinal aspect of validity. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 140, 159–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.06.002>
- Numa, E. (2019). Examining the ABCD-Stoma® utilization method in a general hospital – Observing peristomal skin reactions for improved care. *J.Jpn.WOCM*, 23(4), 442–448.
- Nunes, M. L. G., & Santos, V. L. C. de G. (2018). Tools for peristomal skin complications assessment: Integrative review. *Aquichan*, 18(4), 477–491. <https://doi.org/10.5294/aqui.2018.18.4.9>
- O’Flynn, S. K. (2016). Protecting peristomal skin: a guide to conditions and treatments. *Gastrointestinal Nursing*, 14(7), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2018.23.8.382>
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2004). Nursing Research : Principles and Methods - 7th edition. In *Lippincott Williams & Wilkins*. <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Assessing+Data+Quality:#8>
- Recalla, S., English, K., Nazarali, R., Mayo, S., Miller, D., & Gray, M. (2013). Ostomy care and management a systematic review. *Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing*, 40(5), 489–500. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0b013e3182a219a1>
- Runkel, N., Droste, W., Reith, B., Jehle, E. C., Benz, S., Birk, M., Staib, G., Romankiewicz, J., Hartkopf, F., & Jooß, M. (2016). LSD score. A new classification system for peristomal skin lesions. *Chirurg*, 87(2), 144–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00104-015-0044-6>
- Russell, C. L. (2016). *An overview of the integrative research review by*. 3(1), 1–23.
- S List, B., Bork, M., Duran, D. M., & Salvadalena, G. D. (n.d.). Convex Pouching Systems: Best Practice for Clinicians. *Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nurses Society*.

- Salvadadena, G., McNichol, L., Droste, W., & Gray, M. (2016). *Use of Convexity in Ostomy Care : Results of an International Consensus Use of Convexity in Ostomy Care Results of an International Consensus Meeting. January 2018.*
<https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0000000000000291>
- Shabbir, J., & Britton, D. C. (2010). Stoma complications: a literature overview. *Colorectal Disease, 12*(10), 958–964. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1318.2009.02006.x>
- Soares, C. B., Hoga, L. A. K., Peduzzi, M., Sangaleti, C., Yonekura, T., & Silva, D. R. A. D. (2014). Integrative review: Concepts and methods used in nursing. *Revista Da Escola de Enfermagem, 48*(2), 335–345. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0080-6234201400002000020>
- Society, J., & Management, C. (2012). How to Use ABCD - Stoma ®. *The Japanese Society of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Management.*
- Souza, A. C. de, Alexandre, N. M. C., & Guirardello, E. de B. (2017). Propriedades psicométricas na avaliação de instrumentos: avaliação da confiabilidade e da validade. *Epidemiologia e Servicos de Saude : Revista Do Sistema Unico de Saude Do Brasil, 26*(3), 649–659. <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742017000300022>
- Souza, M. T. de, Silva, M. D. da, & Carvalho, R. de. (2010). Integrative review: what is it? How to do it? *Einstein (São Paulo), 8*(1), 102–106. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-45082010rw1134>
- Stelton, S., Zulkowski, K., & Ayello, E. A. (2015). Practice implications for peristomal skin assessment and care from the 2014 world council of enterostomal therapists international ostomy guideline. *Advances in Skin and Wound Care, 28*(6), 275–284. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ASW.0000465374.42350.0f>
- Suseno, M. N. (2014). *PENGEMBANGAN PENGUJIAN VALIDITAS ISI DAN VALIDITAS KONSTRUK: INTERPRETASI HASIL PENGUJIAN VALIDITAS.*
- Taherdoost, H. (2016). Validity and Reliability of the Research Instrument ; How to Test the Validation of a Questionnaire / Survey in a Research Hamed Taherdoost To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-02546799 Validity and Reliability of the Research

Instrument ; How to Test the. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, 5(3), 28–36.

- Taneja, C., Netsch, D., Rolstad, B. S., Inglese, G., Lamerato, L., & Oster, G. (2017). Clinical and Economic Burden of Peristomal Skin Complications in Patients With Recent Ostomies. *Journal of Wound, Ostomy and Continence Nursing*, 44(4), 350–357. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WON.0000000000000339>
- Tiranda, Y., Gayatri, D., & Dahlia, D. (2020). Coconut shell as skin barriers for colorectal cancer survivors with ostomy. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 25(3), 1–8.
- Torraco, R. J. (2016). Writing Integrative Reviews of the Literature. *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology*, 7(3), 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijavet.2016070106>
- Uzun Arslantaş, A., Karahan, E., & Büyükuysal, M. Ç. (2020). Validity and Reliability of the Turkish Version of Pittman Ostomy Complication Severity Index. *Turkish Journal of Colorectal Disease*, 30(1), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.4274/tjcd.galenos.2019.2019-9-5>
- Weber, P. (2017). Measurement Error. *The International Encyclopedia of Communication Research Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118901731>
- Whittemore, R., & Kathleen Knaf. (2005). The integrative review: updated methodology. *Journal OfAdvanced Nursing*, 52(2), 546–553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmn.2007.11.006>
- Wise, W. (2019). Stoma and Peristomal Skin Care : A Clinical Review. *AJN*, 119(6).
- Wound Ostomy and Continence Nurses Society. (2014). *Stoma Complications: Best Practice for Clinicians*. Mt. Laurel: NJ. Author.
- Yusup, F. (2018). Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Instrumen Penelitian Kuantitatif. *Jurnal Tarbiyah : Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 7(1), 17–23. <https://doi.org/10.18592/tarbiyah.v7i1.2100>

Lampiran 1









Format Pengkajian SACS (Tiranda et al., 2020)

Assessing and Classifying a Peristomal Skin Lesion using the SACS™ Instrument

Step 1
 Assess and classify the peristomal skin lesion (L1-LX)







Step 2
 Observe the topography to identify lesion location (TI-TV)

Step 3
 Document the patient's SACS™ classification (L & T)

Type of Lesion (L)	Topographical Location (T)	SACS™ Classification Examples	
<p>L1 Hyperemic Lesion Peristomal redness with intact skin</p> 	<p><i>Patient's Head</i></p>  <p><i>Patient's Feet</i></p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">SACS™ Classification: L2, TV</p>	
<p>L2 Erosive Lesion Open lesion not extending into subcutaneous tissue; partial-thickness skin loss</p> 			 <p style="text-align: center;">SACS™ Classification: LX, TII & TIII</p>
<p>L3 Ulcerative Lesion Open lesion extending into subcutaneous tissue and below; full-thickness skin loss</p> 			
<p>L4 Ulcerative Lesion Full-thickness skin loss with non-viable, dead tissue (necrotic, fibrinous)</p> 			
<p>LX Proliferative Lesion Abnormal growths present (ie, hyperplasia, granulomas, neoplasms)</p> 			
	<p>TI Left Upper Peristomal Quadrant (12 to 3 o'clock)</p> <p>TII Left Lower Peristomal Quadrant (3 to 6 o'clock)</p> <p>TIII Right Lower Peristomal Quadrant (6 to 9 o'clock)</p> <p>TIV Right Upper Peristomal Quadrant (9 to 12 o'clock)</p> <p>TV All Peristomal Quadrants</p>	<div style="background-color: #003366; color: white; padding: 5px; margin-bottom: 5px;">When Using the SACS™ Instrument</div> <p>L1-LX Document each lesion observed.</p> <p>TI-TV Document the topographical location(s) for each lesion observed.</p>	

Lampiran 2

Format Pengkajian OST-DET, diambil dari www.coloplast.ca

Domain 1: Discolouration		Domain 2: Erosion		Domain 3: Tissue overgrowth	
Area of discolouration (including eroded areas)	Severity of discolouration	Area of erosion	Severity of erosion	Area of tissue overgrowth	Severity of tissue overgrowth
<p>Normal skin (absence of any visible change and damage to epidermis)</p> <p>If the Area of discolouration score is 0, the skin is normal and the total score must be 0 + 0</p> <p>Score = 0</p>	<p>Slight redness or other discolouration of the peristomal skin</p>  <p>Example</p> <p>Score = 1</p>	<p>No erosion/excoriation</p> <p>If the Area of erosion score is 0, the score for Domain 2 must be 0 + 0</p> <p>Score = 0</p>	<p>Damage to the top layer of the skin (the epidermis)</p>  <p>Example</p> <p>Score = 1</p>	<p>No tissue overgrowth</p> <p>If the Area of tissue overgrowth score is 0, the score for Domain 3 must be 0 + 0</p> <p>Score = 0</p>	<p>Tissue overgrowth that interferes with application of the adhesive</p>  <p>Example</p> <p>Score = 1</p>
<p>Less than 25% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 1</p>	<p>Deep red or highly macerated skin potentially causing further complications</p>  <p>Example</p> <p>Score = 2</p>	<p>Between 25% and 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 2</p>	<p>Damage to dermis causing excessive moisture or bleeding</p>  <p>Example</p> <p>Score = 2</p>	<p>Between 25% and 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 2</p>	<p>Tissue overgrowth that interferes with application of the adhesive and causes bleeding and / or pain</p>  <p>Example</p> <p>Score = 2</p>
<p>More than 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 3</p>	<p>More than 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 3</p>	<p>More than 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 3</p>	<p>More than 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 3</p>	<p>More than 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 3</p>	<p>More than 50% of the skin covered by the adhesive is affected</p> <p>Please assess severity</p> <p>Score = 3</p>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
+	+	+	+	+	+
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>