

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Angel Paternina Caicedo. 2015. *Performance of the Obstetric Early Warning Score in critically ill patients for the prediction of maternal death.*
- A. Ildiko Martonffy. 2009. First Trimester Complications
- Anderson Borovac-Pinheiro, Rodolfo. 2016. *Standard reference values for the shock index during pregnancy*
- Anju Singh. 2015. *Evaluation of maternal early obstetric warning system (MEOWS chart) as a predictor of obstetric morbidity: a prospective observational study*
- Abigail Le Bas. 2015. *Use of the “obstetric shock index” as an adjunct in identifying significant blood loss in patients with massive postpartum hemorrhage*
- Bobak, dkk. 2015. *Buku Ajar Keperawatan Maternitas*. Jakarta: EGC.
- Bas, A., Chandraharan, E., Addei, A. & Arulkumaran, S. 2014. *Use of the “obstetric indeks syok” as an adjunct in identifying significant blood loss in patients with massive postpartum hemorrhage. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 124, 253-255.
- Col Mathews Jacob. 2012. *The challenge in management of hemorrhagic shock in trauma*
- Cunningham, Gant, Leveno, Gilstrap,auth, Wenstrom. 2015. *Obstetri Williams*. Edisi 21. Jakarta: EGC
- Cole, M. F. 2014. *A Modified Early Obstetric Warning Score. British Journal of Midwifery*, 22, 862-868
- Demuro, J. P., Simmons, S., JAX, J. & Gianelli, S. M. 2013. *Application of the indeks syok to the prediction of need for hemostasis intervention. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 31, 1260-1263
- Deterioration Marie Danielle Le Lagadeca. 2016. *Scoping review: The use of early warning systems for the identification of in-hospital patients at risk of deteriorationMarie*
- Dekoch, J. 2008. *The ABC of Haemorrhagic Syok in The Pregnant Woman. Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 12, 54-57

- David Booth. 2013. *Trust Guideline for the use of the Modified Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) in detecting the seriously ill and deteriorating woman*
- Enita Dewi. 2009. *Kegawatdaruratan Syok Hipovolemik (Jurnal pdf)*.
- Fildes, J. 2012. *Advance Trauma Lift Support*, United States of America, American College of Surgeons.
- Friedman, A. M. 2015. Maternal Early Warning Systems. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America*, 42, 289-298
- Jonas P. DeMuro MD. 2011. *Application of the shock index to the prediction of need for hemostasis intervention*
- Jean A. Hurteau, MD. 2016. *Gestational Trophoblastic Disease: Management of Hydatidiform Mole*
- Jim Tseng. 2013. *Utility of the Shock Index in Patients With Sepsis*
- Justine Chang. 2013. *Physiologic Adaptations to Pregnancy*
- Kemenkes RI, 2018. *Rencana Strategis Kementerian Kesehatan*. Jakarta : Kementerian Kesehatan RI.
- Lisa C. Zuckerwise. 2013. *Maternal early warning systems Towards reducing preventable maternal mortality and severe maternal morbidity through himproved clinical surveillance and responsiveness*
- Mochtar, Rustam. 2014. *Sinopsis Obstetri, Obstetri Fisiologi, Obstetri Patologi*. Jakarta: ECG.
- Mehdi Torabi, MD. 2014. *Association of triage time Shock Index, Modified Shock Index, and Age Shock Index with mortality in Emergency Severity Index level 2 patients*
- Melanie F Cole. 2013. *A modified early obstetric warning system*
- Marmi. 2015. *Asuhan Kebidanan Antenatal*. Jakarta : EGC
- Martin Luginbüh. 2015. *Detection of haemorrhagic shock: Are vital signs obsolete A commentary by Dr M. Luginbüh*
- Nirmal, D. 2017. *Trust Guideline for the use of the Modified Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) in detecting the seriously ill and deteriorating woman*. In: HOSPITAL, N. A. N. (ed.). NHS Foundation

- Nugroho, T 2014. *Ilmu kebidanan patologi dan fisiologi persalinan*. Edisi Bahasa Indonesia, Jakarta: Yayasan Essentia Medica
- Nicolas Libert, M.D. 2016. *Haemodynamic coherence in haemorrhagic shock.*
- Nicole Yonke. 2013. *First-Trimester Surgical Abortion Technique*
- Paternina-Caicedo, A., Miranda, J., Bourjeily, G., Levinson, A., Dueñas, C., Bello-Muñoz, C. & Rojas-Suarez, J. A. 2017. *Performance of the Obstetric Early Warning Score in critically ill patients for the prediction of maternal death. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 216, 580-1505032704
- Prawirohardjo, S. 2014. *Ilmu Kebidanan*. Yayasan Bina Pustaka Sarwono Praworohardjo : Jakarta.
- Philip Banfield. 2011. *The early detection of maternal deterioration in pregnancy.*
- Rukiyah, 2014. *Asuhan Kebidanan IV (Patologi Kebidanan)*. Jakarta: Trans Info Media
- Ronald Chang. 2013. *Optimal Fluid Therapy for Traumatic Hemorrhagic Shock*
- Saifuddin, AB. 2014. *Buku Acuan Nasional Pelayanan Kesehatan Maternal dan Neonatal*. Jakarta: YBP-SP
- Sindy Jaramillo. 2015. *Use of the shock index to predict ruptured ectopic pregnancies*
- Serkan Kahyaoglu. 2012. *A New Predictive Scoring System Including Shock Index For Unruptured Tubal Pregnancy Patients*
- Singh, A., Guleria, K., Vaid, N. B. & Jain, S. 2016. Evaluation of maternal early obstetric warning system (MEOWS chart) as a predictor of obstetric morbidity: a prospective observational study. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, 207, 11-17
- Salmah. Dkk. 2015. *Asuhan kebidanan Antenatal*. Jakarta : EGC.
- Sulistyawati, A. 2015. *Asuhan Kebidanan Pada Masa Kehamilan*. Jakarta : Salemba Medika.

- Setyarini, 2016. *Asuhan Kebidanan Kegawatdaruratan Maternal dan Neonatal*. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia Jakarta.
- Tamara, Y. 2019. *Hubungan Maternal Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) dengan Perawatan di Intensive Care Unit pada Pasien Preeklamsia Berat di RSUP Dr. Sardjito*. Jurnal Kesehatan Reproduksi Vol 6 No 3 – Desember 2019 ISSN 2302-836X (print), ISSN 2621-461X (online)
- WHO, 2018. WHO Recommendations For The Prevention Of Postpartum Haemorrhage.
- Wiknjosastro, Hanifa. 2015. *Ilmu Kebidanan*. Jakarta : Yayasan Bina Pustaka Sarwono Prawirohardjo.
- William Schultz. 2015. *Vital signs after haemorrhage e Caution is appropriate*
- Zuckerwise, L. C. & Lipkind, H. S. 2017. Maternal early warning systems— Towards reducing preventable maternal mortality and severe maternal morbidity through improved clinical surveillance and responsiveness. *Seminars in Perinatology*

LAMPIRAN I

LEMBAR INSTRUMEN PENELITIAN

PERBANDINGAN FREKUENSI KEJADIAN SYOK ANTARA PENGGUNAAN INDEKS SYOK DENGAN *MODIFIED EARLY OBSTETRIC WARNING SCORE* PADA PASIEN IBU HAMIL DENGAN COVID-19 BERDASARKAN PARAMETER PERAWATAN ICU

A. Identitas Pasien

1. Nama :
2. Rumah Sakit/ No reg :
3. Tanggal MRS :
4. Tanggal lahir/usia :
5. Pekerjaan : a. Tidak bekerja b. Bekerja,.....
6. Pendidikan :
7. Suku Bangsa :
8. Alamat :
9. No Handphone :

B. Data Umum Pasien

1. Berapa kali menikah :
2. Lama menikah :
3. G P A : G.....P A
4. HPHT :
5. KB sebelumnya :
6. Riwayat penyakit sebelumnya :
7. Riwayat pengobatan :
8. Dx pada saat MRS :

C. Data Klinis

1. Keadaan Umum :
2. Kesadaran : A V P U
3. Berat Badan :
4. Tekanan darah :mmHg
5. Nadi :
6. Pernafasan :
7. Suhu :
8. Saturasi Oksigen :
9. Produksi Urine :
10. Discharge :
11. Indeks syok :
12. *MEOWS* :
13. Lama Perawatan :
14. Laboratorium :

HB :	PLT :	GDS :
WBC :	Proteinuria :	MCHC:.....
MCV:.....	MCH:.....	
Pemeriksaan lainnya	:	

15. Transfusi :

Statistics

	Indekssyok	MEOWS	SyokICU	SyokObstetric
N	Valid	76	76	76
	Missing	0	0	0

Indekssyok

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Tinggi	41	53.9	53.9	53.9
Rendah	35	46.1	46.1	100.0
Total	76	100.0	100.0	

MEOWS

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Tinggi	39	51.3	51.3	51.3
Rendah	37	48.7	48.7	100.0
Total	76	100.0	100.0	

SyokICU

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Syok	44	57.9	57.9	57.9
Tidak Syok	32	42.1	42.1	100.0
Total	76	100.0	100.0	

SyokObstetric

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Syok	60	78.9	78.9	78.9
Tidak Syok	16	21.1	21.1	100.0
Total	76	100.0	100.0	

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Umur * SyokICU	76	100.0%	0	0.0%	76	100.0%

Umur * SyokICU Crosstabulation

		SyokICU		Total	
		Syok	Tidak Syok		
Umur	<20 tahun	Count	2	2	
		% within SyokICU	4.5%	0.0%	
		% of Total	2.6%	0.0%	
	20-35 Tahun	Count	32	58	
		% within SyokICU	72.7%	81.3%	
		% of Total	42.1%	34.2%	
	>= 35 Tahun	Count	10	16	
		% within SyokICU	22.7%	18.8%	
		% of Total	13.2%	7.9%	
Total		Count	44	76	
		% within SyokICU	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	57.9%	42.1%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.770 ^a	2	.413
Likelihood Ratio	2.502	2	.286
Linear-by-Linear Association	.003	1	.957
N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .84.

Umur * SyokICU Crosstabulation

			SyokICU		Total	
			Syok	Tidak Syok		
Umur	<20 tahun	Count	2	0	2	
		% within SyokICU	4.5%	0.0%	2.6%	
		% of Total	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%	
	20-35 Tahun	Count	32	26	58	
		% within SyokICU	72.7%	81.3%	76.3%	
		% of Total	42.1%	34.2%	76.3%	
	>= 35 Tahun	Count	10	6	16	
		% within SyokICU	22.7%	18.8%	21.1%	
		% of Total	13.2%	7.9%	21.1%	
Total		Count	44	32	76	
		% within SyokICU	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%	

Ranks

	SyokICU	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
Umur	Syok	44	38.55	1696.00
	Tidak Syok	32	38.44	1230.00
	Total	76		

Test Statistics^a

	Umur
Mann-Whitney U	702.000
Wilcoxon W	1230.000
Z	-.028
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.977

a. Grouping Variable: SyokICU

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
SyokICU	76	1.42	.497	1	2
Umur	76	2.18	.453	1	3

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	SyokICU
Chi-Square	1.747
df	2
Asymp. Sig.	.418

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable:

Umur

Paritas * SyokICU * Gravid dan Komorbid Iain Crosstabulation

Gravid dan Komorbid Iain			SyokICU		Total
			Syok	Tidak Syok	
1.00	Paritas	Primipara	Count	14	21
			% of Total	31.1%	46.7%
		Multipara	Count	12	19
			% of Total	26.7%	42.2%
		Grandemultipara	Count	5	5
			% of Total	11.1%	11.1%
2.00	Paritas	Primipara	Count	31	45
			% of Total	68.9%	100.0%
		Multipara	Count	4	9
			% of Total	12.9%	29.0%
		Grandemultipara	Count	8	19
			% of Total	25.8%	61.3%
Total		Primipara	Count	1	3
			% of Total	3.2%	9.7%
		Total	Count	13	31
			% of Total	41.9%	100.0%
		Paritas	Primipara	18	30
			% of Total	23.7%	39.5%

Multipara	Count	20	18	38
	% of Total	26.3%	23.7%	50.0%
Grandmultipara	Count	6	2	8
	% of Total	7.9%	2.6%	10.5%
Total	Count	44	32	76
	% of Total	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

Gravid dan Komorbid lain		Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
1.00	Pearson Chi-Square	2.598 ^b	2	.273
	Likelihood Ratio	4.057	2	.132
	Linear-by-Linear Association	.919	1	.338
	N of Valid Cases	45		
2.00	Pearson Chi-Square	.115 ^c	2	.944
	Likelihood Ratio	.117	2	.943
	Linear-by-Linear Association	.086	1	.770
	N of Valid Cases	31		
Total	Pearson Chi-Square	1.447 ^a	2	.485
	Likelihood Ratio	1.504	2	.471
	Linear-by-Linear Association	.069	1	.792
	N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is

3.37.

b. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is

1.56.

c. 3 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is

1.26.

Paritas * SyokICU Crosstabulation

Paritas	Primipara	SyokICU		Total
		Syok	Tidak Syok	
Paritas	Primipara	Count	18	12
		% of Total	23.7%	15.8% 39.5%

Multipara	Count	20	18	38
	% of Total	26.3%	23.7%	50.0%
Grandemultipara	Count	6	2	8
	% of Total	7.9%	2.6%	10.5%
Total	Count	44	32	76
	% of Total	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.447 ^a	2	.485
Likelihood Ratio	1.504	2	.471
Linear-by-Linear Association	.069	1	.792
N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 2 cells (33.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.37.

Ranks

	Paritas	N	Mean Rank
SyokICU	Primipara	30	37.70
	Multipara	38	40.50
	Grandemultipara	8	32.00
	Total	76	

Test Statistics^{a,b}

	SyokICU
Chi-Square	1.428
df	2
Asymp. Sig.	.490

a. Kruskal Wallis Test

b. Grouping Variable:

Paritas

Indekssyok * SyokICU Crosstabulation

		SyokICU		Total
		Syok	Tidak Syok	
Indekssyok	Tinggi	Count	22	19
		% of Total	28.9%	25.0%
	Rendah	Count	22	13
		% of Total	28.9%	17.1%
Total		Count	44	32
		% of Total	57.9%	42.1%
				100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.655 ^a	1	.418		
Continuity Correction ^b	.332	1	.564		
Likelihood Ratio	.658	1	.417		
Fisher's Exact Test				.488	.283
Linear-by-Linear Association	.647	1	.421		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.74.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Risk Estimate

	Value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Odds Ratio for Indekssyok (Tinggi / Rendah)	.684	.273	1.717
For cohort SyokICU = Syok	.854	.583	1.251
For cohort SyokICU = Tidak Syok	1.248	.725	2.146
N of Valid Cases	76		

SyokICU * Komorbid Crosstabulation

			Komorbid								Total	
			GRAVID + COVID-19	GRAVID+PEB +COVID 19	GRAVID+HIP OKALEMIA BERAT+COVID 19	GRAVID+KET +COVID 19	GRAVID+PLA CENTA PREVIA+COVID 19	GRAVID+HIP OALBUMINE MIA+COVID 19	GRAVID+SLE +COVID 19	GRAVID+ABO RTUS INKOMPLIT+ COVID 19		
SyokICU	Syok	Count	31	8	1	1	2	0	1	0	44	
		% within SyokICU	70.5%	18.2%	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	68.9%	36.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	57.9%	
		% of Total	40.8%	10.5%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	57.9%	
	Tidak Syok	Count	14	14	0	0	0	1	0	3	32	
		% within SyokICU	43.8%	43.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	9.4%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	31.1%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	42.1%	
		% of Total	18.4%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	3.9%	42.1%	
Total		Count	45	22	1	1	2	1	1	3	76	
		% within SyokICU	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.552 ^a	7	.030
Likelihood Ratio	18.816	7	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.606	1	.106
N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 12 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .42.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	.186	.106	1.632	.107 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	.247	.113	2.190	.032 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

c. Based on normal approximation.

SyokICU * Komorbid Crosstabulation

			Komorbid								Total	
			GRAVID + COVID-19	GRAVID+PEB +COVID 19	GRAVID+HIP OKALEMIA BERAT+COVID 19	GRAVID+KET +COVID 19	GRAVID+PLA CENTA PREVIA+COVID 19	GRAVID+HIP OALBUMINE MIA+COVID 19	GRAVID+SLE +COVID 19	GRAVID+ABO RTUS INKOMPLIT+ COVID 19		
SyokICU	Syok	Count	31	8	1	1	2	0	1	0	44	
		% within SyokICU	70.5%	18.2%	2.3%	2.3%	4.5%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	68.9%	36.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	57.9%	
		% of Total	40.8%	10.5%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	57.9%	
	Tidak Syok	Count	14	14	0	0	0	1	0	3	32	
		% within SyokICU	43.8%	43.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	9.4%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	31.1%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	42.1%	
		% of Total	18.4%	18.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	3.9%	42.1%	
Total		Count	45	22	1	1	2	1	1	3	76	
		% within SyokICU	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.552 ^a	7	.030
Likelihood Ratio	18.816	7	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.606	1	.106
N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 12 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .42.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	.186	.106	1.632	.107 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	.247	.113	2.190	.032 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

c. Based on normal approximation.

MEOWS * Komorbid Crosstabulation

			Komorbid								Total
			GRAVID + COVID-19	GRAVID+PEB +COVID 19	GRAVID+HIP OKALEMIA BERAT+COV D 19	GRAVID+KET +COVID 19	GRAVID+PLA CENTA PREVIA+COVI D 19	GRAVID+HIP OALBUMINE MIA+COVID 19	GRAVID+SLE +COVID 19	GRAVID+ABO RTUS INKOMPLIT+ COVID 19	
MEOWS	Tinggi	Count	29	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	39
		% within MEOWS	74.4%	7.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	5.1%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	64.4%	13.6%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	51.3%	
		% of Total	38.2%	3.9%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	51.3%	
	Rendah	Count	16	19	0	0	1	0	0	1	37
		% within MEOWS	43.2%	51.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	35.6%	86.4%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	48.7%
		% of Total	21.1%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	48.7%	
Total		Count	45	22	1	1	2	1	1	3	76
		% within MEOWS	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	
		% within Komorbid	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
		% of Total	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%	

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.686 ^a	7	.006
Likelihood Ratio	22.615	7	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.125	1	.723
N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 12 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .49.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	-.041	.111	-.352	.726 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	.222	.119	1.963	.053 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

- a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
- b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
- c. Based on normal approximation.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.552 ^a	7	.030

Likelihood Ratio	18.816	7	.009
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.606	1	.106
N of Valid Cases	76		

a. 12 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .42.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	.186	.106	1.632	.107 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	.247	.113	2.190	.032 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

- a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
- b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
- c. Based on normal approximation.

MEOWS ^ Komorbid Crosstabulation

MEOWS	Tinggi	Komorbid								Total
		GRAVID + COVID-19	GRAVID+PEB +COVID 19	GRAVID+HIP OKALEMIA BERAT+COVI D 19	GRAVID+KET +COVID 19	GRAVID+PLA CENTA PREVIA+COVI D 19	GRAVID+HIP OALBUMINE MIA+COVID 19	GRAVID+SLE +COVID 19	GRAVID+ABO RTUS INKOMPLIT+ COVID 19	
MEOWS	Tinggi	Count	29	3	1	1	1	1	1	39
		% within MEOWS	74.4%	7.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	5.1%	100.0%
		% within Komorbid	64.4%	13.6%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	51.3%
		% of Total	38.2%	3.9%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	51.3%
	Rendah	Count	16	19	0	0	1	0	0	37
		% within MEOWS	43.2%	51.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	2.7%	100.0%
		% within Komorbid	35.6%	86.4%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
		% of Total	21.1%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	48.7%
Total		Count	45	22	1	1	2	1	1	76
		% within MEOWS	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%
		% within Komorbid	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	59.2%	28.9%	1.3%	1.3%	2.6%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	19.686 ^a	7	.006
Likelihood Ratio	22.615	7	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.125	1	.723
N of Valid Cases	76		

- a. 12 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .49.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	-.041	.111	-.352	.726 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	.222	.119	1.963	.053 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

- a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
- b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
- c. Based on normal approximation.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.957 ^a	7	.545
Likelihood Ratio	6.971	7	.432
Linear-by-Linear Association	.463	1	.496
N of Valid Cases	76		

- a. 13 cells (81.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .21.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	-.079	.089	-.678	.500 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	-.053	.109	-.455	.650 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

- a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
- b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.
- c. Based on normal approximation.

Indekssyok * SyokICU Crosstabulation

	SyokICU		Total
	Syok	Tidak Syok	

Indekssyok	Tinggi	Count	22	19	41
		% within Indekssyok	53.7%	46.3%	100.0%
		% within SyokICU	50.0%	59.4%	53.9%
		% of Total	28.9%	25.0%	53.9%
Rendah	Count	22	13	35	
		% within Indekssyok	62.9%	37.1%	100.0%
		% within SyokICU	50.0%	40.6%	46.1%
		% of Total	28.9%	17.1%	46.1%
Total	Count	44	32	76	
		% within Indekssyok	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%
		% within SyokICU	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.655 ^a	1	.418		
Continuity Correction ^b	.332	1	.564		
Likelihood Ratio	.658	1	.417		
Fisher's Exact Test				.488	.283
Linear-by-Linear Association	.647	1	.421		
N of Valid Cases	76				

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 14.74.

b. Computed only for a 2x2 table

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error ^a	Approx. T ^b	Approx. Sig.
Interval by Interval	Pearson's R	-.093	.114	-.802	.425 ^c
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	-.093	.114	-.802	.425 ^c
N of Valid Cases		76			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

c. Based on normal approximation.

