

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ady, Ersha Miftakhul. 2016. *Pengaruh Sistem Pembayaran Non Tunai Terhadap Inflasi di Indonesia Tahun 2011-2015*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Anwar, Anas Iswanto. 2017, Bank dan Lembaga Keuangan Bukan Bank, Penerbit Departemen Ilmu Ekonomi FEB-Universitas Hasanudin.
- Ardiansyah, Herman. 2017. Pengaruh Inflasi Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi (JUPE)*. Vol. 5 No. 3.
- AR, M. Yazid. 2019. Pengaruh Inflasi, Kurs, dan Suku Bunga Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi. *Jurnal Ekombis*. Vol. 5 No. 1, 38-45.
- Arifin, Mifta Qoirun Nisa dan Shanty Oktavilia. 2020. Analysis the Use of Electronic Money in Indonesia. *Economics Development Analysis Journal*, Vol. 9 No. 4, 361-373.
- Aulianda, Fidzar Aiga. 2020. *Pengaruh Inflasi dan Suku Bunga Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Provinsi Aceh*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry.
- Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Bank Indonesia.
- Atmadja, Adwin S. 1999. Inflasi di Indonesia: Sumber-Sumber Penyebab dan Pengendaliannya. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan*. Vol. 1 No. 1, 54-67.
- Darmawan, Diovanka. 2020. *Analisis Pengaruh E-Money, Nilai Tukar, dan Suku Bunga Terhadap Inflasi Indonesia Tahun 2014-2019*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Fitriani, Efi. 2019. Analysis of Inflation and Interest Rates on the Economic Growth in Indonesia. *Journal of Business and Finance in Emerging Markets*, 177-188.
- Heni, Firda. 2021. *Pengaruh Sistem Pembayaran Non Tunai Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Pada Tahun 2012-2019*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Islam Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Maulana Hasanuddin.
- Indrawati, Yulia, Adhitya Wardhono, Ciplis Gema Qori'ah dan M. Abd. Nasir. 2019. The Impact of E-Money Difussion on the Monetary Policy Effectiveness: Evidence from Indonesia. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, Vol, 144, 237-241.

- Indriyani, Siwi Nur. 2016. Analisis Pengaruh Inflasi dan Suku Bunga Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia Tahun 2005-2015. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Krisnadwipayana*, Vol. 4 No. 2.
- Lestari, Putri Rizki. 2017. *Perkembangan Instrumen Pembayaran Non Tunai Dalam Menyumbang Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Lestari, Merin Tri dan Adi Irawan Setiyanto. 2021. Pengaruh Transaksi Non Tunai, Inflasi dan Penerimaan Pajak Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia. *Journal of Applied Managerial Accounting*. Vol. 5 No. 2, 56-66.
- Mashabi, M. dan Wasiaturrahma. 2021. Analysis of the Effect of Electronic-Based Payment Systems and Economic Growth in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi Terapan*, Vol. 6 No.1, 97-121.
- Marginingsih, Ratnawaty dan Imelda Sari. 2019. Nilai Transaksi Non Tunai Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia Periode 2014-2018. *Jurnal Manajemen*, Vol. 8 No.2, 13-24.
- Njie, Mathar dan Momodou Badjie. 2021. The Effect of Interest Rate on Economic Growth: Further Insight from the Gambia. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*. Vol. 13 No.2, 100 – 105.
- Nurhalim, Andres Dharma. 2021. Does E-Money Affect Inflation in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, Vol. 9 No. 1.
- Nursari, Ayu, I Wayan Suparta dan Yoke Moelgini. 2019. Pengaruh Pembayaran Non Tunai Terhadap Jumlah Uang yang Diminta Masyarakat(M1) dan Perekonomian. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan (JEP)*, Vol. 8 No. 3.
- Mankiw, N. Gregory. 2016. *Macroeconomics*. Ninth Edition. New York:Worth Publishers.
- Paul, A. Samuelson and William D. Nordhaus. 2008. *Economics*. McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Peraturan Bank Indonesia Nomor 14 Tahun 2012 tentang Perubahan Atas Peraturan Bank Indonesia Nomor 11/11/PBI/2019 Tentang Penyelenggaraan Kegiatan Alat Pembayaran dengan Menggunakan Kartu.*
- Permono, Iswardono Sardjono. 2004. Interest Rate Policy, Inflation, and Economic Growth A Policy Evaluation of Indonesia, 1969-1997. *Gadjah Mada International Jurnal*. Vol. 6 No. 3, 419 – 439.
- Pramudita, Adithya Kurnia. 2016. *Analisis Pengaruh Sistem Pembayaran Terhadap Makroekonomi*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Prasasti, Karari Budi dan Edy Juwono Slamet. 2020. Pengaruh Jumlah Uang Beredar Terhadap Inflasi dan Suku Bunga serta Terhadap Investasi dan

- Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Airlangga*. Vol. 30 No. 1, 39 – 48.
- Pujoharso, Cahyo. 2013. *Aplikasi Teori Konsumsi Keynes Terhadap Pola Konsumsi Makanan Masyarakat Indonesia*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Purnamawati, Nurhaida dan Jihad Lukis Panjawa. 2021. Dampak E-Money dan Dimensi Transaksi Terhadap Permintaan Uang di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, Vol. 8 No. 2, 279 – 289.
- Putri, Dwi Taruko. 2014. *Hubungan Antara E-Money (APMK) dengan Inflasi di Indonesia Tahun 2007 – 2013*. Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Sriwijaya.
- Prayitno, Lily dan Heny Sandjaya. 2002. Faktor-Faktor yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Jumlah Uang Beredar di Indonesia Sebelum dan Sesudah Krisis: Sebuah Analisis Ekonometrika. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*, Vol. 4 No. 1, 46 – 55.
- Ramadhani, Zulfa Nur Fajri dan Siskarossa Ika Oktora. 2019. Determinan Transaksi Nontunai di Indonesia dengan Pendekatan Error Correction Mechanism (ECM) Model. *Indonesian Journal of Statistics and Its Application*, Vol. 3 No. 1, 62 – 77.
- Ritonga, Pitriani. 2018. *Pengaruh Pembayaran Non Tunai Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Sumatera Utara.
- Rizky, Reza Lainatul, Grisvia Agustin dan Imam Mukhlis. 2016. Pengaruh Penanaman Modal Dalam Negeri dan Belanja Modal Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Provinsi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi Studi Pembangunan*, Vol. 8 No. 1, 9 – 16.
- Sastriani. 2020. Siapkah Indonesia Menghadapi Inovasi Sistem Pembayaran?. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, Vol. 25 No. 1, 147 – 162.
- Saragih, Putri Damayanti. 2018. *Analisis Hubungan Antara Uang Elektronik (E-Money) dan Jumlah Uang Beredar di Indonesia*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Sumatera Utara.
- Saymeh, Abdul Aziz dan Marwan Mohamad Abu Orabi. 2013. The Effect of Interest Rate, Inflation Rate, GDP, on Real Economic Growth in Jordan. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*. Vol. 3 No. 3, 341 – 354.
- Sumolang, Richard Matias. 2015. *Analisis Permintaan Uang Elektronik (E-Money) di Indonesia*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Tee, Hock-Han and Hway-Boon Ong. 2016. Cashless Payment and Economic Growth. *Financial Innovation*, Vol. 2 No. 4.

- Tawakalni, Dewani Indah. 2020. *Dampak Inovasi Sistem Pembayaran Non Tunai Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi di Indonesia*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 23 Tahun 1999 tentang Bank Indonesia*.
- Wahyuningsih, Dwi dan Gatot Sasongko. 2021. Kausalitas E-Money dan Inflasi di Indonesia Periode 2009-2019. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, Vol. 23 No. 2, 156-165.
- Wong, Teck-Lee, Wee-Yeap Lau dan Tien-Ming Yip. 2020. Cashless Payments and Economic Growth: Evidence from Selected OECD Countries. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice*, 189 – 213.
- Yanavi, Wahyunenda. 2016. *Persistensi Inflasi dan Analisis Pengaruh Konsumsi Pemerintah, Kredit Konsumsi, SBI Terhadap Inflasi Provinsi Jawa Timur*. Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Brawijaya.
- Zunaitin, Eliya, Regina Niken W. Dan Fajar Wahyu P. 2017. Pengaruh E-Money Terhadap Inflasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekulibrium*, Vol. 11 No. 1, 18 – 23.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Data yang digunakan

Tahun	X	X2	Y1	Y2	LNx1	LNx2	Y3
Q1 2012	5,83%	3,73%	47.410.865.000.000	324.790.000.000	31,48987	26,50644	6,30%
Q2 2012	5,75%	4,49%	50.238.959.000.000	438.036.000.000	31,54781	26,80557	6,30%
Q3 2012	5,75%	4,48%	51.719.282.000.000	563.502.000.000	31,57685	27,05744	6,20%
Q4 2012	5,75%	4,41%	52.471.630.000.000	645.222.000.000	31,59129	27,19286	6,20%
Q1 2013	5,75%	5,26%	51.436.680.000.000	586.520.000.000	31,57137	27,09747	6,00%
Q2 2013	5,83%	5,65%	55.230.768.000.000	684.278.000.000	31,64254	27,25163	5,70%
Q3 2013	6,92%	8,60%	57.084.755.000.000	898.675.000.000	31,67556	27,52419	5,60%
Q4 2013	7,42%	8,36%	59.617.377.000.000	729.417.000.000	31,71897	27,31551	5,70%
Q1 2014	7,50%	7,76%	56.854.513.000.000	748.952.000.000	31,67152	27,34194	5,12%
Q2 2014	7,50%	7,09%	63.646.096.000.000	833.893.000.000	31,78436	27,44937	4,94%
Q3 2014	7,50%	4,35%	65.110.370.000.000	941.223.000.000	31,8071	27,57045	4,93%
Q4 2014	7,67%	6,47%	69.446.479.000.000	795.486.000.000	31,87158	27,40222	5,05%
Q1 2015	7,58%	6,54%	66.017.808.000.000	838.837.000.000	31,82095	27,45528	4,83%
Q2 2015	7,50%	7,07%	71.145.327.000.000	1.436.481.000.000	31,89575	27,99322	4,74%
Q3 2015	7,50%	7,09%	70.548.391.000.000	1.665.164.000.000	31,88732	28,14094	4,78%
Q4 2015	7,50%	4,83%	72.832.404.000.000	1.342.535.000.000	31,91918	27,92558	5,15%
Q1 2016	7,00%	4,34%	69.857.176.000.000	1.398.934.000.000	31,87747	27,96673	4,94%
Q2 2016	6,67%	3,46%	69.841.618.000.000	1.775.435.000.000	31,87725	28,20507	5,21%
Q3 2016	5,58%	3,02%	67.702.407.000.000	1.723.262.000.000	31,84614	28,17524	5,03%
Q4 2016	4,75%	3,30%	73.619.317.000.000	2.166.057.000.000	31,92993	28,40393	4,94%
Q1 2017	4,75%	2,73%	72.011.994.000.000	2.224.470.000.000	31,90785	28,43054	5,01%
Q2 2017	4,75%	4,29%	73.733.529.000.000	2.532.319.000.000	31,93148	28,56016	5,01%
Q3 2017	4,50%	3,81%	73.965.824.000.000	2.749.569.000.000	31,93462	28,64247	5,06%
Q4 2017	4,25%	3,50%	78.049.882.000.000	4.869.110.000.000	31,98837	29,21393	5,19%
Q1 2018	4,25%	3,28%	73.372.664.000.000	10.311.221.000.000	31,92657	29,96425	5,06%
Q2 2018	4,75%	3,25%	78.113.471.000.000	10.357.190.000.000	31,98918	29,9687	5,27%
Q3 2018	5,50%	3,09%	77.292.352.000.000	10.999.986.000.000	31,97862	30,02892	5,17%
Q4 2018	5,92%	3,17%	85.515.581.000.000	15.530.221.000.000	32,07972	30,37381	5,18%
Q1 2019	6,00%	2,62%	81.929.512.000.000	20.744.603.000.000	32,03688	30,66331	5,07%
Q2 2019	6,00%	3,14%	84.145.721.000.000	35.361.357.000.000	32,06357	31,19664	5,05%
Q3 2019	5,50%	3,40%	85.776.058.000.000	39.637.959.000.000	32,08276	31,31081	5,02%
Q4 2019	5,00%	2,95%	90.831.537.000.000	49.421.549.000.000	32,14003	31,53141	4,97%

Lampiran 2. Data yang digunakan

Tahun	Jumlah Uang Beredar
2003	213.784
2004	245.946
2005	271.140
2006	347.013
2007	450.055
2008	456.787
2009	515.824
2010	605.411
2011	722.991
2012	841.652
2013	887.084
2014	942.221
2015	1.051.191
2016	1.237.643
2017	1.390.807
2018	1.457.150
2019	1.565.439

Lampiran 3. Hasil Uji Penelitian
Assessment of normality (Group number 1)

Variable	min	max	skew	c.r.	kurtosis	c.r.
x2	2.620	8.600	.848	1.958	-.554	-.640
x1	4.250	7.670	.034	.079	-1.294	-1.494
LNY2	26.506	31.531	.822	1.898	-.520	-.601
LNY1	31.490	32.140	-.428	-.988	-.755	-.872
y3	4.740	6.300	1.213	2.802	.130	.151
Multivariate					-4.323	-1.461

Observations farthest from the centroid (Mahalanobis distance) (Group number 1)

Observation number	Mahalanobis d-squared	p1	p2
8	9.153	.103	.969
9	8.509	.130	.934
7	8.032	.154	.891

Observation number	Mahalanobis d-squared	p1	p2
1	7.697	.174	.831
16	7.586	.181	.710
25	7.060	.216	.719
11	6.879	.230	.627
30	6.450	.265	.641
24	6.262	.282	.568
12	5.519	.356	.754
28	5.501	.358	.631
31	5.420	.367	.528
2	5.325	.377	.433
32	5.267	.384	.327
29	4.858	.434	.409
23	4.823	.438	.297
20	4.671	.457	.253
22	4.524	.477	.213
21	4.345	.501	.191
4	4.289	.509	.127
3	4.264	.512	.072
19	4.069	.540	.065
5	3.554	.615	.153
18	3.546	.617	.083
14	3.480	.626	.048
15	3.308	.653	.039
13	3.255	.661	.018
10	3.239	.663	.006
17	2.955	.707	.007

Observation number	Mahalanobis d-squared	p1	p2
26	2.316	.804	.035
6	2.200	.821	.014
27	1.644	.896	.030

Sample Covariances (Group number 1)

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1	y3
x2	3.040				
x1	1.408	1.235			
LNY2	-1.429	-.703	1.969		
LNY1	-.138	-.049	.210	.029	
y3	.043	-.067	-.304	-.061	.208

Condition number = 2853.316

Eigenvalues

4.744 1.147 .467 .121 .002

Determinant of sample covariance matrix = .001

Sample Correlations (Group number 1)

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1	y3
x2	1.000				
x1	.726	1.000			
LNY2	-.584	-.451	1.000		
LNY1	-.462	-.258	.875	1.000	
y3	.054	-.133	-.476	-.779	1.000

Condition number = 79.752

Eigenvalues

2.916 1.515 .283 .250 .037

D-separation (Group number 1)

Description	r	t	p
x2 \perp x1	.726	5.789	.000
LNY2 \perp LNY1 x1, x2	.855	8.716	.000

Models

Default model (Default model)

Notes for Model (Default model)

Computation of degrees of freedom (Default model)

Number of distinct sample moments: 15

Number of distinct parameters to be estimated: 13

Degrees of freedom (15 - 13): 2

Result (Default model)

Minimum was achieved

Chi-square = 63.919

Degrees of freedom = 2

Probability level = .000

Group number 1 (Group number 1 - Default model)

Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Scalar Estimates (Group number 1 - Default model)

Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
LNY1	<---	x1	.025	.024	1.042	.297	par_1
LNY2	<---	x2	-.437	.117	-3.732	***	par_2
LNY2	<---	x1	-.071	.184	-.384	.701	par_7
LNY1	<---	x2	-.057	.015	-3.679	***	par_8
y3	<---	LNY1	-3.898	.229	-17.050	***	par_3
y3	<---	LNY2	.216	.030	7.155	***	par_4
y3	<---	x1	-.035	.032	-1.125	.261	par_5
y3	<---	x2	-.044	.027	-1.630	.103	par_6

Standardized Regression Weights: (Group number 1 - Default model)

			Estimate
LNY 1	<---	x1	.154
LNY 2	<---	x2	-.556
LNY 2	<---	x1	-.057
LNY 1	<---	x2	-.545

			Estimate
y3	<---	LN1	-1.016
y3	<---	LN2	.424
y3	<---	x1	-.056
y3	<---	x2	-.110

Variances: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
x1	1.235	.314	3.937	** *	par_9
x2	3.040	.772	3.937	** *	par_10
e1	.023	.006	3.937	** *	par_11
e3	1.294	.329	3.937	** *	par_12
e2	.037	.009	3.937	** *	par_13

Squared Multiple Correlations: (Group number 1 - Default model)

	Estimate
LN2	.312
LN1	.321
y3	.925

Matrices (Group number 1 - Default model)

||

Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	x2	x1	LN2	LN1
LN2	-.437	-.071	.000	.000

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1
LNY1	-.057	.025	.000	.000
y3	.083	-.150	.216	-3.898

Standardized Total Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1
LNY2	-.556	-.057	.000	.000
LNY1	-.545	.154	.000	.000
y3	.208	-.237	.424	-1.016

Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1
LNY2	-.437	-.071	.000	.000
LNY1	-.057	.025	.000	.000
y3	-.044	-.035	.216	-3.898

Standardized Direct Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1
LNY2	-.556	-.057	.000	.000
LNY1	-.545	.154	.000	.000
y3	-.110	-.056	.424	-1.016

Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	x2	x1	LNY2	LNY1
LNY 2	.000	.000	.000	.000
LNY 1	.000	.000	.000	.000
y3	.128	-.114	.000	.000

Standardized Indirect Effects (Group number 1 - Default model)

	x2	x1	LNy2	LNy1
LNy2	.000	.000	.000	.000
LNy1	.000	.000	.000	.000
y3	.318	-.181	.000	.000

Minimization History (Default model)

Iteration	Negative eigenvalues	Condition #	Smallest eigenvalue	Diameter	F	NTries	Ratio
0	e	1	-.121	9999.000	161.106	0	9999.000
1	e*	0	610.183	1.491	83.458	19	.816
2	e	0	552.136	.844	75.968	6	.000
3	e	0	182.036	.447	66.671	1	1.166
4	e	0	84.644	.079	64.378	1	1.214
5	e	0	52.570	.025	63.946	1	1.143
6	e	0	46.362	.007	63.919	1	1.049
7	e	0	44.810	.001	63.919	1	1.004
8	e	0	44.490	.000	63.919	1	1.000

Pairwise Parameter Comparisons (Default model)

Variance-covariance Matrix of Estimates (Default model)

	par_1	par_2	par_3	par_4	par_5	par_6	par_7	par_8	par_9	par_10	par_11	par_12	par_13
par_1	.001												
par_2	.000	.072											
par_3	.000	-.033	.029										
par_4	-.001	.000	.000	.001									
par_5	.000	.000	.000	.000	.194								
par_6	.000	.000	.000	.000	-.022	.003							
par_7	.000	.000	.000	.000	-.006	.001	.002						
par_8	.000	.000	.000	.000	.001	.000	-.001	.001					
par_9	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.098				
par_10	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.596			
par_11	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		
par_12	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.108	
par_13	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Correlations of Estimates (Default model)

	par_1	par_2	par_3	par_4	par_5	par_6	par_7	par_8	par_9	par_10	par_11	par_12	par_13
par_1	1.000												
par_2	.000	1.000											
par_3	.000	-.726	1.000										
par_4	-.726	.000	.000	1.000									
par_5	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.000								
par_6	.000	.000	.000	.000	-.855	1.000							
par_7	.000	.000	.000	.000	-.310	.288	1.000						
par_8	.000	.000	.000	.000	.105	.126	-.637	1.000					
par_9	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.000				
par_10	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.000			
par_11	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.000		
par_12	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.000	
par_13	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	1.000

Model Fit Summary

CMIN

Model	NPAR	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF
Default model	13	63.919	2	.000	31.960
Saturated model	15	.000	0		
Independence model	5	138.681	10	.000	13.868

RMR, GFI

Model	RMR	GFI	AGFI	PGFI
Default model	.431	.665	-1.511	.089
Saturated model	.000	1.000		
Independence model	.559	.457	.185	.305

Baseline Comparisons

Model	NFI Delta1	RFI rho1	IFI Delta2	TLI rho2	CFI
Default model	.539	-1.305	.547	-1.406	.519
Saturated model	1.000		1.000		1.000
Independence model	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000

Parsimony-Adjusted Measures

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Default model	.200	.108	.104

Model	PRATIO	PNFI	PCFI
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	1.000	.000	.000

NCP

Model	NCP	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	61.919	39.431	91.827
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	128.681	94.298	170.511

FMIN

Model	FMIN	F0	LO 90	HI 90
Default model	2.062	1.997	1.272	2.962
Saturated model	.000	.000	.000	.000
Independence model	4.474	4.151	3.042	5.500

RMSEA

Model	RMSEA	LO 90	HI 90	PCLOSE
Default model	.999	.797	1.217	.000
Independence model	.644	.552	.742	.000

AIC

Model	AIC	BCC	BIC	CAIC
Default model	89.919	96.159	108.974	121.974
Saturated model	30.000	37.200	51.986	66.986
Independence model	148.681	151.081	156.010	161.010

ECVI

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Default model	2.901	2.175	3.865	3.102
Saturated model	.968	.968	.968	1.200

Model	ECVI	LO 90	HI 90	MECVI
Independence model	4.796	3.687	6.146	4.874

HOELTER

Model	HOELTER .05	HOELTER .01
Default model	3	5
Independence model	5	6

Execution time summary

Minimization: .034
Miscellaneous: .212
Bootstrap: .000
Total: .246