

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Anton, H., Bivens, I., & Davis, S. (2012). *Calculus Early Transcendentals 10th Edition*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Astutik, Y. S. (2014). Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) untuk Regresi Linier Multilevel. *Civil & Electrical Engineering Journal*. Vol.9, No.1, 31-36.
- Bain, L., & Engelhardt, M. (1992). *Introduction to Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Second Edition*. California: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Berridge, D. M., & Crouchley, R. (2011). *Multivariate Generalized Linear Mixed Models Using R*. New York: CRC Press.
- Cahyati, D. W. (2020). *Penerapan Analisis Regresi Multilevel untuk Mengidentifikasi Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Terhadap Capaian Siswa Bidang Matematika. Skripsi*. Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Drapper, N. R., & Smith, H. (1998). *Applied Regression Analysis*. Canada: John Wiley and Sons.
- F, N. G., & T, T. D. (2011). Parameter Estimation and Inference in the Linear Mixed Model. *ELSEVIER*, 1920-1944.
- Finch, W. H., Bolin, J. E., & Kelly, K. (2014). *Multilevel Modeling Using R*. New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Goldstein, H. (1995). *Multilevel Statistical Models*. London: Arnold Publisher.
- Gujarati, D. P. (2013). *Dasar - Dasar Ekonometrika Edisi 2*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Harlan, J. (2016). *Analisis Multilevel*. Depok: Gunadarma.
- Hox, J. J., Moerbeek, M., & Schoot, R. v. (2017). *Multilevel Analysis Techniques and Application Third Edition*. New York: Routledge.
- KEMENDIKBUD. (2013). *Indikator Pendidikan di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Pusat Data dan Statistik Pendidikan, Kemdikbud.

- KEMENDIKBUD. (2020, Desember 12). *APK-APM Kemendikbud-Kemenag*. Retrieved from <https://apkapm.data.kemdikbud.go.id/>. [Diunduh pada 17 Agustus 2020]
- KEMENDIKBUD. (2020, Desember 12). *Data Pokok Pendidikan*. Retrieved from <https://dapo.kemdikbud.go.id/>. [Diunduh pada 12 September 2020]
- KEMENDIKBUD. (2020, Desember 12). *Hasil Ujian Nasional 2019*. Retrieved from <https://hasilun.puspendik.kemdikbud.go.id/>. [Diunduh pada 12 September 2020]
- Lee, Y., & Nelder, J. A. (2001). Hierarchical Generalised Linear Models: A Synthesis of Generalised Linear Models, Random-Effect Models and Structured Dispersions. *Biometrika Trust*. Vol. 88, No. 4, 987-1006.
- Pendidikan, P. P. (2015). *Panduan Pemanfaatan Hasil UN Tahun Pelajaran 2015/2015*. Jakarta: Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan, Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Safitri, K. A., Notodiputro, K. A., & Kurnia, A. (2015). Modelling The Average Scores Of National Examination In West Java. *Proceeding of International Conference On Research, Implementation And Education Of Mathematics And Sciences 2015 (ICRIEMS 2015)*. Yogyakarta: Yogyakarta State University.
- Searle, S. R., Casella, G., & McCulloch, C. E. (2006). *Variance Component*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Tantular, B. (2009). *Penerapan Model Regresi Linier Multilevel pada Data Pendidikan dan Data Nilai Ujian*. Skripsi. Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Tantular, B., Aunuddin, & Wijayanto, H. (2009). Pemilihan Model Regresi Linier Multilevel Terbaik. *Forum Statistika dan Komputasi*. Vol.14, No.2, 1-7.
- West, B. T., & Welch, K. B. (2007). *Linear Mixed Models, A Practical Guide Using Statistical Software*. Chapel Hill: Wiley InterScience.

Zulvia, P. (2017). *Pemodelan Multilevel dan Analisis Data Panel pada Penelitian Pendidikan. Skripsi*. Institut Pertanian Bogor.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1. Data Pendidikan SMP Sulawesi Selatan Tahun 2019

Klp.	No.	Nama Sekolah (SMP)	Level-1				Level-2	
			Y	X1	X2	X3	Z1	Z2
			Nilai UN	Peserta UN	PD	Guru	APK	APM
1	1	SMPN 10 MAKASSAR	47,70	280	870	39	85,24	70,47
	2	SMP ABDI PEMBANGUNAN	41,25	58	155	8	85,24	70,47
	3	SMP ANAK INDONESIA	41,86	15	84	1	85,24	70,47
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	191	MUHAMMADIYAH 2 MAKASSAR	43,03	105	275	16	85,24	70,47
2	1	SMP MUHAMMADIYAH PALOPO	48,67	30	125	10	101,63	82,4
	2	SMP NEGERI 5 PALOPO	48,08	138	467	32	101,63	82,4
	3	SMP NEGERI 8 PALOPO	49,08	235	830	47	101,63	82,4
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	23	TERPADU WAHDAH ISLAMIYAH	42,66	7	91	13	101,63	82,4
3	1	NEGERI 12 PAREPARE	43,22	78	249	27	88,07	70,47
	2	NEGERI 2 PAREPARE	55,27	314	1.017	64	88,07	70,47
	3	NEGERI 6 PAREPARE	44,41	81	245	27	88,07	70,47
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	22	AL BADAR BILALANG PAREPARE	46,22	9	42	2	88,07	70,47
4	1	SMPN 1 TURIKALE	45,71	347	888	56	80,58	66,44
	2	SMP ISLAM TERPADU AL ISHLAH	50,21	136	546	19	80,58	66,44
	3	SMP MUHAMMADIYAH MAROS	45,04	29	46	9	80,58	66,44
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	71	SMP ISLAM AL WASI	41,63	44	108	4	80,58	66,44
5	1	SMP NEGERI 1 BUNGORO	48,80	287	874	84	90,04	72,27
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 BUNGORO	55,70	75	249	26	90,04	72,27
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 BUNGORO	53,87	109	335	35	90,04	72,27
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	89	SMP NEGERI 2 MANDALLE	52,31	43	134	16	90,04	72,27
6	1	SMP NEGERI 1 SUNGGUMINASA	53,22	493	1.09	72	77,61	65,51
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 SUNGGUMINASA	46,29	440	1.331	66	77,61	65,51
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 SUNGGUMINASA	46,73	309	1.026	61	77,61	65,51
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

	109	SMP NEGERI 4 SATAP PARIGI	60,59	14	42	8	77,61	65,51
7	1	4 POLOMBANGKENG UTARA	51,94	10	64	12	90,01	72,84
	2	1 POLOMBANGKENG UTARA	51,63	255	834	63	90,01	72,84
	3	2 POLOMBANGKENG UTARA	42,12	130	407	31	90,01	72,84
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	43	SMP NEGERI 1 SANROBONE	45,93	112	320	36	90,01	72,84
8	1	SMP AL AMANAH JENEPONTO	34,34	19	33	3	70,96	72,84
	2	SMP NEGERI 1 BINAMU	42,47	251	182	23	70,96	72,84
	3	SMP NEGERI 2 BINAMU	40,97	130	51	19	70,96	72,84
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	75	SMP NEGERI 2 BATANG	44,07	35	38	4	70,96	72,84
9	1	SMP NEGERI 1 BARRU	48,75	281	783	54	84,07	60,09
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 BARRU	45,72	133	371	35	84,07	60,09
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 BARRU	44,11	116	276	25	84,07	60,09
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	38	SMP MUHAMMADIYAH TAKKALASI	37,46	10	32	5	84,07	60,09
10	1	SMP NEGERI 1 WATAMPONE	55,80	304	962	55	69,58	53,16
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 WATAMPONE	49,08	170	478	41	69,58	53,16
	3	SMP NEGERI 4 WATAMPONE	54,49	325	833	55	69,58	53,16
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	123	SMPN SATAP 5 TELLU LIMPOE	50,99	16	99	6	69,58	53,16
11	1	SMP NEGERI 1 SENGKANG	51,13	288	790	50	67,45	53,46
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 SENGKANG	45,86	193	640	47	67,45	53,46
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 SENGKANG	47,36	78	288	27	67,45	53,46
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	74	WAHDAH I ANABANUA	50,68	91	152	8	67,45	53,46
12	1	SMP NEGERI 1 WATANSOPPENG	61,49	199	554	56	68,4	51,36
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 WATANSOPPENG	52,03	136	390	40	68,4	51,36
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 WATANSOPPENG	49,56	133	310	37	68,4	51,36
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	38	SMP SATAP Negeri LabaE	49,24	15	47	8	68,4	51,36
13	1	SMP NEGERI 1 BANTAENG	52,19	191	556	37	72,4	50,53
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 BANTAENG	51,89	163	442	38	72,4	50,53

	3	SMP NEGERI 3 BANTAENG	57,13	34	178	13	72,4	50,53
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	37	SMP NEGERI 3 SINOA SATAP BATU TIROA	46,75	7	39	6	72,4	50,53
14	1	SMP NEGERI 4 BULUKUMBA	44,69	193	543	40	77,48	58,91
	2	SMP NEGERI 48 BULUKUMBA	76,51	27	91	12	77,48	58,91
	3	SMP NEGERI 5 BULUKUMBA	69,42	109	260	29	77,48	58,91
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	63	SATAP 7 BULUKUMBA	67,78	16	20	13	77,48	58,91
15	1	UPTD SMP NEGERI 12 SINJAI	48,57	92	324	25	72,06	55,28
	2	UPTD SMP NEGERI 14 SINJAI	45,57	82	232	25	72,06	55,28
	3	UPTD SMP NEGERI 23 SINJAI	47,29	118	323	31	72,06	55,28
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	43	UPTD SMPN 32 SINJAI	44,69	36	78	11	72,06	55,28
16	1	12 KEPULAUAN SELAYAR	54,46	26	68	14	99,77	65,8
	2	27 KEPULAUAN SELAYAR	54,46	26	90	14	99,77	65,8
	3	34 KEPULAUAN SELAYAR	69,08	48	128	15	99,77	65,8
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	52	47 SATAP KEPULAUAN SELAYAR	60,65	16	66	8	99,77	65,8
17	1	UPT SMP NEGERI 10 LEMBANG	43,19	16	50	10	84,02	67,37
	2	UPT SMP NEGERI 1 LEMBANG	42,92	185	521	41	84,02	67,37
	3	UPT SMP NEGERI 2 LEMBANG	44,08	101	283	24	84,02	67,37
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	56	NEGERI 2 MATTIRO SOMPE	45,40	132	358	26	84,02	67,37
18	1	SMP Negeri 1 Pangsid	59,15	228	769	49	69,69	57,26
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 PANGSID	47,30	108	306	38	69,69	57,26
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 PANGSID	43,09	95	301	33	69,69	57,26
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	48	SMP NEGERI 3 PANCA RIJANG	45,37	84	189	18	69,69	57,26
19	1	SMP NEGERI 1 ENREKANG	51,14	272	688	51	68,37	51,98
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 ENREKANG	46,80	114	297	32	68,37	51,98
	3	SMP NEGERI 4 ENREKANG	49,58	64	255	19	68,37	51,98
	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
	45	SMP NEGERI 5 ALLA	44,61	74	204	23	68,37	51,98

20	1	SMP NEGERI 1 Ponrang Selatan	42,97	41	88	14	82,78	65,73
	2	SMP NEGERI 3 BUA PONRANG	41,09	147	389	38	82,78	65,73
	3	NEGERI SATAP PACCERAKANG	40,55	55	160	17	82,78	65,73
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	78	SMPN 1 KUMILA SATU ATAP	68,16	15	42	7	82,78	65,73
21	1	SMP NEGERI 1 MAKALE	57,22	309	907	46	89,94	66,7
	2	SMP NEGERI 5 MAKALE	46,35	69	194	17	89,94	66,7
	3	SMP KATOLIK MAKALE	49,85	105	322	14	89,94	66,7
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	82	Satap 4 Bonggakaradeng	40,94	56	131	8	89,94	66,7
22	1	NEGERI 1 MASAMBA	46,60	243	661	43	80,19	61,75
	2	NEGERI 2 MASAMBA	44,32	165	413	36	80,19	61,75
	3	NEGERI 3 SATAP MASAMBA	40,59	13	47	7	80,19	61,75
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	73	NEGERI 3 SATAP RAMPI	57,48	12	25	10	80,19	61,75
23	1	SMP 1 RANTEPAO	48,36	465	1.188	64	99,54	72,27
	2	SMP 2 RANTEPAO	50,35	321	1.191	57	99,54	72,27
	3	SMP 3 RANTEPAO SATAP	46,23	28	115	8	99,54	72,27
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	77	SMP NEGERI 2 BANGKELEKILA	44,34	108	300	20	99,54	72,27
24	1	SMP NEGERI 1 MALILI	49,54	172	529	29	90,28	70,49
	2	SMP NEGERI 2 MALILI	52,73	179	444	30	90,28	70,49
	3	SMP NEGERI 3 MALILI	48,75	166	444	31	90,28	70,49
	:	:	:	:	:	:		
	40	SMP NEGERI 1 KALAENA	46,53	249	550	33	90,28	70,49

Lampiran 2. Output Pemilihan Kemiringan Acak pada Software R.Studio

```
> #pemilihan koefisien acak
> summary(model4)
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's method ['lmerModLmerTest']
Data: DataMLMnew
```

	AIC	BIC	logLik	df.resid
	-9929.0	-9875.4	4974.5	1574

Scaled residuals:

	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-3.3420	-0.5897	0.1170	0.6522	3.2781

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.	Corr
KLP	(Intercept)	7.601e-06	0.002757	
	x1	4.797e-04	0.021901	0.03
Residual		1.045e-04	0.010222	

Number of obs: 1584, groups: KLP, 24

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	1.553e-01	1.286e-02	2.405e+01	12.078	1.06e-11 ***
x1	-1.393e-02	6.777e-03	4.888e+01	-2.055	0.04520 *
x2	1.346e-02	6.767e-03	1.580e+03	1.989	0.04685 *
x3	1.788e-02	3.283e-03	1.577e+03	5.447	5.93e-08 ***
z1	4.116e-01	2.011e-01	2.599e+01	2.047	0.05094 .
z2	-4.800e-01	1.663e-01	2.617e+01	-2.886	0.00771 **

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

	(Intr)	x1	x2	x3	z1
x1	0.003				
x2	0.013	-0.379			
x3	-0.055	-0.319	-0.237		
z1	-0.398	0.078	-0.104	-0.007	
z2	-0.185	-0.087	0.103	0.020	-0.827

```
> summ(model4)
MODEL INFO:
Observations: 1584
Dependent Variable: Y
Type: Mixed effects linear regression

MODEL FIT:
AIC = -9929.03, BIC = -9875.35
Pseudo-R2 (fixed effects) = 0.09
Pseudo-R2 (total) = 0.26

FIXED EFFECTS:
```

	Est.	S.E.	t val.	d.f.	p
(Intercept)	0.16	0.01	12.08	24.05	0.00

X1	-0.01	0.01	-2.06	48.88	0.05
X2	0.01	0.01	1.99	1579.63	0.05
X3	0.02	0.00	5.45	1576.61	0.00
Z1	0.41	0.20	2.05	25.99	0.05
Z2	-0.48	0.17	-2.89	26.17	0.01

p values calculated using Satterthwaite d.f.

RANDOM EFFECTS:

Group	Parameter	Std. Dev.
KLP	(Intercept)	0.00
KLP	X1	0.02
Residual		0.01

Grouping variables:

Group	# groups	ICC
KLP	24	0.07

> anova(model3,model4)

Data: DataMLMnew

	npair	AIC	BIC	logLik	Chisq	Df	Pr(>Chisq)
model3	8	-9897.8	-9854.8	4956.9			
model4	10	-9929.0	-9875.4	4974.5	35.278	2	2.186e-08 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> summary(model4a)

Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's method ['lmerModLmerTest']

Data: DataMLMnew

AIC	BIC	logLik	df.resid
-9928.0	-9874.3	4974.0	1574

Scaled residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-3.3873	-0.5784	0.1153	0.6695	3.3753

Random effects:

Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.	Corr
KLP	(Intercept)	1.538e-05	0.003922	
	X2	1.783e-03	0.042223	-0.32
Residual		1.039e-04	0.010191	

Number of obs: 1584, groups: KLP, 24

Fixed effects:

	Estimate	Std. Error	df	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	1.500e-01	1.441e-02	2.535e+01	10.406	1.22e-10 ***
X1	-2.214e-02	5.807e-03	1.093e+03	-3.812	0.000145 ***
X2	2.975e-02	1.377e-02	4.498e+01	2.160	0.036144 *
X3	1.489e-02	3.371e-03	1.555e+03	4.416	1.07e-05 ***
Z1	4.510e-01	2.240e-01	2.650e+01	2.013	0.054342 .

```

Z2          -4.672e-01  1.849e-01  2.693e+01  -2.526 0.017723 *
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

```

      (Intr) x1      x2      x3      Z1
x1 -0.010
x2 -0.001 -0.530
x3 -0.040 -0.257 -0.218
Z1 -0.400 -0.024  0.077 -0.070
Z2 -0.187  0.031 -0.092  0.081 -0.824

```

```
> summ(model4a)
```

MODEL INFO:

Observations: 1584

Dependent Variable: Y

Type: Mixed effects linear regression

MODEL FIT:

AIC = -9927.98, *BIC* = -9874.31

Pseudo-R² (fixed effects) = 0.10

Pseudo-R² (total) = 0.30

FIXED EFFECTS:

	Est.	S.E.	t val.	d.f.	p
(Intercept)	0.15	0.01	10.41	25.35	0.00
x1	-0.02	0.01	-3.81	1092.85	0.00
x2	0.03	0.01	2.16	44.98	0.04
x3	0.01	0.00	4.42	1554.67	0.00
Z1	0.45	0.22	2.01	26.50	0.05
Z2	-0.47	0.18	-2.53	26.93	0.02

p values calculated using Satterthwaite d.f.

RANDOM EFFECTS:

Group	Parameter	Std. Dev.
KLP	(Intercept)	0.00
KLP	x2	0.04
Residual		0.01

Grouping variables:

Group	# groups	ICC
KLP	24	0.13

```
> anova(model3,model4a)
```

Data: DataMLMnew

```

      npar      AIC      BIC logLik  Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
model3      8 -9897.8 -9854.8 4956.9
model4a     10 -9928.0 -9874.3 4974.0 34.233  2  3.684e-08 ***
---

```

```

Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

```
> summary(model4b)
Linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood . t-tests use Satterthwaite's method ['lmerModLmerTest']
Data: DataMLMnew
```

```
      AIC      BIC    logLik  df.resid
-9916.4 -9862.7   4968.2    1574
```

Scaled residuals:

```
      Min      1Q   Median      3Q      Max
-3.4725 -0.5989  0.1165  0.6477  4.0012
```

Random effects:

```
Groups   Name             Variance Std.Dev. Corr
KLP      (Intercept) 1.572e-05 0.003965
          x3         2.234e-04 0.014948 -0.31
Residual 1.051e-04 0.010252
Number of obs: 1584, groups: KLP, 24
```

Fixed effects:

```
              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  1.533e-01  1.507e-02 2.528e+01  10.169 2.03e-10 ***
x1           -1.513e-02  4.985e-03 1.536e+03  -3.036  0.00244 **
x2            1.317e-02  6.791e-03 1.576e+03   1.940  0.05259 .
x3            1.646e-02  4.930e-03 3.777e+01   3.338  0.00191 **
z1            4.553e-01  2.433e-01 2.958e+01   1.872  0.07119 .
z2           -4.974e-01  1.998e-01 2.944e+01  -2.489  0.01869 *
```

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Correlation of Fixed Effects:

```
      (Intr) x1      x2      x3      z1
x1 -0.034
x2  0.006 -0.499
x3  0.001 -0.365 -0.165
z1 -0.403  0.077 -0.061  0.009
z2 -0.162 -0.062  0.061 -0.035 -0.836
```

```
> summ(model4b)
```

MODEL INFO:

Observations: 1584

Dependent Variable: Y

Type: Mixed effects linear regression

MODEL FIT:

AIC = -9916.38, *BIC* = -9862.70

Pseudo-R² (fixed effects) = 0.09

Pseudo-R² (total) = 0.28

FIXED EFFECTS:

```
-----
              Est.    S.E.    t val.    d.f.    p
-----
(Intercept)    0.15    0.02    10.17    25.28    0.00
x1             -0.02    0.00    -3.04   1536.25    0.00
x2              0.01    0.01     1.94   1576.15    0.05
x3              0.02    0.00     3.34    37.77    0.00
z1              0.46    0.24     1.87    29.58    0.07
z2             -0.50    0.20    -2.49    29.44    0.02
-----
```

p values calculated using Satterthwaite d.f.

RANDOM EFFECTS:

Group	Parameter	Std. Dev.
KLP	(Intercept)	0.00
KLP	X3	0.01
Residual		0.01

Grouping variables:

Group	# groups	ICC
KLP	24	0.13

> [anova\(model3,model4b\)](#)

Data: DataMLMnew

	npars	AIC	BIC	logLik	Chisq	Df	Pr(>Chisq)
model3	8	-9897.8	-9854.8	4956.9			
model4b	10	-9916.4	-9862.7	4968.2	22.628	2	1.22e-05 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> [anova\(model4,model4a,model4b\)](#)

Data: DataMLMnew

	npars	AIC	BIC	logLik	Chisq	Df	Pr(>Chisq)
model4	10	-9929.0	-9875.4	4974.5			
model4a	10	-9928.0	-9874.3	4974.0	0	0	
model4b	10	-9916.4	-9862.7	4968.2	0	0	