

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Astini, Yuli ., 2018, Kualitas Aparatur, Sistem Informasi, Sistem Pengendalian Intern dan Efektivitas Manajemen Aset Tetap, *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Bisnis*, Vol. 13, No. 2, Juli
- Azhar, Iqlima., Darwanis dan Abdullah, Syukriy., 2013, “Pengaruh Kualitas Aparatur Daerah, Regulasi, dan Sistem Informasi Terhadap Manajemen Aset”, *Jurnal Akuntansi Pasca Sarjana Universitas Syiah Kuala*, vol. 2, no. 1, Februari 2013.
- Belo, Berlian Ritha .,Asnawi, Meinarni., dan Wijaya, Antonius H Citra., 2018, Analisis Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi Kualitas Pengelolaan barang Milik Daerah pada OPD dilingkungan pemerintah Kabupaten Weropen dengan Komitmen Pimpinan sebagai variabel Moderating, *Jurnal Akuntansi, Audit & Aset Volume 1, Nomor 2, November 2018*
- Darno, 2012, “Analisis Pengaruh Kemampuan Sumber Daya Manusia dan Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Barang Kuasa Pengguna (studi pada Satuan Kerja di Wilayah Kerja KPPN Malang)”, *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa FEB Universitas Brawijaya Malang*, vol. 1, no.1.
- Donaldson, Lave. & Davis, James H., 1991, Stewardship Theory or Agency Theory: CEO Governance and Shareholder Returns. *Australian Journal of Management*, , 16, 1, June 1991,The University of New South Wales
- Edward III, George C,1980,” Implementing Public Policy”, Congressional Quarterly Press, Washington
- Ekasari, R. 2020. Model Efektivitas Dana Desa untuk Menilai Kinerja Desa Melalui Pemberdayaan Ekonomi. Malang: AE Publishing.
- Fauzi, A. 2019. Pengaruh Kompetensi terhadap Kinerja Pegawai pada Biro Pemerintahan dan Kerjasama Sekretariat Daerah Provinsi Jawa Barat. *POLITICON Jurnal Ilmu Politik*, I(1), 88-103.
- Ghozali, Imam, 2013, *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS*.
- Halim, Rahmawati, 2012, “Pengaruh Komitmen Organisasi dan Peranan Kepemimpinan dalam Meningkatkan Pengelolaan Keangan Daerah pada Dinas Pendapatan, Pengelolaan Keuangan dan Aset Kabupaten Banggai Kepulauan”, *Jurnal Academica Fisip Untad*, vol.04, no.01

- Haryanto, 2013, "Sumber Daya Manusia dan Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dalam Peningkatan Kualitas Pelaporan Aset daerah", Buku Pengelolaan Akuntansi Keuangan Daerah, UNDIP Press, Semarang.
- Hendri, M., & NR, E. 2020. Pengaruh Kualitas Sumber Daya Manusia, Implemetasi Sistem Informasi Manajemen Daerah dan Penerapan Standar Akuntansi Pemerintah Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah Daerah (Studi Empiris Pada OPD Provinsi Sumatera Barat). *Jurnal Eksplorasi Akuntansi*, 2479-2493.
- Herminingsih, 2009, "Pengaruh Partisipasi dalam Penganggaran dan Peran Manajerial Pengelola Keuangan Daerah terhadap Kinerja Pemerintah Daerah", Tesis Program Studi Akuntansi, UNDIP.
- Hutapea, & Thoha. 2008. *Kompetensi Plus*. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Kalangi, C. L., Kalangi, L., & Warongan, J. D. 2017. Analisis Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah di Kabupaten Minahasa Utara (Studi Kasus Pada Badan Keuangan Kabupaten Minahasa Utara). *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Going Concern*, 123-130.
- Lengkey, J. S., Nangoi, G., & Wokas, H. 2019. Pengaruh Pemanfaatan Sistem Informasi Manajemen Daerah dan Pengawasan Keuangan terhadap Nilai Informasi Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah Daerah (Studi Pada Satuan Kerja Perangkat Daerah kota Manado). *Jurnal EMBA*, 3588-3597.
- Lu, Yaotai., 2011, *Public Asset Management: Empirical Evidence from The State Governments in The United States*
- Machmud, R. 2013. Hubungan Sistem Informasi Manajemen dan Pelayanan dengan Kinerja Pegawai pada Rutan Makassar. *Jurnal Capacity STIE AMKOP Makassar*, 78-85.
- Mardiasmo, 2004, Otonomi dan Manajemen Keuangan Daerah, *Good Governance Democratization, Local Government Financial Management, Public Policy, Reinventing Government, Accountability Probity, Value for Money, Participatory Development, Serial Otonomi Daerah, Andi, Yogyakarta*.
- Moehariono. 2009. *Pengukuran Kinerja Berbasis Kompetensi*. Bogor: Ghaila Indonesia.
- Oktaviana. 2010. Pengelolaan Aset Daerah Berkaitan Opini Disclaimer BPK di Kabupaten Toja Una Una di Provinsi Sulawesi Tengah Tahun 2007. *Tesis S2 Program Pasca Sarjana UGM*. Yogyakarta.

- Ole, H. R. 2014. Analisis Implementasi Sistem Infomasi Manajemen Daerah (SIMDA) Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan SKPD (Studi Kasus Pada Dinas PPKAD Kabupaten Minahasa Tenggara). *Jurnal Accountability*, 1-15.
- Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 28 Tahun 2020 tentang Perubahan atas Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 27 tahun 2014 tentang Pengelolaan Barang Milik Negara/Daerah
- Peraturan Menteri Dalam Negeri Nomor 19 tahun 2016 Tentang Pedoman Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah
- Raharjo, Eko. 2007. Teori Agensi dan Teori Stewarship dalam Perspektif Akuntansi. *Fokus Ekonomi*. Vol. 2 No. 1 Juni 2007:37-46
- Rifa'i, A. B. 2014. Pengaruh Etika, Kompetensi, dan Pengalaman dalam Mengelola Barang Milik Negara Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah Pusat. *E-Journal Program Studi Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta*.
- Sekaran, Uma., and Bougie. Roger.,2016, *Research Method for Business: A Skill Building Approach 17th edition*, Chichester: Wailey
- Simamora, Rudianto, dan Halim, Abdul,. 2012. Faktor –faktor yang Mempengaruhi Pengelolaan Aset Pasca Pemekaran Wilayah dan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah di Kabupaten Tapanuli Selatan, *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*. Volume 10. Nomor 01.
- Sopiah, 2008, *Perilaku Organisasional*, Penerbit ANDI, Yogyakarta.
- Sugiyono, 2013. *Metode Penelitian Manajemen*, Alfabeta, Bandung.
- Suparman, N., & Sangadji, A. D. 2018. Pengelolaan Aset Daerah Dalam Mewujudkan Tertib Administrasi Pada DPPKAD Kabupaten Seram Bagian Barat Provinsi Maluku. *Jurnal Kelola: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial*, 74-97.
- Tangkilisan, H. N. 2005. *Manajemen Publik*. Jakarta: PT. GramediaWidiasarana Indonesia.
- Terry, G. R. 2006. *Prinsip-Prinsip Manajemen*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Tulungen, Evans Einstein William, 2014, “Analisis Faktor-Faktor Pengelolaan Barang Negara Pada Komisi Pemilihan Umum Provinsi Sulawesi Utara”, *Jurnal Riset Bisnis dan Manajemen*, vol. 2, no. 3
- Undang-Undang Nomor 32 tahun 2004 tentang Pemerintahan Daerah

Yusuf, M., 2013, *8 Langkah Pengelolaan Aset Daerah Menuju Pengelolaan Keuangan Daerah Terbaik*, Edisi Revisi, Jakarta, Salemba Empat.

LAMPIRAN

KUESIONER

HASIL PENGUJIAN

DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=X1 X2 X3 Y
/STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV MIN MAX.

Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics					
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
X1	62	2,00	4,63	3,6305	,69861
X2	62	2,00	5,00	4,2323	,68155
X3	62	3,00	5,00	4,0231	,55861
Y	62	3,00	5,00	4,2385	,62482
Valid N (listwise)	62				

DESCRIPTIVES VARIABLES=X1.1 X1.2 X1.3 X1.4 X1.5 X1.6 X1.7 X1.8 X2.1 X2.2 X2.3
X2.4 X2.5 X3.1 X3.2 X3.3 X3.4 X3.5 X3.6 X3.7 Y.1 Y.2 Y.3 Y.4 Y.5 Y.6 Y.7 Y.8 Y.9 Y.10
Y.11 Y.12
/STATISTICS=MEAN STDDEV MIN MAX.

Descriptives

Descriptive Statistics					
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
X1.1	62	2	5	3,63	,683
X1.2	62	2	5	3,73	,872
X1.3	62	1	5	3,84	,961
X1.4	62	1	5	3,44	1,111
X1.5	62	1	5	3,73	1,058
X1.6	62	1	5	3,69	1,080
X1.7	62	2	5	3,53	,863
X1.8	62	2	5	3,45	,881
X2.1	62	2	5	4,06	,921
X2.2	62	2	5	4,35	,870
X2.3	62	2	5	4,27	,813
X2.4	62	2	5	4,18	,641
X2.5	62	2	5	4,29	,687
X3.1	62	2	5	4,11	,603
X3.2	62	3	5	4,23	,584
X3.3	62	2	5	4,29	,710
X3.4	62	2	5	3,79	,813
X3.5	62	2	5	3,90	,824
X3.6	62	2	5	3,97	,789
X3.7	62	2	5	3,87	,757
Y.1	62	3	5	4,19	,721
Y.2	62	3	5	4,31	,616

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Y.3	62	1	5	4,06	1,084
Y.4	62	3	5	4,18	,713
Y.5	62	2	5	4,11	,851
Y.6	62	2	5	4,10	,740
Y.7	62	2	5	4,08	,893
Y.8	62	3	5	4,37	,659
Y.9	62	2	5	4,44	,692
Y.10	62	3	5	4,40	,664
Y.11	62	2	5	4,27	,705
Y.12	62	3	5	4,34	,651
Valid N (listwise)	62				

Frequency Table

X1.1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2	6	9,7	9,7	9,7
3	12	19,4	19,4	29,0
4	43	69,4	69,4	98,4
5	1	1,6	1,6	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2	8	12,9	12,9	12,9
3	10	16,1	16,1	29,0
4	35	56,5	56,5	85,5
5	9	14,5	14,5	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	2	3,2	3,2	3,2
2	4	6,5	6,5	9,7
3	10	16,1	16,1	25,8
4	32	51,6	51,6	77,4
5	14	22,6	22,6	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	11	17,7	17,7	25,8
3	2	3,2	3,2	29,0
4	40	64,5	64,5	93,5
5	4	6,5	6,5	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	5	8,1	8,1	16,1
4	44	71,0	71,0	87,1
5	8	12,9	12,9	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
2	6	9,7	9,7	17,7
4	43	69,4	69,4	87,1
5	8	12,9	12,9	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2	13	21,0	21,0	21,0
3	5	8,1	8,1	29,0
4	42	67,7	67,7	96,8
5	2	3,2	3,2	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X1.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2	13	21,0	21,0	21,0
3	11	17,7	17,7	38,7
4	35	56,5	56,5	95,2
5	3	4,8	4,8	100,0
Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X2.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	4	6,5	6,5	6,5
	3	12	19,4	19,4	25,8
	4	22	35,5	35,5	61,3
	5	24	38,7	38,7	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X2.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	4	6,5	6,5	6,5
	3	4	6,5	6,5	12,9
	4	20	32,3	32,3	45,2
	5	34	54,8	54,8	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X2.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	2	3,2	3,2	3,2
	3	8	12,9	12,9	16,1
	4	23	37,1	37,1	53,2
	5	29	46,8	46,8	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X2.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	2	3,2	3,2	3,2
	3	2	3,2	3,2	6,5
	4	41	66,1	66,1	72,6
	5	17	27,4	27,4	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X2.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	2	3,2	3,2	3,2
	3	2	3,2	3,2	6,5
	4	34	54,8	54,8	61,3
	5	24	38,7	38,7	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	1,6	1,6	1,6
	3	5	8,1	8,1	9,7
	4	42	67,7	67,7	77,4
	5	14	22,6	22,6	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
	4	38	61,3	61,3	69,4
	5	19	30,6	30,6	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	2	3,2	3,2	3,2
	3	3	4,8	4,8	8,1
	4	32	51,6	51,6	59,7
	5	25	40,3	40,3	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	3	4,8	4,8	4,8
	3	19	30,6	30,6	35,5
	4	28	45,2	45,2	80,6
	5	12	19,4	19,4	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
	3	9	14,5	14,5	22,6
	4	35	56,5	56,5	79,0
	5	13	21,0	21,0	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	1,6	1,6	1,6
	3	17	27,4	27,4	29,0
	4	27	43,5	43,5	72,6
	5	17	27,4	27,4	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

X3.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	1,6	1,6	1,6
	3	19	30,6	30,6	32,3
	4	29	46,8	46,8	79,0
	5	13	21,0	21,0	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	11	17,7	17,7	17,7
	4	28	45,2	45,2	62,9
	5	23	37,1	37,1	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
	4	33	53,2	53,2	61,3
	5	24	38,7	38,7	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	4	6,5	6,5	6,5
	2	2	3,2	3,2	9,7
	3	4	6,5	6,5	16,1
	4	28	45,2	45,2	61,3
	5	24	38,7	38,7	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	11	17,7	17,7	17,7
	4	29	46,8	46,8	64,5
	5	22	35,5	35,5	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	4	6,5	6,5	6,5
	3	7	11,3	11,3	17,7
	4	29	46,8	46,8	64,5
	5	22	35,5	35,5	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	1,6	1,6	1,6
	3	11	17,7	17,7	19,4
	4	31	50,0	50,0	69,4
	5	19	30,6	30,6	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	5	8,1	8,1	8,1
	3	7	11,3	11,3	19,4
	4	28	45,2	45,2	64,5
	5	22	35,5	35,5	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.8

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	6	9,7	9,7	9,7
	4	27	43,5	43,5	53,2
	5	29	46,8	46,8	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.9

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	1,6	1,6	1,6
	3	4	6,5	6,5	8,1
	4	24	38,7	38,7	46,8
	5	33	53,2	53,2	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.10

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	6	9,7	9,7	9,7
	4	25	40,3	40,3	50,0
	5	31	50,0	50,0	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.11

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	2	1	1,6	1,6	1,6
	3	6	9,7	9,7	11,3
	4	30	48,4	48,4	59,7
	5	25	40,3	40,3	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

Y.12

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	3	6	9,7	9,7	9,7
	4	29	46,8	46,8	56,5
	5	27	43,5	43,5	100,0
	Total	62	100,0	100,0	

VALIDITAS DAN RELIABILITAS

X1

Correlations

		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6	X1.7	X1.8	X1
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,487**	,506**	,195	,220	,288*	,618**	,637**	,616**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,129	,086	,023	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	,487**	1	,866**	,176	,290*	,345**	,677**	,569**	,715**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,171	,022	,006	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	,506**	,866**	1	,312*	,407**	,457**	,757**	,687**	,821**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,013	,001	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.4	Pearson Correlation	,195	,176	,312*	1	,828**	,851**	,113	,265*	,685**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,129	,171	,013		,000	,000	,380	,038	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.5	Pearson Correlation	,220	,290*	,407**	,828**	1	,943**	,234	,399**	,777**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,086	,022	,001	,000		,000	,067	,001	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.6	Pearson Correlation	,288*	,345**	,457**	,851**	,943**	1	,213	,389**	,803**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,023	,006	,000	,000	,000		,096	,002	,000

Correlations

	X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6	X1.7	X1.8	X1
N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.7 Pearson Correlation	,618**	,677**	,757**	,113	,234	,213	1	,908**	,715**
Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,380	,067	,096		,000	,000
N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1.8 Pearson Correlation	,637**	,569**	,687**	,265*	,399**	,389**	,908**	1	,785**
Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,038	,001	,002	,000		,000
N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X1 Pearson Correlation	,616**	,715**	,821**	,685**	,777**	,803**	,715**	,785**	1
Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	
N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,880	8

X2**Correlations**

		X2.1	X2.2	X2.3	X2.4	X2.5	X2
X2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,871**	,567**	,702**	,696**	,900**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62
X2.2	Pearson Correlation	,871**	1	,555**	,679**	,757**	,904**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62
X2.3	Pearson Correlation	,567**	,555**	1	,692**	,530**	,771**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62
X2.4	Pearson Correlation	,702**	,679**	,692**	1	,850**	,888**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62
X2.5	Pearson Correlation	,696**	,757**	,530**	,850**	1	,869**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62
X2	Pearson Correlation	,900**	,904**	,771**	,888**	,869**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,911	5

X3 (MODERASI)

Correlations

		X3.1	X3.2	X3.3	X3.4	X3.5	X3.6	X3.7	X3
X3.1	Pearson Correlation	1	,717**	,649**	,684**	,385**	,593**	,607**	,840**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000	,002	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X3.2	Pearson Correlation	,717**	1	,550**	,481**	,386**	,585**	,623**	,781**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000	,002	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X3.3	Pearson Correlation	,649**	,550**	1	,476**	,105	,397**	,498**	,663**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000	,418	,001	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X3.4	Pearson Correlation	,684**	,481**	,476**	1	,508**	,629**	,675**	,836**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X3.5	Pearson Correlation	,385**	,386**	,105	,508**	1	,449**	,400**	,619**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,002	,002	,418	,000		,000	,001	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X3.6	Pearson Correlation	,593**	,585**	,397**	,629**	,449**	1	,734**	,820**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,001	,000	,000		,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
X3.7	Pearson Correlation	,607**	,623**	,498**	,675**	,400**	,734**	1	,845**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,001	,000		,000

Correlations

	Y.1	Y.2	Y.3	Y.4	Y.5	Y.6	Y.7	Y.8	Y.9	Y.10	Y.11	Y.12	Y	
N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	
Y.5	Pearson Correlation	,792**	,683**	,880**	,938**	1	,867**	,894**	,626**	,583**	,556**	,466**	,521**	,901**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.6	Pearson Correlation	,733**	,760**	,789**	,898**	,867**	1	,931**	,699**	,588**	,653**	,733**	,611**	,936**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.7	Pearson Correlation	,740**	,729**	,858**	,852**	,894**	,931**	1	,590**	,579**	,553**	,589**	,460**	,898**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.8	Pearson Correlation	,572**	,604**	,494**	,695**	,626**	,699**	,590**	1	,575**	,589**	,624**	,734**	,768**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.9	Pearson Correlation	,518**	,681**	,551**	,604**	,583**	,588**	,579**	,575**	1	,646**	,624**	,649**	,751**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.10	Pearson Correlation	,725**	,694**	,441**	,677**	,556**	,653**	,553**	,589**	,646**	1	,775**	,816**	,793**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.11	Pearson Correlation	,442**	,671**	,448**	,586**	,466**	,733**	,589**	,624**	,624**	,775**	1	,758**	,755**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000	,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y.12	Pearson Correlation	,522**	,554**	,363**	,645**	,521**	,611**	,460**	,734**	,649**	,816**	,758**	1	,739**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,004	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000		,000
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
Y	Pearson Correlation	,830**	,840**	,819**	,933**	,901**	,936**	,898**	,768**	,751**	,793**	,755**	,739**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).


Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,956	12

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residual
N		62
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	,0000000
	Std. Deviation	,46596906
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	,075
	Positive	,070
	Negative	-,075
Test Statistic		,075
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,200 ^{c,d}

- a. Test distribution is Normal.
- b. Calculated from data.
- c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.
- d. This is a lower bound of the true significance.



Uji Glejser.

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	,888	,366		2,427	,018
	Komitmen Pimpinan	-,141	,076	-,263	-1,843	,070
	Kompetensi SDM	-,010	,055	-,023	-,178	,859
	Kualitas Sistem Informasi	,016	,063	,037	,257	,798

a. Dependent Variable: ABS_RES

Uji Multikolinieritas

Coefficients^a

Model		Collinearity Statistics	
		Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)		
	Kompetensi SDM	,993	1,007
	Kualitas Sistem Informasi	,796	1,257
	Komitmen Pimpinan	,795	1,258

a. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

HASIL REGRESI

1. X1, X2, X3 terhadap Y1

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Komitmen Pimpinan , Kompetensi SDM, Kualitas Sistem Informasi ^b		Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	,666 ^a	,444	,415	,47787

a. Predictors: (Constant), Komitmen Pimpinan , Kompetensi SDM, Kualitas Sistem Informasi

b. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	10,569	3	3,523	15,428	,000 ^b
	Residual	13,245	58	,228		
	Total	23,814	61			

a. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

b. Predictors: (Constant), Komitmen Pimpinan , Kompetensi SDM, Kualitas Sistem Informasi

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	,423	,589		,719	,475
	Kompetensi SDM	,180	,088	,201	2,049	,045
	Kualitas Sistem Informasi	,221	,101	,241	2,194	,032
	Komitmen Pimpinan	,554	,123	,495	4,505	,000

a. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

2. X1.X3, X2.3, X3 terhadap Y (INTERAKSI MODERASI)

Model Summary^b

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	,655 ^a	,429	,399	,48420

a. Predictors: (Constant), Komitmen Pimpinan , X1.X3, X2.X3

b. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	10,216	3	3,405	14,525	,000 ^b
	Residual	13,598	58	,234		
	Total	23,814	61			

a. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah

b. Predictors: (Constant), Komitmen Pimpinan , X1.X3, X2.X3

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1,984	,501		3,962	,000
	X1.X3	,036	,021	,202	1,682	,098
	X2.X3	,051	,025	,354	2,005	,050
	Komitmen Pimpinan	,212	,203	,189	1,045	,300

a. Dependent Variable: Efektivitas Pengelolaan Barang Milik Daerah