DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Taylor JA, Kieser JA. Forensic odontology: principles and practice. Taylor JA, Kieser JA, editors. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2016. p. 1-2.
2. Adams C, Carabott R, Evans S, editors. Forensic odontology: an essential guide. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2014. pp. 1,65,223-43.
3. Kolude B, Adeyemi B, Taiwo J, Sigbeku O, Eze UO. The role of forensic dentist following mass disaster. Ann Ibadan Postgrad Med. 2010;8(2):111–7.
4. David TJ, Lewis JM, editors. Forensic odontolgy: principles and practice. United Kingdom: Elsevier Inc.; 2018. pp. 26–9, 178–83.
5. Pramod JB, Marya A, Sharma V. Role of forensic odontologist in post mortem person identification. Dent Reasearch J. 2012;9(5):522–30.
6. Kristanto E. Analisis jejas gigitan pada kasus forensik klinik. e-GIGI. 2020;8(1):1–4.
7. Dorion RBJ, editor. Bitemark evidence: a color atlas and text. 2nd ed. United States: CRC Press; 2011. pp. 53–61, 84–5, 463–84.
8. Kuttikara SJ. Methods in bitemark analysis. University of Oslo; 2017.
9. Heras SM las, Valenzuela A, Valverde AJ, Torres JC, Luna-del-Castillo JD. Effectiveness of comparison overlays generated with dentalprint software in bite. J Forensic Sci. 2007;52(1):151–5.
10. Patil S, Rao RS, Raj AT. A Comparison between manual and computerized bite-mark analysis. J Adv Oral Res. 2013;4(3):1–5.
11. Divakar KP. Forensic odontology: the new dimension in dental analysis. Int J Biomed Sci. 2017;13(1):1–4.
12. Malik P. Forensic medicine v/s forensic pathology. (a difference that everyone should know). Ann King Edward Med Univ. 2017;23(1).
13. Houck MM, Siegel JA. Fundamentals of forensic science. 2nd ed. United Kingdom: Elsevier Ltd.; 2010. pp. 3–7.
14. Larasati AW, Irianto MG, Bustomi EC. Peran pemeriksaan odontologi forensik dalam mengidentifikasi identitas korban bencana masal. Majority. 2018;7(3):228–30.

15. Badan Diklat Kejaksaan RI. Kedokteran forensik. Jakarta; 2019.
16. Uma Maheswari T. Scope of forensic odontology. Int J Forensic Odontol. 2016;1:1.
17. Ohoiwutun T. Ilmu kedokteran forensik: interaksi dan dependensi hukum pada ilmu kedokteran. Jember: Universitas Negeri Jember; 2017. pp. 9–10.
18. Tim Redaksi BIP. Undang-undang no.2 tahun 2002 tentang kepolisian negara republik indonesia. Jakarta: Bhuana Ilmu Populer; 2017. p. 35.
19. American Board of Forensic Odontology Inc. Diplomates reference manual section IV: standards & guidelines. American Board of Forensic Odontology, Inc; 2017. pp. 94–105.
20. Wallace H, Basehore B, Zito P. Wound healing phases. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
21. Cristina A, Gonzalez DO. Wound healing – a literature review. An Bras Dermatol. 2016;91(5):614–20.
22. Barrett J, Brusch JL. Human bites: pathophysiology [Internet]. Medscape. 2018 [cited 2020 Aug 10]. Available from: https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/218901-overview#a3
23. American Board of Forensic Odontology. ABFO bitemark methodology standards and guidelines. American Board of Forensic Odontology, Inc; 2016. pp. 1–11.
24. Kaushal N. Human bite marks in skin:a review. Internet J Biol Anthropol. 2010;4(2):1–9.
25. Sujatha G, Sivakumar G, Saraswathi T. Role of a dentist in discrimination of abuse from accident. J Forensic Dent Sci. 2010;2(1):2–4.
26. Bowers CM. Forensic dental evidence: an investigator's book. 2nd ed. United Kingdom: Elsevier Ltd.; 2011. pp. 100–4, 124-5, 133.
27. Sorin H, Cristian G, Dan D, Mugurel R. Bitemark analysis in legal medicine - literature review. Rom J Leg Med. 2008;16(9):289–98.
28. Verma AK, Kumar S, Bhattacharya S. Identification of a person with the help of bite mark analysis. J Oral Biol Craniofacial Res. 2013;3(2):88–91.
29. Auerkari EI. Dental forensics : bitemark analysis. Indones J Dent.

2008;15(4):175–9.

30. American Board of Forensic Odontology. Standards and guidelines for evaluating bitemarks [Internet]. American Board of Forensic Odontology. 2018. pp. 1–28.
31. Al-Amad SH. The evidentiary value of bite mark analysis in criminal cases. Arab J Forensic Sci Forensic Med. 2016;1(3):180–93.
32. Dama N, Forgie A, Mânica S, Revle G. Exploring the degrees of distortion in simulated human bite marks. Int J Legal Med. 2020;134:1043–9.
33. Ramesh G, Nagarajappa R, Sreedhar G, Sumalatha G. Forensic photography - an emphasis on bite mark photograph. J Dent Res updates. 2014;1(1):39-40.
34. Khatri M, Daniel M, Srinivasan S. A comparative study of overlay generation methods in bite mark analysis. J Forensic Dent Sci. 2013;5(1):16–20.
35. V. Tarvadi P, Manipady Sh, Shetty M. Bite marks analysis using computer assisted hand tracing overlay method. International Journal of Medical Toxicology and Forensic Medicine. 2016;6(2):83-4.
36. Chintala L, Manjula M, Goyal S, Chaitanya V, A.Hussain MK, Chaitanya Y. Human bite marks – A computer-based analysis using adobephotoshop. J Indian Acad Oral Med Radiol. 2018;30:58-63.
37. Seen DR, Weems RA. Manual of forensic odontology. New York: CRC Press; 2013. pp. 307-16.
38. Evans S, Jones C, Palssmann P. 3D imaging for bitemark analysis. The Imaging Science Journal. 2013;61(4):351-60.
39. Thali M, Braun M, Markwalder T, Brueschweiler W, Zollinger U, Malik N et al. Bitemark documentation and analysis: the forensic 3D/CAD supported photogrammetry approach.. Forensic Science International. 2003;135(2):115-21.
40. Blackwell S, Taykor R, Gordon I, Ogleby C, Tanijiri T, Yoshino M, et al. 3-D imaging and quntitative comparison of human dentitions and simulated bitemark. International Journal of Legal Medicine. 2006;121(1):9-17.
41. Molina A, Martin-de las Heras S. Accuracy of 3D scanners in tooth mark analysis. Journal of Forensic Sciences. 2014;60:S222-6.

42. Tai MW, Chong ZF, Asif MK, Rahmat RA, Nambiar P. A comparative study between xerographic, computer-assisted overlay generation and animated-superimposition methods in bite mark analyses. Leg Med. 2016;22:42–7.
43. Pajnigara NG, Balpande AS, Motwani MB, Choudhary A, Thakur S, Pajnigara NG. A comparative study of three commonly used two-dimensional overlay generation methods in bite mark analysis. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2017;21:442-6.
44. Maloth S, Ganapathy K S. Comparison between five commonly used two-dimensional methods of human bite mark overlay production from the dental study casts.Indian J Dent Res. 2011;22:493-493.
45. Pallam NK, Boaz K, Natrajan S, Manaktala N. Computer – based method of bite mark analysis : A benchmark in forensic dentistry? J Forensic Dent Sci. 2016;8(1):32–9.
46. Mohamed N, Phillips VM. Accuracy of acetate overlays in bite mark comparison : How accurate is an ideal bite pattern ? South African Dent J. 2017;72(10):456–61.
47. Przystańska A, Lorkiewicz-Muszyńska D, Rychlik M, Glapiński M, Łabędzka M, Świderski P, et al. The effectiveness of 2D and 3D methods in analysis of experimental bite marks. Dent Med Probl. 2015;52(1):86-92.
48. Godoushi A, Afghari P, Borhani M. Accuracy of bite mark overlays made by photo superimposition in forensic using a reconstructed model of human being. Res J Med Sci. 2016;10(4):416-8.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Surat Penugasan Dosen Pembimbing

KEMENTERIAN RISET, TEKNOLOGI DAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN GIGI
KAMPUS TAMALANREA
JL. PERINTIS KEMERDEKAAN KM. 10 MAKASSAR 90245
Telp. (0411) 586012, psw : 1114,1115,1116,1117, Fax : (0411) 584641
Website : www.dent.unhas.ac.id, Email : fkg@unhas.ac.id

SURAT PENUGASAN
No. **1237/UN4.13/TD.06/2020**

Dari : Dekan Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Hasanuddin

Kepada : **drg. Muliaty Yunus, M.Kes., Sp.OF(K)**

Isi :
1. Menugaskan kepada **drg. Muliaty Yunus, M.Kes., Sp.OF(K)** sebagai Dosen Pembimbing Skripsi pada Pendidikan Kedokteran Gigi Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi Universitas Hasanuddin untuk mahasiswa:

   Angkatan 2018:
   - Husny Fadilah (J011181024)
   - Widi Aapiah Azhary (J011181025)

2. Bahwa Saudara yang namanya tersebut pada surat penugasan ini dipandang cakap dan memenuhi syarat untuk melaksanakan tugas tersebut.
3. Agar Penugasan ini dilaksanakan dengan sebaik-baiknya dengan penuh rasa tanggung jawab.
4. Surat Penugasan ini berlaku sejak tanggal ditetapkan, dengan ketentuan bahwa apabila dikemudian hari terdapat kekeliruan dalam surat penugasan ini, akan diadakan perbaikan sebagaimana mestinya

Ditetapkan di : Makassar
Pada Tanggal : 2 Juni 2020
Dekan,

drg. Muhammad Ruslin, M.Kes., Ph.D., Sp.BM(K)
NIP 19[illegible] 200112 1 001

Tembusan Yth:
1. Wakil Dekan Bidang Akademik, Riset dan Inovasi FKG Unhas
2. Kepala Bagian Tata Usaha FKG Unhas
3. Yang bersangkutan

Lampiran 2. Surat Undangan Ujian Hasil

KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN GIGI
DEPARTEMEN RADIOLOGI
Jl. Kandea No.5 Makassar/ Telp (0411) 329726

Nomor : 90/UN4.13.7.1/DA.04.09/2021
Hal : Undangan Seminar Hasil Skripsi

Kepada Yth,
1. Prof.Dr.drg. Barunawaty Yunus, M.Kes., Sp.RKG(K)
2. drg. Irfan Sugianto, M.MedEd., Ph.D

Di
Tempat

Dengan hormat,
Bersama ini kami mengundang Bapak/Ibu Dosen Penguji untuk menghadiri Seminar Hasil Skripsi bimbingan:
Nama : drg. Muliaty Yunus, M.Kes., Sp.OF(K)
Nip : 19631213 199002 2 001
Atas nama mahasiswa :

No	Nama	Nim	Judul
1	Husny Fadilah	J011181024	Perbandingan Akurasi Analisis *Bitemark* Antara Metode *Overlay* Konvensional Dan Komputerisasi Dalam Bidang Odontologi Forensik
2	Widi Aqsiah Azhary	J011181025	Analisis Keakuratan Penentuan Jenis Kelamin Berdasarkan Gambaran Radiografi Bentuk Sinus Maksillaris Untuk Identifikasi Korban

Yang insyaAllah akan dilaksanakan pada :
Hari / Tanggal : Kamis, 10 Juni 2021
Waktu : 16.00 – selesai
Tempat : Online via Zoom

Atas kehadiran Bapak/Ibu Staf Dosen Penguji, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

Makassar, 9 Juni 2020
Ketua Departemen Radiologi

drg. Irfan Sugianto, M.MedEd., Ph.D

KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN GIGI
DEPARTEMEN RADIOLOGI
Jl. Kandea No.5 Makassar/ Telp (0411) 329726

Nip. 198102152008011009

Tembusan :
1. Wakil Dekan Bidang Akademik, Riset dan Inovasi FKG UNHAS
2. Arsip

Lampiran 3. Kartu Kontrol

KEMENTERIAN PENDIDIKAN DAN KEBUDAYAAN
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN
FAKULTAS KEDOKTERAN GIGI
DEPARTEMEN RADIOLOGI
Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan KM.10, Tamalanrea Indah, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90245
Telepon (0411)-586777

KARTU KONTROL SKRIPSI

Nama : Husny Fadilah
Stambuk : J011 18 1024
Dosen Pembimbing : drg. Muliaty Yunus, M.Kes., Sp.OF(K)
Judul : Perbandingan Akurasi Analisis *Bitemark* Antara Metode *Overlay* Konvensional dan Komputerisasi dalam Bidang Odontologi Forensik

No.	Hari/ Tanggal	Materi Konsultasi	Paraf	
			Pembimbing	Mahasiswa
1.	10 Juni 2020	Penyerahan surat penugasan		
2.	27 Juni 2020	Pengajuan judul penelitian		
3.	17 Juli 2020	ACC judul penelitian		
4.	20 Agustus 2020	Pengajuan proposal penelitian		
5.	20 September 2020	Revisi proposal penelitian		
6.	23 September 2020	Revisi proposal penelitian		

7.	28 September 2020	Revisi proposal penelitian		
8.	1 Oktober 2020	Revisi proposal penelitian		
9.	4 Oktober 2020	Pengajuan PPT ujian proposal		
10.	5 Oktober 2020	Revisi PPT ujian proposal		
11.	30 Maret 2021	Revisi PPT ujian hasil		
12.	30 Maret 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		
13.	1 April 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		
14.	2 April 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		
15.	16 April 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		
16.	24 April 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		
17.	25 April 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		
18.	27 April 2021	Revisi PPT ujian hasil		
19.	2 Mei 2021	Revisi naskah skripsi		

20.	10 Juni 2021	Ujian hasil		

Makassar, 15 Juni 2021

Pembimbing,

drg. Muliaty Yunus, M.Kes., Sp.OF(K)

NIP: 19631213 199002 2 001

Lampiran 4. Dokumentasi Ujian Hasil